#### LINEAR ALGEBRA -II

B V Rajarama Bhat

Indian Statistical Institute, Bangalore

## Lecture 14: Best approximation property of projections

▶ We recall: Definition 12.1. Let S be a non-empty subset of an inner product space V. Then the orthogonal complement of S is defined as:

$$S^{\perp} = \{ v \in V : \langle x, v \rangle = 0, \ \forall x \in S \}.$$

## Lecture 14: Best approximation property of projections

▶ We recall: Definition 12.1. Let S be a non-empty subset of an inner product space V. Then the orthogonal complement of S is defined as:

$$S^{\perp} = \{ v \in V : \langle x, v \rangle = 0, \ \forall x \in S \}.$$

▶ Example 12.2: Consider  $S \subset \mathbb{R}^3$  where

$$S = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix} \right\}$$

## Lecture 14: Best approximation property of projections

▶ We recall: Definition 12.1. Let S be a non-empty subset of an inner product space V. Then the orthogonal complement of S is defined as:

$$S^{\perp} = \{ v \in V : \langle x, v \rangle = 0, \ \forall x \in S \}.$$

**Example 12.2**: Consider  $S \subset \mathbb{R}^3$  where

$$S = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix} \right\}$$

► Then

$$S^{\perp} = \{ \left( egin{array}{c} c \\ c \\ c \end{array} 
ight) : c \in \mathbb{R} \}.$$

▶ Proposition 12.2: Let S be a non-empty subset of an inner product space V. Then  $S^{\perp}$  is a subspace of V. Further,  $(S^{\perp})^{\perp}$  is a subspace containing S.

- ▶ Proposition 12.2: Let S be a non-empty subset of an inner product space V. Then  $S^{\perp}$  is a subspace of V. Further,  $(S^{\perp})^{\perp}$  is a subspace containing S.
- ▶ Proof: We recall the definition of  $S^{\perp}$ :

$$S^{\perp} = \{ v \in V : \langle x, v \rangle = 0, \ \forall x \in S \}.$$

- ▶ Proposition 12.2: Let S be a non-empty subset of an inner product space V. Then  $S^{\perp}$  is a subspace of V. Further,  $(S^{\perp})^{\perp}$  is a subspace containing S.
- ▶ Proof: We recall the definition of  $S^{\perp}$ :

$$S^{\perp} = \{ v \in V : \langle x, v \rangle = 0, \ \forall x \in S \}.$$

Now if  $v, w \in S^{\perp}$  and  $c, d \in \mathbb{F}$ : For  $x \in S$ ,

$$\langle x, cv + dw \rangle = c \langle x, v \rangle + d \langle x, w \rangle = c.0 + d.0 = 0.$$

- ▶ Proposition 12.2: Let S be a non-empty subset of an inner product space V. Then  $S^{\perp}$  is a subspace of V. Further,  $(S^{\perp})^{\perp}$  is a subspace containing S.
- ▶ Proof: We recall the definition of  $S^{\perp}$ :

$$S^{\perp} = \{ v \in V : \langle x, v \rangle = 0, \ \forall x \in S \}.$$

Now if  $v, w \in S^{\perp}$  and  $c, d \in \mathbb{F}$ : For  $x \in S$ ,

$$\langle x, cv + dw \rangle = c \langle x, v \rangle + d \langle x, w \rangle = c.0 + d.0 = 0.$$

▶ Hence  $cv + dw \in S^{\perp}$ . This proves that  $S^{\perp}$  is a subspace of V.



- ▶ Proposition 12.2: Let S be a non-empty subset of an inner product space V. Then  $S^{\perp}$  is a subspace of V. Further,  $(S^{\perp})^{\perp}$  is a subspace containing S.
- ▶ Proof: We recall the definition of  $S^{\perp}$ :

$$S^{\perp} = \{ v \in V : \langle x, v \rangle = 0, \ \forall x \in S \}.$$

Now if  $v, w \in S^{\perp}$  and  $c, d \in \mathbb{F}$ : For  $x \in S$ ,

$$\langle x, cv + dw \rangle = c \langle x, v \rangle + d \langle x, w \rangle = c.0 + d.0 = 0.$$

- ▶ Hence  $cv + dw \in S^{\perp}$ . This proves that  $S^{\perp}$  is a subspace of V.
- ▶ It is easy to see that if  $x \in S$  then  $x \in (S^{\perp})^{\perp}$ . Therefore  $S \subseteq (S^{\perp})^{\perp}$ .

- ▶ Proposition 12.2: Let S be a non-empty subset of an inner product space V. Then  $S^{\perp}$  is a subspace of V. Further,  $(S^{\perp})^{\perp}$  is a subspace containing S.
- ▶ Proof: We recall the definition of  $S^{\perp}$ :

$$S^{\perp} = \{ v \in V : \langle x, v \rangle = 0, \ \forall x \in S \}.$$

Now if  $v, w \in S^{\perp}$  and  $c, d \in \mathbb{F}$ : For  $x \in S$ ,

$$\langle x, cv + dw \rangle = c \langle x, v \rangle + d \langle x, w \rangle = c.0 + d.0 = 0.$$

- ▶ Hence  $cv + dw \in S^{\perp}$ . This proves that  $S^{\perp}$  is a subspace of V.
- ▶ It is easy to see that if  $x \in S$  then  $x \in (S^{\perp})^{\perp}$ . Therefore  $S \subseteq (S^{\perp})^{\perp}$ .
- We have already seen that orthogonal complement of any non-empty subset is a subspace. In particular,  $(S^{\perp})^{\perp}$  is a subspace.



▶ Consider  $V = \mathbb{R}^3$  with standard inner product.

- ▶ Consider  $V = \mathbb{R}^3$  with standard inner product.
- Consider the subspace

$$V_0 = \left\{ \left( \begin{array}{c} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ 0 \end{array} \right) : x_1, x_2 \in \mathbb{R} \right\}$$

- ▶ Consider  $V = \mathbb{R}^3$  with standard inner product.
- Consider the subspace

$$V_0 = \left\{ \left( \begin{array}{c} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ 0 \end{array} \right) : x_1, x_2 \in \mathbb{R} \right\}$$

► Take  $V_1 = (V_0)^{\perp}$ .

- ▶ Consider  $V = \mathbb{R}^3$  with standard inner product.
- Consider the subspace

$$V_0 = \left\{ \left( \begin{array}{c} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ 0 \end{array} \right) : x_1, x_2 \in \mathbb{R} \right\}$$

- ► Take  $V_1 = (V_0)^{\perp}$ .
- ► Clearly,

$$V_1 = \{ \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ x_3 \end{pmatrix} : x_3 \in \mathbb{R} \}.$$

We see that any vector  $x \in V$  decomposes uniquely as x = y + z with  $y \in V_0$  and  $z \in V_1$ .

- We see that any vector  $x \in V$  decomposes uniquely as x = y + z with  $y \in V_0$  and  $z \in V_1$ .
- Indeed for

$$x = \left(\begin{array}{c} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \end{array}\right)$$

the only choice is:

$$y = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}; z = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ x_3 \end{pmatrix}.$$

- We see that any vector  $x \in V$  decomposes uniquely as x = y + z with  $y \in V_0$  and  $z \in V_1$ .
- Indeed for

$$x = \left(\begin{array}{c} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \end{array}\right)$$

the only choice is:

$$y = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}; z = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ x_3 \end{pmatrix}.$$

▶ We want to show that this is a general phenomenon.

▶ Theorem 12.4: Let  $V_0$  be a non-trivial subspace of a finite dimensional vector space V. Then any basis of  $V_0$  extends to a basis of V, that is, if  $\{v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_k\}$  is a basis of  $V_0$  then there exists  $\{v_{k+1}, \ldots, v_n\}$  such that  $\{v_1, \ldots, v_n\}$  is a basis of V.

