Master's Thesis

Eben Rogers

April 10, 2024

Contents

1	Inti	roduction	1
2	Bac	ckground	2
	2.1	Foldr/build fusion (on lists)	2
		2.1.1 An example	3
		2.1.2 Generalization to any datastructure	4
	2.2	The category theory	4
		2.2.1 A Category	4
		2.2.2 Initial/Terminal Objects	4
		2.2.3 Functors	5
		2.2.4 (Category of) F-(Co)Algebras	5
		2.2.5 Cata- and Anamorphisms	6
		2.2.6 Fusion property	6
	2.3	Library Writer's Guide to Shortcut Fusion	6
	2.4	Theorems for Free	6
	2.5	Containers	6
3	For	rmalization	6
J	3.1	Category Theory Formalization	7
	0.1	3.1.1 funct	7
		3.1.2 init	7
		3.1.3 term	9
	3.2		11
	0.2		11
			17
			00
4			22
	4.1		22
	4.2	Cochurch encodings	22
5	Cor	nclusion and Future Work	22
	5.1	Future Work	22

1 Introduction

When writing functional code, we often use functions (or other datastructures) to 'glue' multiple pieces of data together. Take, as an example, the following function in the programming language Haskell, as introduced by Gill et al. (1993):

```
\begin{array}{l} all :: (a \rightarrow Bool) \rightarrow [\, a\,] \rightarrow Bool \\ all \ p = and \circ map \ p \end{array}
```

The function $map\ p$ traverses across the input list, applying the predicate p to each element, resulting in a new list of booleans. Then, the function and takes this resulting, intermediate, boolean list and consumes it by 'anding' together all the booleans.

Being able to compose functions in this fashion is part of what makes function programming so attractive, but it comes at the cost of computational overhead. We could instead rewrite all in the following fashion:

```
all' \ p \ xs = h \ xs

where h \ [] = True

h \ (x : xs) = p \ x \wedge h \ xs
```

This function, instead of traversing the input list, producing a new list, and then subsequently traversing that intermediate list, traverser the input list only once, immediately producing a new answer. Writing code in this fashion is far more performant, at the cost of read- and write-ability. Can you write a high-performance, single-traversal, version of the following function (Harper, 2011)?

```
f :: (Int, Int) \to Int

f = sum \circ map (+1) \circ filter \ odd \circ between
```

With some (more) effort, one could arrive at the following solution:

```
f' :: (Int, Int) \to Int
f' (x, y) = loop x
\text{where } loop \ x \mid x > y = 0
\mid otherwise = \textbf{if } odd \ x
\text{then } (x + 1) + loop \ (x + 1)
\text{else } loop \ (x + 1)
```

Doing this by hand every time, to get from the nice, elegant, compositional style of programming to the higher-performance, single-traversal style, gets old very quick. Especially if this needs to be done, by hand, **every** time you compose any two functions. Is there some way to automate this process?

Fusion, Category theory, Libfusion paper, church encodings, formalization of it, Haskell's suite of optimizations that enable fusion, (theorems for free?).

2 Background

2.1 Foldr/build fusion (on lists)

Starting with the basics of fusion. In Gill et al. (1993)'s paper the original 'schortcut deforestation' technique was described. The core idea is described here as follows:

In functional programming lists are (often) used to store the output of one function such that it can then be consumed by another function. To co-opt Gill et al. (1993)'s example:

```
all \ p \ xs = and \ (map \ p \ xs)
```

map p xs applies p to all of the elements, producing a boolean list, and and takes that new list and "ands" all of them together to produce a resulting boolean value. "The intermediate list is discarded, and eventually recovered by the garbage collector" (Gill et al., 1993).

This generation and immediate consumption of an intermediate datastructure introduces a lot of computation overhead. Allocating resources for each cons datatype instance, storing the data inside of that instance, and then reading back that data, all take time. One could instead write the above function like this:

```
all' \ p \ xs = h \ xs

where h \ [] = True

h \ (x : xs) = p \ x \wedge h \ xs
```

Now no intermediate datastructure is generated at the cost of more programmer involvement. We've made a custom, specialized version of and . map p. The compositional style of programming that function programming languages enable (such as Haskell) would be made a lot more difficult if, for every composition, the programmer had to write a specialized function. Can this be automated?

Gill et al. (1993)'s key insight was to note that when using a foldr k z xs across a list, the effect of its application "is to replace each cons in the list xs with k and replace the nil in xs with z. By abstracting list-producing functions with respect to their connective datatype (cons and nil), we can define a function build:

```
build g = g(:)[]
```

Such that:

```
foldr \ k \ z \ (build \ g) = g \ k \ z
```

Gill et al. (1993) dubbed this the foldr/build rule. For its validity g needs to be of type:

$$q: \forall \beta: (A \to \beta \to \beta) \to \beta \to \beta$$

Which can be proved to be true through the use of g's free theorem à la Wadler (1989). For more information on free theorems see Section 2.4

2.1.1 An example

Take the function from, that takes two numbers and produces a list of all the numbers from the first to the second:

```
from a b = \mathbf{if} \ a > b

then []

else a: from (a + 1) b
```

To arrive at a suitable g we must abstract over the connective datatypes:

```
from' a b = \lambda c n \rightarrow \mathbf{if} a > b

then n

else c a (from (a+1) b c n)
```

This is obviously a different function, we now redefine from in terms of build (Gill et al., 1993):

```
from \ a \ b = build \ (from' \ a \ b)
```

With some inlining and β reduction, one can see that this definition is identical to the original from definition. Now for the killer feature (Gill et al., 1993):

```
sum (from a b)
= foldr (+) 0 (build (from' a b))
= from' a b (+) 0
```

Notice how we can apply the foldr/build rule here to prevent an intermediate list being produced. Any adjacent foldr/build pair "cancel away". This is an example of shortcut fusion.

One can rewrite many functions in terms of foldr and build such that this fusion can be applied. This can be seen in Figure 1. See Gill et al. (1993)'s work, specifically the end of section 3.3 (unlines) for a more expansive example of how fusion, β reduction, and inlining can combine to fuse a pipeline of functions down an as efficient minimum as can be expected.

```
 \begin{array}{l} map \ f \ xs = build \ (\lambda c \ n \to foldr \ (\lambda a \ b \to c \ (f \ a) \ b) \ n \ xs) \\ filter \ f \ xs = build \ (\lambda c \ n \to foldr \ (\lambda a \ b \to \mathbf{if} \ f \ a \ \mathbf{then} \ c \ a \ b \ \mathbf{else} \ b) \ n \ xs) \\ xs \ + \ ys = build \ (\lambda c \ n \to foldr \ c \ (foldr \ c \ n \ ys) \ xs) \\ concat \ xs = build \ (\lambda c \ n \to foldr \ (\lambda x \ Y \to foldr \ c \ y \ x) \ n \ xs) \\ repeat \ x = build \ (\lambda c \ n \to \mathbf{let} \ r = c \ x \ r \ \mathbf{in} \ r) \\ zip \ xs \ ys = build \ (\lambda c \ n \to \mathbf{let} \ zip' \ (x : xs) \ (y : ys) = c \ (x, y) \ (zip' \ xs \ ys) \\ zip' \ - \ - = n \\ \mathbf{in} \ zip' \ xs \ ys) \\ [] = build \ (\lambda c \ n \to n) \\ x : xs = build \ (\lambda c \ n \to c \ x \ (foldr \ c \ n \ xs)) \\ \end{array}
```

Figure 1: Examples of functions rewritten in terms of foldr/build. (Gill et al., 1993)

2.1.2 Generalization to any datastructure

This is all well and good, when working with lists, that can be written in terms of foldr's and/or build's (which covers a lot of common functions already), but what if we want to do this for any data structure? Is there a way of generalizing this? The answer is yes*. *So long as the datatype we are working with is an initial algebra or terminal coalgebra, and the functions we are working with are instances of cata- or anamorphisms.

What does that even mean?

2.2 The category theory

In order to explain what an initial/terminal (co) algebra is, I'll first need to explain what a functor is and, more pressingly, what a category is. The concept of cata- and anamorphisms will follow suit. If you're familiar with category theory and these concepts, you can skip this section.

2.2.1 A Category

A category C is a collection of four pieces of data satisfying three proofs:

- 1. A collection of objects, denoted by C_0
- 2. For any given objects $X, Y \in \mathcal{C}_0$, a collection of morphisms from X to Y, denoted by $hom_{\mathcal{C}}(X, Y)$, which is called a *hom-set*.
- 3. For each object $X \in \mathcal{C}_0$, a morphism $\mathrm{Id}_X \in \mathrm{hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(X,X)$, called the identity morphism on X.
- 4. A binary operation: $(\circ)_{X,Y,Z} : \hom_{\mathcal{C}}(Y,Z) \to \hom_{\mathcal{C}}(X,Y) \to \hom_{\mathcal{C}}(X,Z)$, called the *composition operator*, and written infix without the indices X,Y,Z as in $g \circ f$.

These pieces of data should satisfy the following three properties:

1. (**Left unit law**) For any morphism $f \in hom_{\mathcal{C}}(X, Y)$:

$$f \circ \operatorname{Id}_X = f$$

2. (**Right unit law**) For any morphism $f \in hom_{\mathcal{C}}(X,Y)$:

$$\mathrm{Id}_Y \circ f = f$$

3. (Associative law) For any morphisms $f \in \text{hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(X,Y), g \in \text{hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(Y,Z), \text{ and } h \in \text{hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(Z,W)$:

$$h\circ (g\circ f)=(h\circ g)\circ f$$

2.2.2 Initial/Terminal Objects

Categories can contain objects that have certain (useful) properties. Two of these properties are summarized below:

initial Let \mathcal{C} be a category. An object $A \in \mathcal{C}_0$ is initial if there is exactly one morphism from A to any object $B \in \mathcal{C}_0$:

$$\forall A, B \in \mathcal{C}_0 : \exists ! hom_{\mathcal{C}}(A, B) \Longrightarrow \mathbf{initial}(A)$$

terminal Let C be a category. An object $A \in C_0$ is **terminal** if there is exactly one morphism from any object $B \in C_0$ to A:

$$\forall A, B \in \mathcal{C}_0 : \exists ! hom_{\mathcal{C}}(B, A) \Longrightarrow \mathbf{terminal}(A)$$

The proofs of initality and terminality require a proof that is split into two steps: A proof of existence (The \exists part of \exists !) and a proof of uniqueness (The ! part of \exists !). The former is usually done by construction, giving an example of a function that satisfies the property and the latter is usually done my assuming that another $\mathsf{hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(A, B)$ (for the initial case) exists and showing that it must be equal to the one constructed.

