

Please note that with autoISF you are in an early-dev. environment, where the user interface is **not optimized for safety** of users who stray away from intended ways to use. Good safety features exist, but these are only as good as the development-oriented user understands and implements them. This is not a medical product, refer to disclaimer in [section 0](#)



13.1 FCL using AAPS Master and Automations
13.2 dynamicISF used for Full Closed Loop.
13.3 Methods involving simple Meal Announcement that might be stretched into a FCL
13.3.1 Boost,
13.3.2 AIMI,
13.3.3 EatingNow
13.3.4 Tsunami
13.4 No-bolus looping with precise carb inputs
13.5 Machine Learning (AI)
13.6 Dual Hormone Systems

[Available related case studies:](#)

Case study 13.1: Comparison 1 month FCL Automation vs autoISF
Case study 13.2: FCL using dynamicISF (call for an example so far un-answered)
Case study 13.3: FCL using Boost

13.1 Full Closed Loop using AAPS Master and Automations

AndroidAPS 3.0 was (Sep.2023) the first DIY system to launch Full Closed Looping as an option to manage T1D, if a described set of pre-requisites apply.

Key pre-requisites were described in

<https://androidaps.readthedocs.io/en/latest/Usage/FullClosedLoop.html> , and are sketched also in [section 1](#), with [case studies 1.1 – 1.5](#) underscoring the importance.

You may (not) have noticed: There was no big „marketing fuzz“ made around that FCL option. Seeing how many AAPS users struggle with even getting their basal, ISF and SMB settings right, it would be foolish to allure everybody to a supposedly very easy way of looping. True, it can be easy. But only after doing a personalized set-up project. Setting up is easier than what autoISF and the methods we get to in [section 13.3](#). demand, but still a project. It also requires a well mastered hybrid closed loop, to start from.

With attention to the pre-requisites, and avoiding extreme high carb diets, many (mostly: adult) users achieve satisfactory %TIR after supplementing AAPS Master with personalized Automations that attempt to strongly elevate iob upon recognition of a meal-related bg rise.

42 See also Case Studies, and the randomized cross-over study involving AAPS FCL: PubMed [First](#)
43 [Use of Open-Source Automated Insulin Delivery AndroidAPS in Full Closed-Loop Scenario:](#)
44 [Pancreas4ALL Randomized Pilot Study](#);

45

46 This method is **highly recommended for an entry into FCL for those who do not have the**
47 **interest, or lack the time, to deal with the very much more sophisticated and demanding other**
48 **routes** towards FCL, like autoISF, or also like the methods briefly presented below in [section 13.3](#).

49

50 Note that using the autoISF dev version of AAPS for this (*with "Enable ISF adaptation.." OFF*)
51 can be a good idea, to make use of features like SMB_range_extention and
52 SMB_delivery_ratios > 0.5. Compared to using AAPS Master, this allows stronger boosting of
53 SMB sizes, also when *not making use of autoISF*, but just of Automations, for FCL.

54

55 13.2 FCL using dynamicISF with AAPS or with Trio / iAPS

56

57 As opposed to

- 58 • autoISF, with it's bgAccel_ISF component , or to....
- 59 • AAPS Master, with Automations strengthening ISF at meal-related bg rises ...

60 dynamicISF was **not** designed to help boost SMBs asap after an omitted user bolus.

61 This is why methods like Boost ([section 13.3.1](#)) that even do have dynamicISF on bord, do extra
62 boosting routines for detected or announced meal starts.

63 Rather (as the name also suggests) it was designed to be used in hybrid closed looping to make
64 ISF react more dynamic to suspected swings in insulin sensitivity (which shows in bg values, and
65 in TDD trends). It does a similar job like Autosens, but can be much more amplified (by the users
66 tuning their dynamicISF adjustment factor (%)).

