1 Insulin Sensitivity Factor ISF

- 2 Contribution to the discussion among DIY loopers
- 3 The author assumes no liability

B/ V.3.33 Jan'24



- 5 1. What is ISF
 - 2. Rough estimates for your ISF
- 7 3. Refined estimates via dynamic ISF
- 8 4. Determination of ISF
- 9 5. Circadian ISF
- 10 6. Signs for a wrong ISF when looping
- 7. Tuning ISF in closed loop
- 12 8. Avoiding hypos
- 9. Short-time boosts via Automation
- 14 10. auto-ISF
 - 11. Differential diagnosis occlusion
- 16 12. Remarks regarding (ISF and) Full Closed Looping

17

18

30

31

32

33

34

35

15

4

6

1. What is a ISF?

- 19 Your **insulin sensitivity factor** (unit: (mg/dl)/U or (mmol/l)/U) says how sensitive you react
- 20 to insulin, so how much your glucose level will come down per unit of insulin .
- 21 For instance, ISF = 30 (mg/dl)/U means, per unit of insulin our glucose level goes down 30
- 22 mg/dl. For mmol, divide by 18: ISF = 30/18 = 1.7 (mmol/l)/U
- 23 In Hybrid Closed Loop, the other profile factor, the carb ratio IC, plays the main role around
- 24 meal times. However, two to three hours after any meal, the administered meal bolus rapidly
- loses power, but now proteins, fat, and carbs exceeding what could be digested in the first 2
- 26 hours come to absorption and require more insulin. This is where your ISF plays the (or a)
- 27 major role (in iOSLoop, the IC factor continues to play a very strong role).

Remark regarding the workings of oref(1) systems, and the (limited) role carb inputs and the IC value, applied to carb info and/or to observed carb deviation, play in the loop's management of your bg development:

The loop will not take your "e-Carb" inputs for granted and "bolus for it". Your given carb data are used only for one of 4 predictions. The loop also (or *only, if you do no carb inputs*) uses a *prediction of further carb absorption*, which builds on the observed **carb deviation** (=hypothesis, how much got absorbed in the past 5 minute segments). Based on that calculation (which uses ISF), the loop assumes *more* carb decay *in the 5-minute segments that follow*, phasing out the carb decay in the course of the next 1 to max 3 hours. Every 5 minutes this prediction is "met with reality", and the loop further adjusts. This is essentially why oref(1) system allow to go entirely without carb inputs. For more detail on the algo see https://openaps.readthedocs.io/en/latest/docs/While%20You%20Wait%20For%20Gear/Under stand-determine-basal.html#understanding-the-basic-logic-written-version (or study your SMB tab info). For more info on Full Closed Loop without carb inputs see fcl-e-book in: https://github.com/bernie4375/FCL-potential-autoISF-research-

36	Unfortunately, the ISF is not one fixed number you or your loop can count on (count with):
37	* can vary between times of day => use circadian pattern
38	=> determine it for several times during the day and night, and check whether you
39	see a typical "circadian" sensitivity pattern corresponding to your bio-rhythm(As a
40	high basal rate and a low ISF factor are signs of lower insulin sensitivity (=of elevated
41	insulin need), the pattern must be like a mirror-image. More see in section Circadian
42	Pattern, below)
43	See also: AndroidAPS Users / Files /circadian Duesterhoff.xls:
44	https://www.facebook.com/groups/AndroidAPSUsers/permalink/2869638923257506/
45	* will vary between days e.g. when hormones play into it => Autosens
46	* can also fluctuate within shorter episodes, e.g. @ stress, or @ high glucose values
47	because of insulin resistance after a fattv meal
48	* varies also with TDD (although, to me at least, it is unclear whether this is an independent
49	effect, or just owed to the fact that on days with high TDD typically more fatty meals are
50	consumed, accompanied by increased insulin resistance) (Plus, we often see "fake insulin
51	resistance" from occlusions, see section 11.)
52	=> Short time boosts may be required, see section 3, further down
53	=> Based on statistical findings, Chris Wilson recently proposed a dynamic ISF formula that
54	roughly considers most of these effects (see section 3)
55	
56	2. Rough estimates for your ISF
57 58	a) using Autotune (not recommended by the author)
59	Autotune gives you ONE "average" ISF. Reliability of this result is seen controversially in the
60	looper community, largely, because Autotune relies on your data inputs which probably
61	contain errors (like your carb inputs). Also there is no use to Autotune when you are using
62	dynamic ISF adaptations (dynamicISF, autoISF).
63	
64	b) via daily total insulin dose, TDD
65	The daily total insulin need describes, roughly, the insulin sensitivity of a type1 diabetic.
66	The following table reflects values seen in a big study.

