

Scribe Notes

VerifyY. July 11, 2011.

Refactoring

Refactoring:

- Restructuring or rearranging code, preferably in a series of *small steps*.
- Improves maintainability, addresses code smells.
- Semantics of code *is not changed*.
 - Re-running *unit tests* after refactoring demonstrates that the code still works.
- Common refactoring techniques are defined.
 - <http://www.refactoring.com/>
 - <http://source-making.com/> (Highly recommended.)
 - “Refactoring: Improving the Design of Existing Code” by Martin Fowler (1999).
 - “Refactoring to Patterns” by Joshua Kerievsky (2004).

Primitive refactoring:

- Basic transformations (cannot be subdivided).
- eg., ‘Move Method,’ ‘Rename Method,’ ‘Add Class,’ ‘Extract Method,’ ‘Pull up Method.’

Composite refactoring:

- Complex transformations, composed of other refactoring steps.
- eg., ‘Move Method To Visitor,’ ‘Add Template Method.’

When to refactor:

- To improve maintainability or clarity, or to address code smells
- ONLY if you can refactor without breaking code
- NOT if the code will never change (stable)
- NOT if the code belongs to someone else

Model (High-level) refactoring

- More risky than low-level refactoring
- A code smell does not necessarily imply a design problem
- More abstract, less detail

Code (Low-level) refactoring

- Highly detailed
- Unit testing

Refactoring to Patterns

Refactoring to Patterns:

- Composite refactorings that introduce a design pattern.
- More high level.
- See “Refactoring to Patterns” by Joshua Kerievsky (2004).
 - Replace Constructors with Creation methods
 - Encapsulate Classes with Factory
 - Introduce Polymorphic Creation with Factory Method
 - Replace Conditional Logic with Strategy
 - Form Template Method
 - Compose Method
 - Replace Implicit Tree with Composite
 - Encapsulate Composite with Builder
 - Move Accumulation to Collecting Parameter
 - Extract Composite, Replace one/many with Composite
 - Replace Conditional Dispatcher with Command
 - Extract Adapter, Unify Interfaces with Adapter
 - Replace Type Code with Class
 - Replace State-Altering Conditionals with State
 - Introduce Null Object
 - Inline Singleton, Limit Instantiation with Singleton
 - Replace Hard-Coded Notifications with Observer
 - Move Embellishment to Decorator, Unify Interfaces, Extract Parameter
 - Move Creation Knowledge to Factory
 - Move Accumulation to Visitor
 - Replace Implicit Language with Interpreter
- Are these refactorings themselves patterns?
 - Depends how you define a pattern: If a pattern is “a generic solution to a common problem in a specific context,” then yes. The context here is software solution and change, and the solution is the refactorings to patterns.
 - Further evidence that refactorings to patterns are themselves patterns is the similarity in how they are described; both are typically described with a name, application example, motivation, benefits, liabilities, mechanics, and a detailed example.
- Can look up a list of refactorings to resolve a particular identified code smell.
 - Example: Conditional Complexity
 - Replace conditional logic with *Strategy*
 - Move embellishment to *Decorator*
 - Replace state-altering conditional with *State*
 - (Introduce *Null Object*)
 - Example: Duplicate Code
 - Form *Template Method*
 - Introduce polymorphic creation with *Factory Method*
 - (Chain Constructors)

- Extract *Composite*
- Unify interfaces with *Adapter*

Example: Remove Duplicate Code

- a) Suppose both instances are in a single class, and affects at most one variable
- Use Extract Method

Before	<pre>public void checkoutBook(Book b) { checkout.add(b); //Notify library Library lib = b.getLibrary(); lib.notifyChange(b); }</pre>	<pre>public void returnBook(Book b) { checkout.remove(b); //Notify library Library lib = b.getLibrary(); lib.notifyChange(b); }</pre>
After	<pre>public void checkoutBook(Book b) { checkout.add(b); notifyLibrary(b); }</pre>	<pre>public void returnBook(Book b) { checkout.remove(b); notifyLibrary(b); }</pre>
	<pre>private void notifyLibrary(Book b) { Library lib = b.getLibrary(); lib.notifyChange(b); }</pre>	

