# IE 598 HW5

### Tanmay Gupta, Peter Maginnis

## April 23, 2015

5.1a) Sampling from a uniform distribution over the set of perfect matchings is identical to uniformly sampling a random permutation. Here we may represent a perfect matching as a set of index pairs  $\sigma = \{(i,j)\}_{i=1}^n$  such that the collection is bijective. One simple way to do this is

#### Algorithm 1 Uniformly sampling a random permutation

```
for i=1 to N do sample j \sim \text{Unif}(\{1,\ldots,n\} \setminus \{j': (i',j') \in \sigma \text{ and } i' < i\}) \sigma \leftarrow \sigma \cup \{(i,j)\} end for
```

b) The weighted measure  $\mu$  over the set of all perfect matchings P is given by

$$\mu(\sigma) = \frac{1}{Z(w)} \exp\left\{\sum_{i} w_{i\sigma(i)}\right\}$$

where

$$\begin{split} Z(w) &= \sum_{\sigma \in P} \mu(\sigma) \\ &= \sum_{\sigma \in P} \exp \left\{ \sum_i w_{i\sigma(i)} \right\} \\ &\leq \sum_{\sigma \in P} \exp \left\{ \sum_i w^* \right\} \\ &= \exp \left\{ Nw^* \right\} \sum_{\sigma \in P} 1 \\ &= N! \exp \left\{ Nw^* \right\}. \end{split}$$

Thus we may derive the lower bound

$$\mu(\sigma) \ge \frac{1}{N! \exp\{Nw^*\}} \exp\left\{\sum_{i} w_{i\sigma(i)}\right\}$$
$$\ge \frac{1}{N! \exp\{Nw^*\}},$$

since  $w_{ij} \geq 0$  for every  $i, j \in \{1, ..., N\}$ . c) Given the Metropolis-Hastings rule that samples  $i, i' \sim \text{Unif}(\{1, ..., N\})$ and swaps  $\sigma(i)$  and  $\sigma(i')$  with probability

$$R = \min \left\{ 1, \exp(-w_{i\sigma(i)} - w_{i'\sigma(i')} + w_{i\sigma(i')} + w_{i'\sigma(i)}) \right\}$$

- 5.2a) Update rules for node-by-node Gibbs sampler are as follows-
- 1. Sample (i, j) uniformly randomly from the  $60 \times 60$  grid
- 2. Sample the value of  $x_{ij}$  from the conditional distribution of  $x_{ij}$  given all the other variables. The conditional distribution is given by

$$\mathbb{P}(x_{ij}|x_{rest}) \propto \exp\left[\theta x_{ij} \left(x_{i-1,j} + x_{i+1,j} + x_{i,j-1} + x_{i,j+1}\right)\right]$$

- 5.2b) An efficient procedure for sampling from tree structured undirected graphical model is as follows:
- 1. Run belief propagation on the tree to get messages from the root downwards. These messages represent  $\mathbb{P}(x_{child}|x_{parent})$ .
- 2. Sample from the distribution of the root, then sample from the distribution of the children condition on the root and so on.
- 5.2c) Block gibbs sampling can be done using our sampling procedure for tree structured undirected graphical models by attaching a unary term to each node such that the messages incorporate conditioning on the nodes not in that block.

#### 5.2d) Result of block gibbs sampling



Figure 1: Two samples generated by block gibbs sampler



Figure 2: Two samples generated by our gibbs sampler

From the above observations it seems that the block gibbs sampler has a lower mixing time. This become even more apparent when we look at extreme

values of  $\theta$  such as  $\theta=100$  where the block gibbs sampler converges in just 3 iteration while our gibbs sampler takes 6.



Figure 3: Comparison of mixing time for block (left) and our gibbs sampler (right) for  $\theta=100$