- Theorem 12.4: Let  $V_0$  be a non-trivial subspace of a finite dimensional vector space V. Then any basis of  $V_0$  extends to a basis of V, that is, if  $\{v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_k\}$  is a basis of  $V_0$  then there exists  $\{v_{k+1}, \ldots, v_n\}$  such that  $\{v_1, \ldots, v_n\}$  is a basis of V.
- ► Proof: Take

$$M_k := \operatorname{span} \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_k\}$$

- ▶ Theorem 12.4: Let  $V_0$  be a non-trivial subspace of a finite dimensional vector space V. Then any basis of  $V_0$  extends to a basis of V, that is, if  $\{v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_k\}$  is a basis of  $V_0$  then there exists  $\{v_{k+1}, \ldots, v_n\}$  such that  $\{v_1, \ldots, v_n\}$  is a basis of V.
- ► Proof: Take

$$M_k := \operatorname{span} \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_k\}$$

▶ If  $M_k = V$  then  $V_0 = V$ ,  $\{v_1, \ldots, v_k\}$  is a basis for V and so no extension is required.

- ▶ Theorem 12.4: Let  $V_0$  be a non-trivial subspace of a finite dimensional vector space V. Then any basis of  $V_0$  extends to a basis of V, that is, if  $\{v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_k\}$  is a basis of  $V_0$  then there exists  $\{v_{k+1}, \ldots, v_n\}$  such that  $\{v_1, \ldots, v_n\}$  is a basis of V.
- ► Proof: Take

$$M_k := \operatorname{span} \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_k\}$$

- ▶ If  $M_k = V$  then  $V_0 = V$ ,  $\{v_1, \ldots, v_k\}$  is a basis for V and so no extension is required.
- ▶ If not, choose any  $v_{k+1} \in V \setminus M_k$ . Then  $\{v_1, \ldots, v_{k+1}\}$  is a linearly independent set (Why?). Take

$$M_{k+1} := \text{span}\{v_1, \dots, v_{k+1}\}.$$



- ▶ Theorem 12.4: Let  $V_0$  be a non-trivial subspace of a finite dimensional vector space V. Then any basis of  $V_0$  extends to a basis of V, that is, if  $\{v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_k\}$  is a basis of  $V_0$  then there exists  $\{v_{k+1}, \ldots, v_n\}$  such that  $\{v_1, \ldots, v_n\}$  is a basis of V.
- ► Proof: Take

$$M_k := \operatorname{span} \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_k\}$$

- If  $M_k = V$  then  $V_0 = V$ ,  $\{v_1, \dots, v_k\}$  is a basis for V and so no extension is required.
- ▶ If not, choose any  $v_{k+1} \in V \setminus M_k$ . Then  $\{v_1, \dots, v_{k+1}\}$  is a linearly independent set (Why?). Take

$$M_{k+1} := \text{span}\{v_1, \dots, v_{k+1}\}.$$

▶ If  $V = M_{k+1}$  then  $\{v_1, \ldots, v_{k+1}\}$  is a basis for V and we are done. If not, take  $v_{k+2} \in V \setminus M_{k+1}$  and continue the induction process.



▶ The process terminates after a finite number of steps as *V* is finite dimensional and so it can have at most dim (*V*) linearly independent elements.

- ► The process terminates after a finite number of steps as V is finite dimensional and so it can have at most dim (V) linearly independent elements.
- ▶ Therefore  $V = M_n$  for some n and  $\{v_1, \ldots, v_n\}$  is a basis for V.

▶ Theorem 12.5: Let  $V_0$  be a non-trivial subspace of a finite dimensional inner product space V. Then any orthonormal basis of  $V_0$  extends to an orthonormal basis of V, that is, if  $\{v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_k\}$  is an orthonormal basis of  $V_0$  then there exists  $\{v_{k+1}, \ldots, v_n\}$  such that  $\{v_1, \ldots, v_n\}$  is an orthonormal basis of V.

- ▶ Theorem 12.5: Let  $V_0$  be a non-trivial subspace of a finite dimensional inner product space V. Then any orthonormal basis of  $V_0$  extends to an orthonormal basis of V, that is, if  $\{v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_k\}$  is an orthonormal basis of  $V_0$  then there exists  $\{v_{k+1}, \ldots, v_n\}$  such that  $\{v_1, \ldots, v_n\}$  is an orthonormal basis of V.
- ▶ Proof: By the previous theorem we may extend  $\{v_1, \ldots, v_k\}$  to a basis  $\{v_1, \ldots, v_k, w_{k+1}, \ldots, w_n\}$  of V.

- ▶ Theorem 12.5: Let  $V_0$  be a non-trivial subspace of a finite dimensional inner product space V. Then any orthonormal basis of  $V_0$  extends to an orthonormal basis of V, that is, if  $\{v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_k\}$  is an orthonormal basis of  $V_0$  then there exists  $\{v_{k+1}, \ldots, v_n\}$  such that  $\{v_1, \ldots, v_n\}$  is an orthonormal basis of V.
- ▶ Proof: By the previous theorem we may extend  $\{v_1, \ldots, v_k\}$  to a basis  $\{v_1, \ldots, v_k, w_{k+1}, \ldots, w_n\}$  of V.
- Now apply the Gram-Schmidt procedure on  $\{v_1, \ldots, v_k, w_{k+1}, \ldots, w_n\}$  to get an ortho-normal basis  $\{e_1, \ldots, e_n\}$  of V.

- ▶ Theorem 12.5: Let  $V_0$  be a non-trivial subspace of a finite dimensional inner product space V. Then any orthonormal basis of  $V_0$  extends to an orthonormal basis of V, that is, if  $\{v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_k\}$  is an orthonormal basis of  $V_0$  then there exists  $\{v_{k+1}, \ldots, v_n\}$  such that  $\{v_1, \ldots, v_n\}$  is an orthonormal basis of V.
- ▶ Proof: By the previous theorem we may extend  $\{v_1, \ldots, v_k\}$  to a basis  $\{v_1, \ldots, v_k, w_{k+1}, \ldots, w_n\}$  of V.
- Now apply the Gram-Schmidt procedure on  $\{v_1, \ldots, v_k, w_{k+1}, \ldots, w_n\}$  to get an ortho-normal basis  $\{e_1, \ldots, e_n\}$  of V.
- ▶ It is an elementary exercise to see that  $e_j = v_j$  for  $1 \le j \le k$  as  $v_1, \ldots, v_k$  are already orthonormal. ■

Consider the set up as above, that is,  $V_0$  is a non-trivial subspace of a finite dimensional inner product space V. Suppose  $\{v_1, \ldots, v_k\}$  is an orthonormal basis of  $V_0$  and  $\{v_1, \ldots, v_n\}$  is an orthonormal basis of V.

- Consider the set up as above, that is,  $V_0$  is a non-trivial subspace of a finite dimensional inner product space V. Suppose  $\{v_1, \ldots, v_k\}$  is an orthonormal basis of  $V_0$  and  $\{v_1, \ldots, v_n\}$  is an orthonormal basis of V.
- Take

$$V_1 = \operatorname{span} \{v_{k+1}, \dots, v_n\}.$$

- Consider the set up as above, that is,  $V_0$  is a non-trivial subspace of a finite dimensional inner product space V. Suppose  $\{v_1, \ldots, v_k\}$  is an orthonormal basis of  $V_0$  and  $\{v_1, \ldots, v_n\}$  is an orthonormal basis of V.
- Take

$$V_1 = \text{span } \{v_{k+1}, \dots, v_n\}.$$

▶ We claim that  $V_1 = (V_0)^{\perp}$  and  $\{v_{k+1}, \ldots, v_n\}$  is an ortho-normal basis of  $V_1$ .

- Consider the set up as above, that is,  $V_0$  is a non-trivial subspace of a finite dimensional inner product space V. Suppose  $\{v_1, \ldots, v_k\}$  is an orthonormal basis of  $V_0$  and  $\{v_1, \ldots, v_n\}$  is an orthonormal basis of V.
- Take

$$V_1 = \text{ span } \{v_{k+1}, \dots, v_n\}.$$

- ▶ We claim that  $V_1 = (V_0)^{\perp}$  and  $\{v_{k+1}, \ldots, v_n\}$  is an ortho-normal basis of  $V_1$ .
- ► The second part is obvious. We only need to prove  $V_1 = (V_0)^{\perp}$ .

