ANALYSIS -I

B V Rajarama Bhat

Indian Statistical Institute, Bangalore

Lecture 8: Real Numbers: Order axioms

We are assuming that there is a set called set of real numbers \mathbb{R} with two binary operations', +, . , satisfying certain axioms.

► A1.

$$a+b=b+a, \ \forall a,b\in\mathbb{R}.$$

-Commutativity of addition.

► A1.

$$a+b=b+a, \ \forall a,b\in\mathbb{R}.$$

- -Commutativity of addition.
- ► A2.

$$a+(b+c)=(a+b)+c, \ \forall a,b,c\in\mathbb{R}.$$

-Associativity of addition.

► A1.

$$a+b=b+a, \ \forall a,b\in\mathbb{R}.$$

- -Commutativity of addition.
- ► A2.

$$a + (b + c) = (a + b) + c, \quad \forall a, b, c \in \mathbb{R}.$$

- -Associativity of addition.
- ightharpoonup A3. There exists an element called 'zero', denoted by '0' in $\mathbb R$ such that

$$a+0=0+a=a, \ \forall a\in\mathbb{R}.$$

-Existence of zero.

► A1.

$$a+b=b+a, \ \forall a,b\in\mathbb{R}.$$

- -Commutativity of addition.
- ► A2.

$$a + (b + c) = (a + b) + c, \quad \forall a, b, c \in \mathbb{R}.$$

- -Associativity of addition.
- ▶ A3. There exists an element called 'zero', denoted by '0' in $\mathbb R$ such that

$$a + 0 = 0 + a = a$$
, $\forall a \in \mathbb{R}$.

- -Existence of zero.
- ▶ A4. For every $a \in \mathbb{R}$, there exists an element '-a' in \mathbb{R} such that

$$a + (-a) = (-a) + a = 0.$$

-Existence of additive inverse. -a is known as additive inverse of a.



► M1.

$$a.b = b.a, \forall a, b \in \mathbb{R}.$$

-Commutativity of multiplication.

► M1.

$$a.b = b.a, \forall a, b \in \mathbb{R}.$$

- -Commutativity of multiplication.
- ► M2.

$$a.(b.c) = (a.b).c, \forall a, b, c \in \mathbb{R}.$$

-Associativity of multiplication.

► M1.

$$a.b = b.a, \forall a, b \in \mathbb{R}.$$

- -Commutativity of multiplication.
- ► M2.

$$a.(b.c) = (a.b).c, \forall a, b, c \in \mathbb{R}.$$

- -Associativity of multiplication.
- ▶ M3. There exists an element called 'one', denoted by '1' different from 0 in \mathbb{R} such that

$$a.1 = 1.a = a, \forall a \in \mathbb{R}.$$

-Existence of one.

► M1.

$$a.b = b.a, \forall a, b \in \mathbb{R}.$$

- -Commutativity of multiplication.
- ► M2.

$$a.(b.c) = (a.b).c, \forall a, b, c \in \mathbb{R}.$$

- -Associativity of multiplication.
- ▶ M3. There exists an element called 'one', denoted by '1' different from 0 in \mathbb{R} such that

$$a.1 = 1.a = a, \forall a \in \mathbb{R}.$$

- -Existence of one.
- ▶ M4. For every $a \in \mathbb{R}$, with $a \neq 0$, there exists an element ' a^{-1} ' in \mathbb{R} such that

$$a.a^{-1} = a^{-1}.a = 1.$$

-Existence of multiplicative inverse. a^{-1} is known as multiplicative inverse of a.



Distributivity

ightharpoonup D. For a, b, c in \mathbb{R} ,

$$a.(b + c) = a.b + a.c$$

$$(a+b).c = a.c + b.c$$

-Distributivity.

Distributivity

ightharpoonup D. For a, b, c in \mathbb{R} ,

$$a.(b+c)=a.b+a.c$$

$$(a+b).c = a.c + b.c$$

- -Distributivity.
- ► These axioms are known as algebraic axioms. They determine the 'algebraic structure' of real numbers.

Here we have a bunch of three axioms as described below.