2.2.3 Functors

For a given category \mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D} , a functor from \mathcal{C} to \mathcal{D} consists of two pieces of data and three proofs:

1. A function mapping objects in \mathcal{C} to \mathcal{D} :

$$\mathcal{C}_0 \to \mathcal{D}_0$$

2. For each $X, Y \in \mathcal{C}_0$, a function mapping morphisms in \mathcal{C} to morphisms in \mathcal{D} :

$$hom_{\mathcal{C}}(X,Y) \to hom_{\mathcal{D}}(F(X),F(Y))$$

These pieces of data should satisfy these two properties:

1. (Composition law) for any two morphisms $f \in \text{hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(X,Y), g \in \text{hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(Y,Z)$:

$$F(g \circ f) = Fg \circ Ff$$

2. (**Identity law**) For any $X \in \mathcal{C}_0$, we have:

$$F(\mathrm{Id}_X)=\mathrm{Id}_{F(X)}$$

An **endofunctor** is a functor that maps objects back to the category itself, i.e. $F: \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{C}$

2.2.4 (Category of) F-(Co)Algebras

Given an endofunctor $F: \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{C}$:

An **F-Algebra** consists of two pieces of data:

- 1. An object $C \in \mathcal{C}_0$
- 2. A morphism $\phi \in hom_{\mathcal{C}}(F(C), C)$

An **F-Algebra Homomorphism** is, given two F-Algebras $(C, \phi), (D, \psi)$, a morphism $f \in \text{hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(C, D)$, such that the following diagram commutes (i.e. $f \circ \phi = \psi \circ Ff$):

$$\begin{array}{ccc} FC & \stackrel{\phi}{\longrightarrow} C \\ Ff \downarrow & & \downarrow f \\ FD & \stackrel{\psi}{\longrightarrow} D \end{array}$$

The category of F-Algebras denoted by Alg(F) consists of (the needed) four pieces of data:

- 1. The objects are F-Algebras
- 2. The morphisms are F-Algebra homomorphisms
- 3. The identity on (C, ϕ) is given by the identity Id_C in \mathcal{C}
- 4. The composition is given by the composition of morphisms in C

These pieces of data should satisfy the usual category laws: left/right unit law and composition law. Note how $\mathcal{A}lg(F)$ makes use of the underlying category \mathcal{C} of the functor to define its objects. An $\mathcal{A}lg(F)$ implicitly contains an underlying category in which its objects are embedded.

5

An F-Coalgebra consists of two pieces of data:

- 1. An object $C \in \mathcal{C}_0$
- 2. A morphism $\phi \in hom_{\mathcal{C}}(C, F(C))$

F-Coalgebra homomorphisms and $\mathcal{C}oAlg(F)$ can be defined analogously as done for F-Algebras.

2.2.5 Cata- and Anamorphisms

Given (if it exists) an initial F-Algebra (μ^F, in) in $\mathcal{A}lg(F)$. We can know that (by definition), that for any other F-Algebra (C, ϕ) , there exists a unique morphism $(\phi) \in \mathsf{hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mu^F, C)$ such that the following diagram commutes:

$$F\mu^F \xrightarrow{in} \mu^F$$

$$F(\phi) \downarrow \qquad \qquad \downarrow (\phi)$$

$$FC \xrightarrow{\phi} C$$

A morphism of the form (ϕ) is called a **catamorphism**.

An analogous definition of for terminal objects in CoAlg(F) exists, called **anamorphisms**, denoted by $\llbracket \phi \rrbracket$

2.2.6 Fusion property

Now for the definition we've been waiting for, **fusion**: Given an endofunctor $F: \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{C}$ and an initial algebra (μ^F, in) in $\mathcal{A}lg(F)$. For any two F-Algebras (C, ϕ) and (D, ψ) and morphism $f \in \mathsf{hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(C, D)$ we have a **fusion property**:

$$f \circ \phi = \psi \circ F(f) \Longrightarrow f \circ (\phi) = (\psi)$$

In English, if f is an F-Algebra homomorphism, we can know that $f \circ (\psi) = (\psi)$. We can fuse two functions into one! This is summarized in the following diagram:

$$F\mu^{F} \xrightarrow{in} \mu^{F}$$

$$F(\psi) \qquad \qquad \downarrow (\phi) \qquad \qquad \downarrow (\psi)$$

$$FC \xrightarrow{\phi} C \qquad \qquad \downarrow f \qquad \qquad \downarrow f$$

$$FD \xrightarrow{\psi} D \qquad \qquad \downarrow f$$

An analogous definitions of fusion can be made for terminal object in CoAlg(F)

2.3 Library Writer's Guide to Shortcut Fusion

Gill et al. (1993)'s work has been built upon in several ways:

•

One work that attempts to clearly explain a generalized form of Gill et al. (1993)'s work is "A Library Writer's Guide to Shortcut Fusion" by Harper (2011).

In the work, Harper (2011) explain the concept of Church and CoChurch encodings in three steps:

1. Explaining the mathematical background of Category theory, including F-Algebras, Fusion, and

2.4 Theorems for Free

2.5 Containers

3 Formalization

In Harper (2011)'s work "A Library Writer's Guide to Shortcut Fusion", the practice of implementing Church and CoChurch encodings is described, as well a paper proof necessary to show that the encodings optimizations employed are correct.

In this section the work I have done to formalize these proofs in the programming language Agda is discussed, as well as additional proofs to support the claims made in the paper.

The code can be neatly presented in roughly 2 parts:

- The proofs of the category theory truths described by Harper (2011).
- The proofs about the (Co)Church encodings, again as described by Harper (2011).

A note on imports: Imports are omitted in the agda code except when an import renames a construct it is importing, this is most prevalent for Category, Data.W, and Container.

3.1 Category Theory Formalization

3.1.1 funct

This module contains some simple definition, utilized in both complimentary structures (cata-/anamorphisms, church/cochurch).

Functional Extensionality We postulate functional extensionality. This is done through Agda's builtin Extensionality module:

```
module agda.funct.funext where open import Axiom.Extensionality.Propositional postulate funext : \forall \{a\ b\} \rightarrow Extensionality a\ b funexti : \forall \{a\ b\} \rightarrow ExtensionalityImplicit a\ b funexti = implicit-extensionality funext
```

Endofunctors An endofunctor is defined across the category of agda sets, where the functors are interpretations of containers. There is a little bit of unwieldyness as **Sets** defines equality through extensionality, but using an implicit parameter. In order to combine it with **funext** a little bit of unpacking and repacking of the definitions needs to be done.

3.1.2 init

This module defines F-Algebras, a candidate initial object μ , and catamorphisms, and proves initiality of μ , the fusion properties, and the catamorphism laws.

Initial algebras and catamorphisms This module defines a function and shows it to be a catamorphism in the category of F-Agebras. Specifically, it is shown that (μ F, in') is initial.

```
module agda.init.initalg where
open import Categories.Category renaming (Category to Cat)
open import Data.W using () renaming (sup to in')
```

A shorthand for the Category of F-Algebras.

```
C[_]Alg : (F : Container \ 0\ell \ 0\ell) \rightarrow Cat \ (suc \ 0\ell) \ 0\ell \ 0\ell
C[ F ]Alg = F-Algebras F[ F ]
```

A shorthand for an F-Algebra homomorphism:

```
_Alghom[_,_] : \{X \mid Y : \mathsf{Set}\}(F : \mathsf{Container} \ 0\ell \ 0\ell)(x : \llbracket F \rrbracket \ X \to X)(Y : \llbracket F \rrbracket \ Y \to Y) \to \mathsf{Set} F \ \mathsf{Alghom}[\ x \ , \ y \ ] = \mathsf{C}[\ F \ ]\mathsf{Alg} \ [\ \mathsf{to}\mathsf{-Algebra} \ x \ , \ \mathsf{to}\mathsf{-Algebra} \ y \ ]
```

A candidate function is defined, this will be proved to be a catamorphism through the proof of initiality:

It is shown that any () is a valid F-Algebra homomorphism from in' to any other object a. This constitutes a proof of existence:

```
valid-falghom : \{F: \mathsf{Container}\ 0\ell\ 0\ell\}\{X: \mathsf{Set}\}(a: \llbracket F \rrbracket\ X \to X) \to F\ \mathsf{Alghom}[\mathsf{in'}\ ,\ a\ ] valid-falghom \{X\}\ a=\mathsf{record}\ \{\ f=(a)\ ;\ \mathsf{commutes}=\mathsf{refl}\ \}
```

It is shown that any other valid F-Algebra homomorphism from in' to a is equal to the (1) function defined. This constitutes a proof of uniqueness:

The two previous proofs, constituting a proof of existence and uniqueness, are combined to show that (μ F, in') is initial:

```
 \begin{array}{l} \text{initial-in} : \; \{F: \mathsf{Container} \; \mathsf{0}\ell \; \mathsf{0}\ell \} \to \mathsf{IsInitial} \; \mathsf{C}[\; F \;] \mathsf{Alg} \; \mathsf{(to\text{-Algebra in'})} \\ \text{initial-in} = \mathsf{record} \; \{\; ! = \lambda \; \{A\} \to \mathsf{valid\text{-}falghom} \; (A \; .\alpha) \\ & \; ; \; !\text{-unique} = \lambda \; \mathit{fhom} \; \{x\} \to \mathsf{isunique} \; \mathit{fhom} \; x \; \} \\ \end{array}
```

Initial F-Algebra fusion This module proves the categorical fusion property (see Section 2.2.6). From it, it extracts the 'fusion law' as it was declared by Harper (2011); which is easier to work with. This shows that the fusion law does follow from the fusion property.

```
module agda.init.fusion where open import Categories.Category renaming (Category to Cat)
```