- Consider the set up as above, that is,  $V_0$  is a non-trivial subspace of a finite dimensional inner product space V. Suppose  $\{v_1, \ldots, v_k\}$  is an orthonormal basis of  $V_0$  and  $\{v_1, \ldots, v_n\}$  is an orthonormal basis of V.
- ▶ Take

$$V_1 = \text{span } \{v_{k+1}, \dots, v_n\}.$$

- We claim that  $V_1 = (V_0)^{\perp}$  and  $\{v_{k+1}, \ldots, v_n\}$  is an ortho-normal basis of  $V_1$ .
- The second part is obvious. We only need to prove  $V_1 = (V_0)^{\perp}$ .
- ▶ Note that  $\langle v_i, v_j \rangle = 0$  for all  $1 \le i \le k$  and  $(k+1) \le j \le n$

- Consider the set up as above, that is,  $V_0$  is a non-trivial subspace of a finite dimensional inner product space V. Suppose  $\{v_1, \ldots, v_k\}$  is an orthonormal basis of  $V_0$  and  $\{v_1, \ldots, v_n\}$  is an orthonormal basis of V.
- Take

$$V_1 = \text{span } \{v_{k+1}, \dots, v_n\}.$$

- We claim that  $V_1 = (V_0)^{\perp}$  and  $\{v_{k+1}, \ldots, v_n\}$  is an ortho-normal basis of  $V_1$ .
- The second part is obvious. We only need to prove  $V_1 = (V_0)^{\perp}$ .
- ▶ Note that  $\langle v_i, v_j \rangle = 0$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq k$  and  $(k+1) \leq j \leq n$
- ▶ Therefore  $\langle \sum_{i=1}^k c_i v_i, \sum_{j=(k+1)}^n c_j v_j \rangle$  for any scalars  $c_1, \ldots, c_n$ .

- Consider the set up as above, that is,  $V_0$  is a non-trivial subspace of a finite dimensional inner product space V. Suppose  $\{v_1, \ldots, v_k\}$  is an orthonormal basis of  $V_0$  and  $\{v_1, \ldots, v_n\}$  is an orthonormal basis of V.
- Take

$$V_1 = \text{span } \{v_{k+1}, \dots, v_n\}.$$

- We claim that  $V_1 = (V_0)^{\perp}$  and  $\{v_{k+1}, \ldots, v_n\}$  is an ortho-normal basis of  $V_1$ .
- The second part is obvious. We only need to prove  $V_1 = (V_0)^{\perp}$ .
- ▶ Note that  $\langle v_i, v_j \rangle = 0$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq k$  and  $(k+1) \leq j \leq n$
- ▶ Therefore  $\langle \sum_{i=1}^k c_i v_i, \sum_{j=(k+1)}^n c_j v_j \rangle$  for any scalars  $c_1, \ldots, c_n$ .

- Consider the set up as above, that is,  $V_0$  is a non-trivial subspace of a finite dimensional inner product space V. Suppose  $\{v_1, \ldots, v_k\}$  is an orthonormal basis of  $V_0$  and  $\{v_1, \ldots, v_n\}$  is an orthonormal basis of V.
- Take

$$V_1 = \operatorname{span} \{v_{k+1}, \dots, v_n\}.$$

- We claim that  $V_1=(V_0)^{\perp}$  and  $\{v_{k+1},\ldots,v_n\}$  is an ortho-normal basis of  $V_1$ .
- ► The second part is obvious. We only need to prove  $V_1 = (V_0)^{\perp}$ .
- ▶ Note that  $\langle v_i, v_j \rangle = 0$  for all  $1 \le i \le k$  and  $(k+1) \le j \le n$
- ▶ Therefore  $\langle \sum_{i=1}^k c_i v_i, \sum_{j=(k+1)}^n c_j v_j \rangle$  for any scalars  $c_1, \ldots, c_n$ .
- ▶ This shows  $\langle x, y \rangle = 0$  for all  $x \in V_0$  and  $y \in V_1$ . Hence  $V_1 \subseteq (V_0)^{\perp}$ .



▶ Suppose  $x \in V_0^{\perp}$ .

- ▶ Suppose  $x \in V_0^{\perp}$ .
- As  $\{v_1, \ldots, v_n\}$  is an orthonormal basis of V, we get  $x = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \langle v_j, x \rangle v_j$ .

- ▶ Suppose  $x \in V_0^{\perp}$ .
- As  $\{v_1, \ldots, v_n\}$  is an orthonormal basis of V, we get  $x = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \langle v_i, x \rangle v_i$ .
- ▶ As x is orthogonal to  $V_0$ , we get  $\langle v_j, x \rangle = 0$  for  $1 \le j \le k$ .

- ► Suppose  $x \in V_0^{\perp}$ .
- As  $\{v_1, \ldots, v_n\}$  is an orthonormal basis of V, we get  $x = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \langle v_j, x \rangle v_j$ .
- ▶ As x is orthogonal to  $V_0$ , we get  $\langle v_j, x \rangle = 0$  for  $1 \leq j \leq k$ .
- ▶ Hence  $x = \sum_{j=k+1}^{n} \langle v_j, x \rangle v_j$  and therefore  $x \in V_1$ .

- ► Suppose  $x \in V_0^{\perp}$ .
- As  $\{v_1, \ldots, v_n\}$  is an orthonormal basis of V, we get  $x = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \langle v_i, x \rangle v_i$ .
- ▶ As x is orthogonal to  $V_0$ , we get  $\langle v_j, x \rangle = 0$  for  $1 \leq j \leq k$ .
- ▶ Hence  $x = \sum_{i=k+1}^{n} \langle v_i, x \rangle v_i$  and therefore  $x \in V_1$ .
- ▶ This proves  $(V_0)^{\perp} \subseteq V_1$  and completes the proof of our claim.

▶ Theorem 12.6: Let  $V_0$  be a subspace of a finite dimensional inner product space V. Then every  $x \in V$  decomposes uniquely as

$$x = y + z$$

where  $y \in V_0$  and  $z \in V_0^{\perp}$ .

▶ Theorem 12.6: Let  $V_0$  be a subspace of a finite dimensional inner product space V. Then every  $x \in V$  decomposes uniquely as

$$x = y + z$$

where  $y \in V_0$  and  $z \in V_0^{\perp}$ .

▶ Proof: Suppose  $V_0 = \{0\}$ . Then  $V_0^{\perp} = V$  and we can decompose x as x = 0 + x, with  $0 \in V_0$  and  $x \in V_0^{\perp}$ .

▶ Theorem 12.6: Let  $V_0$  be a subspace of a finite dimensional inner product space V. Then every  $x \in V$  decomposes uniquely as

$$x = y + z$$

where  $y \in V_0$  and  $z \in V_0^{\perp}$ .

- ▶ Proof: Suppose  $V_0 = \{0\}$ . Then  $V_0^{\perp} = V$  and we can decompose x as x = 0 + x, with  $0 \in V_0$  and  $x \in V_0^{\perp}$ .
- ▶ If  $V_0 \neq \{0\}$ , choose an orthonormal basis  $\{v_1, \dots, v_k\}$  for  $V_0$ . Extend it to an orthonormal basis  $\{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$  of V.

▶ Theorem 12.6: Let  $V_0$  be a subspace of a finite dimensional inner product space V. Then every  $x \in V$  decomposes uniquely as

$$x = y + z$$

where  $y \in V_0$  and  $z \in V_0^{\perp}$ .

- ▶ Proof: Suppose  $V_0 = \{0\}$ . Then  $V_0^{\perp} = V$  and we can decompose x as x = 0 + x, with  $0 \in V_0$  and  $x \in V_0^{\perp}$ .
- ▶ If  $V_0 \neq \{0\}$ , choose an orthonormal basis  $\{v_1, \ldots, v_k\}$  for  $V_0$ . Extend it to an orthonormal basis  $\{v_1, \ldots, v_n\}$  of V.
- Now we know that any  $x \in V$  decomposes as

$$x = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \langle v_j, x \rangle v_j$$

▶ Take

$$y = \sum_{j=1}^{k} \langle v_j, x \rangle v_j$$

and

$$z = \sum_{j=(k+1)}^{n} \langle v_j, x \rangle v_j.$$

Take

$$y = \sum_{j=1}^{k} \langle v_j, x \rangle v_j$$

and

$$z = \sum_{j=(k+1)}^{n} \langle v_j, x \rangle v_j.$$

▶ Clearly  $y \in V_0$  and  $z \in V_0^{\perp}$ . This proves the existence.