- Here we have a bunch of three axioms as described below.
- ▶ The set \mathbb{R} has a subset \mathbb{P} called the set of positive real numbers satisfying following axioms:

- Here we have a bunch of three axioms as described below.
- The set \mathbb{R} has a subset \mathbb{P} called the set of positive real numbers satisfying following axioms:
- ▶ 01. If $a, b \in \mathbb{P}$ then $a + b \in \mathbb{P}$. [The set of positive real numbers is closed under addition.]

- Here we have a bunch of three axioms as described below.
- ▶ The set \mathbb{R} has a subset \mathbb{P} called the set of positive real numbers satisfying following axioms:
- ▶ 01. If $a, b \in \mathbb{P}$ then $a + b \in \mathbb{P}$. [The set of positive real numbers is closed under addition.]
- ▶ 02. If $a, b \in \mathbb{P}$ then $a.b \in \mathbb{P}$. [The set of positive real numbers is closed under multiplication.]

- Here we have a bunch of three axioms as described below.
- ▶ The set \mathbb{R} has a subset \mathbb{P} called the set of positive real numbers satisfying following axioms:
- ▶ 01. If $a, b \in \mathbb{P}$ then $a + b \in \mathbb{P}$. [The set of positive real numbers is closed under addition.]
- ▶ 02. If $a, b \in \mathbb{P}$ then $a.b \in \mathbb{P}$. [The set of positive real numbers is closed under multiplication.]
- ▶ 03. If $a \in \mathbb{R}$, then exactly one of the following three properties is true:
 - (i) $a \in \mathbb{P}$;
 - (ii) $-a \in \mathbb{P}$;
 - (iii) a = 0.

[This is known as trichotomy property for real numbers.]

ightharpoonup Any element of \mathbb{P} is said to be positive.

- Here we have a bunch of three axioms as described below.
- ▶ The set \mathbb{R} has a subset \mathbb{P} called the set of positive real numbers satisfying following axioms:
- ▶ 01. If $a, b \in \mathbb{P}$ then $a + b \in \mathbb{P}$. [The set of positive real numbers is closed under addition.]
- ▶ 02. If $a, b \in \mathbb{P}$ then $a.b \in \mathbb{P}$. [The set of positive real numbers is closed under multiplication.]
- ▶ 03. If $a \in \mathbb{R}$, then exactly one of the following three properties is true:
 - (i) $a \in \mathbb{P}$;
 - (ii) $-a \in \mathbb{P}$;
 - (iii) a = 0.

[This is known as trichotomy property for real numbers.]

- ▶ Any element of \mathbb{P} is said to be positive.
- Warning: The notation $\mathbb P$ for positive real numbers is not standard. You may see $\mathbb R^+$, $(0,\infty)$ as some of the alternative notations for the set of positive real numbers.

▶ Theorem 8.1: If $n \in \mathbb{N}$ then $n \in \mathbb{P}$.

- ▶ Theorem 8.1: If $n \in \mathbb{N}$ then $n \in \mathbb{P}$.
- ▶ Proof: First we show that $1 \in \mathbb{P}$. We have $1 \neq 0$ by axiom M3. Now if $(-1) \in \mathbb{P}$, then by axiom O2, $(-1).(-1) \in \mathbb{P}$.

- ▶ Theorem 8.1: If $n \in \mathbb{N}$ then $n \in \mathbb{P}$.
- **Proof**: First we show that $1 \in \mathbb{P}$. We have $1 \neq 0$ by axiom *M*3. Now if $(-1) \in \mathbb{P}$, then by axiom *O*2, $(-1).(-1) \in \mathbb{P}$.
- ▶ But (-1).(-1) = 1 (Exercise: Show this!).

- ▶ Theorem 8.1: If $n \in \mathbb{N}$ then $n \in \mathbb{P}$.
- ▶ Proof: First we show that $1 \in \mathbb{P}$. We have $1 \neq 0$ by axiom M3. Now if $(-1) \in \mathbb{P}$, then by axiom O2, $(-1).(-1) \in \mathbb{P}$.
- ▶ But (-1).(-1) = 1 (Exercise: Show this!).
- ▶ This shows that both $1 \in \mathbb{P}$ and also $(-1) \in \mathbb{P}$ and that violates trichotomy property O3. Therefore $(-1) \in \mathbb{P}$ is not possible. The only other possibility is $1 \in \mathbb{P}$.