Estimating the	Soncitivity	Factor based	on Total	Daily Inculin	
esumaune inc	Sensitivity	ractor basec	i on i otai	Dany msum	

7 units 220-260 12 10 units 155-185 8.6 12 units 125-155 6.9 15 units 95-125 5.3 18 units 80-110 4.4 20 units 70-100 3.9 25 units 60-80 3.3 30 units 50-70 2.8 35 units 40-60 2.2 40 units 35-50 2.0 45 units 30-45 1.7 50 units 30-40 1.7	-20 -14 -10.3 -8.6 -6.9
10 units 155-185 8.6 12 units 125-155 6.5 15 units 95-125 5.3 18 units 80-110 4.4 20 units 70-100 3.9 25 units 60-80 3.3 30 units 50-70 2.8 35 units 40-60 2.2 40 units 35-50 2.0 45 units 30-45 1.7 50 units 30-40 1.7	-10.3 -8.6 -6.9
12 units 125–155 6.9 15 units 95–125 5.3 18 units 80–110 4.4 20 units 70-100 3.9 25 units 60-80 3.3 30 units 50–70 2.8 35 units 40-60 2.2 40 units 35-50 2.0 45 units 30-45 1.7 50 units 30-40 1.7	-8.6 -6.9
15 units 95–125 5.3 18 units 80–110 4.4 20 units 70–100 3.9 25 units 60–80 3.3 30 units 50–70 2.8 35 units 40–60 2.2 40 units 35–50 2.0 45 units 30–45 1.7 50 units 30–40 1.7	-6.9
18 units 80–110 4.4 20 units 70-100 3.9 25 units 60-80 3.3 30 units 50–70 2.8 35 units 40-60 2.2 40 units 35-50 2.0 45 units 30-45 1.7 50 units 30-40 1.7 60 units 25-35 1.4	
20 units 70-100 3.9 25 units 60-80 3.3 30 units 50-70 2.8 35 units 40-60 2.2 40 units 35-50 2.0 45 units 30-45 1.7 50 units 30-40 1.7 60 units 25-35 1.4	-6.1
25 units 60-80 3.3 30 units 50-70 2.8 35 units 40-60 2.2 40 units 35-50 2.0 45 units 30-45 1.7 50 units 30-40 1.7 60 units 25-35 1.4	
30 units 50-70 2.8 35 units 40-60 2.2 40 units 35-50 2.0 45 units 30-45 1.7 50 units 30-40 1.7 60 units 25-35 1.4	5.5
35 units 40-60 2.2 40 units 35-50 2.0 45 units 30-45 1.7 50 units 30-40 1.7 60 units 25-35 1.4	-4.4
40 units 35-50 2.0 45 units 30-45 1.7 50 units 30-40 1.7 60 units 25-35 1.4	3.9
45 units 30-45 1.7 50 units 30-46 1.7 60 units 25-35 1.4	-3.3
50 units 30-40 1.7 60 units 25-35 1.4	-2.8
60 units 25-35 1.4	-2.5
	-2.2
	-2.0
70 units 20-30 1.1	-1.7
80 units 20-25 1.1	-1.4
100 units 15-20 0.8	1-1.1
120 units 13-17 0.7	-1.0
140 units 11-15 0.6	-0.8
160 units 10-12 0.5	-0.7
180 units 9-11 0.5	0.6
200 units 8-10 0.4	0.6

70 <u>c)</u> Estimate using the "1700" rule

- 71 According to a study https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC4454102/ the ISF can
- 72 be estimated using:

69

77

78

67

73
$$ISF=1700 / TDD$$
 (or = 94.4 / TDD for mmol)

- where *TDD* is the total daily insulin, determined for each virtual patient, and assuming an
- average diet of 180 g of carbs for adolescents and adults, and 135 g for children.
- 76 Example as above, 35...40 U TDD: ISF = 49 ... 42 mg/dl/U (or divided by 18 for mmol)
 - 3. Refined estimate for your ISFs (the 277,700 rule entering dynamic ISF)
- 79 According to https://www.facebook.com/groups/TheLoopedGroup/posts/2996545667228746/
- and https://youtu.be/oL49FhOts3c (Chris Wilson/ see also in Loop&LearnFB) the ISFs can
- be dynamically modelled using the formula:

82	$ISF= 277\ 700\ /\ (TDD * bg) \ (or = 15\ 428\ /\ (TDD * bg) \ for\ mmol)$
83 84 85	Discussion: I see the results from the formula for myself too high, so that would make more cautious corrections, than what I really use. But people who have put less effort into optimizing their ISFs, who have bg values over 200 often, and maybe also a diet requiring
86	higher TDD than I, might benefit.
87	As the formula is statistically derived from real-life data (sort of "top-down"), it should be
88 89	useful for refining how any ISF you are working with (notably if you work with only 1 number) should be tweaked to reflect your true needs for correction-insulin better.
90 91	Plug-ins in branches are available for both, AndroidAPS (by Tim Street), and also of iAPS. To overcome major problems with the rough first approach (above ISF formula, to put it
92	bluntly, was based on "wild" data from how "real average diabetics jerk around with their
93 94	super high glucose values"), the formula has been significantly refined, and also was made user-tuneable.
95	We can assume that dynamicISF provides an easier-to-implement alternative to what below
96 97	in this paper is suggested as kind of a bottom-up personalized approach to good ISF settings via:
98	- circadian pattern of ISFs
99	- boosting ISFs at high glucose values, notably if owed to post-meal insulin resistance
100	- use of Autosens
101	- use of autoISF.
102	If done right, the bottom-up approach based on your personal data should be better, and also
103	more real-time reactive to changing insulin requirements, notably in full closed looping
104	Please apply caution if you combine some of the discussed methods, as they probably work
105	in the same direction, and <i>could "over-adjust"</i> your ISFs.
106	
107	4. Determination of Carb Rise Ratio CRR and Insulin-Sensitivity Factor ISF
108	Verify that you have a correct basal rate before determining factors!
109	Else your ISF will have to balance errors in your hourly basal pattern, and you may
110	lose some of the principal power of your loop.

111	Helpful links regarding basal rate, here is a good article in support of that process:
112	https://www.mysugr.com/en/blog/basal-rate-testing/ , and a process description
113	including a tool : https://www.mevita.de//online-zugang-erstellung-einer/
114	Although I advise to determine your true, likely circadian , basal rate: It can be "good
115	enough", and advantageous especially for small kids, to simplify things and assume a
116	(in case of doubt, low) flat basal rate, together with a well-contoured ISF pattern
117	("with enough bite where it counts"). See discussion here:
118	https://www.facebook.com/groups/1900195340201874/user/100000686997322/?cf
119	t [0]=AZX3WqWLR9vrra1dZbGeP_sglvA41eVlZq2R0l98iDk_djnAtJfk4jN2nPiQyxIM
120	M NgbZayhhglfdCW7FGSjFgV54KPJ 0qBGVekw60g4ahse6 izL9OX4HXkhGkCyW
121	ODQ& tn =-UC%2CP-R
122	To determine ISF, select a day without prior strenuous exercise/activity, without exceptional
123	stress, or infection.
124	As testing for ISF must start out with a high plateau of glucose level, it makes a lot of sense
125	to do a determination of your CarbRiseRatio CRR first:
126	(In a relatively stable, normo-glycemic phase) take a sweet drink with a known carb content,
127	and watch (in Open Loop, not giving any insulin except profile basal rate) by how many
128	(mg/dl) glucose rises, until reaching a plateau (in about 1 hour).
129	
130	Example: After consuming 20g, my glucose rises from 90 to 190 mg/dl.
131	CRR = (190-90)mg/dl / 20g = 5 mg/dl / g
131	CKK = (190-90)mg/dr/ 20g = 3 mg/dr/ g
132	
133	Now, having reached a high plateau – at 190 mg/dl in our example ,-we move on to
134	determine the ISF .
135	Inject (still in Open Loop, with profile basal running), an amount of insulin as you typically
136	would (considering your personal insulin sensitivity). Example: 2 units.
137	Then watch for about three hours until a deeper plateau is reached, for example at 110
138	mg/dl. Then you can evaluate:
139	Example: 2 U of insulin lowered my glucose by 80 mg/dl . Hence, ISF = 40 mg/dl / U
140	

Off topic remark: Using CRR and ISF you can also calculate your IC for this time of day, or check the **plausibility of the IC** you are already using, because:

ISF (mg/dl decrease per unit of insulin) / CRR (mg/dl increase per g of carb) = IC (g carb per unit of insulin) (similar in mmol) or also: CRR = ISF / IC

IC in the example from above: ISF/CRR = 40 (mg/dl)/U /5 (mg/dl)/g = 8 g/U = IC

See also:: http://seemycgm.com/2017/10/29/fine-tuning-settings/

The CRR is relatively stable (does not vary by much over 24 hours):

- while both ISF and IC do vary (in similar ways, related to your insulin sensitivity)
- if you divide one by the other, deviations owed to sensitivity cancel out.