The categorical fusion property:

```
 \begin{array}{c} \text{fusionprop}: \ \{F: \mathsf{Container} \ 0\ell \ 0\ell \} \{A \ B \ \mu: \mathsf{Set} \} \{\phi: \llbracket \ F \ \rrbracket \ A \to A \} \{\psi: \llbracket \ F \ \rrbracket \ B \to B \} \\ \{init: \llbracket \ F \ \rrbracket \ \mu \to \mu \} (i: \mathsf{IsInitial} \ \mathsf{C}[\ F \ \mathsf{]Alg} \ (\mathsf{to}\text{-}\mathsf{Algebra} \ init)) \to \\ (f: F \ \mathsf{Alghom}[\ \phi \ , \psi \ ]) \to \mathsf{C}[\ F \ \mathsf{]Alg} \ [\ i: ! \approx \mathsf{C}[\ F \ \mathsf{]Alg} \ [\ f \circ i: ! \ ] \ ] \\ \mathsf{fusionprop} \ \{F\} \ i \ f = i: !\text{-unique} \ (\mathsf{C}[\ F \ \mathsf{]Alg} \ [\ f \circ i: ! \ ]) \\ \end{array}
```

The 'fusion law':

```
fusion : \{F: \mathsf{Container}\ 0\ell\ 0\ell\} \{A\ B: \mathsf{Set}\} \{a: \llbracket\ F\ \rrbracket\ A \to A\} \{b: \llbracket\ F\ \rrbracket\ B \to B\} \ (h: A \to B) \to h \circ a \equiv b \circ \mathsf{map}\ h \to (b) \equiv h \circ (a) \ \mathsf{fusion}\ h\ p = \mathsf{funext}\ \lambda\ x \to \mathsf{fusionprop}\ \mathsf{initial-in}\ (\mathsf{record}\ \{\ f = h\ ; \mathsf{commutes} = \lambda\ \{y\} \to \mathsf{cong-app}\ p\ y\ \})\ \{x\}
```

Universal properties of catamorphisms This module proves some properties of catamorphisms.

```
module agda.init.initial where open import Data.W using () renaming (sup to in')
```

The forward direction of the universal property of folds (Harper, 2011):

```
 \begin{array}{c} \operatorname{universal-prop}_r: \{F: \operatorname{Container} \ 0\ell \ 0\ell\} \{X: \operatorname{Set}\} (a: \llbracket F \ \rrbracket \ X \to X) (h: \mu \ F \to X) \to \\ h \equiv ( \parallel a \parallel ) \to h \circ \operatorname{in'} \equiv a \circ \operatorname{map} h \\ \operatorname{universal-prop}_r \ a \ h \ eq = \operatorname{begin} \\ h \circ \operatorname{in'} \\ \equiv \langle \operatorname{cong} ( \_\circ \operatorname{in'} ) \ eq \ \rangle \\ ( \parallel a \parallel \circ \operatorname{in'} ) \\ \equiv \langle \rangle \\ a \circ \operatorname{map} ( \parallel a \parallel ) \end{array}
```

```
\equiv \langle \ \mathsf{cong} \ (\lambda \ x \to a \circ \mathsf{map} \ x) \ (\mathsf{sym} \ eq) \ \rangle a \circ \mathsf{map} \ h \square
```

The computation law (Harper, 2011) (this is exactly how (_) is defined in the first place):

```
 \begin{array}{l} \mathsf{comp-law}: \{F: \mathsf{Container} \ 0\ell \ 0\ell \} \{A: \mathsf{Set}\} (a: \llbracket F \ \rrbracket \ A \to A) \to (\!\!\lceil a \ \!\rceil) \circ \mathsf{in'} \equiv a \circ \mathsf{map} \ (\!\!\lceil a \ \!\rceil) \circ \mathsf{map} \ (\!\!\lceil a \ \!\!\rceil) \circ \mathsf{map} \ (\!\!\lceil a \ \!\!\rceil)
```

The reflection law (Harper, 2011):

```
 \begin{array}{l} \text{reflection}: \ \{F: \mathsf{Container} \ 0\ell \ 0\ell\}(y: \mu \ F) \to (\!| \ \mathsf{in'} \ )\!| \ y \equiv y \\ \text{reflection} \ (\mathsf{in'} \ (op \ , ar)) = \mathsf{begin} \\ (\!| \ \mathsf{in'} \ )\!| \ (\mathsf{in'} \ (op \ , ar)) \\ \equiv \langle \rangle -- \ \mathsf{Dfn} \ \mathsf{of} \ (\!| \ \!\!\! \bot\!\!\!\!\! ) \\ \mathsf{in'} \ (op \ , (\!| \ \mathsf{in'} \ )\!| \circ ar) \\ \equiv \langle \ \mathsf{cong} \ (\lambda \ x \ - \ \!\!\!\!\! \bot \ \mathsf{in'} \ (op \ , x)) \ (\mathsf{funext} \ (\mathsf{reflection} \circ ar)) \ \rangle \\ \mathsf{in'} \ (op \ , ar) \\ \square \\ \\ \text{reflection-law}: \ \{F: \mathsf{Container} \ 0\ell \ 0\ell\} \to (\!| \ \mathsf{in'} \ )\!| \equiv \mathsf{id} \\ \mathsf{reflection-law} \ \{F\} = \mathsf{funext} \ (\mathsf{reflection} \ \{F\}) \\ \end{array}
```

3.1.3 term

This module defines F-CoAlgebras, a candidate terminal object ν , and anamorphisms, and proves terminality of ν , the fusion properties, and the anamorphism laws. This module is the compliment of init.

Terminal coalgebras and anamorphisms This module defines a datatype and shows it to be initial; and a function and shows it to be an anamorphism in the category of F-Coalgebras. Specifically, it is shown that $(\nu, \text{ out})$ is terminal.

```
{-# OPTIONS --guardedness #-}
module agda.term.termcoalg where
open import Categories.Category renaming (Category to Cat)
open import Data.Container using (Container; map) renaming ([_] to I[_])
```

A shorthand for the Category of F-Coalgebras:

```
C[_]CoAlg : (F : Container \ 0\ell \ 0\ell) \rightarrow Cat \ (suc \ 0\ell) \ 0\ell \ 0\ell
C[ F ]CoAlg = F-Coalgebras F[ F ]
```

A shorthand for an F-Coalgebra homomorphism:

```
_CoAlghom[_,_] : \{X \mid Y : \mathsf{Set}\}(F : \mathsf{Container} \ 0\ell \ 0\ell)(x : X \to \mathsf{I}[\![F]\!] \ X)(Y : Y \to \mathsf{I}[\![F]\!] \ Y) \to \mathsf{Set} F \ \mathsf{CoAlghom}[\![x \ , \ y \ ] = \mathsf{C}[\![F]\!] \ \mathsf{CoAlg}[\![to\mathsf{-Coalgebra} \ x \ , \ \mathsf{to\mathsf{-Coalgebra}} \ y \ ]
```

A candidate terminal datatype and anamorphism function are defined, they will be proved to be so later on this module:

```
record \nu (F: \mathsf{Container} \ 0\ell \ 0\ell): \mathsf{Set} \ \mathsf{where} coinductive field out : \mathsf{I} \llbracket \ F \ \rrbracket \ (\nu \ F) open \nu [_]: \{F: \mathsf{Container} \ 0\ell \ 0\ell\} \{X: \mathsf{Set}\} \to (X \to \mathsf{I} \llbracket \ F \ \rrbracket \ X) \to X \to \nu \ F out (\llbracket \ c \ \rrbracket \ x) = (\lambda \ (op \ , ar) \to op \ , \llbracket \ c \ \rrbracket \circ ar) \ (c \ x)
```

Injectivity of the out constructor is postulated, I have not found a way to prove this, yet.

```
postulate out-injective : \{F: \text{Container } 0\ell \ 0\ell\}\{x \ y: \nu \ F\} \to \text{out } x \equiv \text{out } y \to x \equiv y -\text{out-injective eq} = \text{funext}?
```

It is shown that any [-] is a valid F-Coalgebra homomorphism from out to any other object a. This constitutes a proof of existence:

```
valid-fcoalghom : \{F: \mathsf{Container}\ 0\ell\ 0\ell\}\{X: \mathsf{Set}\}(a:X\to \mathsf{I}[\![F]\!]X)\to F\ \mathsf{CoAlghom}[\![a]\!] valid-fcoalghom \{X\}\ a=\mathsf{record}\ \{f=[\![a]\!]: \mathsf{commutes}=\mathsf{refl}\ \}
```

It is shown that any other valid F-Coalgebra homomorphism from out to a is equal to the <code>[_]</code> defined. This constitutes a proof of uniqueness. This uses out injectivity. SOMETHING ABOUT TERMINATION CHECKING.

```
{-# NON_TERMINATING #-}
isunique : \{F : \mathsf{Container} \ 0\ell \ 0\ell\}\{X : \mathsf{Set}\}\{c : X \to \mathsf{I} \llbracket F \rrbracket X\}(\mathit{fhom} : F \ \mathsf{CoAlghom} \llbracket c \ , \ \mathsf{out} \ ])
                 (x:X) \rightarrow \llbracket c \rrbracket x \equiv fhom .f x
isunique \{ -\}\{ -\}\{ c\} fhom x = out-injective (begin
             (\mathsf{out} \, \circ \, \llbracket \, \, c \, \rrbracket) \, \, x
   \equiv \! \langle \rangle -- Definition of [_]
              \mathsf{map} \, \llbracket \, c \, \rrbracket \, (c \, x)
   \equiv \langle \rangle
              (\lambda(op, ar) \rightarrow (op, \llbracket c \rrbracket \circ ar)) (cx)
   -- Same issue as with the proof of reflection it seems...
   \equiv \langle cong (\lambda \ f 	o \mathsf{op} \ , f) (funext \$ isunique \mathit{fhom} \circ \mathsf{ar}) \rangle -- induction
              (op, fhom.f \circ ar)
   \equiv \langle \rangle
              map (fhom .f) (c x)
   \equiv \langle \rangle -- Definition of composition
              (map (fhom .f) \circ c) x
   \equiv \langle \text{ sym } \$ \text{ fhom .commutes } \rangle
              (out \circ fhom .f) x
   where op = \Sigma.proj<sub>1</sub> (c x)
              ar = \Sigma.proj_2 (c x)
```