- ▶ Theorem 8.1: If $n \in \mathbb{N}$ then $n \in \mathbb{P}$.
- ▶ Proof: First we show that $1 \in \mathbb{P}$. We have $1 \neq 0$ by axiom M3. Now if $(-1) \in \mathbb{P}$, then by axiom O2, $(-1).(-1) \in \mathbb{P}$.
- ▶ But (-1).(-1) = 1 (Exercise: Show this!).
- ▶ This shows that both $1 \in \mathbb{P}$ and also $(-1) \in \mathbb{P}$ and that violates trichotomy property O3. Therefore $(-1) \in \mathbb{P}$ is not possible. The only other possibility is $1 \in \mathbb{P}$.
- ▶ Then by property O1, 2 = 1 + 1 is in \mathbb{P} .

- ▶ Theorem 8.1: If $n \in \mathbb{N}$ then $n \in \mathbb{P}$.
- ▶ Proof: First we show that $1 \in \mathbb{P}$. We have $1 \neq 0$ by axiom M3. Now if $(-1) \in \mathbb{P}$, then by axiom O2, (-1). $(-1) \in \mathbb{P}$.
- ▶ But (-1).(-1) = 1 (Exercise: Show this!).
- ▶ This shows that both $1 \in \mathbb{P}$ and also $(-1) \in \mathbb{P}$ and that violates trichotomy property O3. Therefore $(-1) \in \mathbb{P}$ is not possible. The only other possibility is $1 \in \mathbb{P}$.
- ▶ Then by property O1, 2 = 1 + 1 is in \mathbb{P} .
- Consider the set S of all natural numbers which are positive. Then $1 \in S$ and if $n \in S$, then $n + 1 \in S$.

- ▶ Theorem 8.1: If $n \in \mathbb{N}$ then $n \in \mathbb{P}$.
- ▶ Proof: First we show that $1 \in \mathbb{P}$. We have $1 \neq 0$ by axiom M3. Now if $(-1) \in \mathbb{P}$, then by axiom O2, (-1). $(-1) \in \mathbb{P}$.
- ▶ But (-1).(-1) = 1 (Exercise: Show this!).
- ▶ This shows that both $1 \in \mathbb{P}$ and also $(-1) \in \mathbb{P}$ and that violates trichotomy property O3. Therefore $(-1) \in \mathbb{P}$ is not possible. The only other possibility is $1 \in \mathbb{P}$.
- ▶ Then by property O1, 2 = 1 + 1 is in \mathbb{P} .
- Consider the set S of all natural numbers which are positive. Then $1 \in S$ and if $n \in S$, then $n + 1 \in S$.
- Now a simple application of mathematical induction shows that $n \in \mathbb{P}$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Notation: For real numbers, a, b, we write a < b or b > a if $b - a \in \mathbb{P}$. We write $a \le b$ or $b \ge a$ if $b - a \in \mathbb{P} \bigcup \{0\}$.

- Notation: For real numbers, a, b, we write a < b or b > a if $b a \in \mathbb{P}$. We write $a \le b$ or $b \ge a$ if $b a \in \mathbb{P} \bigcup \{0\}$.
- ▶ In particular, a > 0 iff $a \in \mathbb{P}$. Similarly $a \ge 0$ iff $a \in \mathbb{P} \bigcup \{0\}$.

- Notation: For real numbers, a, b, we write a < b or b > a if $b a \in \mathbb{P}$. We write $a \le b$ or $b \ge a$ if $b a \in \mathbb{P} \bigcup \{0\}$.
- ▶ In particular, a > 0 iff $a \in \mathbb{P}$. Similarly $a \ge 0$ iff $a \in \mathbb{P} \bigcup \{0\}$.
- Now order axioms under this notation, becomes:
 - (1) O1. : If a > 0 and b > 0 then a + b > 0.
 - (2) O2. : If a > 0 and b > 0 then ab > 0.
 - (3) O3.: If $a \in \mathbb{R}$ then exactly one of the following holds: (i) a > 0; (ii) a < 0; (iii) a = 0.