- b) Suppose the duplicated code fragment is in sibling classes.
- Use *Extract Method*, then *Pull Up Method*

Before	<pre>class Patron extends Person { [...] public void checkoutBook(Book b) { checkout.add(b); //Notify library Library lib = b.getLibrary(); lib.notifyChange(b); } }</pre>	<pre>class Visitor extends Person { [...] public void returnBook(Book b) { checkout.remove(b); //Notify library Library lib = b.getLibrary(); lib.notifyChange(b); } }</pre>
After	<pre>class Patron extends Person { [...] public void checkoutBook(Book b) { checkout.add(b); notifyLibrary(b); } }</pre>	<pre>class Visitor extends Person { [...] public void returnBook(Book b) { checkout.remove(b); notifyLibrary(b); } }</pre>
	<pre>class Person {</pre>	

	<pre>[...] protected void notifyLibrary(Book b) { Library lib = b.getLibrary(); lib.notifyChange(b); } }</pre>	
--	--	--

c) The duplicated code fragment occurs in unrelated classes.

- Use Extract Method, and
 - Use one classes as a component of the other
 - Invoke the method from the other class
 - `UnrelatedClass.commonMethod(params);`
 - Move the method to a third class, and call it from both original classes.
 - `UtilityClass.commonMethod(params);`

d) The duplicated code affects more than one variable

- Proceed as usual, however:
 - Use output parameters (language permitting)
 - Create and return a composite data structure

e) The duplicated code is similar but not identical

- Use *Form Template Method*
 - Define outline of algorithm, deferring some steps to subclasses.
 - Subclasses can refine algorithm without changing structure.
 - Causes inverted control structure (superclass calls subclass).
 - Relies on inheritance
 - *Strategy* uses delegation instead

Example: Big Fish and Little Fish

Fish move around randomly in an ocean.

- A big fish can move to where a little fish is (and eat it)
- A little fish will not move to a big fish

Before	<pre>class LittleFish extends Fish { [...] public void move() { Direction dir = randomDir(); Location loc = getLocationFromPos(dir); if (!loc.hasBigFish()) { move(loc); } } }</pre>	<pre>class BigFish extends Fish { [...] public void move() { Direction dir = randomDir(); Location loc = getLocationFromPos(dir); move(loc); } }</pre>
--------	--	--

	}	
After	<pre> class LittleFish extends Fish { [...] public void okayToMove(Location loc) { return !loc.hasBigFish(); } } </pre>	<pre> class BigFish extends Fish { [...] public void okayToMove(Location loc) { return true; } } </pre>
	<pre> abstract class Fish { [...] protected abstract boolean okayToMove(Location loc); public void move() { Direction dir = randomDir(); Location loc = getLocationFromPos(dir); if (okayToMove(loc)) { move(loc); } } } </pre>	

Example: Replace Constructor with Creation (Factory) Methods

- Problem: Having many constructors makes it hard to tell which constructor to call during development
 - Cannot assign meaningful names to constructors.
- Solution: Use other (non-constructor) factory methods to create objects.

Before	<pre> class Loan { public Loan(notional, outstanding, customerRating, expiry) { // Chained constructor this(NullStrategy.instance(), notional, outstanding, customerRating, expiry); } public Loan(notional, outstanding, customerRating, expiry, maturity) {...} public Loan(capitalStrategy, outstanding, customerRating, expiry) {...} public Loan(capitalStrategy, outstanding, customerRating, expiry, maturity) {...} public Loan(type, capitalStrategy, outstanding, customerRating, expiry) {...} public Loan(type, capitalStrategy, outstanding, customerRating, expiry, maturity) { ... } } </pre>
After	<pre> class Loan { private Loan(type, capitalStrategy, notional, outstanding, customerRating, expiry, maturity) { ... } } </pre>

	<pre> public Loan newTermLoan(notional, outstanding, customerRating, expiry) { return newTermLoanWithStrategy(NullStrategy.instance(), notional, outstanding, customerRating, expiry); } public Loan newTermLoanWithStrategy(capitalStrategy, notional, outstanding, customerRating, expiry) { ... } public Loan newRevolver(notional, outstanding, customerRating, expiry) { ... } public Loan newRevolverWithStrategy(capitalStrategy, notional, outstanding, customerRating, expiry) { ... } public Loan newRCTL(notional, outstanding, customerRating, expiry, maturity) { ... } public Loan newRCTLWithStrategy(capitalStrategy, notional, outstanding, customerRating, expiry, maturity) { ... } </pre>
--	---