5. Circadian pattern of ISF

- 152 ISF varies over 24 hours according to a "circadian" pattern of varying sensitivity to insulin.
- 153 See AndroidAPS Users / Files / ..circadian ... Duesterhoff.xls:
- https://www.facebook.com/groups/AndroidAPSUsers/permalink/2869638923257506/

155 Example

141

142

143

144

145

146

148

150

151

156

157

158

159160

161162



Do not just copy a pattern that may be valid on average for T1Ds out there, or for a single other person! For instance, I am more of a night owl, so that curve shifts 1-2 hours to the right.Likewise, if you do shift work or cross-time-zone travel, keep an eye on your (probably time-delayed) adjustments of your body's insulin sensitivity.

The deepest dip in ISF, and highest hill in hourly basal, is typically an hour or twao before getting up and breakfast. However, I usually skip breakfast, so I "break my fasting" (!) for


lunch and see higher insulin sensitivity there than the standard curve suggests. (Another explanation could be, that others would have tail of insulin sensitivity from breakfast still at work supporting insulin for lunch (with a typical breakfast, low in fat and protein).

In case you work with a flat basal rate, your ISF should look even more "contoured": For instance, if you use your low hourly basal, as approriate in most night hours, for all 24 hours, the daytime ISFs would need to be make up for "deficiencies" in your hourly basal; likely morning and evening ISFs would dip relatively lower even than in picture on preceding page. YDMV!

So, determine **your** ISF for a couple of times, in which you find high values which your loop needs to regulate down (one of them, inconveniently, probably being after midnight; see also 2 sections lower).

You can interpolate for the hours for which you did *not* "experimentally determine" your ISF. Follow the idea (or data) you have regarding your general pattern of insulin sensitivity.

<u>Caution regarding kids</u>: For kids, the 24 hour sensitivity patterns can differ strongly from adults and can also change strongly in certain growth phases, see the <u>following graph</u> (shared by "basal rate guru" Heiko Mueller, www.mevita.com). The graph is about basal rates, and normalized to 100% being the total daily basal.(So, the graph below says how much %of the daily total is needed in every hour of the day. It does NOT show the very significant differences in basal insulin need between the age groups! We are looking only on the 24 hr <u>patterns</u> here!)



185 186 187 188	For ISF the curve would look "opposite" (~mirrored on x-axis), because higher basal ("mountain") = lower insulin sensitivity = higher insulin need = lower ISF factor ("valley"). For the red curve (age 12-18 resembles adults fairly well already) we see this mirrored pattern beautifully in the ISF graph, 2 pictures higher up.
189 190	6. Signs for a wrong ISF when looping
191 192 193 194	If your ISF is too strong (in other words the actual number is too low compared to reality of your real insulin sensitivity), one of the most common symptoms you'll see in closed-looping is a roller coaster of glucose values, and the temporary basals might be cycling between zero and high temping.
195 196	If ISF is too weak, the glucose is not corrected down to target (or this happens only extremely slow).
197 198 199 200	If you observe such behaviour in certain time periods of your day, you might consider changing the ISF by plus 10-20% (if ISF seemed too strong) or by minus 10-20% (if ISF seemed too weak), and see (with alarms set on loud), whether the situation improves next day.
201	
202	7. Tuning your profile ISFs in Closed Loop
203	Caution: The following applies to using and tuning <i>profile ISF</i> !
204 205 206	Tuning <i>dynamic ISF</i> (if you use that plug-in) requires different considerations: Look up in your data which part of the "dynamic range" of ISFs resulting from the used formula is actually in use to correct your glucoses, and how to influence that via your tuning. Refer to different resources for advice.
207 208 209 210	The effects of a suboptimal ISF settings as discussed in the preceding chapter can be seen nicely in the night following a bigger dinner. When the meal time bolus loses power, about 2-3 hours after starting the meal, often relatively high glucose values exist at bedtime for the loop to take care of.
211 212	With a good ISF setting, the glucose curve will, within a few hours (while you are sleeping), go down and "hug" the glucose target you have set for the night time.
213 214 215	If the glucose curve swings very much below target, or even triggers a hypo alarm, ISF is probably too strong, and you should elevate the nightime values in your profile and observe again in the following nights

