

## Recitation 1 - Suggested Solutions

**Exercise 1.** An economy that lasts  $T$  periods is described by  $(Y_t)_{t=1}^T$ , where each  $Y_t \in \mathbb{R}^K$  is a (random) state variable.

(a) Viewing the economy  $(Y_t)_{t=1}^T$  as an outcome of an experiment, formulate an appropriate sample space and  $\sigma$ -algebra  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F})$ .

(b) An economist, despite being trained at NYU, does not observe  $Y_t$ , but instead only observes  $h(Y_t)$  for some  $\mathcal{F}/\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$ -measurable function  $h : \mathbb{R}^K \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ . Formulate an appropriate sample space and  $\sigma$ -algebra from the economist's perspective.

**Suggested solution.** (a)  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}) = ((\mathbb{R}^K)^T, \mathcal{B}((\mathbb{R}^K)^T))$ .

(b)  $(\mathbb{R}^T, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^T))$ . Alternatively, define  $\eta : \mathbb{R}^{KT} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^T$  as  $\eta(Y_1, \dots, Y_T) = (h(Y_1), \dots, h(Y_T))$ . Then, we can use the measurable space  $(\mathbb{R}^T, \mathcal{H})$ , where  $\mathcal{H} := \{H \in \mathbb{R}^T : \eta^{-1}(H) \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^{KT})\}$ .

**Exercise 2.** Let  $\{\mathcal{F}_\gamma : \gamma \in G\}$  be a collection of  $\sigma$ -algebras on  $\Omega$ , of which  $G$  is possibly uncountable. Show that  $\mathcal{G} := \bigcap_{\gamma \in G} \mathcal{F}_\gamma$  is a  $\sigma$ -algebra. Using the definition of generated  $\sigma$ -algebra, explain why  $\sigma(\mathcal{H})$  for any  $\mathcal{H} \subseteq 2^\Omega$  is non-empty and prove that  $\sigma(\mathcal{H})$  is a  $\sigma$ -algebra.

**Suggested solution.** First, we want to show that  $\mathcal{G}$  is non-empty.  $\Omega \in \mathcal{F}_\gamma \forall \gamma$  by definition. Therefore,  $\Omega \in \bigcap_{\gamma \in G} \mathcal{F}_\gamma$ .

Second, we want  $\mathcal{G}$  to be closed under complementation. Suppose  $A \in \bigcap_{\gamma \in G} \mathcal{F}_\gamma$ , then  $A \in \mathcal{F}_\gamma \forall \gamma$ . Since each  $\mathcal{F}_\gamma$  is a  $\sigma$ -algebra,  $A^c \in \mathcal{F}_\gamma \forall \gamma$ , from which it follows  $A^c \in \bigcap_{\gamma \in G} \mathcal{F}_\gamma$ .

Finally, suppose  $A_1, A_2, \dots \in \bigcap_{\gamma \in G} \mathcal{F}_\gamma$ , then  $A_1, A_2, \dots \in \mathcal{F}_\gamma \forall \gamma$ . Since each  $\mathcal{F}_\gamma$  is a  $\sigma$ -algebra,  $\bigcup_{i=1}^\infty A_i \in \mathcal{F}_\gamma \forall \gamma \implies \bigcup_{i=1}^\infty A_i \in \bigcap_{\gamma \in G} \mathcal{F}_\gamma$ , making  $\mathcal{G}$  closed under countable unions, and a  $\sigma$ -algebra.

Let  $\Sigma(\mathcal{H}) := \{\mathcal{F} : \mathcal{H} \subseteq \mathcal{F} \text{ and } \mathcal{F} \text{ is a } \sigma\text{-algebra}\}$ . Then,  $\sigma(\mathcal{H}) = \bigcap \Sigma(\mathcal{H})$ . The intersection is non-empty because  $\Sigma(\mathcal{H})$  is non-empty, in particular  $2^\Omega \in \Sigma(\mathcal{H})$ . Thus  $\sigma(\mathcal{H})$  exists. From the previous part  $\sigma(\mathcal{H})$  is a  $\sigma$ -algebra.

**Exercise 3.** Let  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$  be a probability space.

(a) Suppose  $A, B \in \mathcal{F}$ . Show that if  $A \subseteq B$  then  $P(A) \leq P(B)$  and  $P(B \setminus A) = P(B) - P(A)$ .

(b) Suppose  $A_1, A_2, \dots \in \mathcal{F}$ . Show that  $P$  is *countably subadditive*:

$$P\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i\right) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} P(A_i).$$

Explain why this implies  $P$  is *finitely subadditive*:  $P(\bigcup_{i=1}^n A_i) \leq \sum_{i=1}^n P(A_i)$ .

**Suggested solution.** (a)  $A \subseteq B \implies B = A \sqcup (B \setminus A)$ . By countable subadditivity,

$$P(B) = P(A) + P(B \setminus A).$$

Since  $P(B \setminus A) \geq 0$  it follows that  $P(B) \geq P(A)$ . Since  $P$  is finite, we can re-arrange the previous display to get  $P(B \setminus A) = P(B) - P(A)$ .

