

Chapter 1, Part 2: Propositional Equivalences

The Foundations: Logic and Proofs

Propositional Logic Summary

- Logical Equivalences
 - Important Equivalences
 - Showing Equivalence
 - Satisfiability

Propositional Equivalences

Section 1.3

Section Summary

- Tautologies, Contradictions, and Contingencies.
- Logical Equivalence
 - Important Logical Equivalences
 - Showing Logical Equivalence
- Normal Forms (optional, covered in exercises in text)
 - Disjunctive Normal Form
 - Conjunctive Normal Form

Tautologies, Contradictions, and Contingencies

- A **tautology** is a proposition which is always true.
 - Example: $p \vee \neg p = \mathbf{T}$
- A **contradiction** is a proposition which is always false.
 - Example: $p \wedge \neg p = \mathbf{F}$
- A **contingency** is a proposition which is neither a tautology nor a contradiction, such as p

p	$\neg p$	$p \vee \neg p$	$p \wedge \neg p$
T	F	T	F
F	T	T	F

Logical Equivalences

- Compound propositions that have the same truth values in all possible cases are called logically equivalent.
- Two compound propositions p and q are logically equivalent if $p \leftrightarrow q$ is a tautology.
- We write this as $p \Leftrightarrow q$ or as $p \equiv q$ where p and q are compound propositions.
- Two compound propositions p and q are equivalent if and only if the columns in a truth table giving their truth values agree.
- This truth table show $\neg p \vee q$ is equivalent to $p \rightarrow q$.

p	q	$\neg p$	$\neg p \vee q$	$p \rightarrow q$
T	T	F	T	T
T	F	F	F	F
F	T	T	T	T
F	F	T	T	T

De Morgan's Laws



Augustus De Morgan: 1806-1871

This truth table shows that De Morgan's Second Law holds.

The following example follows that $\neg(p \vee q) \leftrightarrow (\neg p \wedge \neg q)$ is a tautology and that these compound propositions are logically equivalent.

p	q	$\neg p$	$\neg q$	$(p \vee q)$	$\neg(p \vee q)$	$\neg p \wedge \neg q$
T	T	F	F	T	F	F
T	F	F	T	T	F	F
F	T	T	F	T	F	F
F	F	T	T	F	T	T

Construct truth table and show that conditional statements is a tautology



$$(p \wedge q) \rightarrow p$$



$$\neg(p \rightarrow q) \rightarrow p$$



$$(p \wedge q) \rightarrow (p \rightarrow q)$$



$$[\neg p \wedge (p \vee q)] \rightarrow q$$



Conditional Disjunction Equivalence

- Replace conditional statements with negations and disjunctions.
- Show that $\mathbf{p \rightarrow q}$ and $\mathbf{\neg p \vee q}$ are logically equivalent. (This is known as the **conditional disjunction equivalence**.)
- Construct truth table:

Distributive Law of Disjunction over Conjunction

$p \vee (q \wedge r)$ and $(p \vee q) \wedge (p \vee r)$

Construct Truth Table

Equivalence Proofs

Example: Show that $\neg(p \vee (\neg p \wedge q))$ is logically equivalent to $\neg p \wedge \neg q$ and is a tautology.

Example: Show that $(p \wedge q) \rightarrow (p \vee q)$ is a tautology.

Example

Show that following statement is a **tautology** :

$$(P \rightarrow Q) \vee (Q \rightarrow P)$$

P	Q	$P \rightarrow Q$	$Q \rightarrow P$	$(P \rightarrow Q) \vee (Q \rightarrow P)$
T	T	T	T	T
T	F	F	T	T
F	T	T	F	T
F	F	T	T	T

TABLE 6 Logical Equivalences.

<i>Equivalence</i>	<i>Name</i>
$p \wedge \mathbf{T} \equiv p$ $p \vee \mathbf{F} \equiv p$	Identity laws
$p \vee \mathbf{T} \equiv \mathbf{T}$ $p \wedge \mathbf{F} \equiv \mathbf{F}$	Domination laws
$p \vee p \equiv p$ $p \wedge p \equiv p$	Idempotent laws
$\neg(\neg p) \equiv p$	Double negation law
$p \vee q \equiv q \vee p$ $p \wedge q \equiv q \wedge p$	Commutative laws
$(p \vee q) \vee r \equiv p \vee (q \vee r)$ $(p \wedge q) \wedge r \equiv p \wedge (q \wedge r)$	Associative laws
$p \vee (q \wedge r) \equiv (p \vee q) \wedge (p \vee r)$ $p \wedge (q \vee r) \equiv (p \wedge q) \vee (p \wedge r)$	Distributive laws
$\neg(p \wedge q) \equiv \neg p \vee \neg q$ $\neg(p \vee q) \equiv \neg p \wedge \neg q$	De Morgan's laws
$p \vee (p \wedge q) \equiv p$ $p \wedge (p \vee q) \equiv p$	Absorption laws
$p \vee \neg p \equiv \mathbf{T}$ $p \wedge \neg p \equiv \mathbf{F}$	Negation laws