The two previous proofs, constituting a proof of existence and uniqueness, are combined to show that (ν F, out)

```
\begin{array}{l} \text{terminal-out}: \left\{F: \mathsf{Container} \ 0\ell \ 0\ell\right\} \to \mathsf{IsTerminal} \ \mathsf{C}[\ F\ ] \mathsf{CoAlg} \ \mathsf{(to\text{-}Coalgebra\ out)} \\ \mathsf{terminal-out} = \mathsf{record} \ \left\{\ ! = \lambda \ \{A\} \to \mathsf{valid\text{-}fcoalghom} \ (A\ .\alpha) \\ ; \ !\text{-unique} = \lambda \ \mathit{fhom} \ \{x\} \to \mathsf{isunique} \ \mathit{fhom} \ x\ \right\} \end{array}
```

Terminal F-Coalgebra fusion This module proves the categorical fusion property. From it, it extracts a 'fusion law' as it was defined by Harper (2011); which is easier to work with. This shows that the fusion law does follow from the fusion property.

```
{-# OPTIONS --guardedness #-} module agda.term.cofusion where open import Data.Container using (Container; map) renaming ([-] to I[-])
```

The categorical fusion property:

```
 \begin{array}{l} \text{fusionprop}: \ \{F: \mathsf{Container} \ 0\ell \ 0\ell\} \{C \ D \ \nu: \ \mathsf{Set} \} \{\phi: \ C \to \mathsf{I} \llbracket \ F \ \rrbracket \ C\} \{\psi: \ D \to \mathsf{I} \llbracket \ F \ \rrbracket \ D\} \{term: \nu \to \mathsf{I} \llbracket \ F \ \rrbracket \ \nu\} \\ (i: \mathsf{IsTerminal} \ \mathsf{C} \llbracket \ F \ ] \mathsf{CoAlg} \ (\mathsf{to-Coalgebra} \ term)) (f: F \ \mathsf{CoAlghom} \llbracket \ \psi \ , \ \phi \ ]) \to \\ \mathsf{C} \llbracket \ F \ ] \mathsf{CoAlg} \ \llbracket \ i: ! \approx \mathsf{C} \llbracket \ F \ ] \mathsf{CoAlg} \ \llbracket \ i: ! \circ f \ \rrbracket \ ] \\ \mathsf{fusionprop} \ \{F\} \ i \ f = i \ . \\ !\text{-unique} \ (\mathsf{C} \llbracket \ F \ ] \mathsf{CoAlg} \ \llbracket \ i: ! \circ f \ \rrbracket) \\ \end{array}
```

The 'fusion law':

Universal property of anamorphisms This module proves some property of anamorphisms.

```
{-# OPTIONS --guardedness #-} module agda.term.terminal where open import Data.Container using (Container; map) renaming ([_] to I[_])
```

The forward direction of the universal property of unfolds Harper (2011):

```
\begin{array}{l} \text{universal-prop}_r: \{F: \mathsf{Container} \ \mathsf{O}\ell \ \mathsf{O}\ell\} \{C: \mathsf{Set}\} (c: C \to \mathsf{I} \llbracket \ F \ \rrbracket \ C) (h: C \to \nu \ F) \to h \equiv \llbracket \ c \ \rrbracket \to \mathsf{out} \circ h \equiv \mathsf{map} \ h \circ c \\ \\ \mathsf{universal-prop}_r \ c \ h \ eq = \mathsf{begin} \\ & \mathsf{out} \circ h \\ & \equiv \langle \ \mathsf{cong} \ (\_\circ\_ \ \mathsf{out}) \ eq \ \rangle \\ & \mathsf{out} \circ \llbracket \ c \ \rrbracket \\ & \equiv \langle \rangle \\ & \mathsf{map} \ \llbracket \ c \ \rrbracket \circ c \\ & \equiv \langle \ \mathsf{cong} \ (\_\circ \ c) \ (\mathsf{cong} \ \mathsf{map} \ (\mathsf{sym} \ eq)) \ \rangle \\ & \mathsf{map} \ h \circ c \\ & \Box \end{array}
```

The computation law Harper (2011):

```
 \text{comp-law}: \{F: \mathsf{Container} \ \mathsf{0}\ell \ \mathsf{0}\ell \} \{C: \mathsf{Set}\} (c: C \to \mathsf{I} \llbracket \ F \ \rrbracket \ C) \to \mathsf{out} \circ \llbracket \ c \ \rrbracket \equiv \mathsf{map} \ \llbracket \ c \ \rrbracket \circ c \mathsf{comp-law} \ c = \mathsf{refl}
```

The reflection law Harper (2011): SOMETHING ABOUT TERMINATION.

```
{-# NON_TERMINATING #-}
reflection : \{F : \text{Container } 0\ell \ 0\ell\}(x : \nu \ F) \to \llbracket \text{ out } \rrbracket \ x \equiv x \rrbracket
reflection x = \text{out-injective} (begin
        out (\llbracket out \rrbracket x)
    \equiv \langle \rangle
        \mathsf{map} \ \llbracket \ \mathsf{out} \ \rrbracket \ (\mathsf{out} \ x)
    \equiv \langle \rangle
        op , [\![\ \text{out}\ ]\!]\circ \text{ar}
    \equiv \langle \mathsf{cong} \; (\lambda \; f \to \mathsf{op} \; , f) \; (\mathsf{funext} \; \mathsf{\$} \; \mathsf{reflection} \; \circ \; \mathsf{ar}) \; \rangle
        op , id ∘ ar
    \equiv \langle \rangle
        map id (out x)
    \equiv \langle \rangle
        out x
   \square)
    where op = \Sigma.proj<sub>1</sub> (out x)
                  ar = \Sigma.proj_2 (out x)
```

3.2 Short cut fusion

3.2.1 Church encodings

Definition of Church encodings This module defines church encodings and the two conversions con and abs, called toCh and fromCh here, respectively. It also defines the generalized producing, transformation, and consuming functions, as described by Harper (2011).

```
module agda.church.defs where open import Data.W using () renaming (sup to in')
```

The church encoding, leveraging containers:

The conversion functions:

```
\begin{array}{l} \operatorname{toCh}: \{F: \operatorname{Container} \ \_ \ \} \to \mu \ F \to \operatorname{Church} \ F \\ \operatorname{toCh} \ \{F\} \ x = \operatorname{Ch} \ (\lambda \ \{X: \operatorname{Set}\} \to \lambda \ (a: \llbracket F \rrbracket \ X \to X) \to ( \!\! \mid a \ \!\! \mid x) \\ \operatorname{fromCh}: \{F: \operatorname{Container} \ 0\ell \ 0\ell \} \to \operatorname{Church} \ F \to \mu \ F \\ \operatorname{fromCh} \ (\operatorname{Ch} \ g) = g \ \operatorname{in'} \end{array}
```

The generalized encoded producing, transformation, and consuming function, alongside proofs that they are equal to the functions they are encoding:

```
-- Generalized producer and consuming functions.
\mathsf{prodCh}: \{F : \mathsf{Container} \ \_\ \} \{X : \mathsf{Set}\} (g : \{Y : \mathsf{Set}\} \to (\llbracket F \rrbracket Y \to Y) \to X \to Y) (x : X) \to \mathsf{Church}\ F
prodCh g x = \text{Ch} (\lambda \ a \rightarrow g \ a \ x)
\mathsf{eqprod}: \{F: \mathsf{Container}_{--}\}\{X: \mathsf{Set}\}\{g: \{Y: \mathsf{Set}\} \to (\llbracket F \rrbracket \ Y \to Y) \to X \to Y\} \to \{Y: \mathsf{Set}\} \to \{Y: \mathsf{Set
                                                   fromCh \circ prodCh q \equiv q in'
eqprod = refl
\mathsf{transCh}: \{F \ G : \mathsf{Container} \ \_\_\}(nat: \{X : \mathsf{Set}\} \to \llbracket \ F \ \rrbracket \ X \to \llbracket \ G \ \rrbracket \ X) \to \mathsf{Church} \ F \to \mathsf{Church} \ G
transCh n (Ch g) = Ch (\lambda a \rightarrow g (a \circ n))
\mathsf{eqtrans}:\, \{F\ G: \mathsf{Container}\ \_\ \_\}\{nat:\, \{X: \mathsf{Set}\} \to \llbracket\ F\ \rrbracket\ X \to \llbracket\ G\ \rrbracket\ X\} \to \{x\in \mathsf{Set}\}
                                                      from Ch \circ trans Ch nat \circ to Ch \equiv (in' \circ nat)
eqtrans = refl
\mathsf{consCh}: \{F : \mathsf{Container} \ \_\ \_\}\{X : \mathsf{Set}\} \to (c : (\llbracket F \rrbracket X \to X)) \to \mathsf{Church}\ F \to X
\operatorname{consCh} c (\operatorname{Ch} g) = g c
\mathsf{eqcons}:\, \{F: \mathsf{Container}_{\,-\,-}\}\{X: \mathsf{Set}\}\{c: (\llbracket\ F\ \rrbracket\ X\to X)\}\to
                                                   consCh \ c \circ toCh \equiv (c)
eqcons = refl
```

Proof obligations In ?'s work, five proofs proofs are given for Church encodings. These are formalized in this module.

```
module agda.church.proofs where open import Data.W using () renaming (sup to in')
```

The first proof proves that from Ch o to Ch = id, using the reflection law:

The second proof is similar to the first, but it proves the composition in theo ther direction toCh of fromCh = id. This proofs leverages parametricity as described by Wadler (1989). It postulates the free theorem of the function g, to prove that "applying g to b and then passing the result to h is the same as just folding c over the datatype" (Harper, 2011):

```
 \begin{array}{l} \text{postulate freetheorem-initial} : \; \{F : \mathsf{Container} \; 0\ell \; 0\ell\} \{B \; C : \mathsf{Set}\} \{b : \llbracket \; F \; \rrbracket \; B \to B\} \{c : \llbracket \; F \; \rrbracket \; C \to C\} \\ & (h : B \to C) (g : \{X : \mathsf{Set}\} \to (\llbracket \; F \; \rrbracket \; X \to X) \to X) \to \\ & h \circ b \equiv c \circ \mathsf{map} \; h \to h \; (g \; b) \equiv g \; c \\ \mathsf{fold\text{-}invariance}} : \; \{F : \mathsf{Container} \; 0\ell \; 0\ell\} \{Y : \mathsf{Set}\} \\ & (g : \{X : \mathsf{Set}\} \to (\llbracket \; F \; \rrbracket \; X \to X) \to X) (a : \llbracket \; F \; \rrbracket \; Y \to Y) \to \\ & \P \; a \; \P \; (g \; \mathsf{in'}) \equiv g \; a \end{array}
```