67

68 When using a fast insulin (and when some other pre-requisites discussed in section 1 are in place,
69 too), the dynamicISF method can be applied also to Full Closed Looping. (See [case study 13.2](#);
70 *not available by time of publication => This is a call for a dynISF FCL user to provide a case study*
71 *that contains a 1 week 24h scatter plot as well as one analyzed meal where we can see when and*
72 *how dynISF helped build iob, after not having bolussed*).

73

74 It will have a principal timing-disadvantage because responses are more tied to high bg values
75 than to acceleration (in autoISF) or to delta (in the Automations route to FCL).

76

77 On the other hand, people who 1) do have strong sensitivity swings and 2) cannot pro-actively
78 deal with those (e.g. by making profile switches) might be satisfied with the automatic (although a
79 bit late) adjustments that dynamicISF automatically will provide.

80

81 dynamicISF therefore could be characterized, in the FCL context, as a potential solution to a rather
82 care-free approach for those who do not seek best-possible performance (or who take other
83 measures, like low carb diet, to still reach pretty acceptable performance in FCL mode).

84

85 **More info** (caution, both not focussed on FCL:)

86 AAPS / search term dynamicISF in: <https://discord.gg/DfvK5HnxXu>

87 Trio or iAPS / section dynamic-isf-cr: <https://discord.gg/gKXW5uX3m>

88

89

90 **13.3 Methods involving simple Meal Announcement that might be stretched** 91 **into a Full Closed Loop**

92

93 See also [section 7](#) on using autoISF in “MA” mode, involving a pre-meal bolus.

94

95 **13.3.1 Boost**

96

97 All of the additional code outside of the standard SMB calculation requires a daily time period
98 („Boost window“) to be specified within which it is active.

99 A variation of dynamicISF is used in which also predicted bg will be considered in varying degrees
100 (40...75%) to mimic the effects of higher insulin sensitivity at lower glucose levels.

101 When using Boost without carb inputs (permanent cob=0) a special **boosting of SMBs** is provided
102 when an **initial bg rise** is detected with a meal:

103 Boost uses the delta accelerations to drive an expectation of a higher future levels, allowing more
104 insulin to be delivered, so it principally can also work with no meal announcement (see [case study](#)
105 [13.3](#)). delta, short_avgDelta and long_avgDelta are used to trigger an early bolus (assuming IOB is
106 below a user defined amount).

107 This procedure goes in the direction of the bgAccel_ISF route discussed for autoISF
108 ([section 4.1](#)). If used with an excellent CGM, autoISF acceleration detection should be a bit
109 earlier, and boosting can be made much stronger in autoISF

110 For safety, the user sets a value of 2.5% (up to 5%) of TDD for the max. Boost Bolus (Boost Bolus
111 Cap).

112 For stronger boost, the default AAPS 50% SMB_delivery_rate can be overwritten with a higher in-
113 sulin percentage determined by the user. The SMB_delivery_ratio is called „Boost insulin required

114 percent“ here, and suggested not to go over 75%. The % can be defined variable with bg value
115 (like also in autoISF).

116 The Boost function automatically shuts off as soon as delta and the average deltas are aligned,
117 i.e. when the accelerated rise goes over into a constant rise (compare pp_ISF in autoISF).

118 However, the boost function is only „dormant“ if the boost window lasts longer for more meal-
119 related accelerations.

120 Additional functions are a step-count modified dynamic_ISF, inactivity detection etc

121 A couple of safety feature are integrated. The user can define an iob limit for boosts (like iobTH in
122 autoISF, here called UAM Boost max IOB in Preferences/Treatments) There is also a user adjusta-
123 ble Low Glucose Suspend threshold. This allows the user to set a value higher than the system
124 would normally use, such that when predictions drop below this level (65...100), a zero TBR is set.

