### 5.1 SQA activity: Defect Detection Through White Box Testing

#### 5.1.1 Test Cases: Dijkstra vs A\* with Obstacle on Path

The following test cases highlight the different behaviours of Dijkstra and A\* algorithms when faced with obstacles in path planning scenarios. Dijkstra fails because of significant performance degradation due to frequent re-routing around obstacles, whereas A\* demonstrates resilience by dynamically adjusting its route based on heuristic information, thereby providing reliable navigation solutions for autonomous systems.

#### Equivalence Class Partitioning (ECP) for start\_node and end\_node

Input Variables

#### Valid Classes

- o start\_node and end\_node are valid integers within the grid bounds.
- $\circ$   $x_{\text{start}}, x_{\text{end}} \in Z$
- o  $y_{\text{start}}$ ,  $y_{\text{end}} \in Z$

#### Invalid Classes

- o start\_node or end\_node as non-integer values or out of grid bounds.
- $\circ$  X<sub>start</sub>, X<sub>end</sub>, y<sub>start</sub>, y<sub>end</sub>  $\notin$  Z

Table 5.2: White Box TC1

		input variables				
Test ID	Algorithm	start_node	end_node	ECP	Actual Output	Error/Defect
TC001	Dijkstra	(1,1)	(5,5)	Valid	Error:  "Path blocked by obstacle"	Significant performance degradation due to frequent rerouting around obstacles
TC002	A*	(1,1)	(5,5)	Valid	List of	

		node ids	None
		(int)	
		connecting	
		origin and	
		destination,	
		avoiding	
		the	
		obstacle.	

#### **5.5.1** Endless erratic behavior Around Destination

These test cases focus on the behaviour of the vehicle when it receives the same destination coordinates but its already there, in such case the vehicle starts behaving erratically

## Equivalence Class Partitioning (ECP) for x and y

- Valid Classes
  - o X and Y are valid integers within the grid bounds.
  - $\circ$   $x \in Z$
  - $\circ$   $y \in Z$
- Invalid Classes
  - o X or Y as non-integer values or out of grid bounds.
  - $\circ$  X, Y  $\notin$  Z

Table 5.3: White Box TC2

	Input Variables				
Test ID	X	y	ECP	Actual Output	Error/Defect
TC003	-100.00	40.00	Valid	Vehicle moves to the location (-100.00, -40.00)	None
TC004	-100.00	40.00	Valid	Error: "Stuck in endless loop around the location (-100.00, -	Erratic behaviour of car Around Destination

		40.00)"	

## **5.5.2** System Shuts Down After Reaching First Destination

This test case focus on the behaviour of the system when vehicle reaches a destination and the system shuts down instead of taking the next destination.

Table 5.4: White Box TC3

	Input Va	ariables			
Test ID	X	y	ECP	Actual Output	Error/Defect
TC005	-100.00	40.00	Valid	System shuts down after reaching	System does
				after reaching destination	not take next destination,
				(-100.00, -40.00)	shuts down

## 5.5.3 System Crashes Due to Invalid Input Types for Coordinates

These test cases focus on invalid input types for coordinates which results in crashing/shutting down the system.

Table 5.4: White Box TC3

	Input Va	ariables			
Test ID	X	y	ECP	Actual Output	Error/Defect
TC006	"abc"	"def"	Invalid	Error	System crashes when given string inputs
TC007	"@#\$"	"%^&"	Invalid	Error	System crashes when given special characters

# **5.5.4** Spawn Point for Vehicle

These test cases focus on validating the error handling of the system when given invalid input variables for spawn points, including None values, excessively large coordinates, and non-integer inputs.

Table 5.6: White Box TC5

	Input Variables				
Test	X	y	ECP	Actual	Error/Defect
ID				Output	
TC008	None	-133.808	Invalid	Error	Spawn point with  None x coordinate  causes failure
TC009	- 2.02309926925 28655	None	Invalid	Error	Spawn point with  None y coordinate  causes failure
TC010	- 2.02309926925 28655	-133.808	Invalid	Error	Invalid actor type causes service call failure
TC011	99999999999	999999999999	Invalid	Error	Large positive x,y coordinate causes service call failure
TC012	دد »،	sdsd	Invalid	Error	Empty or non-integer causes failure

# **5.5.5** Steering Control

These test cases focus on validating the robot's behavior is either as expected under these conditions or not.