The third proof shows that encoding functions constitute an implementation for the consumer functions being replaced:

```
\begin{array}{c} \mathsf{cons\text{-}pres} : \{F : \mathsf{Container} \ 0\ell \ 0\ell \} \{X : \mathsf{Set}\} (b : \llbracket F \rrbracket \ X \to X) \to \\ & \mathsf{cons\mathsf{Ch}} \ b \circ \mathsf{to\mathsf{Ch}} \equiv ( \lVert b \rVert ) \\ \mathsf{cons\text{-}pres} \ \{F\} \ b = \mathsf{funext} \ \lambda \ (x : \mu \ F) \to \mathsf{begin} \\ & \mathsf{cons\mathsf{Ch}} \ b \ (\mathsf{to\mathsf{Ch}} \ x) \\ \equiv \langle \rangle \ -- \ \ \mathsf{definition} \ \ \mathsf{of} \ \ \mathsf{to\mathsf{Ch}} \\ & \mathsf{cons\mathsf{Ch}} \ b \ (\mathsf{Ch} \ (\lambda \ a \to ( \lVert a \rVert \ x)) \\ \equiv \langle \rangle \ -- \ \ \mathsf{function} \ \ \mathsf{application} \\ & (\lambda \ a \to ( \lVert a \rVert \ x) \ b \\ \equiv \langle \rangle \ -- \ \ \mathsf{function} \ \ \mathsf{application} \\ & ( \lVert b \rVert \ x \\ \Box \end{array}
```

The fourth proof shows that producing functions constitute an implementation for the producing functions being replaced:

```
\mathsf{prod}\text{-}\mathsf{pres}: \{F: \mathsf{Container}\ \mathsf{0}\ell\ \mathsf{0}\ell\}\{X: \mathsf{Set}\}(f: \{Y: \mathsf{Set}\} \to (\llbracket F \rrbracket\ Y \to Y) \to X \to Y) \to \{Y: \mathsf{Set}\} \to (\llbracket F \rrbracket\ Y \to Y) \to X \to Y) \to \{Y: \mathsf{Set}\} \to (\llbracket F \rrbracket\ Y \to Y) \to X \to Y\}
                   fromCh \circ prodCh f \equiv f in'
prod-pres \{F\}\{X\} f = \text{funext } \lambda \ (s : X) \rightarrow \text{begin}
      from Ch ((\lambda (x : X) \rightarrow \mathsf{Ch} (\lambda a \rightarrow f \ a \ x)) \ s)
   \equiv \langle \rangle -- function application
      fromCh (Ch (\lambda \ a \rightarrow f \ a \ s))
   \equiv \langle \rangle -- definition of from Ch
      (\lambda \ \{ Y : \mathsf{Set} \} \ (a : \llbracket F \rrbracket \ Y \to Y) \to f \ a \ s) \mathsf{in'}
   \equiv \langle \rangle -- function application
      f in' s
   -- This last proofs could all use a rewrite, now that I've generalized the three different types
-- PAGE 51 - Proof 5
-- New function constitutes an implementation for the transformation function being replaced
chTrans : \{F \mid G : \mathsf{Container} \mid 0\ell \mid 0\ell\} (f : \{X : \mathsf{Set}\} \to \llbracket \mid F \mid \rrbracket \mid X \to \llbracket \mid G \mid \rrbracket \mid X) \to \mathsf{Church} \mid F \to \mathsf{Church} \mid G
chTrans f (Ch g) = Ch (\lambda a \rightarrow g (a \circ f))
trans-pred : \{F \mid G : \mathsf{Container} \mid 0\ell \mid 0\ell\}(g : \{X : \mathsf{Set}\} \rightarrow (\llbracket \mid F \mid \rrbracket \mid X \rightarrow X) \rightarrow X)
                    (f: \{X: \mathsf{Set}\} 	o \llbracket F \rrbracket X 	o \llbracket G \rrbracket X) 	o
                    from Ch (ch Trans f (Ch q)) \equiv (lin' \circ f) (from Ch (Ch q))
trans-pred g f = begin
      fromCh (chTrans f (Ch g))
   \equiv \langle \rangle -- Function application
      fromCh (Ch (\lambda \ a \rightarrow g \ (a \circ f)))
   \equiv \langle \rangle -- Definition of from Ch
      (\lambda \ a \rightarrow g \ (a \circ f)) in'
   \equiv \langle \rangle -- Function application
```

```
g (in' \circ f)
  \equiv \langle sym (fold-invariance g (in' \circ f)) \rangle
     (in' \circ f)(gin')
  \equiv \langle \rangle -- Definition of fromCh
     (in' \circ f) (fromCh (Ch g))
  module agda.church.inst.list where
open import Data.Container using (Container; [-]; \mu; map; \triangleright-)
open import Data.W renaming (sup to in')
open import Level hiding (zero; suc)
open import Data. Product hiding (map)
open import Data.Nat
open import Data. Fin hiding (_+_; _¿_; _-_)
open import Data. Empty
open import Data. Unit
open import Function.Base
open import Data.Bool
open import Agda.Builtin.Nat
open import agda.church.defs
open import agda.church.proofs
open import agda.funct.funext
open import agda.init.initalg
open import Relation. Binary. Propositional Equality as Eq
open ≡-Reasoning
data ListOp (A : Set) : Set where
  nil: ListOp A
  cons: A \rightarrow ListOp A
F: (A: \mathsf{Set}) \to \mathsf{Container} \ 0\ell \ 0\ell
F A = ListOp A \triangleright \lambda where
                          \mathsf{nil} 	o 	o 	o
                          (\cos n) \to \top
List : (A : \mathsf{Set}) \to \mathsf{Set}
List A = \mu (F A)
List': (A B : \mathsf{Set}) \to \mathsf{Set}
List' A B = \llbracket \mathsf{F} A \rrbracket B
[]:\,\{A:\mathsf{Set}\}\to\mu\;(\mathsf{F}\;A)
[] = in' (nil, \lambda())
_{-::_{-}}: \{A: \mathsf{Set}\} \to A \to \mathsf{List}\ A \to \mathsf{List}\ A
\exists x \ xs = \text{in'} (\text{cons } x \ , \ \lambda \ tt \rightarrow xs)
infixr 20 _::_
\mathsf{fold'}: \{A \; X : \mathsf{Set}\}(n:X)(c:A \to X \to X) \to \mathsf{List} \; A \to X
fold' \{A\}\{X\} n c = ((\lambda \text{ where })
                                    (nil , _{-}) 
ightarrow n
                                    (cons n , g) 
ightarrow c n (g tt) )
\mathsf{m}:\, \{A\ B\ C: \mathsf{Set}\}(f:\, A\rightarrow B) \rightarrow \mathsf{List'}\ A\ C\rightarrow \mathsf{List'}\ B\ C
\mathbf{m} f (\mathsf{nil} , \_) = (\mathsf{nil} , \lambda())
\mathbf{m} f (\mathsf{cons} n, l) = (\mathsf{cons} (f n), l)
\mathsf{map1}: \{A \ B : \mathsf{Set}\}(f: A \to B) \to \mathsf{List}\ A \to \mathsf{List}\ B
```

```
\mathsf{map1}\,f = (\!(\mathsf{in'} \circ \mathsf{m}\,f)\!)
mapCh : \{A \ B : \mathsf{Set}\}(f : A \to B) \to \mathsf{Church}\ (\mathsf{F}\ A) \to \mathsf{Church}\ (\mathsf{F}\ B)
\mathsf{mapCh}\ f\ (\mathsf{Ch}\ g) = \mathsf{Ch}\ (\lambda\ a \to g\ (a \circ \mathsf{m}\ f))
map2 : \{A \ B : \mathsf{Set}\}(f : A \to B) \to \mathsf{List}\ A \to \mathsf{List}\ B
\mathsf{map2}\,f = \mathsf{fromCh} \circ \mathsf{mapCh}\,f \circ \mathsf{toCh}
11: \mu (F N)
11 = 5 :: 8 :: []
12: \mu (F N)
12 = 3 :: 6 :: []
proof : (map1 (_{+}_{-} 2) | 12) \equiv | 11
proof = refl
\mathsf{su}: \mathsf{List'}\ N\ N \to N
su(nil, _) = 0
\operatorname{su}\left(\operatorname{cons}\,n\,,f\right)=n+f tt
\mathsf{sum1}: \mathsf{List}\; N \to N
sum1 = (su)
sumCh : Church (F N) \rightarrow N
sumCh (Ch g) = g su
\mathsf{sum2} : \mathsf{List} \ N \to N
sum2 = sumCh \circ toCh
sumworks : sum1 (5 :: 6 :: 7 :: []) \equiv 18
sumworks = refl
\mathsf{b}': \{B: \mathsf{Set}\} \to (a: \mathsf{List}' \ N \ B \to B) \to N \to N \to B
b' a \ x \ \mathsf{zero} = a \ (\mathsf{nil} \ , \ \lambda())
\mathsf{b'}\ a\ x\ (\mathsf{suc}\ n) = a\ (\mathsf{cons}\ x\ ,\ \lambda\ tt \to (\mathsf{b'}\ a\ (\mathsf{suc}\ x)\ n))
\mathsf{b}: \{B: \mathsf{Set}\} \to (a: \mathsf{List}' \ N \ B \to B) \to N \times N \to B
b \ a \ (x \ , \ y) = b' \ a \ x \ (suc \ (y - x))
\mathsf{between1}: N \times N \to \mathsf{List}\ N
between1 xy = b in' xy
betweenCh : N \times N \rightarrow \text{Church (F } N)
betweenCh xy = Ch (\lambda \ a \rightarrow b \ a \ xy)
\mathsf{between2}:\, N \times N \to \mathsf{List}\; N
between2 = fromCh o betweenCh
check: 2 :: 3 :: 4 :: 5 :: 6 :: [] \equiv between 2 (2, 6)
check = refl
\mathsf{eq1}: \{xy: N \times N\} \{f: N \to N\} \to (\mathsf{sum2} \circ \mathsf{map2} \ f \circ \mathsf{between2}) \equiv (\mathsf{sumCh} \circ \mathsf{mapCh} \ f \circ \mathsf{betweenCh})
eq1 \{xy\}\{f\} = begin
      \mathsf{sumCh} \, \circ \, \mathsf{toCh} \, \circ \, \mathsf{fromCh} \, \circ \, \mathsf{mapCh} \, f \, \circ \, \mathsf{toCh} \, \circ \, \mathsf{fromCh} \, \circ \, \mathsf{betweenCh}
   \equiv \langle \mathsf{cong} \ (\lambda \ g \to \mathsf{sumCh} \circ g \circ \mathsf{mapCh} \ f \circ g \circ \mathsf{betweenCh}) \ \mathsf{to-from-id'} \ \rangle
       sumCh \circ mapCh f \circ betweenCh
   eq2 : \{xy : N \times N\}\{f : N \to N\} \to (\text{sumCh} \circ \text{mapCh} f) \text{ (betweenCh } xy) \equiv (\text{sum1} \circ \text{map1} f) \text{ (between1 } xy)
eq2 \{xy\}\{f\} = begin
      (sumCh \circ mapCh f) (betweenCh xy)
      (sumCh (Ch (\lambda a \rightarrow b (a \circ m f) xy)))
   \equiv \langle \rangle
      b (su \circ m f) xy
```

```
\equiv \langle \rangle
      unCh su (Ch (\lambda a \rightarrow b (a \circ m f) xy))
   \equiv \langle cong (unCh su) (sym \$ cong-app to-from-id' (Ch (\lambda \ a 	o \mathsf{b} \ (a \circ \mathsf{m} \ f) \ xy))) <math>\rangle
      unCh su (toCh (fromCh (Ch (\lambda \ a \rightarrow \mathsf{b} \ (a \circ \mathsf{m} \ f) \ xy))))
   \equiv \langle \text{ cong-app (cons-pres su) (fromCh (Ch } (\lambda \ a \rightarrow \text{b} \ (a \circ \text{m} \ f) \ xy))) \ \rangle
      \{ su \} (fromCh (Ch (\lambda a \rightarrow b (a \circ m f) xy)) \}
   \equiv \langle \text{ cong } (\text{ su }) \text{ (trans-pred (flip b } xy) \text{ (m } f)) \rangle
      \equiv \langle \text{ cong } ( \| \text{ su } \| \circ \| \text{ in'} \circ \text{ m } f \| ) \text{ (prod-pres b } xy) \rangle
      ( (su) \circ (in' \circ m f) ) (bin' xy)
   \equiv \langle \rangle
      (sum1 \circ map1 f) (between1 xy)
  -- Proofs for each of the above functions
eqsum : sum1 \equiv sum2
eqsum = refl
\mathsf{eqmap}:\,\{f:\,N\to N\}\to\mathsf{map1}\,f\equiv\mathsf{map2}\,f
eqmap = refl
egbetween: between1 \equiv between2
eqbetween = refl
-- Generalization of the above proofs for any container
-- MOVED TO DEFS.
transfuse : \{F \ G \ H : \mathsf{Container} \ 0\ell \ 0\ell \} (nat1 : \{X : \mathsf{Set}\} \to \llbracket F \rrbracket \ X \to \llbracket G \rrbracket \ X) \to \mathsf{Transfuse} 
                  (\mathit{nat2}: \{X: \mathsf{Set}\} \to \llbracket \ G \ \rrbracket \ X \to \llbracket \ H \ \rrbracket \ X) \to
                  transCh nat2 \circ \text{toCh} \circ \text{fromCh} \circ \text{transCh} \ nat1 \equiv \text{transCh} \ (nat2 \circ nat1)
transfuse nat1 nat2 = begin
                  transCh nat2 \circ toCh \circ fromCh \circ transCh nat1
              \equiv \langle \mathsf{cong} \; (\lambda \; f \to \mathsf{transCh} \; nat2 \circ f \circ \mathsf{transCh} \; nat1) \; \mathsf{to\text{-from-id'}} \; \rangle
                 transCh nat2 \circ \text{transCh } nat1
              \equiv \langle \text{ funext } (\lambda \text{ where } (\mathsf{Ch} \ g) \to \mathsf{refl}) \rangle
                 transCh (nat2 \circ nat1)
              \mathsf{pipfuse}: \{F \ G : \mathsf{Container} \ \mathsf{O}\ell \ \mathsf{O}\ell\} \{X : \mathsf{Set}\} \{g : \{Y : \mathsf{Set}\} \to (\llbracket \ F \ \rrbracket \ Y \to Y) \to X \to Y\}
               \{nat: \{X: \mathsf{Set}\} \to \llbracket F \rrbracket X \to \llbracket G \rrbracket X\} \{c: (\llbracket G \rrbracket X \to X)\} \to \emptyset
              \mathsf{consCh}\ c \circ \mathsf{transCh}\ nat \circ \mathsf{prodCh}\ g \equiv g\ (c \circ nat)
pipfuse = refl
-- Using the generalizations, we now get our encoding proofs and shortcut fusion for free :)
\mathsf{between3}:\, N \times N \to \mathsf{List}\; N
between3 = fromCh ∘ prodCh b
map3 : \{A \ B : \mathsf{Set}\}(f : A \to B) \to \mathsf{List}\ A \to \mathsf{List}\ B
\mathsf{map3}\,f = \mathsf{fromCh}\, \circ \, \mathsf{transCh}\, (\mathsf{m}\, f) \circ \mathsf{toCh}
\mathsf{sum3}: \mathsf{List}\ N \to N
sum3 = consCh su \circ toCh
count : (N \to \mathsf{Bool}) \to \mu \ (\mathsf{F} \ N) \to N
count p = (\lambda \text{ where})
                        (nil, _{-}) \rightarrow 0
                         (cons true , f ) 
ightarrow 1+f tt
                         (cons false , f) \rightarrow f tt) ) \circ map1 p
```

```
even : N \to \mathsf{Bool} even 0 = \mathsf{true} even (\mathsf{suc}\ n) = \mathsf{not}\ (\mathsf{even}\ n) odd : N \to \mathsf{Bool} odd = \mathsf{not}\ \circ \mathsf{even} countworks : count even (5 :: 6 :: 7 :: 8 :: []) \equiv 2 countworks = \mathsf{refl}
```