Take

$$y = \sum_{j=1}^{k} \langle v_j, x \rangle v_j$$

and

$$z = \sum_{j=(k+1)}^{n} \langle v_j, x \rangle v_j.$$

- ▶ Clearly  $y \in V_0$  and  $z \in V_0^{\perp}$ . This proves the existence.
- Suppose x = y + z and x = y' + z' are two decompositions of x with  $y, y' \in V_0$  and  $z, z' \in V_0^{\perp}$ .

Take

$$y = \sum_{j=1}^{k} \langle v_j, x \rangle v_j$$

and

$$z = \sum_{j=(k+1)}^{n} \langle v_j, x \rangle v_j.$$

- ▶ Clearly  $y \in V_0$  and  $z \in V_0^{\perp}$ . This proves the existence.
- Suppose x = y + z and x = y' + z' are two decompositions of x with  $y, y' \in V_0$  and  $z, z' \in V_0^{\perp}$ .
- ► We have,

$$y+z=y'+z'.$$

Take

$$y = \sum_{j=1}^{k} \langle v_j, x \rangle v_j$$

and

$$z = \sum_{j=(k+1)}^{n} \langle v_j, x \rangle v_j.$$

- ▶ Clearly  $y \in V_0$  and  $z \in V_0^{\perp}$ . This proves the existence.
- ▶ Suppose x = y + z and x = y' + z' are two decompositions of x with  $y, y' \in V_0$  and  $z, z' \in V_0^{\perp}$ .
- We have,

$$y + z = y' + z'.$$

▶ Therefore y - y' = z' - z. As  $y, y' \in V_0$ ,  $y - y' \in V_0$ .

Take

$$y = \sum_{j=1}^{k} \langle v_j, x \rangle v_j$$

and

$$z = \sum_{j=(k+1)}^{n} \langle v_j, x \rangle v_j.$$

- ▶ Clearly  $y \in V_0$  and  $z \in V_0^{\perp}$ . This proves the existence.
- Suppose x = y + z and x = y' + z' are two decompositions of x with  $y, y' \in V_0$  and  $z, z' \in V_0^{\perp}$ .
- We have,

$$y+z=y'+z'.$$

- ▶ Therefore y y' = z' z. As  $y, y' \in V_0$ ,  $y y' \in V_0$ .
- Also as  $z, z' \in V_0^{\perp}$ ,  $y y' = z' z \in V_0^{\perp}$ .

Take

$$y = \sum_{j=1}^{k} \langle v_j, x \rangle v_j$$

and

$$z = \sum_{j=(k+1)}^{n} \langle v_j, x \rangle v_j.$$

- ▶ Clearly  $y \in V_0$  and  $z \in V_0^{\perp}$ . This proves the existence.
- Suppose x = y + z and x = y' + z' are two decompositions of x with  $y, y' \in V_0$  and  $z, z' \in V_0^{\perp}$ .
- We have,

$$y+z=y'+z'.$$

- ► Therefore y y' = z' z. As  $y, y' \in V_0$ ,  $y y' \in V_0$ .
- Also as  $z, z' \in V_0^{\perp}$ ,  $y y' = z' z \in V_0^{\perp}$ .
- ► Hence  $\langle y y', y y' \rangle = 0$ . Consequently y = y' and z' = z. This proves the uniqueness.



Suppose V is a finite dimensional inner product space and let y be a non-zero vector in V.

- Suppose V is a finite dimensional inner product space and let y be a non-zero vector in V.
- ▶ Consider the one dimensional space  $V_0 = \{cy : c \in \mathbb{F}\}.$

- Suppose V is a finite dimensional inner product space and let y be a non-zero vector in V.
- ▶ Consider the one dimensional space  $V_0 = \{cy : c \in \mathbb{F}\}.$
- Now  $\{v\}$  is an ortho-normal basis for  $V_0$  where

$$v = \frac{y}{\|y\|}.$$

- Suppose V is a finite dimensional inner product space and let y be a non-zero vector in V.
- ▶ Consider the one dimensional space  $V_0 = \{cy : c \in \mathbb{F}\}.$
- Now  $\{v\}$  is an ortho-normal basis for  $V_0$  where

$$v = \frac{y}{\|y\|}.$$

▶ Therefore any  $x \in V$  decomposes as  $x = \langle v, x \rangle v + z$  where z is orthogonal to v.

- Suppose V is a finite dimensional inner product space and let y be a non-zero vector in V.
- ▶ Consider the one dimensional space  $V_0 = \{cy : c \in \mathbb{F}\}.$
- Now  $\{v\}$  is an ortho-normal basis for  $V_0$  where

$$v = \frac{y}{\|y\|}.$$

- ▶ Therefore any  $x \in V$  decomposes as  $x = \langle v, x \rangle v + z$  where z is orthogonal to v.
- As shown in the previous lecture this is related to Cauchy-Schwarz inequality.

Example 13.1: Let  $V = \mathbb{R}^n$  with the standard inner product. Let  $V_0 = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \sum_{i=1}^n x_i = 0\}$ .

- Example 13.1: Let  $V = \mathbb{R}^n$  with the standard inner product. Let  $V_0 = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \sum_{i=1}^n x_i = 0\}$ .
- We first analyze the case when n = 3. Now  $V = \mathbb{R}^3$  and

$$V_0 = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \end{pmatrix} : x_1 + x_2 + x_3 = 0 \right\}.$$

- Example 13.1: Let  $V = \mathbb{R}^n$  with the standard inner product. Let  $V_0 = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \sum_{i=1}^n x_i = 0\}$ .
- ▶ We first analyze the case when n = 3. Now  $V = \mathbb{R}^3$  and

$$V_0 = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \end{pmatrix} : x_1 + x_2 + x_3 = 0 \right\}.$$

One can see that

$$\left\{ \left( \begin{array}{c} 1\\ -1\\ 0 \end{array} \right), \left( \begin{array}{c} 1\\ 0\\ -1 \end{array} \right) \right\}$$

is a basis for  $V_0$ .

- Example 13.1: Let  $V = \mathbb{R}^n$  with the standard inner product. Let  $V_0 = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \sum_{i=1}^n x_i = 0\}$ .
- ▶ We first analyze the case when n = 3. Now  $V = \mathbb{R}^3$  and

$$V_0 = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \end{pmatrix} : x_1 + x_2 + x_3 = 0 \right\}.$$

One can see that

$$\left\{ \left( \begin{array}{c} 1\\ -1\\ 0 \end{array} \right), \left( \begin{array}{c} 1\\ 0\\ -1 \end{array} \right) \right\}$$

is a basis for  $V_0$ .

Let us apply Gram-Schmidt on this to get an orthonormal basis for  $V_0$ .



► We get the first vector as

$$v_1=\left(egin{array}{c} 1/\sqrt{2} \ -1/\sqrt{2} \ 0 \end{array}
ight).$$

► We get the first vector as

$$v_1 = \left( egin{array}{c} 1/\sqrt{2} \ -1/\sqrt{2} \ 0 \end{array} 
ight).$$

Now take

$$w_{2} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix} - \langle \begin{pmatrix} 1/\sqrt{2} \\ -1/\sqrt{2} \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix} \rangle \begin{pmatrix} 1/\sqrt{2} \\ -1/\sqrt{2} \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} 1/2 \\ -1/2 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} 1/2 \\ 1/2 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

► Now

$$v_2 = \frac{w_2}{\|w_2\|} = \begin{pmatrix} 1/\sqrt{6} \\ 1/\sqrt{6} \\ -2/\sqrt{6} \end{pmatrix}$$

► Now

$$v_2 = \frac{w_2}{\|w_2\|} = \begin{pmatrix} 1/\sqrt{6} \\ 1/\sqrt{6} \\ -2/\sqrt{6} \end{pmatrix}$$

•  $\{v_1, v_2\}$  is an ortho-normal basis for  $V_0$ .

