

Proof: Follows directly from the symmetry of covariance.

(2) (Shift-invariance) Suppose X, Y are jointly distributed nondegenerate r.v.s having finite 2nd moments. Then for any $b, d \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$\rho(X+b, Y+d) = \rho(X, Y).$$

Proof: Follows from the shift-invariance of covariance and variance:

$$\left. \begin{aligned} \text{Cov}(X+b, Y+d) &= \text{Cov}(X, Y) \\ \text{Var}(X+b) &= \text{Var}(X) \\ \text{Var}(Y+d) &= \text{Var}(Y) \end{aligned} \right\} \begin{array}{l} \text{Exc: Prove} \\ \text{these.} \end{array}$$

(3) (Scale-invariance of the absolute value of correlation)

Suppose X, Y are jointly distributed nondegenerate r.v.s having finite 2nd moments. Then for any $a, c \in \mathbb{R}$, the following trichotomy holds:

$$\rho(aX, cY) = \begin{cases} \rho(X, Y) & \text{if } ac > 0, \\ \bullet \text{ undefined} & \text{if } ac = 0, \\ -\rho(X, Y) & \text{if } ac < 0. \end{cases}$$

(219)

Proof: If $ac=0$, then either $a=0$ or $c=0$, which yields $(\text{Var}(aX)=0 \text{ or } \text{Var}(cY)=0)$ and $\text{Cov}(aX, cY) = ac \text{Cov}(X, Y) = 0$ leading to a $\frac{0}{0}$ form for ~~corre~~ $\rho(aX, cY)$.

Assume now that $ac \neq 0$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
 \rho(aX, cY) &= \frac{\text{Cov}(aX, cY)}{\sqrt{\text{Var}(aX) \text{Var}(cY)}} \\
 &= \frac{ac \text{Cov}(X, Y)}{\sqrt{a^2 \text{Var}(X) c^2 \text{Var}(Y)}} \\
 &= \frac{ac}{|ac|} \frac{\text{Cov}(X, Y)}{\sqrt{\text{Var}(X) \text{Var}(Y)}} \\
 &= \frac{ac}{|ac|} \rho(X, Y) \\
 &= \begin{cases} \rho(X, Y) & \text{if } ac > 0, \\ -\rho(X, Y) & \text{if } ac < 0. \end{cases}
 \end{aligned}$$

(4) (Correlation is invariant under change of units)

Suppose X and Y are jointly distributed nondegenerate r.v.s having finite 2nd moments.

Take $a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $a \neq 0$ and $c \neq 0$.

Then the following dichotomy holds:

$$\rho(aX+b, \frac{cY+d}{h}) = \begin{cases} \rho(X, Y) & \text{if } ac > 0, \\ -\rho(X, Y) & \text{if } ac < 0. \end{cases}$$

Proof: (2) + (3) \Rightarrow (4).

Remarks: ① Suppose we want to measure the correlation coefficient between the ^{daily} maximum temperature of Bangalore in the months of January and March. Property (4) says that the value of ~~the~~ this correlation coefficient remains unchanged even if we change the unit of temperature from $^{\circ}\text{C}$ to $^{\circ}\text{F}$.

② So far, we have only discussed properties of correlation coefficient that makes it a good measure of association. Now we shall discuss a property that tells us that correlation essentially

(221)

measures (the amount and the direction of) the linear association. The proof of the following property follows from the Cauchy-Schwarz Inequality (see Pg (210)) and the discussions in Pg (213) - (216).

(5) Suppose X and Y are jointly distributed nondegenerate r.v.s having finite 2nd moment.

Then $-1 \leq \rho(X, Y) \leq +1$.

Moreover,

(a) $\rho(X, Y) = 1$ holds if and only if there exist $a > 0$ and $b \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $P[Y = aX + b] = 1$.
 (Complete ^(or perfect) positive linear association)

(b) $\rho(X, Y) = -1$ holds if and only if there exist $a < 0$ and $b \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $P[Y = aX + b] = 1$.
 (Complete ^(or perfect) negative linear association)

(c) $X \perp Y \Rightarrow \rho(X, Y) = 0$ but

$\rho(X, Y) = 0 \not\Rightarrow X \perp Y$.
 (does not always imply)

(222)

Proof: Let $\mu_x = E(X)$ and $\mu_y = E(Y)$. Both of these exist and are finite because of the hypothesis that X, Y have finite 2nd moments.