## Equivalence Class Partitioning (ECP) for target\_linear\_speed and target\_angular\_speed

- Valid Classes
  - o Positive integers only
- Invalid Classes
  - o Negative Integers
  - o None
  - o String

Table 5.7: White Box TC6

	Input Variables				
Test	target_linear_speed	target_angular_speed	ECP	Actual	Error/Defect
ID				Output	
TC013	-1.0	0.0	Inval	Robot moves	Negative
			id	backward	linear speed
					does not stop
					robot moving
					backward
TC014	0.5	None	Inval	Robot turns in	Missing
			id	unpredictable	angular
				motion	speed does
					led to
					unpredictable
					turns

## 5.5.6 previous\_destination is not initialized or updated correctly

## **Equivalence Class Partitioning (ECP) for previous\_destination**

- Valid Classes
  - $\circ \in Z$
- Invalid Classes
  - $\circ \notin Z$
  - o Empty

Table 5.8: White Box TC7

	Input Variables			
Test ID	previous_destination	ЕСР	Actual Output	Error/Defect
TC015	None	Invalid	Error	System crash

### 5.5.7 Jerkiness

This test case focuses on observing the vehicle's behavior for jerkiness and sudden movements on tight curves.

Table 5.9: White Box TC8

	Input Va	ariables			
Test ID	X	y	ECP	Actual Output	Error/Defect
TC016	-150.0	45.0	Valid	Jerkiness (Sudden	Vehicle
				Movements)	exhibits
				especially on	significant
				curves	jerky motion
					on tight
					curves

## **5.5.8** PID Controllers to perform longitudinal control

## Equivalence Class Partitioning (ECP) for target\_speed and waypoint

- Valid Classes
  - $\circ$  target\_speed > 0
  - $\circ$  waypoint  $\in \mathbb{Z}$
- Invalid Classes
  - o target\_speed <= 0
  - o waypoint ∉ Z

Table 5.10: White Box TC9.1

	Input Variables			
Test ID	target_speed	ECP	Actual Output	Error/Defect
TC017	0	Invalid	Vehicle	Improper
			oscillates/does not	handling of
			stop	zero target
				speed

Table 5.11: White Box TC9.2

	Input Variables			
Test ID	waypoint	ECP	Actual Output	Error/Defect
TC018	None	Invalid	Vehicle does not steer or crashes randomly	None waypoint not handled properly

## 5.5.9 Ackermann Steering Model

This test case focuses on testing for ZeroDivisionError when calculating the turning radius with a zero inner wheel angle.

## Equivalence Class Partitioning (ECP) for wheel\_base and inner\_wheel\_angle $\in R$

- Valid Classes
  - $\circ$  wheel\_base > 0
  - $\circ$  inner\_wheel\_angle  $\in R$
- Invalid Classes
  - $\rightarrow$  wheel\_base  $\leq$  0, inner\_wheel\_angle:  $\emptyset$

Table 5.12: White Box TC10

	Input Variables				
Test	wheel_base	inner_wheel_angle	ECP	Actual Output	Error/Defect
ID					
TC019	-2	0.5	Invalid	ZeroDivisionError	Given
					inner_wheel_angle
					= 0, the calculation
					for the turning
					radius results in a
					division by zero.
					This will cause the
					program to raise a
					ZeroDivisionError.
					Therefore, the
					actual output in
					this case is an
					error rather than a
					valid pair of
					steering angles.

## **5.5.10** Spawning the vehicle

This test case focuses on verifying the system's behavior when given a valid vehicle name, ensuring that the success flag accurately reflects whether the vehicle was actually spawned.

## **Equivalence Class Partitioning (ECP) for vehicle\_name**

Valid Classes

o vehicle\_name: String

Invalid Classes

o vehicle\_name: None

Table 5.13: White Box TC11

	Input Variables				
Test	vehicle_name	ECP	<b>Actual Output</b>	Error/Defect	
ID					
TC020	Car1	Valid	True	The success flag is always set	
				to True without verifying if	
				the vehicle was actually	
				spawned.	