Now

$$v_2 = \frac{w_2}{\|w_2\|} = \begin{pmatrix} 1/\sqrt{6} \\ 1/\sqrt{6} \\ -2/\sqrt{6} \end{pmatrix}$$

- $\{v_1, v_2\}$  is an ortho-normal basis for  $V_0$ .
- ▶ Given  $x \in \mathbb{R}^3$ , it decomposes as y + z, where  $y \in V_0$ ,  $z \in V_0^{\perp}$ .

$$y = \langle v_1, x \rangle v_1 + \langle v_2, x \rangle v_2$$

$$= \frac{x_1 - x_2}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 1/\sqrt{2} \\ -1/\sqrt{2} \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} + \frac{(x_1 + x_2 - 2x_3)}{\sqrt{6}} \begin{pmatrix} 1/\sqrt{6} \\ 1/\sqrt{6} \\ -2/\sqrt{6} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= \frac{1}{3} \begin{pmatrix} 2x_1 - x_2 - x_3 \\ -x_1 + 2x_2 - x_3 \\ -x_1 - x_2 + 2x_3 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$z = \frac{1}{3} \begin{pmatrix} x_1 + x_2 + x_3 \\ x_1 + x_2 + x_3 \\ x_1 + x_2 + x_3 \end{pmatrix}.$$

$$z = \frac{1}{3} \begin{pmatrix} x_1 + x_2 + x_3 \\ x_1 + x_2 + x_3 \\ x_1 + x_2 + x_3 \end{pmatrix}.$$

► For general n, with  $\overline{x} = \frac{1}{n}(x_1 + x_2 + \cdots + x_n)$ ,

$$y = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 - \overline{x} \\ x_2 - \overline{x} \\ \vdots \\ x_n - \overline{x} \end{pmatrix}, \quad z = \begin{pmatrix} \overline{x} \\ \overline{x} \\ \vdots \\ \overline{x} \end{pmatrix}$$

► For general n, with  $\overline{x} = \frac{1}{n}(x_1 + x_2 + \cdots + x_n)$ ,

$$y = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 - \overline{x} \\ x_2 - \overline{x} \\ \vdots \\ x_n - \overline{x} \end{pmatrix}, \quad z = \begin{pmatrix} \overline{x} \\ \overline{x} \\ \vdots \\ \overline{x} \end{pmatrix}$$

▶ It is easy to see that  $y \in V_0$ ,  $z \in (V_0)^{\perp}$  and x = y + z.



## Projection as a linear map

▶ Definition 13.2: Let  $V_0$  be a subspace of a finite dimensional inner product space V. Then the projection on to  $V_0$ , is the map

$$P: V \rightarrow V$$

defined by

$$P(x) = y$$

where x = y + z, with  $y \in V_0, z \in (V_0)^{\perp}$ .

## Projection as a linear map

▶ Definition 13.2: Let  $V_0$  be a subspace of a finite dimensional inner product space V. Then the projection on to  $V_0$ , is the map

$$P: V \rightarrow V$$

defined by

$$P(x) = y$$

where x = y + z, with  $y \in V_0, z \in (V_0)^{\perp}$ .

Note that since every  $x \in V$  decomposes uniquely as above, P is well-defined. If we want to emphasize the dependence of P on  $V_0$ , we may denote it by  $P_{V_0}$ .

## Projection as a linear map

▶ Definition 13.2: Let  $V_0$  be a subspace of a finite dimensional inner product space V. Then the projection on to  $V_0$ , is the map

$$P: V \rightarrow V$$

defined by

$$P(x) = y$$

where x = y + z, with  $y \in V_0, z \in (V_0)^{\perp}$ .

- Note that since every  $x \in V$  decomposes uniquely as above, P is well-defined. If we want to emphasize the dependence of P on  $V_0$ , we may denote it by  $P_{V_0}$ .
- ▶ Theorem 13.3: Under the set up as above,

▶ Definition 13.2: Let  $V_0$  be a subspace of a finite dimensional inner product space V. Then the projection on to  $V_0$ , is the map

$$P: V \rightarrow V$$

defined by

$$P(x) = y$$

- Note that since every  $x \in V$  decomposes uniquely as above, P is well-defined. If we want to emphasize the dependence of P on  $V_0$ , we may denote it by  $P_{V_0}$ .
- ▶ Theorem 13.3: Under the set up as above,
- ▶ (i) P is a linear map. (ii) Px = x if and only if  $x \in V_0$  and Px = 0 if and only if  $x \in (V_0)^{\perp}$ .

▶ Definition 13.2: Let  $V_0$  be a subspace of a finite dimensional inner product space V. Then the projection on to  $V_0$ , is the map

$$P: V \rightarrow V$$

defined by

$$P(x) = y$$

- Note that since every  $x \in V$  decomposes uniquely as above, P is well-defined. If we want to emphasize the dependence of P on  $V_0$ , we may denote it by  $P_{V_0}$ .
- ► Theorem 13.3: Under the set up as above,
- (i) P is a linear map. (ii) Px = x if and only if  $x \in V_0$  and Px = 0 if and only if  $x \in (V_0)^{\perp}$ .
- ▶ (iii)  $P(V) = V_0$ .

▶ Definition 13.2: Let  $V_0$  be a subspace of a finite dimensional inner product space V. Then the projection on to  $V_0$ , is the map

$$P: V \rightarrow V$$

defined by

$$P(x) = y$$

- Note that since every  $x \in V$  decomposes uniquely as above, P is well-defined. If we want to emphasize the dependence of P on  $V_0$ , we may denote it by  $P_{V_0}$ .
- ► Theorem 13.3: Under the set up as above,
- (i) P is a linear map. (ii) Px = x if and only if  $x \in V_0$  and Px = 0 if and only if  $x \in (V_0)^{\perp}$ .
- (iii)  $P(V) = V_0$ .
- ightharpoonup (iv)  $P = P^2 = P^*$ .



▶ Definition 13.2: Let  $V_0$  be a subspace of a finite dimensional inner product space V. Then the projection on to  $V_0$ , is the map

$$P: V \rightarrow V$$

defined by

$$P(x) = y$$

- Note that since every  $x \in V$  decomposes uniquely as above, P is well-defined. If we want to emphasize the dependence of P on  $V_0$ , we may denote it by  $P_{V_0}$ .
- ► Theorem 13.3: Under the set up as above,
- (i) P is a linear map. (ii) Px = x if and only if  $x \in V_0$  and Px = 0 if and only if  $x \in (V_0)^{\perp}$ .
- $(iii) P(V) = V_0.$
- $P = P^2 = P^*$ .
- $(v) P_{V_1} = I P \text{ where } V_1 = (V_0)^{\perp}.$



▶ Proof. If  $V_0 = \{0\}$  then P = 0 and all the properties mentioned above are easy to see.

- Proof. If  $V_0 = \{0\}$  then P = 0 and all the properties mentioned above are easy to see.
- ▶ So assume  $V_0 \neq \{0\}$ .

- ▶ Proof. If  $V_0 = \{0\}$  then P = 0 and all the properties mentioned above are easy to see.
- ▶ So assume  $V_0 \neq \{0\}$ .
- ▶ (i). Let  $\{v_1, \ldots, v_k\}$  be an orthonormal basis of  $V_0$ . Extend it to an orthonormal basis  $\{v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_n\}$  of V.
- ▶ Then we know that

$$P(x) = \sum_{j=1}^{k} \langle v_j, x \rangle v_j.$$

(Note that P does not depend upon the choice of this basis!)

- ▶ Proof. If  $V_0 = \{0\}$  then P = 0 and all the properties mentioned above are easy to see.
- ▶ So assume  $V_0 \neq \{0\}$ .
- ▶ (i). Let  $\{v_1, \ldots, v_k\}$  be an orthonormal basis of  $V_0$ . Extend it to an orthonormal basis  $\{v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_n\}$  of V.
- ▶ Then we know that

$$P(x) = \sum_{j=1}^{k} \langle v_j, x \rangle v_j.$$

(Note that P does not depend upon the choice of this basis!)

➤ Since the inner product is linear in the second variable, *P* is a linear map. This proves (i).

▶ (ii). We know that  $x = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \langle v_j, x \rangle v_j$ . Therefore Px = x implies

$$\sum_{j=k+1}^{n} \langle v_j, x \rangle v_j = 0.$$

Therefore  $x = \sum_{j=1}^k \langle v_j, x \rangle v_j$  and hence  $x \in V_0$ .

▶ (ii). We know that  $x = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \langle v_j, x \rangle v_j$ . Therefore Px = x implies

$$\sum_{j=k+1}^{n} \langle v_j, x \rangle v_j = 0.$$

Therefore  $x = \sum_{j=1}^k \langle v_j, x \rangle v_j$  and hence  $x \in V_0$ .