- Notation: For real numbers, a, b, we write a < b or b > a if $b a \in \mathbb{P}$. We write $a \le b$ or $b \ge a$ if $b a \in \mathbb{P} \bigcup \{0\}$.
- ▶ In particular, a > 0 iff $a \in \mathbb{P}$. Similarly $a \ge 0$ iff $a \in \mathbb{P} \bigcup \{0\}$.
- Now order axioms under this notation, becomes:
 - (1) O1.: If a > 0 and b > 0 then a + b > 0.
 - (2) O2. : If a > 0 and b > 0 then ab > 0.
 - (3) O3.: If $a \in \mathbb{R}$ then exactly one of the following holds: (i) a > 0; (ii) a < 0; (iii) a = 0.
- ▶ Here after we may not use the notation \mathbb{P} at all!

- Notation: For real numbers, a, b, we write a < b or b > a if $b a \in \mathbb{P}$. We write $a \le b$ or $b \ge a$ if $b a \in \mathbb{P} \bigcup \{0\}$.
- ▶ In particular, a > 0 iff $a \in \mathbb{P}$. Similarly $a \ge 0$ iff $a \in \mathbb{P} \bigcup \{0\}$.
- Now order axioms under this notation, becomes:
 - (1) O1. : If a > 0 and b > 0 then a + b > 0.
 - (2) O2. : If a > 0 and b > 0 then ab > 0.
 - (3) O3.: If $a \in \mathbb{R}$ then exactly one of the following holds: (i) a > 0; (ii) a < 0; (iii) a = 0.
- ightharpoonup Here after we may not use the notation $\mathbb P$ at all!
- ▶ We may call a real number a as negative if -a is positive.

Simple inequalities

- ▶ Theorem 8.2: Suppose a, b, c, d are real numbers. Then
 - (i) If a < b, then a + c < b + c.
 - (ii) If $a \le b$, then $a + c \le b + c$.
 - (iii) If a < b and c < d, then a + c < b + d.
 - (iv) If a < b and c > 0, then ac < bc.
 - (v) If a < b and c < 0, then a > b.
 - (vi) If a < b and c = 0, then ac = bc = 0.
 - (vii) If a < 0 and b > 0, then ab < 0.
 - (viii) If a < 0 and b < 0, then ab > 0.

Simple inequalities

- ▶ Theorem 8.2: Suppose a, b, c, d are real numbers. Then
 - (i) If a < b, then a + c < b + c.
 - (ii) If $a \le b$, then $a + c \le b + c$.
 - (iii) If a < b and c < d, then a + c < b + d.
 - (iv) If a < b and c > 0, then ac < bc.
 - (v) If a < b and c < 0, then a > b.
 - (vi) If a < b and c = 0, then ac = bc = 0.
 - (vii) If a < 0 and b > 0, then ab < 0.
 - (viii) If a < 0 and b < 0, then ab > 0.
- ▶ Proof. Exercise.

Simple inequalities

- ▶ Theorem 8.2: Suppose a, b, c, d are real numbers. Then
 - (i) If a < b, then a + c < b + c.
 - (ii) If $a \le b$, then $a + c \le b + c$.
 - (iii) If a < b and c < d, then a + c < b + d.
 - (iv) If a < b and c > 0, then ac < bc.
 - (v) If a < b and c < 0, then a > b.
 - (vi) If a < b and c = 0, then ac = bc = 0.
 - (vii) If a < 0 and b > 0, then ab < 0.
 - (viii) If a < 0 and b < 0, then ab > 0.
- ▶ Proof. Exercise.
- ▶ Often we show two real numbers a, b are equal by showing $a \le b$ and $b \le a$. The equality follows by trichotomy property.

More inequalities

▶ Inequalities play a crucial role in whole of Analysis.

