Are there attributes of the passengers and pedestrians that had a higher (or lower) survival rate, but that was not a conscious factor in your decision process?

Gender was not a factor in my decision making, unless it was a pregnant woman. I also didn;'t factor in wealth(occupation is different) and whether the crossing was legal or not.

What attributes went into your decisions? Does that attribute positively or negatively affect that person's survival? Why did you consider those attributes?

First I chose young children/ Babies, then it was pregnant women, then doctor, then CEOs, then Pets, then criminals.

I prioritized younger life and the pregnant to protect children. I then chose doctors and CEOs as doctors save lives and CEOs add to the global economy. I chose pets next because they belong to people and have not committed any grave crimes unlike criminals.

Is the use of those attributes to make those decision "fair" or "ethical" or "moral"? Why/Why not?

The attributes do not make the decision fair. While someone may be labelled a criminal their crime could have been minor or they have been falsely accused, which in this situation could lead to their death. Also, being a doctor/CEO does not make you better than someone, it means you produce for society more than someone else, but does that make their life more valuable than your own?

Are there attributes you would have used if they had been included?

I cannot think of any attributes that would have changed how I approached the situation.

How accurately did your automatic model match up with your manual decisions?

I felt my decisions were relatively similar, but my automatic mode definitely saved more children than the manual one. The manual one tended to save more doctors but the percentage for babies was around 70 for both simulations. I also saved a lot more pregnant people in my manual simulation than in my automatic simulation.

For each scenario where your manual and automatic decisions disagreed, explain why. What were you considering when you made the decision manually? What did the automatic decision not take into account, or what did it take into account that it shouldn't?

When making manual decisions, I often looked to save the side with the most young or the most people. I prioritized the CEOs and Doctors due to their societal contributions as well as pregnant women for the same reason. For some reason, my automatic simulation tended to save slow body types despite me never specifying body types. Despite trying to emphasize the importance of pregnancy in my code, the percentage of non-pregnancies was a lot higher than the pregnancies for some reason. My automatic decision also saved a lot of criminals despite not trying to.

Category 1: Deliberately used in decision, and the survival rates reflect what you intended

Babies, Pregnant Women, Doctors, CEOs

Category 2: Deliberately used in decision, but the survival rates do not reflect what you intended

Children, I suppose this is not equal because in situation with Children there were babies, pregnant women, or more children in the other cars.

Category 3: Not explicitly used in decision, but the survival rates are not equal between groups

Body type(fast), I guess that Children/Minors and CEOs/Doctors may have fast body types

Female: Prioritizing the pregnant automatically favors women.

Non-Binary: Non-Binary people of young age, good occupation or are pregnant could have skewed the results

Category 4: Not explicitly used in decision, and the survival rates are equal between groups

unemployed(Wealthy/Poor/unknown), elderly, adult, male, criminal, cat, dog, body type = slow/average,