(b) Construct the sets  $B_1 = A_1, B_i = A_i \setminus (A_1 \cup \dots \cup A_{i-1})$  for  $i \geq 2$ , and note that  $B_i \subseteq A_i$  and  $\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i = \bigsqcup_{i=1}^{\infty} B_i$ . So

$$\begin{aligned} P\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i\right) &= P\left(\bigsqcup_{i=1}^{\infty} B_i\right) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} P(B_i) && \text{countable additivity} \\ &\leq \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} P(A_i) && \text{Exercise 3a.} \end{aligned}$$

Finite subadditivity follows from applying countable subadditivity to the sequence  $(A_1, \dots, A_n, \emptyset, \emptyset, \dots)$ .

**Exercise 4.** Let  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F})$  be a measurable space and suppose  $\mu : \Omega \rightarrow [0, \infty]$  a *finitely additive* map, i.e.,

$$A_1, \dots, A_n \in \mathcal{F} \text{ disjoint} \implies \mu\left(\bigsqcup_{i=1}^n A_i\right) = \sum_{i=1}^n \mu(A_i).$$

Show that  $\mu$  is *countably additive* if and only if the following condition holds: For any  $(A_i)$  non-decreasing sequence of sets in  $\mathcal{F}$  (i.e.,  $A_1 \subseteq A_2 \subseteq \dots$ ) it holds that  $\mu(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i) = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \mu(A_i)$ .

**Suggested solution.** ( $\implies$ ) Let  $(A_i)$  be such that  $A_1 \subseteq A_2 \subseteq \dots$ .

Case 1: If there is some  $j$  such that  $\mu(A_j) = +\infty$ , since  $\mu$  is  $\subseteq$ -increasing (analogue of Exercise 3a) and  $A_j \subseteq A_{j+k}$ , then  $\mu(A_{j+k}) = +\infty$  for every  $k \geq 1$  as well. Also  $A_j \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i \implies \mu(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i) = +\infty$ . Therefore  $\mu(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i) = +\infty = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu(A_n)$ .

Case 2: Assume  $\mu(A_i) < +\infty$  for all  $i$ . Put  $A_0 := \emptyset$ . The sets  $R_i = A_i \setminus A_{i-1}$  partition  $\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i$ , so

$$\begin{aligned} \mu\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i\right) &= \mu\left(\bigsqcup_{i=1}^{\infty} R_i\right) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mu(R_i) && \text{countable additivity (hypothesis)} \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i=1}^n \mu(A_i \setminus A_{i-1}) \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i=1}^n [\mu(A_i) - \mu(A_{i-1})] && \text{Exercise 3a} \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu(A_n) \end{aligned}$$

( $\impliedby$ ) Let  $A_1, A_2, \dots \in \mathcal{F}$  be disjoint. Consider the sets  $B_i = A_1 \sqcup \dots \sqcup A_i$ , which form a non-decreasing sequence and clearly  $\bigsqcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} B_i$ . So

$$\begin{aligned} \mu\left(\bigsqcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i\right) &= \mu\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} B_i\right) \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu(B_n) && \text{by hypothesis} \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu(A_1 \sqcup \dots \sqcup A_n) \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i=1}^n \mu(A_i) && \text{finite additivity} \end{aligned}$$

**Exercise 5.** Let  $\mathcal{G}$  be an algebra on  $\Omega$  and  $\mu : \mathcal{G} \rightarrow [0, \infty]$  be a countably additive map. Define the outer measure  $\mu^* : 2^\Omega \rightarrow [0, \infty]$  with respect to  $\mu$  as

$$\mu^*(A) = \inf \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mu(A_i) : A_1, A_2, \dots \in \mathcal{G} ; A \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i \right\}.$$

Show that  $\mu^*(A) = \mu(A)$  for every  $A \in \mathcal{G}$ . (*Hint: prove/use the fact that  $\mu$  is countably subadditive.*)

**Suggested solution.** Let  $A \in \mathcal{G}$ . Denote  $M(A) := \{\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mu(A_i) : A_1, A_2, \dots \in \mathcal{G} ; A \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i\}$ .

Take the sequence  $A, \emptyset, \emptyset, \dots$ . Then, it is clear that  $\mu(A) \in M(A)$ , as  $A \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i = A$ .

Therefore,  $\mu(A) \geq \inf M(A) = \mu^*(A)$ .

To show the other inequality, it suffices to show  $\mu(A) \leq m$  for every  $m \in M(A)$ , i.e., for any arbitrary  $(A_i)$  in  $\mathcal{G}$  such that  $A \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i$ , we want to show  $\mu(A) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mu(A_i)$ .

Observe that since  $A \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i$ ,  $A$  can be expressed as  $A = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} (A \cap A_i)$ . Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(A) &= \mu\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} (A \cap A_i)\right) \\ &\leq \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mu(A \cap A_i) && \text{countable subadditivity} \\ &\leq \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mu(A_i) && A \cap A_i \subseteq A_i. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we conclude that  $\mu(A) \leq \mu^*(A)$ , therefore  $\mu(A) = \mu^*(A)$ .