

## 2.1 – LP & IP Question 1

1. Maximize:

$$5x_1 + 1.5x_2 + x_3 + 2x_4 + 7x_5$$

Subject to:

$$8x_1 + 5x_2 + 3x_3 + 6x_4 + 10x_5 \leq 400$$

$$x_1, x_3, x_5 \geq 0$$

$$x_2 \geq 10$$

$$x_4 \geq 15$$

$$x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5 \leq 24$$

$$\rightarrow x_1 = 2.5, x_2 = 10, x_3 = 0, x_4 = 15, x_5 = 24$$

$$\rightarrow \text{Maximum revenue} = 5(2.5) + 1.5(10) + 0 + 2(15) + 7(24) = \$ 225.50$$

$$\rightarrow \text{Weight used} = x_1 + x_2 + x_3 + x_4 + x_5 = 51.5 \text{ kg}$$

2. The solution in the previous equation is not valid because we contain a weight that is not an integer, namely,  $x_1$  which is equal to 2.5 kg. To ensure that each package only weighs 1kg and to maximize our revenue, we must reevaluate  $x_1$  and  $x_5$  and say  $x_1=5$  and  $x_5=22$ .

$$\rightarrow \text{Maximum revenue} = 5(5) + 1.5(10) + 0 + 2(15) + 7(22) = \$224.00$$

$$\rightarrow \text{Weight used} = 52 \text{ kg}$$

3. Rounding our solutions to question 1 would result in  $x_1 = 3$  kg, which would not be valid because we would violate the electric power constraint. Our total electric power used per day would be  $8(3) + 5(10) + 0 + 6(15) + 10(24) = 404$  kW/hr. Therefore, we would need to add 4 to our original electric power constraint as “slack” so that our new, rounded solution would be valid.

4. Question 1 is easier to solve because it is a linear program, so it can be solved in polynomial time.

Question 2 is an integer programming problem, and since it cannot be cast as an LP, we would be stuck guessing and checking our 5 solutions for who knows how long or using complex search algorithms that can get up to exponential time.

.....

## 2.2 – More LP & IP

1.

$x_i = n$  if I have  $n$  meetings with person  $i$

$s_i = m$  if the meeting with person  $i$  starts at  $m$  o'clock

$e_i = k$  if the meeting with person  $i$  ends at  $k$  o'clock

$$\rightarrow \max \sum_i x_i$$

Such that:

- $(s_i, e_i) \in S_i^{(av)}$  for all  $p_i$   
(the tuple must be an element of  $p_i$ 's available time slots)

- $s_i \geq e_j$  AND  $s_i \leq s_j$  for all  $i, j$   
( $p_i$ 's start time cannot be within a time slot of  $p_j$ )

2.

$\delta_{ijk} = 1$  if  $(i,j)$  on Sudoku board has value  $k$

→  $\max \sum_{i,j,k} \delta_{ijk} = 1$

Such that:

- $\sum_i \delta_{ijk} = 1$  for all  $j, k$  only 1  $k$  value per row
- $\sum_j \delta_{ijk} = 1$  for all  $i, k$  only 1  $k$  value per column
- $\sum_k \delta_{ijk} = 1$  for all  $i, j$  all  $k$  values must be filled
- $\sum_{i=3x-2}^{3x} \sum_{j=3y-2}^{3y} \delta_{ijk} = 1$  for all  $k; x,y = [1:3]$  only 1  $k$  value per sub square

## 2.3 – Adversarial Search

1. a) Nodes J, L and M will be pruned.  
b)

Node Letter	$\alpha$ -value	$\beta$ -value
A	4	$\infty$
B	$-\infty$	4
C	4	3
D	4	1
E	4	4
F	8	8
G	6	6
H	7	7
I	3	3
J	-	-
K	1	1
L	-	-
M	-	-

2. a) The best move is to go left.

D will choose 2, E takes value 1, so then B takes value  $(0.8)2 + (0.2)1 = 1.8$ .

1.8 will be propagated up as the alpha value for A, and then back down as the alpha value for C and F.

F will take value -2, G will take value 2.

-2 will propagate up as F's beta value, violating the alpha beta rule, so then we can prune F's right child.

C will take value  $-2(0.6) + 2(0.4) = -0.4$ .

A will take the max of B and C's values, taking value 1.8.

b) First six: Yes we will have to see the 7<sup>th</sup> and 8<sup>th</sup>.

B will take value 1.8, and  $C = -1.2 + 0.4x$ , where  $x = G$ 's value.

G's two children could have values 1,000 and 1,001 for all we know, in which case  $C = 398.8$ , where A would take C's value, not B's.

First seven: No, we do not have to see the 8<sup>th</sup> leaf.

$B = 1.8$  and  $C = -1.2 + 0.4(\min\{2, x\})$  where  $x = \text{value of } 8^{\text{th}} \text{ leaf}$ .

If 2 is the min, then  $C = -0.4$ , which is still less than B.

If  $x$  is the min, we know it is less than 2, so C will have a value less than or equal to -0.4.

In either case, A will always choose V's value given the first 7 leaves.

c)

$$1.6 + -2(0.2) = 1.2$$

$$1.6 + 2(0.2) = 2.0$$

So:  $1.2 \leq C \text{ value} \leq 2.0$

d) Under the assumption from C, leaves 6, 7, and 8 do not need to be evaluated.

Leaf 6 does not need to get evaluated because leaf 5 has value -2, which is the smallest possible value, so F will take that value no matter what leaf 6 has as its value.

Leaves 7 and 8 also do not need to be evaluated because given that leaf 5 has value -2, there is no way C can have a value greater than B's under the assumption in (c).