More Logical Equivalences (conditional and bi-conditional)

TABLE 7 Logical Equivalences Involving Conditional Statements.

$$p \rightarrow q \equiv \neg p \vee q$$

$$p \rightarrow q \equiv \neg q \rightarrow \neg p$$

$$p \vee q \equiv \neg p \rightarrow q$$

$$p \wedge q \equiv \neg(p \rightarrow \neg q)$$

$$\neg(p \rightarrow q) \equiv p \wedge \neg q$$

$$(p \rightarrow q) \wedge (p \rightarrow r) \equiv p \rightarrow (q \wedge r)$$

$$(p \rightarrow r) \wedge (q \rightarrow r) \equiv (p \vee q) \rightarrow r$$

$$(p \rightarrow q) \vee (p \rightarrow r) \equiv p \rightarrow (q \vee r)$$

$$(p \rightarrow r) \vee (q \rightarrow r) \equiv (p \wedge q) \rightarrow r$$

TABLE 8 Logical Equivalences Involving Biconditional Statements.

$$p \leftrightarrow q \equiv (p \rightarrow q) \wedge (q \rightarrow p)$$

$$p \leftrightarrow q \equiv \neg p \leftrightarrow \neg q$$

$$p \leftrightarrow q \equiv (p \wedge q) \vee (\neg p \wedge \neg q)$$

$$\neg(p \leftrightarrow q) \equiv p \leftrightarrow \neg q$$

Constructing New Logical Equivalences

● Show that $\neg(\mathbf{p} \rightarrow \mathbf{q})$ and $\mathbf{p} \wedge \neg\mathbf{q}$ are logically equivalent.

1. $\neg(\mathbf{p} \rightarrow \mathbf{q}) \equiv \neg(\neg\mathbf{p} \vee \mathbf{q})$ by the conditional-disjunction equivalence
2. $\equiv \neg(\neg\mathbf{p}) \wedge \neg\mathbf{q}$ by the second De Morgan law
3. $\equiv \mathbf{p} \wedge \neg\mathbf{q}$ by the double negation law

$$(p \rightarrow q) \wedge (p \rightarrow r) \equiv p \rightarrow (q \wedge r)$$

$$(p \rightarrow q) \wedge (p \rightarrow r) \equiv (\neg p \vee q) \wedge (\neg p \vee r) \text{ Substitution for } \rightarrow, \text{ twice}$$

$$\equiv \neg p \vee (q \wedge r) \text{ Distribution law}$$

$$\equiv p \rightarrow (q \wedge r) \text{ Substitution for } \rightarrow$$

Constructing New Logical Equivalences

- Show that $\neg(p \vee (\neg p \wedge q))$ and $\neg p \wedge \neg q$ are logically equivalent by developing a series of logical equivalences

1. $\neg(p \vee (\neg p \wedge q)) \equiv \neg p \wedge \neg(\neg p \wedge q)$ by the second De Morgan law
law
2. $\equiv \neg p \wedge [\neg(\neg p) \vee \neg q]$ by the first De Morgan law
3. $\equiv \neg p \wedge (p \vee \neg q)$ by the double negation law
4. $\equiv (\neg p \wedge p) \vee (\neg p \wedge \neg q)$ by the second distributive law
5. $\equiv \mathbf{F} \vee (\neg p \wedge \neg q)$ because $\neg p \wedge p \equiv \mathbf{F}$
6. $\equiv (\neg p \wedge \neg q) \vee \mathbf{F}$ by the commutative law for
disjunction
7. $\equiv \neg p \wedge \neg q$ by the identity law for \mathbf{F}

$$(p \wedge q) \rightarrow (p \vee q) \equiv \mathbf{T}$$

Example

Show that $(p \wedge q) \rightarrow (p \vee q)$ is a tautology.

Equivalence Proofs

Using logic equivalence laws:

- a. Show that $\neg(p \leftrightarrow q)$ and $p \leftrightarrow \neg q$ are logically equivalent.
- b. Show that $\neg p \leftrightarrow q$ and $p \leftrightarrow \neg q$ are logically equivalent.
- c. Show that $(p \rightarrow q) \wedge (p \rightarrow r)$ and $p \rightarrow (q \wedge r)$ are logically equivalent.
- d. Show that $(p \rightarrow r) \vee (q \rightarrow r)$ and $(p \wedge q) \rightarrow r$ are logically equivalent.

Prove that: $[\neg p \wedge (p \vee q)] \rightarrow q$ is a tautology.



By using truth table.



By using logic equivalence laws.

Prove that: $[(p \rightarrow q) \wedge (q \rightarrow r)] \rightarrow [p \rightarrow r]$ is a tautology.

- ☒ By using truth table.
- ☐ By using logic equivalence laws