

③ In order to address the problems of covariance mentioned in Remark ②(c) of Pg ①81, covariance is divided by the product of the standard deviations of X and Y . This gives rise to the correlation coefficient of X and Y as follows:

$$\text{Corr}(X, Y) \doteq \frac{\text{Cov}(X, Y)}{+\sqrt{\text{Var}(X) \text{Var}(Y)}}.$$

This measure of association is unit-free and always lies in $[-1, 1]$ making its value (not just the sign) easier to interpret. We shall discuss this in details soon.

④ Covariance (and even correlation coefficient) ~~have~~^{has} many drawbacks as a measure of association. In spite of them, it_^ is a ^(at least correlation) popular measure because covariance (and hence correlation coefficient) is very easy to compute. This will be confirmed by our next result.

Thm: Suppose X and Y are jointly distributed r.v.s with finite means μ_X and μ_Y , respectively.

Then X and Y have finite covariance if and only if XY has finite mean. And in this case,

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Cov}(X, Y) &= E(XY) - E(X)E(Y) \\ &= E(XY) - \mu_X \mu_Y.\end{aligned}$$

(Computational recipe for covariance.)

Proof: Exc. (Follow the proof of the thm stated at the end of Pg (172).)

Remark: Thanks to Remark (1) of Pg (179), the above thm yields as a special case the thm stated at the end of Pg (172) when $X \equiv Y$. This explains why the proof is along the same line — after all we are simply generalizing the proofs in Pg (173) — (174).

Exc: Suppose $X \sim \text{Unif}\{-1, 0, 1\}$ and $Y = X^2$. Show that (X and Y have finite covariance and) $\text{Cov}(X, Y) = 0$.

Exc: Suppose $X \sim \text{Unif}(-1, 1)$ and $Y = X^2$. Show that $\text{Cov}(X, Y) = 0$.

Exc: Suppose $(X, Y) \sim \text{Unif}(D)$, where $D = \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : x^2 + y^2 < 1\}$ is the unit disk. Show that $\text{Cov}(X, Y) = 0$.

Remarks: ① Note that in the above ^{exercises,} ~~examples~~ X and Y are dependent (and hence they are "associated") even though $\text{Cov}(X, Y) = 0$.

② These examples clearly show the drawback of covariance (and correlation) as a measure of dependence. As we shall see ^{soon} ~~later~~, correlation coefficient measures the amount of linear association

between X and Y . In the first two exercises of Pg (184), ~~X and~~ the association between X and Y is "purely quadratic" and hence the amount of linear association is zero.

On the other hand, in the third exercise of Pg (184), ^{the inequality} $X^2 + Y^2 \leq 1$ is satisfied (i.e., in a symmetric fashion) "in a uniform manner" that leads to no linear association between X and Y .

③ Correlation coefficient is a very good measure of association when X and Y are jointly normal — we shall learn this later in this course. However, if X and Y are not jointly normal, then $\text{Corr}(X, Y)$ is not always a good measure of association. ~~This~~

④ Lack of ~~under~~ understanding of Remark ③ above by the quants was one of the important mathematical reasons behind the subprime mortgage crisis at the USA in 2007-08.

Exc: Compute $\text{Cov}(X, Y)$ for the random vectors (X, Y) discussed/described in the examples given in the following pages:

(i) Pg (13) ;

(ii) Pg (18) with $\rho = \frac{1}{2}$;

(iii) Pg (31).

Exc: If X and Y are independent r.v.s ^{with finite means} such that either both are discrete or both are cont, then XY has finite mean and $E(XY) = E(X)E(Y)$.

[Hint: Use (e) of Pg (150).]

Cor: If X and Y are ind r.v.s with finite means (such that either both are discrete or both are cont), then $\text{Cov}(X, Y) = 0$.

Proof: Follows directly from the 2nd Exc of Pg (186). and the thm is Pg (183).

Remark: As mentioned clearly in Pg (184) (see Remark ① and the exercises above it), the converse of the Cor stated in Pg (186).

That is, ~~independence~~ ~~→~~

X, Y are
independent

\Rightarrow
 \Leftarrow

X, Y are
uncorrelated
(i.e., $\text{Cov}(X, Y) = 0$)

Cor: If X and Y are jointly distributed random variables with finite 2nd moments, then X, Y have finite covariance and

$$\text{Cov}(X, Y) = E(XY) - E(X)E(Y).$$

(In particular, it means that all of the 3 quantities in the RHS exist ~~finitely~~ and are finite.)

188

Proof: Note that

$$|XY| \leq \frac{X^2 + Y^2}{2}$$

$\Rightarrow XY$ has finite mean

Also X, Y have finite mean.

by the thm on Pg (183)
Therefore X, Y have finite covariance

and
$$\text{Cov}(X, Y) = E(XY) - E(X)E(Y).$$

Remarks: ① The corollary stated in Pg (187) is more restrictive but ^{slightly} more useful than the theorem stated in Pg (183) - after all you have to verify marginal finiteness of marginal 2nd moments and the finiteness of covariance (which depends on the joint distⁿ) will follow.

② Note that the proofs of the corollaries in Pg (174) and (187) should be viewed through the lens of the Remark stated in Pg (163).