125 Application example, see [case study 13.3](#)

126 More info: <https://discord.gg/nYC4T9PgCR> ; <https://github.com/tim2000s/no-bolus-dev>
127 : https://github.com/tim2000s/Boost_AAPS_3.2/blob/Boost-Master-3.2/README.md

128 Contact: Tim Street @ diabettech.com

129

130 13.3.2 AIMI

131

132 AIMI has a single goal: to minimize the decisions necessary to maintain the target range, simplify
133 the composition of the profile for the user or doctor accompanying the patient, and allow the patient
134 to live normally without having to count carbohydrates or even without signifying physical activity
135 (especially for brisk walking).

136 A key component of AIMI concept is to give a **small pre-bolus before each meal** (“Meal
137 Announcement” that also provides some pos. iob).

138 • A **simplified profile** composition (neutral ISF around 100, DIA 9, target 90-90, a single value for
139 basal, a ratio that is not used in AIMI, so not important) For a first basal estimate, you can use the
140 TDD / weight ratio.

141 • Some variables in preferences that are important (AIMI_UAM which allows AIMI to make
142 decisions, Max SMB size which is the highest value for an SMB, B30_duration (which is the
143 duration during which the **basal will be forced after a manual bolus**), B30_upperBG and
144 B30_Upperdelta (these last two variables represent the conditions for replacing smb with a
145 consistent TBR depending on the delta)

146 • The basal profile is calculated by a polynomial equation.

147 • The ISF is calculated from the TDD (**dynamicISF**) and is adjusted based on the evolution of TIR
148 throughout the day and the **detection of physical activity**.

149 • The detection of glycemic rise (or the opposite situation) is also calculated by a polynomial
150 equation, which will influence the change of target but also the replacement of SMB by a TBR
151 between 100% and 500% or by an SMB of the same equivalence.

152 • SMB calculation is done in several ways specific to AIMI depending on the evolution of the delta
153 and IOB, with a distribution that can be done in three parts depending on the conditions.

154 Example scenario of execution, on almost all existing variants:

- 155 1. Make a "standard" manual bolus. I usually do 1.5U or 2U with luymjev
- 156 2. Just after this bolus, AIMI will force the 500% TBR for a duration defined by the user. The
157 observation made is that the absorption of insulin such as humalog for example is acceler-
158 ated and will strongly limit the first wave.
- 159 3. Depending on the options chosen, it is possible to receive an SMB of the initial manual bo-
160 lus size after the duration of the 500% TBR
- 161 4. Then the rest of the calculations will depend on the result of a polynomial equation and its
162 evolution.
- 163 5. A few hours later, if the patient decides to take a walk to go shopping, or other activities re-
164 quiring movement, the phone sensor will send information on the number **of steps taken**.
165 This will result in a reduction of the profile to about 60%. The return of the profile to normal
166 will be done in stages, in the first half hour following the activity, the profile will be restored
167 to about 80%.

168 The AIMI developer has been working on incorporating machine learning (using tensorflow lite).

169 More info <https://discord.gg/7ehczAfZ>

170 The developer hasn't kept the code public. AIMI can only be obtained as an apk via joining their
171 WhatsApp group or here:

172 https://github.com/MTR93600/OpenApsAIMI/tree/dev_mergemilos_addOAPSAIMI

173 Given the very high number of changes happening in this AAPS variant, it is probably deemed
174 important to keep it in a tight sub-community. But, caution: This can be seen as violation of the
175 Open Source principle

176 Contact: Mathieu Tellier @ AndroidAPS User FB / Twitter @MTR93600/, Discord: MTR

177

178

179 13.3.3 EatingNow (EN)

180

181 This version of AAPS has evolved over time using elements from AIMI and Boost. It includes a
182 modified dynamicISF which moves ISF modulation in the direction as pioneered by autoISF, and
183 also uses Automations for FCL.

184

185 "Eating Now" (EN) allows user definable SMB's when deltas are sufficient and accelerating.

186 The intent of this plugin is the same, to deliver insulin earlier using mostly the AAPS predictions.

187

188 As all other variants for FCL, also EatingNow requires to set glucose TT occasionally, to nudge the
189 loop in certain direction, notably to announce and be prepared for exercise.

