

SWINBURNE
UNIVERSITY OF
TECHNOLOGY

SWE20001 Managing Software Projects

Lecture 7b

Risk Mitigation



Commonwealth of Australia Copyright Act 1968

Notice for paragraph 135ZXA (a) of the Copyright Act 1968

Warning

This material has been reproduced and communicated to you by or on behalf of Swinburne University of Technology under Part VB of the Copyright Act 1968 (the Act).

The material in this communication may be subject to copyright under the Act. Any further reproduction or communication of this material by you may be the subject of copyright protection under the Act.

Do not remove this notice.

Disclaimer



http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-sa/3.0/



Attribution-ShareAlike 3.0 Unported

You are free:

to Share — to copy, distribute and transmit the work

to Remix — to adapt the work

Under the following conditions:

Attribution. You must attribute the work in the manner specified by the author or licensor (but not in any way that suggests that they endorse you or your use of the work).

Share Alike. If you alter, transform, or build upon this work, you may distribute the resulting work only under the same, similar or a compatible license.

For any reuse or distribution, you must make clear to others the license terms of this work. The best way to do this is with a link to this web page.

Any of the above conditions can be waived if you get permission from the copyright holder.

Nothing in this license impairs or restricts the author's moral rights.

Principal References

- Roger S. Pressman, Software Engineering A Practitioners Approach (7th Edition), McGraw Hill, 2010, Chapter 28.
- Bob Hughes and Mike Cotterell, *Software Project Management* (4th Edition), Wiley, 2006, Chapter 7.
- Pankaj Jalote, *Software Project Management in Practice*, Addison-Wesley, 2002, Chapter 6.

Risk Mitigation

"Handle" the relevant risk items so that they do not have adverse effect to the progress of the project

Risk Mitigation Strategies



- 4 different types of mitigation strategies in a generic sense
 - ☐ Risk prevention/avoidance
 - Likelihood reduction
 - ☐ Impact reduction
 - □ Risk transfer
- If above fails to address risk
 - □ Contingency planning
- Sometimes, the distinction between them are fuzzy

Risk Prevention

 Prevent a hazard from occurring or reduce its likelihood to an insignificant level

Examples

- ☐ Use of unknown technology can be prevented by choosing existing, proven technology
- ☐ Unclear/ambiguous requirements can be prevented by using formal requirement specification techniques
- □ (Too) frequent changes of requirements can be managed by using a time-boxed SDLC methodology

Likelihood Reduction

- Reduce the likelihood of an unavoidable risk by prior planning
- Examples
 - ☐ Choosing a wrong technology can be mitigated by spikes/prototypes
 - □ Decline of team morale (resulting in lower productivity) can be reduced by providing free coffee, staff BBQs, etc.

Impact Reduction

- Reduce the impact of an unavoidable risk by adding "buffers
- Examples
 - □ Reduce the impact of the "Truck Factor" by *distributing knowledge* amongst team members
 - □ Reduce impact of "develop the system wrong" by having more than one team developing a system ("NASA Principle")
 - ☐ Traditional jargon N-version programming

Risk Transfer

■ The impact of the risk can be transferred away from the project by contracting out or taking out insurance

■ Example

☐ The risk of shortfalls in external supplied (software or hardware) components can be transferred away by quality assurance procedures and certification, and contractual agreements.

Contingency Planning

- It assumes that the previous attempts (strategies for hazard prevention, likelihood reduction, impact reduction and risk transfer) are not successful
- Contingency plans (i.e. "Plan B") are needed to reduce the exposure of those risks that cannot be avoided
 - ☐ If new, unproven technology is part of the project specification, risks cannot be avoided

■ Example

- ☐ The impact of any unplanned absence of programming staff can be minimized by using agency programmers.
- Risks that require contingency plans need careful monitoring!



Risk Mitigation Strategies – Example

| Risk Item | Strategy | Category |
|-----------------------------------|---|----------------------|
| Poor database performance | Invest on a higher-performance DBMS | Hazard prevention |
| Staff lack of skills | Outsourcing, staff training, buying components | Likelihood reduction |
| Defective components | Replace potential defective components with bought- in components of high reliability | Risk avoidance |
| Underestimate development time | Outsourcing some components to contractors or agency | Risk transfer |
| Organizational financial problems | Prepare a briefing report for senior management showing how the project is making a significant contribution to the goals of the business | Contingency planning |

Cost of Action – Recap

- Risk management is not for free, there are costs associated with mitigation strategies
 - □ E.g., to prevent a (short-term) power failure, need to acquire a UPS (Universal Power Supply)
- Cost-Benefit analysis
 - □ Does the cost associated with the mitigation strategy merit its implementation?
 - □ E.g., cost of risk exposure of power failure smaller than cost of UPS, no need for mitigation strategy.
- If a mitigation strategy costs too much
 - □ Look for an alternative
 - ☐ If not possible, carefully monitor the risk!

Recommended Reading Lecture 7

- Bob Hughes and Mike Cotterell, *Software Project Management* (4th Edition), Wiley, 2006, Chapter 9.
- Ian Sommerville, *Software Engineering* (8th Edition), Addison-Wesley, 2007, Chapter 28.