Conversely, if the glucose hovers for hours above target: In that case you would try with 216 lower ISF next nights. Make changes in small steps (hypo danger) . Don't do this after a day 217 218 with strong activity/exercise. Setting the nighttime glucose target a bit higher for the time of 219 testing can also be a good idea. 8. Avoiding hypos after correcting high glucose values 220 221 The course of insulin activity during the 5 hour+ "DIA" period of any administered bolus 222 implies that anything you try to achieve for short-term glucose control (1-2 hour time frame), 223 comes with a "burden" of effects that tend to drive your glucose below target a bit later A high glucose value therefore can not be corrected rapidly without running a risk to go into a 224 225 hypoglycemia 2 or more hours later (as probably everybody has experienced with impatient "rage" bolusses). 226 All loops apply caution in that they analyze the situation every 5 minutes, and generally apply 227 much less than the calculated InsulinRequired (AndroidAPS generally applies 50% of what 228 229 the calculation suggests). 230 (To avoid misunderstanding: This does not mean that 50% of insulin is really withheld; what was not given in the preceding 5 minute segment, is likely missing "on top" also in the 231 following 5 minutes, and gets delivered to, again, 50% then, now making up already 75% of 232 233 the previous InsulinRequ. ...). 234 Users of iAPS and of AAPS dev variants that allow SMB delivery ratios bigger than 0.5 should be aware that changing to 0.6 means already a 20%, and to 0.75 a 50% 235 boost for the insiulinRequired calculation. Going far over 0.5 can become dangerous, 236 e.g. if a single jittery CGM value can be trigger much bigger SMB than really needed. 237 238 It is important to watch your loop with some patience, and to analyze what (if anything) you might try differently for the next day(s). 239 In case your loop is acting too aggressively, you may need to take a couple of grams of 240 carbs to avoid going low. (If you use AndroidAPS, your main screen probably shows carbs 241 242 required in next minutes, to boost the cob number which is given right next to it), Note down how many grams you took for example,. 4 grams. Using your IC factor, e.g., 8 g/U, calculate 243 244 how much insulin (0,5 U) would be needed for absorbing these extra grams. Your post-245 prandial SMBs should have given that amount of insulin less (assuming you observe that

things go well with these extra 4 grams) For example, if your pp. SMBs were 4 U in total, you

- should try 3.5 U next time. Your ISF factors in the relevant hours should be elevated by 14% (=4/3.5).
- 249 To summarize:
- 250 1. Try to avoid high glucose values (see material on meal management in hybrid closed loop)
- 252 2. If high values occur: First exclude occlusion as underlying problem. (see section 11).
- 253 3. Watch and analyze your loop preferably without giving extra boli (but take, and record, anti-hypo snacks if needed), Define what you might change to improve in the next days.
- 4. In case you think you see very short-lasted resistance, e.g. from fatty meals, consider brief boost of ISF (next section).
- 9. Short-time "boost" of ISF (in AndroidAPS also via Automation)
- 260 Background: Often a short phase of insulin resistance is encountered a few hours after a
- fatty meal. Insulin seems to have lost it's potency for 1 or 2 hours. This is often assigned to
- receptors blocked by free fatty acids, and requires extra insulin but only for a very limited
- 263 time, until the receptors are cleared -.
- 264 Rather than taking care of this phenomenon by giving an extra manual bolus, AndroidAPS
- allows an elegant automated solution for this problem: When conditions like glucose above
- 180 mg/dl (and others, like iob thresholds, time of day where the problem is likely etc) are
- fulfilled, a more aggressive ISF can be selected (for example, by setting 130% profile
- 268 (,override') for 8 minutes.
- Such automations are technically very easy to "program", but require attention to one's own
- daily patterns, to define a truely personalized problem solution.
- 271 Details see for example at
- 272 https://androidaps.readthedocs.io/de/latest/Usage/FullClosedLoop.html#stagnation-at-high-
- 273 bq-values