190

191 Operating Modes provide 3 levels of „aggressiveness“ in 3 time windows:

- 192 • Master AAPS w/up to 120 min basal per SMB when EN is off (usually set for night-time).
- 193 • EN (usually set for daytime) is when the modified algorithm is capable of boosting ISF and
194 insulin delivery. At BG level rises within the EN Window, a „UAM maxBolus“ is given as a
195 first SMB. Recommended Setting: 1h current basal in units (max allowed: 2).
- 196 • ENW: A further boosted SMB will be issued in this ENW time window (e.g. for breakfast, or
197 generally for the first meal of a day, after fasting, with higher insulin need). Upon detection
198 of rising glucose, a SMB called Breakfast COB maxBolus is given by the loop. Recom-
199 mended Setting: 25% of average breakfast total units

200

201 EN uses the dynamicISF concept, modified to making ISF stronger with increased eventualBG
202 predictions.

203

204 Specifically for the ENW (usually: breakfast window), an additional boost factor called Breakfast
205 ISF/CR Percentage (e.g. 125 or 150%) can be applied

206 A setting „TIRS“ provides a very simple version of autoISF (dura_ISF) and sharpens ISF
207 temporarily when bg „seems stuck“ above a certain value.

208

209 Autosens sensitivityRatio will be overridden by EN sensitivity options.

210

211 SMB delivery ratio for insulinReq. is set to 65% for when EN is disabled (overnight, usually).

212 It is recommended to set maxSMBBasalMinutes and maxUAMSMBBasalMinutes to 30 minutes

213 max as these will be used when EN is OFF or in SLEEP mode. Falling back on OpenAPS SMB

214 settings is considered as the safe mode, should you experience any issues with sensitivity or EN
215 settings in general

216 It is set 85% for an active ENW, or 75% when EN is on but ENW not active

217

218 Furthermore, SMB optionally can be disabled day/night below defined bg level/s (SMB Disabled)

219 More info <https://discord.gg/XqhnPRChEP> (method description in pinned post)

220 <https://github.com/dicko72/AAPS-EatingNow> scroll down to README.md

221 Contact: dicko via Discord channel

222

223 13.3.4 Tsunami

224

225 The Tsunami loop algorithm analyses blood glucose and insulin activity developments to estimate
226 bolus requirements during meals, without the necessity of carb announcements.

227

228 Users must make a **meal announcement via a button** on AAPS main screen. It switches on the
229 main Tsunami algorithm for a finite amount of time.

230

231 In between meals (when Tsunami is inactive), users are given the choice between running a
232 weaker version of the Tsunami algorithm (called wave), or falling back tooref1.

233

234 A “historic” merit of this method was that it pioneered a BG smoothing algorithm that later
235 became included as a plugin in AAPS.

236 The insulin models dynamically readjust DIA based on bolus size so that a user-set, fixed
237 DIA value is no longer needed.

238

239 For best results, it is recommended to issue a **bolus** at the beginning of a meal to account for the
240 disadvantageous kinetics of subcutaneously administered insulin in a UAM setting.

241 More info <https://discord.gg/veRKcgwVUT> GitHub repository: <https://github.com/piecycle/tsunami>
242 [nami](https://cdn.discordapp.com/attachments/969948954949189633/972852790739238992/tsunami_guide_3_2.pdf) official documentation: [https://cdn.discordapp.com/attach-](https://cdn.discordapp.com/attachments/969948954949189633/972852790739238992/tsunami_guide_3_2.pdf)
243 [ments/969948954949189633/972852790739238992/tsunami_guide_3_2.pdf](https://cdn.discordapp.com/attachments/969948954949189633/972852790739238992/tsunami_guide_3_2.pdf)

244 Contact: nichu#1391 on discord / piecycle on GitHub

245

246 13.4 No-Bolus Looping with Carb Entries

247

248 Some oreof(1) loopers attempting to go full closed loop reported that they do best when they (do not
249 bolus but) give their loop precise carb (and absorption time) information. This:

250 * announces a meal to follow (so it is not UAM, but might be called full closed looping if the
251 insulin management is left 100% to the loop)

252 * provides data on cob, and with the glucose and insulin activity info the loop has, it can
253 always calculate how much more carbs are to become absorbed (to the extent the carb-
254 related infos the user put in is correct)

255 * will display realistic cob info to the user, including cob info looking forward (rather than
256 only calculating carb deviations for the past minutes or hours, and making some coarse

assumptions for the upcoming hour). It gives the user better feeling of safety if she/he can see cob info in addition to the available iob info, and insulin activity prediction.

With detailed carb (amounts + absorption times) inputs, the loop has best-possible info to provide „the best expert fit“ of insulin activity and carb absorption.

It still rarely can come close to physiological values, because the time-delays inherent in our „artificial pancreas“, notably the stretched out DIA, make it difficult still, compared to a real pancreas.

So, carb inputs could help. However,

- only to the extent amounts and time pattern for absorption („eCarbs“) are correct ((which, every day, is pretty much a mission impossible))
- the oref(1) loop still largely „waits for glucose to rise“, and there is no significant time advantage from inputting carb info

Only the **user**-bolussing *for expected* carb absorption in hybrid closed loop offers a convincing time advantage (but with associated risks).

- inputs require actually more attention to detail than it is good practice even in AndroidAPS hybrid closed loop, so in that respect a step back, not forward.

Entering **precise** carb information takes away a very large part of the attractiveness of full closed looping.

And entering *imprecise* carb info could easy be inferior to not doing *any* carb inputs = to letting the *UAM mode* of oref(1) figure out further carbs that probably come to be absorbed in the next minutes, judging from the pattern of the calculated past *carb deviations* (see [section 4.5](https://openaps.readthedocs.io/en/latest/docs/While%20You%20Wait%20For%20Gear/Understand-determine-basal.html#understanding-the-basic-logic-written-version) and <https://openaps.readthedocs.io/en/latest/docs/While%20You%20Wait%20For%20Gear/Understand-determine-basal.html#understanding-the-basic-logic-written-version>).

PS: Because that is so, also loopers who do carb inputs get the UAM predictions besides their other predictions, and their algo makes a judgement (every 5 minutes) as to what the best calculation might be for where glucose, underlying „real“ carb absorption, and estimated carb deviation are headed.

13.5 Machine Learning

Involving machine learning (“artificial intelligence”) could help both in the learning/tuning phase, but also in fine adjustments in daily utilization.

The study that was already referenced ([section 1.2](#) , or [https://github.com/bernie4375/HCL-Meal-Mgt.-ISF-and-IC-settings/blob/HCL-.settings-main-repo-\(pdf\)/The%20Artificial%20Pancreas%20and%20Meal%20Control.pdf](https://github.com/bernie4375/HCL-Meal-Mgt.-ISF-and-IC-settings/blob/HCL-.settings-main-repo-(pdf)/The%20Artificial%20Pancreas%20and%20Meal%20Control.pdf))) discusses *on page 80* the application of machine learning in some predictions of postprandial glucose response (IEEE

294 Control Systems Magazine, ResearchGate: The Artificial Pancreas and Meal Control. A. El Fathi
295 et al, IEEE Control Systems Magazine Feb.2018 p.67-85.).
296 So there is already a body of data and evidence. To which extent it lends itself to UAM remains to
297 be researched. For this, lots of data would have to be captured from UAM loopers, and I fear many
298 more data would be required than what could easily be captured in Clarity® or even in the OPEN
299 project database.

300

301 In the DIY universe, a prototype solution (to some aspects) was already developed for AIMI
302 ([section 13.3.3](#)).

