

GA2001 Econometrics

Solution to Problem Set 3

Junbiao Chen*

November 23, 2025

Problem 1.

1. Since we have proved $X = 0 \text{ a.s.} \Rightarrow \mathbb{E}X = 0$ in our recitation. I only need to prove that

$$\mathbb{E}X = 0 \Rightarrow X = 0 \text{ a.s.}$$

(Roadmap: I will use Markov inequality to show that $\mathbb{E}X = 0 \Rightarrow X = 0 \text{ a.s.}$)

Proof For any $\epsilon > 0$, by Markov inequality, we have

$$\mathbb{P}(|X| > \epsilon) \leq \frac{\mathbb{E}|X|}{\epsilon} \text{ for any } \epsilon > 0$$

Since $\mathbb{E}X = 0$, we have $\mathbb{E}|X| = 0$. Notice that $\mathbb{P}(X > 0) = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}(|X| > \frac{1}{n})$, we have

$$\mathbb{P}(X > 0) \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}(|X| > \frac{1}{n}) \leq 0$$

Therefore, we conclude that $\mathbb{P}(X > 0) = 0$ and thus $X = 0 \text{ a.s.}$ ■

2. Consider a random variable that maps “Head” and “Tail” to $Y = 1$ and $Y = -1$ respectively. We have $\mathbb{E}Y = 0$, but $Y \neq 0$ everywhere. Therefore, the claim “ $X = 0 \text{ a.s.} \Leftrightarrow \mathbb{E}X = 0$ ” fails if X includes both positive and negative values.

Problem 2.

1. Since $f(\cdot, \theta)$ is μ -integrable, we have that $f(\omega, \theta_n)$ and $f(\omega, \theta_0)$ are measurable. Since fg are measurable if f and g are measurable, we can conclude that $f'_n(\omega) = \frac{f(\omega, \theta_n) - f(\omega, \theta_0)}{\theta_n - \theta_0}$ is measurable.

■

*E-mail: jc14076@nyu.edu.

2. Since f'_n is measurable for each n , and we know that **measurability is preserved by limiting operations on sequences of functions**. Therefore, $\frac{\partial f(\cdot, \theta_0)}{\partial \theta} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f'_n(\omega)$ is measurable. ■

Since g is μ -integrable, by Dominated Convergence Theorem, we have $\frac{\partial f(\cdot, \theta_0)}{\partial \theta}$ is μ -integrable. ■

3. (Roadmap: I will use Intermediate Value Theorem to prove this part.)

Proof Since $f(\omega, \cdot)$ is differentiable for each ω , by Intermediate Value Theorem, there exists $\theta_n^* \in [\theta_0, \theta_n]$ such that

$$\frac{f(\omega, \theta_n) - f(\omega, \theta_0)}{\theta_n - \theta_0} = \frac{\partial f(\omega, \theta_n^*)}{\partial \theta}$$

By Assumption A.3, we complete the proof that $|f'_n| < g$ for every n . ■

4. From part 3, we showed that

$$\frac{h(\theta_n) - h(\theta_0)}{\theta_n - \theta_0} = \int_{\Omega} f'_n(\omega) d\mu(\omega)$$

It follows that

$$\frac{dh(\theta_0)}{d\theta} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} f'_n(\omega) d\mu(\omega)$$

By Dominated Convergence Theorem (here I use the result from part 3 that $|f'_n| < g$ for every n), we have

$$\frac{dh(\theta_0)}{d\theta} = \int_{\Omega} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f'_n(\omega) d\mu(\omega)$$

As a result, we conclude that

$$\frac{dh(\theta_0)}{d\theta} = \int_{\Omega} \frac{\partial f(\omega, \theta_0)}{\partial \theta} d\mu(\omega)$$

. ■

Problem 3.

1.

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{[0, \infty)} \mathbb{P}\{X > t\} d\lambda(t) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{P}\{X > t\} \mathbf{1}_{[0, \infty)}(t) d\lambda(t) \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left[\int_{\Omega} \mathbf{1}_{(\omega, t): X(\omega) \geq t \geq 0}(\omega, t) d\mathbb{P}(\omega) \right] d\lambda(t) \end{aligned}$$

where the second equality uses the definition of $\mathbb{P}(X > t) = \int_{\Omega} \mathbf{1}_{X(\omega) > t} d\mathbb{P}(\omega)$.

2. Per Fubini's theorem, the integrals of $\int_{\mathbb{R}} \left[\int_{\Omega} \mathbf{1}_{(\omega, t): X(\omega) \geq t \geq 0}(\omega, t) d\mathbb{P}(\omega) \right] d\lambda(t)$ are inter-changable.

3. For fixed ω , we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbf{1}_{(\omega, t): X(\omega) \geq t \geq 0}(\omega, t) d\lambda(t) = \int_0^{X(\omega)} 1 d\lambda(t) = X(\omega)$$

Therefore, we can complete the proof by showing that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \left[\int_{\Omega} \mathbf{1}_{(\omega, t): X(\omega) \geq t \geq 0}(\omega, t) d\mathbb{P}(\omega) \right] d\lambda(t) = \int_{\Omega} X(\omega) d\mathbb{P}(\omega) = \mathbb{E}X$$

4. Note that for a function (in this case, a random variable), we can decompose it into its positive and negative parts.

$$f = f^+ - f^- \quad \text{where } f^+ = \max\{f, 0\} \text{ and } f^- = \max\{-f, 0\}$$

Therefore, we have

$$\mathbb{E}(Y) = \mathbb{E}(Y^+) - \mathbb{E}(Y^-) = \int_0^{\infty} \mathbb{P}\{Y^+ > t\} d\lambda(t) - \int_0^{\infty} \mathbb{P}\{Y^- > t\} d\lambda(t)$$

■

Problem 4.

1. Define a sequence of function $f_i : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ as follow:

$$f_i(x) = \begin{cases} f(x), & x \in [0, i] \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad (0.1)$$

Clearly, $f_i(x) \leq f_{i+1}(x)$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$.

For each $f_i : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow [0, \infty)$, we can represent its integral with respect to counting measure using simple functions:

$$\int_{\Omega} f_i(\omega) d\mu(\omega) = \left(\sum_{k=1}^i f_i(k) \mu_c(k) \right) + \left(\sum_{k=i+1}^{\infty} f_i(k) \mu_c(k) \right) = \sum_{k=1}^i f_i(k) \quad (0.2)$$

Note: The counting measure $\mu_c(k) = 1$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

Then, applying Monotone Convergence Theorem, we have

$$\int_{\Omega} f(\omega) d\mu(\omega) = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} f_i(\omega) d\mu(\omega) = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=1}^i f_i(k) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} f(k) \quad (0.3)$$

■

2. Following the result of part 1, we have the following relation in \mathbb{N}^2 :

$$\int_{\mathbb{N}^2} f d\mu(\omega) = \sum_{(m,n) \in \mathbb{N}^2} f(m,n)$$

which is equivalent to both

$$\sum_{m \in \mathbb{N}} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} a_{mn} \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{N}} a_{mn}$$

Replacing $f(m,n)$ with a_{mn} , then we finished the proof. ■