▶ The converse is easy to see from the definition of *P*.

▶ (ii). We know that  $x = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \langle v_j, x \rangle v_j$ . Therefore Px = x implies

$$\sum_{j=k+1}^n \langle v_j, x \rangle v_j = 0.$$

Therefore  $x = \sum_{j=1}^{k} \langle v_j, x \rangle v_j$  and hence  $x \in V_0$ .

- ▶ The converse is easy to see from the definition of *P*.
- Now if Px = 0, then  $\sum_{j=1}^{k} \langle v_j, x \rangle v_j = 0$  and hence  $x = \sum_{j=k+1}^{n} \langle v_j, x \rangle v_j$ , that is,  $x \in (V_0)^{\perp}$ .

▶ (ii). We know that  $x = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \langle v_j, x \rangle v_j$ . Therefore Px = x implies

$$\sum_{j=k+1}^n \langle v_j, x \rangle v_j = 0.$$

Therefore  $x = \sum_{j=1}^{k} \langle v_j, x \rangle v_j$  and hence  $x \in V_0$ .

- ▶ The converse is easy to see from the definition of *P*.
- Now if Px = 0, then  $\sum_{j=1}^{k} \langle v_j, x \rangle v_j = 0$  and hence  $x = \sum_{j=k+1}^{n} \langle v_j, x \rangle v_j$ , that is,  $x \in (V_0)^{\perp}$ .
- ▶ Conversely if  $x \in (V_0)^{\perp}$ , then  $x = \sum_{j=k+1}^{n} \langle v_j, x \rangle v_j$ , and consequently Px = 0.

▶ (ii). We know that  $x = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \langle v_j, x \rangle v_j$ . Therefore Px = x implies

$$\sum_{j=k+1}^n \langle v_j, x \rangle v_j = 0.$$

Therefore  $x = \sum_{j=1}^{k} \langle v_j, x \rangle v_j$  and hence  $x \in V_0$ .

- ▶ The converse is easy to see from the definition of *P*.
- Now if Px = 0, then  $\sum_{j=1}^{k} \langle v_j, x \rangle v_j = 0$  and hence  $x = \sum_{j=k+1}^{n} \langle v_j, x \rangle v_j$ , that is,  $x \in (V_0)^{\perp}$ .
- ▶ Conversely if  $x \in (V_0)^{\perp}$ , then  $x = \sum_{j=k+1}^{n} \langle v_j, x \rangle v_j$ , and consequently Px = 0.
- ► This proves (ii).

• (iii). We want to show  $P(V) = V_0$ .

- ightharpoonup (iii). We want to show  $P(V) = V_0$ .
- From the formula given for P,  $Px \in V_0$  for every  $x \in V$  and hence  $P(V) \subseteq V_0$ . Since Px = x for every  $x \in V_0$ , the range of P includes whole of  $V_0$ . This proves (iii).

- ightharpoonup (iii). We want to show  $P(V) = V_0$ .
- ▶ From the formula given for P,  $Px \in V_0$  for every  $x \in V$  and hence  $P(V) \subseteq V_0$ . Since Px = x for every  $x \in V_0$ , the range of P includes whole of  $V_0$ . This proves (iii).

- (iii). We want to show  $P(V) = V_0$ .
- ▶ From the formula given for P,  $Px \in V_0$  for every  $x \in V$  and hence  $P(V) \subseteq V_0$ . Since Px = x for every  $x \in V_0$ , the range of P includes whole of  $V_0$ . This proves (iii).
- $\blacktriangleright$  (iv). If  $x = \sum_{j=1}^{n} c_j v_j$ , then  $Px = \sum_{j=1}^{k} c_j v_j$ .

- ightharpoonup (iii). We want to show  $P(V) = V_0$ .
- ▶ From the formula given for P,  $Px \in V_0$  for every  $x \in V$  and hence  $P(V) \subseteq V_0$ . Since Px = x for every  $x \in V_0$ , the range of P includes whole of  $V_0$ . This proves (iii).
- (iv). If  $x = \sum_{j=1}^{n} c_j v_j$ , then  $Px = \sum_{j=1}^{k} c_j v_j$ .
- ► Now  $P(P(x)) = P(\sum_{j=1}^{k} c_j v_j) = \sum_{j=1}^{k} c_j v_j = Px$ .

- (iii). We want to show  $P(V) = V_0$ .
- ▶ From the formula given for P,  $Px \in V_0$  for every  $x \in V$  and hence  $P(V) \subseteq V_0$ . Since Px = x for every  $x \in V_0$ , the range of P includes whole of  $V_0$ . This proves (iii).
- $\blacktriangleright$  (iv). If  $x = \sum_{j=1}^{n} c_j v_j$ , then  $Px = \sum_{j=1}^{k} c_j v_j$ .
- ► Now  $P(P(x)) = P(\sum_{j=1}^{k} c_j v_j) = \sum_{j=1}^{k} c_j v_j = Px$ .
- ► Hence  $P^2(x) = P(x)$  for every x, or  $P^2 = P$ .

Suppose  $x_1, x_2$  are in V. Let  $x_1 = y_1 + z_1$  and  $x_2 = y_2 + z_2$  be the unique decompositions of  $x_1, x_2$  so that

$$y_1, y_2 \in V_0; \quad z_1, z_2 \in V_0^{\perp}.$$

Suppose  $x_1, x_2$  are in V. Let  $x_1 = y_1 + z_1$  and  $x_2 = y_2 + z_2$  be the unique decompositions of  $x_1, x_2$  so that

$$y_1, y_2 \in V_0; \quad z_1, z_2 \in V_0^{\perp}.$$

Note that  $\langle y_i, z_j \rangle = 0$  for all i, j.

Suppose  $x_1, x_2$  are in V. Let  $x_1 = y_1 + z_1$  and  $x_2 = y_2 + z_2$  be the unique decompositions of  $x_1, x_2$  so that

$$y_1, y_2 \in V_0; \quad z_1, z_2 \in V_0^{\perp}.$$

- Note that  $\langle y_i, z_i \rangle = 0$  for all i, j.
- Now

$$\langle Px_1, x_2 \rangle = \langle y_1, y_2 + z_2 \rangle = \langle y_1, y_2 \rangle.$$

Suppose  $x_1, x_2$  are in V. Let  $x_1 = y_1 + z_1$  and  $x_2 = y_2 + z_2$  be the unique decompositions of  $x_1, x_2$  so that

$$y_1, y_2 \in V_0; \quad z_1, z_2 \in V_0^{\perp}.$$

- Note that  $\langle y_i, z_i \rangle = 0$  for all i, j.
- Now

$$\langle Px_1, x_2 \rangle = \langle y_1, y_2 + z_2 \rangle = \langle y_1, y_2 \rangle.$$

Similarly,

$$\langle x_1, Px_2 \rangle = \langle y_1 + z_1, y_2 \rangle = \langle y_1, y_2 \rangle.$$

Suppose  $x_1, x_2$  are in V. Let  $x_1 = y_1 + z_1$  and  $x_2 = y_2 + z_2$  be the unique decompositions of  $x_1, x_2$  so that

$$y_1, y_2 \in V_0; \quad z_1, z_2 \in V_0^{\perp}.$$

- Note that  $\langle y_i, z_i \rangle = 0$  for all i, j.
- Now

$$\langle Px_1, x_2 \rangle = \langle y_1, y_2 + z_2 \rangle = \langle y_1, y_2 \rangle.$$

Similarly,

$$\langle x_1, Px_2 \rangle = \langle y_1 + z_1, y_2 \rangle = \langle y_1, y_2 \rangle.$$

Consequently,

$$\langle Px_1, x_2 \rangle = \langle x_1, Px_2 \rangle$$

for all  $x_1, x_2$  in V.