275	10. Auto-ISF
276	Auto-ISF is a feature (currently, Jan. 2024, not yet included in AndroidAPS Master), which
277	allows flexible boosting of ISF. (So it will <i>not</i> help you find "your ISF values"!).
278	AutoISF uses your profile ISFs, to adjust them further for optimal management of how your
279	glucose curve is predicted to further develop.
280	The working principle of Auto-ISF is, to provide sharpened ISF factors (and there are various
281	new parameters in /Settings to tune this individually), depending on;
282	1) Glucose plateau = how high glucose is, and for how long it is that high already
283	(This provides a "more elegant" solution of the problem we just discussed in section 9).
284	2) Glucose level = allows to sharpen ISF at high glucose levels (I am not using this, because
285	it is kind of late to react then; also, if my glucose runs really high, this is usually occlusion
286	related = ISF does not help at all then, see section 11. But in Hybrid Closed Loop, if you
287	often find yourself high when your given bolus expires, the feature might help/YDMV)
200	2) Change delta – charnen ICE when always rises atrongly. It makes a let of some to get
288	3) Glucose delta = sharpen ISF when glucose rises strongly. It makes a lot of sense to act
289	BEFORE glucose is high, and you can tune aggressiveness according to personal needs.
290	4) Acceleration of glucose rise = enables strongest ISF as soon as a meal-related rise is
291	detected (and, in balance, allows reducing the aggressiveness – already during the glucose
292	rise! - when curve bends around heading towards a peak). This is extremely helpful in UAM
293	Full Closed Looping, but requires a smoothly operating CGM, so what the sophisticated math
294	makes of your glucose curve, to fire off boosted SMBs, is not just a temporary artefact.
295	Any of these 4 autoISF varieties can (must) be tuned separately, but also can interfere with
296	eachother and with Autosens. For more details see fcl-e-book in:
297	https://github.com/bernie4375/FCL-potential-autoISF-research-
298	
230	
299	
300	
301	
302	

303	11. "Differential-diagnosis" occlusion when dealing with high glucose values
304	Back to easier stuff, relevant to us all.
305 306	When we encounter high glucose values it is very important , as a first step, to exclude a compromised insulin delivery as underlying cause.
307	Users of Lyumjev or of Fiasp seem to experience occlusions quite often, especially if not
308	changing cannula frequently. Under the skin, near the insulin insertion site, partial or full
309	blockages can occur and insulin is being pushed back out into the adhesive patch of the
310	cannula. This results in glucose rising despite (fake) high iob.
311	In such instances, loop should be switched off. The share of insulin that did not effectively
312	enter the body system must be estimated (conservatively, in case of doubt), and erased (in
313	AAPS: Treatments tab/Bolus/erase a number of entries there), so a realistic iob number is
314	there for the loop to continue .The occlusion must be resolved (usually by setting a new
315	cannula), and the high glucose must be treated. As your iob estimate is likely uncertain, do
316	this conservatively, and continue in closed loop with alarms set on loud .
317	In case the high values were realized only after a couple of hours, watch out for signs of
318	Keto-Acidosis and be ready to follow a treatment scheme for it. Hospitalization may be
319	required.
320	Off Topic: Also fake cob can happen. This would develop after throwing up shortly
321	after a meal which you bolussed for. As insulin cannot be removed from the body, and glucose snacks etc which are needed in significant quantity quickly now - , likely are also thrown up, a glucagon injection may be needed.
322	Coution: To stop more inculin delivery, and cross false ask is by far not sufficient to
323	Caution: To stop more insulin delivery, and erase false cob, is by far not sufficient to resolve this crisis. This is an emergency with extremely high hypo danger at the rapidly approaching point of time, when your meal bolus reaches maximum activity! Call for
324	emergency: * if you can't eat/drink (or not keep it in)
325	* if you go below a certain glucose value that you laid out for yourself when still clear in
326	the head. (It probably would be too complicated to build a strategy on the 5-minute delta glucose values, and amount of carbs you were successfully taking)
327	Especially users of Lyumjev or Fiasp should be aware how fast glucose can sink in
328	absence of sufficient carbs for the iob that is present.!
329	
330	

331	12. Remarks regarding "UAM" Full Closed Loop
332	With well-functioning CGM and a rapid insulin (preferably Lyumjev), more and more –
333	currently mostly adult – loopers establish a reasonably functioning Full Closed Loop with
334	AndroidAPS (also without having a low carb diet). More info see here:
335	https://androidaps.readthedocs.io/de/latest/Usage/FullClosedLoop.html
336	In Full Closed Loop (UAM/no bolus/no carb inputs) ISF is the key parameter because no
337	more meal boli are given, and the loop exclusively reacts on rising glucose.
338	Full Closed Looping had been pioneered by some low carb OpenAPS Loopers, but picked up
339	momentum when in 2020 Lyumjev became available in an increasing number of markets.
340	There are currently several avenues established or explored towards a no-bolus no carb-
341	inputs Full UAM Closed Loop. For more info see fcl-e-book in:
342	https://github.com/bernie4375/FCL-potential-autoISF-research-