- Examples:
 - In Java, XML DOM parsers use abstract factory for node creation. This allows for switching between vendors.
 - Similarly, Java SQL interfaces use abstract factory to get their implementations from a particular vendor.
- Advantages:
 - Better communicates what kinds of instances are available
 - Makes it easier to find unused creation code
 - Bypasses constructor limitations, such as inability to have two constructors with the same argument signatures.
- Disadvantage:
 - Makes creation non-standard.

Refactoring Tools

- Eclipse
 - Built in primitive refactorings.
 - API for extending and customising refactoring.
- RefactorIT
 - Large set of refactorings.
 - Can detect code smells using computed design metrics (coupling, cohesion, etc.)
 - Eclipse plugin.
- Borland Together
 - Famous for design level refactoring.
 - Expensive.
- Also tools for visual studio.

Example Problems

1. What step is common in every refactoring?
2. Which of the following is not true of the *Template Method* pattern:
 - a) The control structure is inverted
 - b) The algorithm is refined by subclasses
 - c) The pattern relies on inheritance
 - d) None of the above
3. Name two advantages of replacing calls to constructors to calls to factory methods.
4. What general problems does a refactoring address? In what general situations should you not apply a refactoring despite its ability to address these problems?
5. Briefly describe two refactorings (other than *Form Template Method*) that introduce patterns to the code.
6. Name a refactoring that would be appropriate for the following code. Give the resultant code after the refactoring has been performed.

Before	<pre> class HTTPSConnection extends HTTPConnection { [...] public void executeConnection(String ip) { Connection c = new Connection (ip); c.initConnection(); c = createTLSWrapper(c); c.sendData(data); c.close(); } } class HTTPConnection { [...] public void executeConnection(String ip) { Connection c = new Connection (ip); c.initConnection(); c.sendData(data); c.close(); } } </pre>
--------	--

Answers

1. The last step; re-run unit tests to provide evidence that the refactoring did not change code

semantics.

2. d) None of the above.

3.

- Better communicates what kinds of instances are available
- Makes it easier to find unused creation code
- Bypasses constructor limitations, such as inability to have two constructors with the same argument signatures.

4. A refactoring improves code maintainability and clarity, and address code smells. You should not apply a refactoring if the code is stable (will not be changed otherwise), or if the code 'belongs' to someone else.

5. Any of:

- Replace Constructors with Creation methods
- Encapsulate Classes with Factory
- Introduce Polymorphic Creation with Factory Method
- Replace Conditional Logic with Strategy
- Form Template Method
- Compose Method
- Replace Implicit Tree with Composite
- Encapsulate Composite with Builder
- Move Accumulation to Collecting Parameter
- Extract Composite, Replace one/many with Composite
- Replace Conditional Dispatcher with Command
- Extract Adapter, Unify Interfaces with Adapter
- Replace Type Code with Class
- Replace State-Altering Conditionals with State
- Introduce Null Object
- Inline Singleton, Limit Instantiation with Singleton
- Replace Hard-Coded Notifications with Observer
- Move Embellishment to Decorator, Unify Interfaces, Extract Parameter
- Move Creation Knowledge to Factory
- Move Accumulation to Visitor
- Replace Implicit Language with Interpreter

6. Use *Form Template Method*.

After	<pre>class HTTPSConnection extends HTTPConnection { [...] protected Connection performFurtherConnectionInit(Connection c) { return createTLSWrapper(c); } }</pre>
-------	---


```
}  
  
class HTTPConnection {  
    [...]  
    public void executeConnection(String ip) {  
        Connection c = new Connection (ip);  
        c.initConnection();  
        c = performFurtherConnectionInit(c);  
        c.sendData(data);  
        c.close();  
    }  
    protected Connection performFurtherConnectionInit(Connection c) {  
        return c;  
    }  
}
```