More inequalities

- Inequalities play a crucial role in whole of Analysis.
- Notation. For any real number a, a^2 is defined a.a. More generally, for any $a \in \mathbb{R}$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$, a^n is defined as a.a.a...a (n times).

More inequalities

- Inequalities play a crucial role in whole of Analysis.
- Notation. For any real number a, a^2 is defined a.a. More generally, for any $a \in \mathbb{R}$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$, a^n is defined as a.a.a...a (n times).
- ▶ Theorem 8.3: If a, b are positive real numbers, then $a^2 < b^2$ if and only if a < b.

More inequalities

- Inequalities play a crucial role in whole of Analysis.
- Notation. For any real number a, a^2 is defined a.a. More generally, for any $a \in \mathbb{R}$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$, a^n is defined as a.a.a...a (n times).
- ► Theorem 8.3: If a, b are positive real numbers, then $a^2 < b^2$ if and only if a < b.
- ▶ Proof. Suppose a < b. Now $b^2 a^2 = (b + a)(b a)$. As, both (b + a) and (b a) are positive, $b^2 a^2$ is positive. In other words, $a^2 < b^2$.

More inequalities

- Inequalities play a crucial role in whole of Analysis.
- Notation. For any real number a, a^2 is defined a.a. More generally, for any $a \in \mathbb{R}$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$, a^n is defined as a.a.a...a (n times).
- ► Theorem 8.3: If a, b are positive real numbers, then $a^2 < b^2$ if and only if a < b.
- ▶ Proof. Suppose a < b. Now $b^2 a^2 = (b + a)(b a)$. As, both (b + a) and (b a) are positive, $b^2 a^2$ is positive. In other words, $a^2 < b^2$.
- Conversely, suppose $a^2 < b^2$. Hence $(b^2 a^2) = (b + a)(b a)$ is positive. As a, b are assumed to be positive, (b + a) is positive. Now from Theorem 8.1 it is clear that for the product (b + a)(b a) to be positive, we also need (b a) positive.

$$|a| = \begin{cases} a & \text{if } a \ge 0; \\ -a & \text{if } a < 0. \end{cases}$$

For any real number a, the modulus of a, denoted by |a|, is defined by

$$|a| = \begin{cases} a & \text{if } a \ge 0; \\ -a & \text{if } a < 0. \end{cases}$$

Note that $|a| \ge 0$ for every real number a and |a| = 0 if and only if a = 0. Further $|ab| = |a| \cdot |b|$ for $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$.

$$|a| = \begin{cases} a & \text{if } a \ge 0; \\ -a & \text{if } a < 0. \end{cases}$$

- Note that $|a| \ge 0$ for every real number a and |a| = 0 if and only if a = 0. Further $|ab| = |a| \cdot |b|$ for $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$.
- ► Theorem 8.4 (Triangle inequality): Let *a*, *b* be real numbers. Then

$$|a+b| \le |a| + |b|.$$

For any real number a, the modulus of a, denoted by |a|, is defined by

$$|a| = \begin{cases} a & \text{if } a \ge 0; \\ -a & \text{if } a < 0. \end{cases}$$

- Note that $|a| \ge 0$ for every real number a and |a| = 0 if and only if a = 0. Further $|ab| = |a| \cdot |b|$ for $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$.
- ► Theorem 8.4 (Triangle inequality): Let *a*, *b* be real numbers. Then

$$|a+b|\leq |a|+|b|.$$

▶ Proof: If a or b is zero, it is easily seen that |a+b| = |a| + |b|.

$$|a| = \begin{cases} a & \text{if } a \ge 0; \\ -a & \text{if } a < 0. \end{cases}$$

- Note that $|a| \ge 0$ for every real number a and |a| = 0 if and only if a = 0. Further $|ab| = |a| \cdot |b|$ for $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$.
- ► Theorem 8.4 (Triangle inequality): Let *a*, *b* be real numbers. Then

$$|a+b| \le |a| + |b|.$$

- ▶ Proof: If a or b is zero, it is easily seen that |a+b| = |a| + |b|.
- If both a, b are positive, then a + b is also positive, and we get |a + b| = a + b = |a| + |b|.