Suppose  $x_1, x_2$  are in V. Let  $x_1 = y_1 + z_1$  and  $x_2 = y_2 + z_2$  be the unique decompositions of  $x_1, x_2$  so that

$$y_1, y_2 \in V_0; \quad z_1, z_2 \in V_0^{\perp}.$$

- Note that  $\langle y_i, z_j \rangle = 0$  for all i, j.
- Now

$$\langle Px_1, x_2 \rangle = \langle y_1, y_2 + z_2 \rangle = \langle y_1, y_2 \rangle.$$

Similarly,

$$\langle x_1, Px_2 \rangle = \langle y_1 + z_1, y_2 \rangle = \langle y_1, y_2 \rangle.$$

Consequently,

$$\langle Px_1, x_2 \rangle = \langle x_1, Px_2 \rangle$$

for all  $x_1, x_2$  in V.

▶ This shows that  $P^* = P$  from the defining property of the adjoint of P.



▶ (v). If  $x = \sum_{j=1}^{n} c_j v_j$ ,

$$P_{V_0}(x) = \sum_{j=1}^k c_j v_j, \quad P_{V_1}(x) = \sum_{j=k+1}^n c_j v_j.$$

▶ (v). If  $x = \sum_{j=1}^{n} c_j v_j$ ,

$$P_{V_0}(x) = \sum_{j=1}^k c_j v_j, \quad P_{V_1}(x) = \sum_{j=k+1}^n c_j v_j.$$

From these formulae, it is easy to see that  $P_{V_1} = 1 - P_{V_0}$ .

▶ (v). If  $x = \sum_{j=1}^{n} c_j v_j$ ,

$$P_{V_0}(x) = \sum_{j=1}^k c_j v_j, \quad P_{V_1}(x) = \sum_{j=k+1}^n c_j v_j.$$

- From these formulae, it is easy to see that  $P_{V_1} = 1 P_{V_0}$ .
- ▶ This completes the proof Theorem 13.2.

• (v). If  $x = \sum_{j=1}^{n} c_j v_j$ ,

$$P_{V_0}(x) = \sum_{j=1}^k c_j v_j, \quad P_{V_1}(x) = \sum_{j=k+1}^n c_j v_j.$$

- From these formulae, it is easy to see that  $P_{V_1} = 1 P_{V_0}$ .
- ▶ This completes the proof Theorem 13.2.
- ▶ Remark 13.4: Observe that  $P_{\{0\}} = 0$  and  $P_V = I$ . In particular,

$$P_V(x) = x = \sum_{j=1}^n \langle v_j, x \rangle v_j$$

independent of the choice of the basis.

• (v). If  $x = \sum_{j=1}^{n} c_j v_j$ ,

$$P_{V_0}(x) = \sum_{j=1}^k c_j v_j, \quad P_{V_1}(x) = \sum_{j=k+1}^n c_j v_j.$$

- From these formulae, it is easy to see that  $P_{V_1} = 1 P_{V_0}$ .
- This completes the proof Theorem 13.2.
- ▶ Remark 13.4: Observe that  $P_{\{0\}} = 0$  and  $P_V = I$ . In particular,

$$P_V(x) = x = \sum_{j=1}^n \langle v_j, x \rangle v_j$$

independent of the choice of the basis.

▶ We have just revisited our formula for the expansion of x in terms of an orthonormal basis.



Notation: Let A, B be non-empty subsets of an inner product space V and let  $a \in V$ . Then

$$d(A,B) := \inf\{d(a,b) : a \in A, b \in B\}$$

$$d(a,B) := \inf\{d(a,b) : b \in B\}.$$

Notation: Let A, B be non-empty subsets of an inner product space V and let  $a \in V$ . Then

$$d(A,B) := \inf\{d(a,b) : a \in A, b \in B\}$$

and

$$d(a,B) := \inf\{d(a,b) : b \in B\}.$$

We may informally call d(A, B) as the distance between A, B and d(a, B) as the distance between a and B. But note that now we may have d(A, B) = 0 without having A = B.

Notation: Let A, B be non-empty subsets of an inner product space V and let  $a \in V$ . Then

$$d(A,B) := \inf\{d(a,b) : a \in A, b \in B\}$$

$$d(a,B) := \inf\{d(a,b) : b \in B\}.$$

- We may informally call d(A, B) as the distance between A, B and d(a, B) as the distance between a and B. But note that now we may have d(A, B) = 0 without having A = B.
- In general, d(a, B) may not be attained at any point in B. Also when it is attained it may not be at some unique point in B.

Notation: Let A, B be non-empty subsets of an inner product space V and let  $a \in V$ . Then

$$d(A,B) := \inf\{d(a,b) : a \in A, b \in B\}$$

$$d(a,B) := \inf\{d(a,b) : b \in B\}.$$

- We may informally call d(A, B) as the distance between A, B and d(a, B) as the distance between a and B. But note that now we may have d(A, B) = 0 without having A = B.
- In general, d(a, B) may not be attained at any point in B. Also when it is attained it may not be at some unique point in B.
- ► Example 14.1: Take  $V = \mathbb{R}^2$ . Take a = (1,0). Consider  $B_1 = \{(x_1, x_2) : x_1 < 0\}$  and  $B_2 = \{(x_1, x_2) : |x_1 1| \ge 1\}$

Notation: Let A, B be non-empty subsets of an inner product space V and let  $a \in V$ . Then

$$d(A,B) := \inf\{d(a,b) : a \in A, b \in B\}$$

$$d(a,B) := \inf\{d(a,b) : b \in B\}.$$

- We may informally call d(A, B) as the distance between A, B and d(a, B) as the distance between a and B. But note that now we may have d(A, B) = 0 without having A = B.
- In general, d(a, B) may not be attained at any point in B. Also when it is attained it may not be at some unique point in B.
- Example 14.1: Take  $V = \mathbb{R}^2$ . Take a = (1,0). Consider  $B_1 = \{(x_1, x_2) : x_1 < 0\}$  and  $B_2 = \{(x_1, x_2) : |x_1 1| \ge 1\}$
- ▶ Then  $d(a, B_1) = 1$  is not attained at any point.  $d(a, B_2) = 1$  gets attained at two points.



## Best approximation property

▶ Theorem 14.2: Let  $V_0$  be a subspace of an inner product space V. Let P be the projection onto  $V_0$ . Then for  $x \in V$ ,

$$d(x, V_0) = d(x, Px).$$

Moreover, Px is the unique point v such that  $d(x, v) = d(x, V_0)$ .

## Best approximation property

▶ Theorem 14.2: Let  $V_0$  be a subspace of an inner product space V. Let P be the projection onto  $V_0$ . Then for  $x \in V$ ,

$$d(x, V_0) = d(x, Px).$$

Moreover, Px is the unique point v such that  $d(x, v) = d(x, V_0)$ .

▶ This theorem tells us that Px is the unique 'best approximation' for x in  $V_0$ .

## Best approximation property

▶ Theorem 14.2: Let  $V_0$  be a subspace of an inner product space V. Let P be the projection onto  $V_0$ . Then for  $x \in V$ ,

$$d(x, V_0) = d(x, Px).$$

Moreover, Px is the unique point v such that  $d(x, v) = d(x, V_0)$ .

- ▶ This theorem tells us that Px is the unique 'best approximation' for x in  $V_0$ .
- ▶ Proof: Suppose x = y + z, is the unique decomposition of x, with  $y \in V_0$ ,  $z \in V_0^{\perp}$ .

▶ We have Px = y. Now consider any  $v \in V_0$ . Due to orthogonality of y - v and z, we get

▶ We have Px = y. Now consider any  $v \in V_0$ . Due to orthogonality of y - v and z, we get

$$||x - v||^2 = ||(y + z) - v||^2$$
  
=  $\langle (y - v) + z, (y - v) + z \rangle$   
=  $||(y - v)||^2 + ||z||^2$ .

▶ We have Px = y. Now consider any  $v \in V_0$ . Due to orthogonality of y - v and z, we get

$$||x - v||^2 = ||(y + z) - v||^2$$
  
=  $\langle (y - v) + z, (y - v) + z \rangle$   
=  $||(y - v)||^2 + ||z||^2$ .

► Hence

$$\inf_{v \in V_0} \|x - v\|^2 = \|z\|^2$$

and the infimum is attained only at v = y.

▶ We have Px = y. Now consider any  $v \in V_0$ . Due to orthogonality of y - v and z, we get

$$||x - v||^2 = ||(y + z) - v||^2$$
  
=  $\langle (y - v) + z, (y - v) + z \rangle$   
=  $||(y - v)||^2 + ||z||^2$ .