303

304 We might see industry come up with a 1st generation solution that will probably be geared to folks
305 with miserable HbA1c and poor carb counting/meal handling, to offer a safe gradual improvement.
306

307 A top performing entirely self-learning system might be impossible to design:

308 For instance, if today you do something entirely different from yesterday (don't we all want this
309 freedom – even need it? Think about the fasting day following a feasting day...) there are
310 two problems:

- 311 • Such systems rely on information from the preceding day, or an average of several preced-
312 ing days
- 313 • The user does not know/learn much about how the system works, what it is calibrated for
314 today, how she/he might intelligently change something for the specific different situation
315 coming up. This seems like the opposite of the FCL solutions we discussed, for instance
316 self-defined Automations, combined with profile switches for to-be-expected temporary sen-
317 sitivity shifts (see [section 13.1](#) , or the more sophisticated options presented for exercise in
318 [section 6.1.3](#) and [6.2](#)).

319

320

321

322 13.6 Dual Hormone Systems

323

324 Besides using a glucagon analogue as a second independent hormone, there is also research on
325 just adding very small amounts into the (one) insulin cartridge. Then it seems to work like an additive
326 to make Lyumjev even faster (and also shorter) acting, which would be a good thing notably for FCL.
327 Brief discussion and further reference on this topic: [https://github.com/bernie4375/HCL-Meal-Mgt.-
328 ISF-and-IC-settings/blob/HCL-.settings-main-repo-
329 \(pdf\)/Insulins_DIA%20and%20other%20settings_V.2.7.pdf](https://github.com/bernie4375/HCL-Meal-Mgt.-ISF-and-IC-settings/blob/HCL-.settings-main-repo-(pdf)/Insulins_DIA%20and%20other%20settings_V.2.7.pdf).

330 This is not our topic in this section, though.

331

332 Many see a **dual hormone “double full loop”** as the ultimate system.

333

334 The beauty of this concept would be that the second (cartridge in the) pump could influence the
335 glucose curve via giving glucagon or an analogue, thus overcoming the strongest limitation our
336 current systems have:

337 Taking basal away (zero-temping) is only a severely limited course of action against impending
338 hypoglycemias, and therefore, to keep things safe at the back-end of each meal, fighting glucose
339 highs is more limited than we would like to see.

340

341 In conclusion, the glucagon component **not only helps stay out of hypos. It enables a more**
342 **aggressive treatment for preventing, or reducing, high glucose values, as well.**

343

344 While insulin and carbs have complex activity curves stretching over hours, glucagon has a
345 window of physiological activity starting 5-10 minutes after administration, and lasting only 30-40
346 minutes. Compared to insulin and carbs, that makes it a better component for rapid corrections
347 (without a lengthy “tail” of action).

348

349 As glucagon does not per se introduce more calories, but stimulates glucose release from the liver,
350 there should at least be no concern about gaining body weight from eventual roller-coasters the
351 dual loop might send us into. Actually there could be a nice side benefit of helping in body weight
352 control. Also, activity/sports management could become as easy as the meal management became
353 in the UAM step into full closed looping.

354

355 It will be interesting to see for which application(s) the dual loop will be developed and launched;
356 as part of a full closed loop with top performance, or as part of even only a hybrid closed loop for
357 problem patients?

358

359 It remains to be seen how well such systems work in day-to-day circumstances. And whether “real
360 people” will be able to handle all the involved technology, and use it in ways that truly could justify
361 the substantial extra cost.

362

363 The author currently is not really looking forward to become loaded with even more technology,
364 and quite happy with an aggressively tuned full UAM closed loop (...and an occasional nice post-
365 dinner or during- activity snack).

366

367 However, the dual hormone path holds enough promise to learn more about it, and to test it some
368 time in the near future.

369

370 This is an exciting time to be part of the open source T1D community. Anyone is welcome to
371 contribute ideas, help develop software or instructions how to use. Carefully weigh for yourself
372 what may be your entry point for eventually surmounting the initial hurdles, and **JUST EAT happily**
373 **ever after.**
374