$$|a| = \begin{cases} a & \text{if } a \ge 0; \\ -a & \text{if } a < 0. \end{cases}$$

- Note that $|a| \ge 0$ for every real number a and |a| = 0 if and only if a = 0. Further $|ab| = |a| \cdot |b|$ for $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$.
- ► Theorem 8.4 (Triangle inequality): Let *a*, *b* be real numbers. Then

$$|a+b| \le |a| + |b|.$$

- ▶ Proof: If a or b is zero, it is easily seen that |a+b| = |a| + |b|.
- ▶ If both a, b are positive, then a + b is also positive, and we get |a + b| = a + b = |a| + |b|.
- Now if a is positive and b is negative, say b = -|b|, with $0 < |b| \le a$, we get |a + b| = |a |b|| = a |b| < a = |a| < |a| + |b|.

$$|a| = \begin{cases} a & \text{if } a \ge 0; \\ -a & \text{if } a < 0. \end{cases}$$

- Note that $|a| \ge 0$ for every real number a and |a| = 0 if and only if a = 0. Further $|ab| = |a| \cdot |b|$ for $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$.
- ▶ Theorem 8.4 (Triangle inequality): Let a, b be real numbers. Then

$$|a + b| < |a| + |b|$$
.

- ▶ Proof: If a or b is zero, it is easily seen that |a+b| = |a| + |b|.
- ▶ If both a, b are positive, then a + b is also positive, and we get |a + b| = a + b = |a| + |b|.
- Now if a is positive and b is negative, say b = -|b|, with 0 < |b| < a, we get $|a + b| = |a - |b|| = a - |b| \le a = |a| \le |a| + |b|.$
- \triangleright Similarly if a is positive and b is negative with $0 < a \le |b|$, we get $|a + b| = |a - |b|| = |b| - a \le |b| \le |a| + |b|$. Other cases

► Suppose *a*, *b* are any two real numbers. Define the 'distance' between *a* and *b* as

$$\mathsf{dist}\;(a,b)=|b-a|.$$

Suppose a, b are any two real numbers. Define the 'distance' between a and b as

$$\mathsf{dist}\;(a,b)=|b-a|.$$

The triangle inequality tells us that for any three points a, b, c in \mathbb{R} ,

$$dist(a, b) \leq dist(a, c) + dist(c, b).$$

Suppose a, b are any two real numbers. Define the 'distance' between a and b as

$$\mathsf{dist}\;(a,b)=|b-a|.$$

The triangle inequality tells us that for any three points a, b, c in \mathbb{R} ,

$$dist(a, b) \leq dist(a, c) + dist(c, b)$$
.

Now it should be clear as to why this is called triangle inequality.

Suppose a, b are any two real numbers. Define the 'distance' between a and b as

$$\mathsf{dist}\;(a,b)=|b-a|.$$

The triangle inequality tells us that for any three points a, b, c in \mathbb{R} ,

$$dist(a, b) \leq dist(a, c) + dist(c, b).$$

- Now it should be clear as to why this is called triangle inequality.
- ➤ You will see that this notion of distance has far reaching applications in Analysis.

▶ Theorem 8.5: (i) The set \mathbb{P} has no least element, that is, there exists no positive real number α , such that $\alpha \leq a$ for every positive real number a. (ii) The set \mathbb{P} has no largest element, that is, there exists no positive real number β , such that $a \leq \beta$ for every positive real number a.

- ▶ Theorem 8.5: (i) The set \mathbb{P} has no least element, that is, there exists no positive real number α , such that $\alpha \leq a$ for every positive real number a. (ii) The set \mathbb{P} has no largest element, that is, there exists no positive real number β , such that $a \leq \beta$ for every positive real number a.
- Proof: Suppose α is a positive real number. Then we claim $0 < \frac{\alpha}{2} < \alpha$.