► Hence

$$\inf_{v \in V_0} \|x - v\|^2 = \|z\|^2$$

and the infimum is attained only at v = y.

► This proves the theorem.

▶ We have Px = y. Now consider any  $v \in V_0$ . Due to orthogonality of y - v and z, we get

$$||x - v||^2 = ||(y + z) - v||^2$$
  
=  $\langle (y - v) + z, (y - v) + z \rangle$   
=  $||(y - v)||^2 + ||z||^2$ .

► Hence

$$\inf_{v \in V_0} \|x - v\|^2 = \|z\|^2$$

and the infimum is attained only at v = y.

- ► This proves the theorem.
- Note that we are using the 'Pythagoras theorem' of inner product spaces.

▶ Consider the Example 13.1, where  $V = \mathbb{R}^n$  and

- ▶ Consider the Example 13.1, where  $V = \mathbb{R}^n$  and
- ▶  $V_0 = \{x \in V : \sum_{j=1}^n x_j = 0\}.$

- lacktriangle Consider the Example 13.1, where  $V=\mathbb{R}^n$  and
- ▶  $V_0 = \{x \in V : \sum_{j=1}^n x_j = 0\}.$

$$V_1=(V_0)^\perp=\{\left(egin{array}{c}c\c\c\c\c\end{array}
ight):c\in\mathbb{R}\}.$$

- lacktriangle Consider the Example 13.1, where  $V=\mathbb{R}^n$  and
- ▶  $V_0 = \{x \in V : \sum_{j=1}^n x_j = 0\}.$

$$V_1=(V_0)^\perp=\{\left(egin{array}{c}c\c\c\c\c\end{array}
ight):c\in\mathbb{R}\}.$$

▶ Let  $P_1$  be the projection onto  $V_1$ .

- lacktriangle Consider the Example 13.1, where  $V=\mathbb{R}^n$  and
- ▶  $V_0 = \{x \in V : \sum_{j=1}^n x_j = 0\}.$

$$V_1=(V_0)^\perp=\{\left(egin{array}{c}c\c\c\c\c\end{array}
ight):c\in\mathbb{R}\}.$$

- ▶ Let  $P_1$  be the projection onto  $V_1$ .
- ► Then  $P_1 x = \frac{1}{n} (x_1 + \dots + x_n) =: \overline{x}$ .

So the best approximation for  $x = (x_1, ..., x_n)$  among constant sequences is  $(\overline{x}, ..., \overline{x})$ .

- So the best approximation for  $x = (x_1, ..., x_n)$  among constant sequences is  $(\overline{x}, ..., \overline{x})$ .
- In other words, we have proved the theorem

$$\inf_{c \in \mathbb{R}} \sum_{j=1}^{n} (x_j - c)^2 = \sum_{j=1}^{n} (x_j - \overline{x})^2.$$

- So the best approximation for  $x = (x_1, ..., x_n)$  among constant sequences is  $(\overline{x}, ..., \overline{x})$ .
- In other words, we have proved the theorem

$$\inf_{c \in \mathbb{R}} \sum_{j=1}^{n} (x_j - c)^2 = \sum_{j=1}^{n} (x_j - \overline{x})^2.$$

▶ This value is n times the variance of the tuple  $\{x_1, \ldots, x_n\}$ . In other words,

$$\inf_{c \in \mathbb{R}} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} (x_j - c)^2 = \text{Var } \{x_1, \dots, x_n\},$$

and the infimum gets attained only at  $\overline{x}$ .



- So the best approximation for  $x = (x_1, ..., x_n)$  among constant sequences is  $(\overline{x}, ..., \overline{x})$ .
- In other words, we have proved the theorem

$$\inf_{c \in \mathbb{R}} \sum_{j=1}^{n} (x_j - c)^2 = \sum_{j=1}^{n} (x_j - \overline{x})^2.$$

▶ This value is n times the variance of the tuple  $\{x_1, \ldots, x_n\}$ . In other words,

$$\inf_{c \in \mathbb{R}} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} (x_j - c)^2 = \text{Var } \{x_1, \dots, x_n\},$$

and the infimum gets attained only at  $\overline{x}$ .

Exercise: Work out more examples.

▶ Example 14.3: Consider  $V = \mathbb{R}^2$ . Let  $V_0 = \{c \begin{pmatrix} \cos \theta \\ \sin \theta \end{pmatrix} : c \in \mathbb{R}\}$  where  $\theta$  is a fixed real number. Write down the matrix of the projection onto  $V_0$ .

- Example 14.3: Consider  $V=\mathbb{R}^2$ . Let  $V_0=\{c\begin{pmatrix}\cos\theta\\\sin\theta\end{pmatrix}:c\in\mathbb{R}\}$  where  $\theta$  is a fixed real number. Write down the matrix of the projection onto  $V_0$ .
- ► Solution. We take

$$P = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \theta \\ \sin \theta \end{pmatrix} . \left( \cos \theta \sin \theta \right) .$$

- Example 14.3: Consider  $V=\mathbb{R}^2$ . Let  $V_0=\{c\begin{pmatrix}\cos\theta\\\sin\theta\end{pmatrix}:c\in\mathbb{R}\}$  where  $\theta$  is a fixed real number. Write down the matrix of the projection onto  $V_0$ .
- ► Solution. We take

$$P = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \theta \\ \sin \theta \end{pmatrix} . \left( \cos \theta \sin \theta \right) .$$

▶ Then for any vector  $x \in \mathbb{R}^2$ ,

$$Px = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \theta \\ \sin \theta \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} \cos \theta & \sin \theta \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{pmatrix} = v \langle v, x \rangle = \langle v, x \rangle \cdot v,$$



- Example 14.3: Consider  $V=\mathbb{R}^2$ . Let  $V_0=\{c\left(\begin{matrix}\cos\theta\\\sin\theta\end{matrix}\right):c\in\mathbb{R}\}$  where  $\theta$  is a fixed real number. Write down the matrix of the projection onto  $V_0$ .
- ► Solution. We take

$$P = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \theta \\ \sin \theta \end{pmatrix} . \left( \cos \theta \sin \theta \right) .$$

▶ Then for any vector  $x \in \mathbb{R}^2$ ,

$$Px = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \theta \\ \sin \theta \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} \cos \theta & \sin \theta \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{pmatrix} = v \langle v, x \rangle = \langle v, x \rangle \cdot v,$$

where

$$v = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \theta \\ \sin \theta \end{pmatrix}$$
.

▶ Observe that  $\{v\}$  is an orthonormal basis for  $V_0$ .

- ▶ Observe that  $\{v\}$  is an orthonormal basis for  $V_0$ .
- ► Therefore *P* given as above, that is,

$$P = \begin{bmatrix} \cos^2 \theta & \sin \theta \cos \theta \\ \sin \theta \cos \theta & \sin^2 \theta \end{bmatrix},$$

is the projection on to  $V_0$ .

- ▶ Observe that  $\{v\}$  is an orthonormal basis for  $V_0$ .
- Therefore P given as above, that is,

$$P = \begin{bmatrix} \cos^2 \theta & \sin \theta \cos \theta \\ \sin \theta \cos \theta & \sin^2 \theta \end{bmatrix},$$

is the projection on to  $V_0$ .

▶ You may verify  $P = P^2 = P^*$  and  $P(\mathbb{R}^2) = V_0$ .

- ▶ Observe that  $\{v\}$  is an orthonormal basis for  $V_0$ .
- Therefore P given as above, that is,

$$P = \begin{bmatrix} \cos^2 \theta & \sin \theta \cos \theta \\ \sin \theta \cos \theta & \sin^2 \theta \end{bmatrix},$$

is the projection on to  $V_0$ .

▶ You may verify  $P = P^2 = P^*$  and  $P(\mathbb{R}^2) = V_0$ .

- ▶ Observe that  $\{v\}$  is an orthonormal basis for  $V_0$ .
- ► Therefore *P* given as above, that is,

$$P = \begin{bmatrix} \cos^2 \theta & \sin \theta \cos \theta \\ \sin \theta \cos \theta & \sin^2 \theta \end{bmatrix},$$

is the projection on to  $V_0$ .

- ▶ You may verify  $P = P^2 = P^*$  and  $P(\mathbb{R}^2) = V_0$ .
- ► END OF LECTURE 14.