Applying Cauchy-Schwarz inequality (see Pg (210)) on the ^{jointly distributed} r.v.s $U = X - \mu_x$ and $V = Y - \mu_y$ with finite 2nd moments, we get

$$|E(UV)| \leq +\sqrt{E(U^2) E(V^2)}$$

$$\Leftrightarrow |E[(X - \mu_x)(Y - \mu_y)]| \leq +\sqrt{E[(X - \mu_x)^2] E[(Y - \mu_y)^2]}$$

$$\Leftrightarrow |\text{Cov}(X, Y)| \leq +\sqrt{\text{Var}(X) \text{Var}(Y)}$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \frac{|\text{Cov}(X, Y)|}{+\sqrt{\text{Var}(X) \text{Var}(Y)}} \leq 1$$

$\left[\begin{array}{l} \because X, Y \text{ nondegenerate} \\ \Rightarrow \text{Var}(X) > 0 \text{ and } \\ \text{Var}(Y) > 0 \end{array} \right]$

$$\Leftrightarrow |\rho(X, Y)| \leq 1$$

$$\Leftrightarrow -1 \leq \rho(X, Y) \leq 1.$$

This completes the proof of the inequalities in Property (5).

(a) Only if part

~~Following the step~~ Chasing back the steps in Pg (222), we get that $\rho(X, Y) = 1$ holds if and only if $E(UV) = +\sqrt{E(U^2)E(V^2)}$ holds, where $U = X - \mu_X$ and $V = Y - \mu_Y$.

Therefore, using the conclusion given at the beginning of Pg (216), it follows that

$\rho(X, Y) = 1 \Rightarrow$ either $P[U=0] = 1$ or $\exists \gamma \in [0, \infty)$ such that $P[V = \gamma U] = 1$.

Since X is assumed to be nondegenerate, we get $P[U=0] = P[X = \mu_X] < 1$. Therefore

$\rho(X, Y) = 1 \Rightarrow \exists \gamma \in [0, \infty)$ such that

$P[V = \gamma U] = 1$. Again, since Y is assumed

to be nondegenerate, we get $\gamma \neq 0$. This is

because $\gamma = 0 \Rightarrow P[V=0] = P[Y = \mu_Y] = 1$.

Hence $\rho(X, Y) = 1 \Rightarrow \exists \gamma \in (0, \infty)$ such that

$$P[V = \gamma U] = 1$$

$$\Leftrightarrow P[Y - \mu_Y = \gamma(X - \mu_X)] = 1$$

$$\Leftrightarrow P[Y = \gamma X + (\mu_Y - \gamma \mu_X)] = 1$$

$$\Leftrightarrow P[Y = aX + b] = 1, \text{ where } a = \gamma \in (0, \infty)$$

and $b = \mu_Y - \gamma \mu_X \in \mathbb{R}$.

This concludes the proof of "only if part".

If part

Suppose $\exists a \in (0, \infty)$ and $b \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $P[Y = aX + b] = 1$. We have to show that

$P(X, Y) = 1$. Note that the event

$(Y = aX + b)$ implies the event ~~$(X, Y) = (X, aX + b)$~~

$[(X, Y) = (X, aX + b)]$. In other words,

$$(Y = aX + b) \subseteq [(X, Y) = (X, aX + b)]$$

leading to

$$1 = P[Y = aX + b] \leq P[(X, Y) = (X, aX + b)],$$

which yields $P[(X, Y) = (X, aX + b)] = 1$.

Clearly, this means that the random vectors (X, Y) and $(X, aX + b)$ are equal with probability 1 and hence they must have the same joint distribution. This implies, in particular, that

$$p(X, Y) = p(X, aX + b)$$

$$= p(X, X) \quad \left[\begin{array}{l} \text{Using } a > 0 \\ \text{and Property (4)} \\ \text{in Pg (220)} \end{array} \right]$$

$$= 1 \quad \left[\begin{array}{l} \text{Using Property (0)} \\ \text{of Pg (217)} \end{array} \right]$$

completing the proof of "if part".

(b) The proof is left as an exercise.

Exc: Prove ~~the~~ Property (5) (b).

(c) Follows from the corollary stated at the end of Pg (186) + Remark ① of Pg (184).

Remarks: ① Please revisit Remarks ① - ④ of Pg (184) - (185) in light of ~~the~~ Property (5).

② It is now clear that correlation coefficient ~~measures~~ is a measure of linear association. Its sign gives the direction (positive ~~&~~ or negative) of linear association and its absolute value gives the amount of linear association between two jointly distributed ~~nondeg~~ nondegenerate r.v.s with finite second moments.

Exc: Compute $\rho(X, Y)$ for ^{each of} the random vectors (X, Y) described/discussed in the examples given in the pages mentioned below, and heuristically justify its sign:

(i) Pg (13), (ii) Pg (18) with $p = \frac{1}{2}$, (iii) Pg (31).