3.2.2 Cochurch encodings

```
{-# OPTIONS --guardedness #-}
open import agda.term.termcoalg
open \nu
open import Data.Product
open import Level
open import Function
open import Relation.Binary.PropositionalEquality as Eq
open ≡-Reasoning
module agda.cochurch.defs where
open import Data.Container using (Container) renaming ([_] to I[_])
data CoChurch (F: Container 0\ell 0\ell): Set<sub>1</sub> where
      \mathsf{CoCh}: \{X : \mathsf{Set}\} \to (X \to \mathsf{I} \llbracket F \rrbracket X) \to X \to \mathsf{CoChurch}\ F
toCoCh : \{F : \mathsf{Container} \ \mathsf{O}\ell \ \mathsf{O}\ell\} \to \nu \ F \to \mathsf{CoChurch} \ F
toCoCh x = CoCh out x
fromCoCh : \{F: \mathsf{Container}\ \mathsf{O}\ell\ \mathsf{O}\ell\} \to \mathsf{CoChurch}\ F \to \nu\ F
fromCoCh (CoCh h(x) = [\![h]\!] x
\mathsf{prodCoCh}: \{F: \mathsf{Container} \ \mathsf{0}\ell \ \mathsf{0}\ell \} \{Y: \mathsf{Set}\} \to (g: Y \to \mathsf{I} \llbracket F \rrbracket \ Y) \to Y \to \mathsf{CoChurch} \ F
prodCoCh \ q \ x = CoCh \ q \ x
eqprod : \{F : \mathsf{Container} \ \mathsf{O}\ell \ \mathsf{O}\ell\} \{Y : \mathsf{Set}\} \{g : (Y \to \mathsf{I} \llbracket F \rrbracket Y)\} \to \mathsf{O}\ell \} \{g : (Y \to \mathsf{I} \llbracket F \rrbracket Y)\} 
                           \mathsf{fromCoCh} \, \circ \, \mathsf{prodCoCh} \, \, g \equiv \llbracket \, g \, \rrbracket
eqprod = refl
\mathsf{transCoCh}: \{F \ G : \mathsf{Container} \ 0\ell \ 0\ell\} (nat: \{X : \mathsf{Set}\} \to \mathsf{I} \llbracket \ F \ \rrbracket \ X \to \mathsf{I} \llbracket \ G \ \rrbracket \ X) \to \mathsf{CoChurch} \ F \to \mathsf{CoChurch} \ G
\mathsf{transCoCh}\ n\ (\mathsf{CoCh}\ h\ s) = \mathsf{CoCh}\ (n\ \circ\ h)\ s
\mathsf{eqtrans}: \{F \ G : \mathsf{Container} \ \mathsf{0}\ell \ \mathsf{0}\ell \} \{nat: \{X : \mathsf{Set}\} \to \mathsf{I}[\![\ F\ ]\!] \ X \to \mathsf{I}[\![\ G\ ]\!] \ X \} \to \mathsf{I}[\![\ G\ ]\!] \ X \} \to \mathsf{I}[\![\ G\ ]\!] \ X \} \to \mathsf{I}[\![\ G\ ]\!] \ X \to \mathsf{I}[\![
                             fromCoCh \circ transCoCh \ nat \circ toCoCh \equiv \llbracket \ nat \circ out \ \rrbracket
eqtrans = refl
\mathsf{consCoCh} : \{F : \mathsf{Container} \ \mathsf{O}\ell \ \mathsf{O}\ell\} \{Y : \mathsf{Set}\} \to (c : \{S : \mathsf{Set}\} \to (S \to \mathsf{I} \llbracket \ F \ \rrbracket \ S) \to S \to Y) \to \mathsf{CoChurch} \ F \to Y
consCoCh \ c \ (CoCh \ h \ s) = c \ h \ s
egcons : \{F : \mathsf{Container} \ \mathsf{O}\ell \ \mathsf{O}\ell\}\{X : \mathsf{Set}\}\{c : \{S : \mathsf{Set}\} \to (S \to \mathsf{I} \llbracket F \rrbracket S) \to S \to X\} \to \mathsf{O}\}
                            consCoCh \ c \circ toCoCh \equiv c \ out
eqcons = refl
data CoChurch' (F: Container 0\ell 0\ell): Set<sub>1</sub> where
      \operatorname{cochurch}: (\exists \lambda \ S \to (S \to \mathsf{I} \llbracket \ F \ \rrbracket \ S) \times S) \to \mathsf{CoChurch}' \ F
{-# OPTIONS --guardedness #-}
open import Data.Container using (Container; map) renaming ([_] to I[_])
open import Level
module agda.cochurch.proofs where
open import Function.Base using (id; _o_; flip; _$_)
open import Relation. Binary. Propositional Equality as Eq
```

```
open ≡-Reasoning
open import Data. Product using (_,_)
open import agda.term.termcoalg
open \nu
open import agda.term.terminal
open import agda.term.cofusion
open import agda.funct.funext
open import agda.cochurch.defs
-- PAGE 52 - Proof 1
from-to-id : \{F : \mathsf{Container} \ \mathsf{O}\ell \ \mathsf{O}\ell\} \to \mathsf{fromCoCh} \circ \mathsf{toCoCh} \equiv \mathsf{id}
from-to-id \{F\} = \text{funext } (\lambda \ (x : \nu \ F) \rightarrow \text{begin}
     fromCoCh (toCoCh x)
  \equiv \langle \rangle -- Definition of toCh
     fromCoCh (CoCh out x)
  \equiv \langle \rangle -- Definition of from Ch
     out x
  \equiv \langle \text{ reflection } x \rangle
     x
  \equiv \langle \rangle
     id x
  \square)
-- PAGE 52 - Proof 2
postulate freetheorem-terminal : \{F : Container \ 0\ell \ 0\ell\}
                                             \{C\ D: \mathsf{Set}\}\{Y: \mathsf{Set}_1\}\{c:\ C 	o \mathsf{I} \llbracket\ F\ 
rbracket C\}\{d:\ D 	o \mathsf{I} \llbracket\ F\ 
rbracket D\}
                                            (h: C \to D)(f: \{X: \mathsf{Set}\} \to (X \to \mathsf{I} \llbracket F \rrbracket X) \to X \to Y) \to
                                            \mathsf{map}\ h \mathrel{\circ} c \equiv d \mathrel{\circ} h \mathrel{\rightarrow} f\ c \equiv f\ d \mathrel{\circ} h
                                            -- TODO: Do D and Y need to be the same thing? This may be a cop-out.
to-from-id : \{F: \mathsf{Container} \ \mathsf{O}\ell \ \mathsf{O}\ell\}\{X: \mathsf{Set}\}(c: X \to \mathsf{I} \llbracket F \rrbracket X)(x: X) \to \mathsf{O}\ell X
                toCoCh (fromCoCh (CoCh c x)) \equiv CoCh c x
to-from-id c x = \mathsf{begin}
     toCoCh (fromCoCh (CoCh c(x))
  \equiv \langle \rangle -- definition of from Ch
     \mathsf{toCoCh} \ (\llbracket \ c \ \rrbracket \ x)
  \equiv \langle \rangle -- definition of toCh
     CoCh out (\llbracket c \rrbracket x)
  \equiv \langle \rangle -- composition
     (CoCh out \circ [c]) x
  CoCh c x
  to-from-id' : \{F : \mathsf{Container} \ \mathsf{0}\ell \ \mathsf{0}\ell\} \to \mathsf{toCoCh} \circ \mathsf{fromCoCh} \equiv \mathsf{id}
to-from-id' \{F\} = \text{funext } (\lambda \text{ where } (\text{CoCh } c \ x) \rightarrow \text{to-from-id } \{F\} \ c \ x)
-- PAGE 52 - Proof 3
-- New function constitutes an implementation for the produces function being replaced
prod-pres : \{F: \mathsf{Container} \ \mathsf{O}\ell \ \mathsf{O}\ell\}\{X: \mathsf{Set}\} \ (c:X \to \mathsf{I}\llbracket F \rrbracket X) \ (x:X) \to \mathsf{O}\ell X
                \mathsf{fromCoCh}\;((\lambda\;s\to\mathsf{CoCh}\;c\;s)\;x)\equiv \llbracket\;c\;\rrbracket\;x
prod-pres c x = \mathsf{begin}
     fromCoCh ((\lambda \ s \rightarrow \mathsf{CoCh} \ c \ s) \ x)
  \equiv \langle \rangle -- function application
     fromCoCh (CoCh c(x))
  \equiv \langle \rangle -- definition of toCh
     \llbracket c \rrbracket x
  -- PAGE 52 - Proof 4
-- New function constitutes an implementation for the produces function being replaced
```

```
\mathsf{unCoCh}: \{F: \mathsf{Container} \ 0\ell \ 0\ell\} (f: \{Y: \mathsf{Set}\} \to (Y \to \mathsf{I} \llbracket \ F \ \rrbracket \ Y) \to Y \to \nu \ F) \ (c: \mathsf{CoChurch} \ F) \to \nu \ F
unCoCh f (CoCh c s) = f c s
 \text{cons-pres} : \{F: \mathsf{Container} \ \mathsf{0}\ell \ \mathsf{0}\ell\} \{X: \mathsf{Set}\} \to (f: \{Y: \mathsf{Set}\} \to (Y \to \mathsf{I} \llbracket \ F \ \rrbracket \ Y) \to Y \to \nu \ F) \to (x: \nu \ F) \to \mathsf{0} \} 
                   unCoCh f (toCoCh x) \equiv f out x
cons-pres f(x) = begin
      unCoCh f (toCoCh x)
   ≡⟨⟩ -- definition of toCoCh
      unCoCh f (CoCh out x)
   \equiv \langle \rangle -- function application
      f out x
   -- PAGE 52 - Proof 5
-- New function constitutes an implementation for the transformation function being replaced
--(nat. f)
record nat \{F \mid G : \text{Container } 0\ell \mid 0\ell\} (f : \{X : \text{Set}\} \rightarrow I \parallel F \parallel X \rightarrow I \parallel G \parallel X) : \text{Set}_1 \text{ where}
      coherence : \{A \ B : \mathsf{Set}\}(h : A \to B) \to \mathsf{map}\ h \circ f \equiv f \circ \mathsf{map}\ h
open nat { ... }
\mathsf{valid}\mathsf{-hom}: \{F \ G : \mathsf{Container} \ \mathsf{0}\ell \ \mathsf{0}\ell \} \{X : \mathsf{Set}\} (h : X \to \mathsf{I} \llbracket \ F \ \rrbracket \ X) (f : \{X : \mathsf{Set}\} \to \mathsf{I} \llbracket \ F \ \rrbracket \ X \to \mathsf{I} \llbracket \ G \ \rrbracket \ X) \{\ \_ : \ \mathsf{nat} \ \_ \mathsf{1} \} 
                   \mathsf{map} \ \llbracket \ h \ \rrbracket \circ f \circ h \equiv f \circ \mathsf{out} \circ \llbracket \ h \ \rrbracket
valid-hom h f = begin
      (\mathsf{map} \ \llbracket \ h \ \rrbracket \circ f) \circ h