- Theorem 8.5: (i) The set $\mathbb P$ has no least element, that is, there exists no positive real number α , such that $\alpha \leq a$ for every positive real number a. (ii) The set $\mathbb P$ has no largest element, that is, there exists no positive real number β , such that $a \leq \beta$ for every positive real number a.
- Proof: Suppose α is a positive real number. Then we claim $0 < \frac{\alpha}{2} < \alpha$.
- It is easy to see that $2^{-1} = \frac{1}{2}$ is positive (Otherwise $1 = 2.2^{-1}$ would be negative). Hence $\frac{\alpha}{2} = \alpha.\frac{1}{2}$ is positive.

- ▶ Theorem 8.5: (i) The set $\mathbb P$ has no least element, that is, there exists no positive real number α , such that $\alpha \leq a$ for every positive real number a. (ii) The set $\mathbb P$ has no largest element, that is, there exists no positive real number β , such that $a \leq \beta$ for every positive real number a.
- Proof: Suppose α is a positive real number. Then we claim $0 < \frac{\alpha}{2} < \alpha$.
- It is easy to see that $2^{-1} = \frac{1}{2}$ is positive (Otherwise $1 = 2.2^{-1}$ would be negative). Hence $\frac{\alpha}{2} = \alpha . \frac{1}{2}$ is positive.
- ▶ So $\alpha \frac{\alpha}{2} = \frac{\alpha}{2}$ is also positive.

- ▶ Theorem 8.5: (i) The set $\mathbb P$ has no least element, that is, there exists no positive real number α , such that $\alpha \leq a$ for every positive real number a. (ii) The set $\mathbb P$ has no largest element, that is, there exists no positive real number β , such that $a \leq \beta$ for every positive real number a.
- Proof: Suppose α is a positive real number. Then we claim $0 < \frac{\alpha}{2} < \alpha$.
- It is easy to see that $2^{-1} = \frac{1}{2}$ is positive (Otherwise $1 = 2.2^{-1}$ would be negative). Hence $\frac{\alpha}{2} = \alpha.\frac{1}{2}$ is positive.
- ▶ So $\alpha \frac{\alpha}{2} = \frac{\alpha}{2}$ is also positive.
- ▶ This means that $0 < \frac{\alpha}{2} < \alpha$. Hence no real number α can be the smallest positive element.

- ▶ Theorem 8.5: (i) The set $\mathbb P$ has no least element, that is, there exists no positive real number α , such that $\alpha \leq a$ for every positive real number a. (ii) The set $\mathbb P$ has no largest element, that is, there exists no positive real number β , such that $a \leq \beta$ for every positive real number a.
- Proof: Suppose α is a positive real number. Then we claim $0 < \frac{\alpha}{2} < \alpha$.
- It is easy to see that $2^{-1} = \frac{1}{2}$ is positive (Otherwise $1 = 2.2^{-1}$ would be negative). Hence $\frac{\alpha}{2} = \alpha . \frac{1}{2}$ is positive.
- ▶ So $\alpha \frac{\alpha}{2} = \frac{\alpha}{2}$ is also positive.
- ▶ This means that $0 < \frac{\alpha}{2} < \alpha$. Hence no real number α can be the smallest positive element.
- (ii) If β is any positive element, then $\beta < \beta + 1$. This proves the second statement.



- ▶ Theorem 8.5: (i) The set $\mathbb P$ has no least element, that is, there exists no positive real number α , such that $\alpha \leq a$ for every positive real number a. (ii) The set $\mathbb P$ has no largest element, that is, there exists no positive real number β , such that $a \leq \beta$ for every positive real number a.
- Proof: Suppose α is a positive real number. Then we claim $0 < \frac{\alpha}{2} < \alpha$.
- It is easy to see that $2^{-1} = \frac{1}{2}$ is positive (Otherwise $1 = 2.2^{-1}$ would be negative). Hence $\frac{\alpha}{2} = \alpha . \frac{1}{2}$ is positive.
- ▶ So $\alpha \frac{\alpha}{2} = \frac{\alpha}{2}$ is also positive.
- ▶ This means that $0 < \frac{\alpha}{2} < \alpha$. Hence no real number α can be the smallest positive element.
- (ii) If β is any positive element, then $\beta < \beta + 1$. This proves the second statement.
- ► END OF LECTURE 8.