   \equiv \langle \mathsf{cong} ( \circ h) (\mathsf{coherence} \, \llbracket h \, \rrbracket ) \rangle
      (f \circ \mathsf{map} \ \llbracket \ h \ \rrbracket) \circ h
   \equiv \langle \rangle
      f \circ \mathsf{out} \circ \llbracket \ h \ \rrbracket
   \mathsf{chTrans}: \{F \ G : \mathsf{Container} \ 0\ell \ 0\ell\} (f: \{X : \mathsf{Set}\} \to \mathsf{I} \llbracket \ F \ \rrbracket \ X \to \mathsf{I} \llbracket \ G \ \rrbracket \ X) \to \mathsf{CoChurch} \ F \to \mathsf{CoChurch} \ G
\mathsf{chTrans}\ f\ (\mathsf{CoCh}\ c\ s) = \mathsf{CoCh}\ (f\ \circ\ c)\ s
\mathsf{trans\text{-}pred}: \{F \ G : \mathsf{Container} \ \mathsf{0}\ell \ \mathsf{0}\ell \} \{X : \mathsf{Set}\} \ (h : X \to \mathsf{I} \llbracket \ F \ \rrbracket \ X) \ (f : \{X : \mathsf{Set}\} \to \mathsf{I} \llbracket \ F \ \rrbracket \ X \to \mathsf{I} \llbracket \ G \ \rrbracket \ X) (x : X) 
                   fromCoCh (chTrans f (CoCh h x)) \equiv (\llbracket f \circ \mathsf{out} \rrbracket \circ \llbracket h \rrbracket) x
trans-pred h f x = \mathsf{begin}
      fromCoCh (chTrans f (CoCh h x))
   \equiv \langle \rangle -- Function application
      fromCoCh (CoCh (f \circ h) x)
   \equiv \langle \rangle -- Definition of from Ch
      \llbracket f \circ h \rrbracket x
   (\llbracket f \circ \mathsf{out} \rrbracket \circ \llbracket h \rrbracket) x
   {-# OPTIONS --guardedness #-}
module agda.cochurch.inst.list where
open import agda.cochurch.defs
open import agda.cochurch.proofs
open import Data.Container using (Container; map; ▶_) renaming ( [ to I [ ] )
open import Level hiding (suc)
open import Data. Empty
open import Data. Unit
open import agda.term.termcoalg
open \nu
open import Data. Product
open import Data.Sum
open import Function
open import Data.Nat
open import Agda.Builtin.Nat
```

```
open import Relation.Binary.PropositionalEquality as Eq
open ≡-Reasoning
open import agda.funct.funext
data ListOp (A : Set) : Set where
   nil : ListOp A
   \mathsf{cons}:\,A\to \mathsf{ListOp}\,\,A
F: (A: \mathsf{Set}) \to \mathsf{Container} \ 0\ell \ 0\ell
F A = ListOp A \triangleright \lambda where
                                  (cons n) \rightarrow \top
\mathsf{List} : (A : \mathsf{Set}) \to \mathsf{Set}
List A = \nu (F A)
List': (A B : \mathsf{Set}) \to \mathsf{Set}
List' A B = I \llbracket F A \rrbracket B
[]: \{A: \mathsf{Set}\} \to \mathsf{List}\ A
out ([]) = (nil, \lambda())
_{:::_{-}}: \{A: \mathsf{Set}\} \to A \to \mathsf{List}\ A \to \mathsf{List}\ A
out (x :: xs) = (\cos x, \lambda tt \rightarrow xs)
infixr 20 _::_
\mathsf{mapping}: \{A\ X : \mathsf{Set}\} \to (f: X \to \top \uplus (A \times X)) \to (X \to \mathsf{List'}\ A\ X)
mapping f x with f x
mapping f x - (inj_1 tt) = (nil, \lambda())
mapping f x — (inj_2 (a , x')) = (cons a , \lambda tt \to x')
unfold': \{F : \mathsf{Container} \ \mathsf{O}\ell \ \mathsf{O}\ell\}\{A \ X : \mathsf{Set}\}(f : X \to \top \uplus (A \times X)) \to X \to \mathsf{List} \ A
unfold' \{A\}\{X\} f = \llbracket mapping f \rrbracket
\mathsf{m}: \{A \ B \ C: \mathsf{Set}\}(f: A \to B) \to \mathsf{List}' \ A \ C \to \mathsf{List}' \ B \ C
\mathbf{m} f (\mathsf{nil} , \_) = (\mathsf{nil} , \lambda())
\mathbf{m} f (\mathsf{cons} n, l) = (\mathsf{cons} (f n), l)
\mathsf{map1}: \{A \ B : \mathsf{Set}\}(f: A \to B) \to \mathsf{List}\ A \to \mathsf{List}\ B
\mathsf{map1}\ f = \llbracket\ \mathsf{m}\ f \circ \mathsf{out}\ \rrbracket
\mathsf{mapCoCh} : \{A \ B : \mathsf{Set}\}(f : A \to B) \to \mathsf{CoChurch} \ (\mathsf{F} \ A) \to \mathsf{CoChurch} \ (\mathsf{F} \ B)
\mathsf{mapCoCh}\ f\ (\mathsf{CoCh}\ h\ s) = \mathsf{CoCh}\ (\mathsf{m}\ f\ \circ\ h)\ s
map2 : \{A \ B : \mathsf{Set}\}(f : A \to B) \to \mathsf{List}\ A \to \mathsf{List}\ B
\mathsf{map2}\,f = \mathsf{fromCoCh}\, \circ \, \mathsf{mapCoCh}\, f \circ \mathsf{toCoCh}
{-# NON_TERMINATING #-}
\mathsf{su'}: \{S:\mathsf{Set}\} 	o (S 	o \mathsf{List'}\ N\ S) 	o S 	o N
\operatorname{su'} h \ s \ \operatorname{with} \ h \ s
\operatorname{su}' h s - (\operatorname{nil}, f) = 0
\operatorname{su}' h s - (\operatorname{cons} x, f) = x + \operatorname{su}' h (f \operatorname{tt})
\mathsf{sum1} : \mathsf{List} \ N \to N
sum1 = su'out
\mathsf{sumCoCh}: \mathsf{CoChurch} \; (\mathsf{F} \; N) \to N
sumCoCh (CoCh h s) = su' h s
\mathsf{sum2} : \mathsf{List} \; N \to N
sum2 = sumCoCh \circ toCoCh
--s2works : sum2 (1 :: 2 :: 3 :: []) \equiv 6
--s2works = refl
\mathsf{b'}: N \times N \to \mathsf{List'}\; N\; (N \times N)
```

```
b'(x, zero) = (nil, \lambda())
\mathsf{b}'(x \text{ , suc } n) = (\mathsf{cons}\ x \text{ , } \lambda\ tt \to (\mathsf{suc}\ x \text{ , } n))
b: N \times N \to List' N (N \times N)
b(x, y) = b'(x, (suc(y - x)))
\mathsf{between1}: N \times N \to \mathsf{List}\ N
between 1 xy = [\![b]\!] xy
between CoCh : (N \times N \to \mathsf{List}' \ N \ (N \times N)) \to (N \times N) \to \mathsf{CoChurch} \ (\mathsf{F} \ N)
between CoCh b = CoCh b
between 2: N \times N \rightarrow \text{List } N
between2 = fromCoCh o CoCh b
-- Proofs for each of the above functions
easum : sum1 \equiv sum2
eqsum = refl
\mathsf{eqmap}: \{f: N \to N\} \to \mathsf{map1} \ f \equiv \mathsf{map2} \ f
eqmap = refl
eqbetween: between 1 \equiv between 2
eqbetween = refl
-- Generalization of the above proofs for any container
-- MOVED TO DEFS
transfuse : \{F \ G \ H : \mathsf{Container} \ \mathsf{O}\ell \ \mathsf{O}\ell\}(nat1 : \{X : \mathsf{Set}\} \to \mathsf{I} \llbracket \ F \ \rrbracket \ X \to \mathsf{I} \llbracket \ G \ \rrbracket \ X) \to \mathsf{I} \llbracket \ G \ \rrbracket \ X)
                  (\mathit{nat2}: \{X: \mathsf{Set}\} \to \mathsf{I} \llbracket \ G \ \rrbracket \ X \to \mathsf{I} \llbracket \ H \ \rrbracket \ X) \to
                 transCoCh \ nat2 \circ toCoCh \circ fromCoCh \circ transCoCh \ nat1 \equiv transCoCh \ (nat2 \circ nat1)
transfuse nat1 nat2 = begin
                 transCoCh nat2 \circ toCoCh \circ fromCoCh \circ transCoCh nat1
              \equiv \langle \text{ cong } (\lambda f \rightarrow \text{transCoCh } nat2 \circ f \circ \text{transCoCh } nat1) \text{ to-from-id'} \rangle
                 transCoCh nat2 \circ \text{transCoCh } nat1
              \equiv \langle \text{ funext } (\lambda \text{ where } (\text{CoCh } h s) \rightarrow \text{refl}) \rangle
                 transCoCh (nat2 o nat1)
pipfuse : \{F \mid G : \text{Container } 0\ell \mid 0\ell\} \{Y : \text{Set}\} \{g : Y \rightarrow I \mid F \mid Y\}
               \{\mathit{nat}: \{X: \mathsf{Set}\} \to \mathsf{I}[\![ \ F \ ]\!] \ X \to \mathsf{I}[\![ \ G \ ]\!] \ X\} \{c: \{S: \mathsf{Set}\} \to (S \to \mathsf{I}[\![ \ G \ ]\!] \ S) \to S \to Y\} \to \mathsf{I}[\![ \ G \ ]\!] \}
              \mathsf{consCoCh}\ c \circ \mathsf{transCoCh}\ nat \circ \mathsf{prodCoCh}\ g \equiv c\ (nat \circ g)
pipfuse = refl
---- Using the generalizations, we now get our encoding proofs and shortcut fusion for free :)
between3 : N \times N \rightarrow \text{List } N
between3 = fromCoCh o prodCoCh b
map3 : \{A \ B : \mathsf{Set}\}(f : A \to B) \to \mathsf{List}\ A \to \mathsf{List}\ B
\mathsf{map3}\ f = \mathsf{fromCoCh}\ \circ\ \mathsf{transCoCh}\ (\mathsf{m}\ f) \circ \mathsf{toCoCh}
\mathsf{sum3}: \mathsf{List}\ N \to N
sum3 = consCoCh su' \circ toCoCh
fused : \{f: N \to N\} \to \text{sum3} \circ \text{map3} \ f \circ \text{between3} \equiv \text{su'} \ (\text{m} \ f \circ \text{b})
\mathsf{fused}\ \{f\} = \mathsf{begin}
      consCoCh su' \circ toCoCh \circ fromCoCh \circ transCoCh (m f) \circ toCoCh \circ fromCoCh \circ prodCoCh b
   \equiv \langle \mathsf{cong} \; (\lambda \; g \to \mathsf{consCoCh} \; \mathsf{su'} \circ g \circ \mathsf{transCoCh} \; (\mathsf{m} \; f) \circ g \circ \mathsf{prodCoCh} \; \mathsf{b}) \; \mathsf{to-from-id'} \; \rangle
      consCoCh su' \circ transCoCh (m f) \circ prodCoCh b
   \equiv \langle \rangle
      su' (m f \circ b)
```

4 Haskell Optimizations

In Harper (2011)'s work there were still multiple open questions left regarding the exact mechanics of what Church and Cochurch encodings did while making their way through the compiler. Why are Cochurch encodings faster in some pipelines, but slower in others? etc.

In this section I'll describe my work replicating the fused Haskell code of the Harper (2011)'s work and further optimization opportunities that were discovered along the way.

4.1 Church encodings

4.2 Cochurch encodings

5 Conclusion and Future Work

5.1 Future Work

- Strengthen Agda's typechecker wrt implicit parameters
- Strengthen Agda's termination checker wrt corecursive datastructures
- Implement (co)church-fused versions of Haskell's library functions.
- Investigate if creating a language that has this fusion built-in natively can be compiled more efficiently
- Look into leveraging parametricity with agda, so no posulate's are needed.

References

Gill, A., Launchbury, J., & Peyton Jones, S. L. (1993, July). A short cut to deforestation. In *Proceedings* of the conference on functional programming languages and computer architecture. ACM. Retrieved from http://dx.doi.org/10.1145/165180.165214 doi: 10.1145/165180.165214

Harper, T. (2011, September). A library writer's guide to shortcut fusion. ACM SIGPLAN Notices, 46(12), 47-58. Retrieved from http://dx.doi.org/10.1145/2096148.2034682 doi: 10.1145/2096148.2034682

Wadler, P. (1989). Theorems for free! In *Proceedings of the fourth international conference on functional programming languages and computer architecture - fpca '89*. ACM Press. Retrieved from http://dx.doi.org/10.1145/99370.99404 doi: 10.1145/99370.99404