

# GA2001 Econometrics

## Solution to Problem Set 3

Junbiao Chen\*

September 26, 2025

### Problem 1.

1. Since we have proved  $X = 0 \text{ a.s.} \Rightarrow \mathbb{E}X = 0$  in our recitation. I only need to prove that

$$\mathbb{E}X = 0 \Rightarrow X = 0 \text{ a.s.}$$

(Roadmap: I will use Markov inequality to show that  $\mathbb{E}X = 0 \Rightarrow X = 0 \text{ a.s.}$ )

**Proof** For any  $\epsilon > 0$ , by Markov inequality, we have

$$\mathbb{P}(|X| > \epsilon) \leq \frac{\mathbb{E}|X|}{\epsilon} \text{ for any } \epsilon > 0$$

Since  $\mathbb{E}X = 0$ , we have  $\mathbb{E}|X| = 0$ . Notice that  $\mathbb{P}(X > 0) = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}(|X| > \frac{1}{n})$ , we have

$$\mathbb{P}(X > 0) \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}(|X| > \frac{1}{n}) \leq 0$$

Therefore, we conclude that  $\mathbb{P}(X > 0) = 0$  and thus  $X = 0 \text{ a.s.}$  ■

2. Consider a random variable that maps “Head” and “Tail” to  $Y = 1$  and  $Y = -1$  respectively. We have  $\mathbb{E}Y = 0$ , but  $Y \neq 0$  everywhere. Therefore, the claim “ $X = 0 \text{ a.s.} \Leftrightarrow \mathbb{E}X = 0$ ” fails if  $X$  includes both positive and negative values.

### Problem 2.

1. Since  $f(\cdot, \theta)$  is  $\mu$ -integrable, we have that  $f(\omega, \theta_n)$  and  $f(\omega, \theta_0)$  are measurable. Since  $fg$  are measurable if  $f$  and  $g$  are measurable, we can conclude that  $f'_n(\omega) = \frac{f(\omega, \theta_n) - f(\omega, \theta_0)}{\theta_n - \theta_0}$  is measurable.

■

---

\*E-mail: jc14076@nyu.edu.

2. Since  $f'_n$  is measurable for each  $n$ , and we know that **measurability is preserved by limiting operations on sequences of functions**. Therefore,  $\frac{\partial f(\cdot, \theta_0)}{\partial \theta} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f'_n(\omega)$  is measurable. ■

Since  $g$  is  $\mu$ -integrable, by Dominated Convergence Theorem, we have  $\frac{\partial f(\cdot, \theta_0)}{\partial \theta}$  is  $\mu$ -integrable. ■

3. (Roadmap: I will use Intermediate Value Theorem to prove this part.)

**Proof** Since  $f(\omega, \cdot)$  is differentiable for each  $\omega$ , by Intermediate Value Theorem, there exists  $\theta_n^* \in [\theta_0, \theta_n]$  such that

$$\frac{f(\omega, \theta_n) - f(\omega, \theta_0)}{\theta_n - \theta_0} = \frac{\partial f(\omega, \theta_n^*)}{\partial \theta}$$

By Assumption A.3, we complete the proof that  $|f'_n| < g$  for every  $n$ . ■

4. From part 3, we showed that

$$\frac{h(\theta_n) - h(\theta_0)}{\theta_n - \theta_0} = \int_{\Omega} f'_n(\omega) d\mu(\omega)$$

It follows that

$$\frac{dh(\theta_0)}{d\theta} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} f'_n(\omega) d\mu(\omega)$$

By Dominated Convergence Theorem (here I use the result from part 3 that  $|f'_n| < g$  for every  $n$ ), we have

$$\frac{dh(\theta_0)}{d\theta} = \int_{\Omega} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f'_n(\omega) d\mu(\omega)$$

As a result, we conclude that

$$\frac{dh(\theta_0)}{d\theta} = \int_{\Omega} \frac{\partial f(\omega, \theta_0)}{\partial \theta} d\mu(\omega)$$

. ■

### Problem 3.

1.

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{[0, \infty)} \mathbb{P}\{X > t\} d\lambda(t) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{P}\{X > t\} \mathbf{1}_{[0, \infty)}(t) d\lambda(t) \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left[ \int_{\Omega} \mathbf{1}_{(\omega, t): X(\omega) \geq t \geq 0}(\omega, t) d\mathbb{P}(\omega) \right] d\lambda(t) \end{aligned}$$

where the second equality uses the definition of  $\mathbb{P}(X > t) = \int_{\Omega} \mathbf{1}_{X(\omega) > t} d\mathbb{P}(\omega)$ .

2. Per Fubini's theorem, the integrals of  $\int_{\mathbb{R}} \left[ \int_{\Omega} \mathbf{1}_{(\omega, t): X(\omega) \geq t \geq 0}(\omega, t) d\mathbb{P}(\omega) \right] d\lambda(t)$  are inter-changable.

3. For fixed  $\omega$ , we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbf{1}_{(\omega, t): X(\omega) \geq t \geq 0}(\omega, t) d\lambda(t) = \int_0^{X(\omega)} 1 d\lambda(t) = X(\omega)$$

Therefore, we can complete the proof by showing that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \left[ \int_{\Omega} \mathbf{1}_{(\omega, t): X(\omega) \geq t \geq 0}(\omega, t) d\mathbb{P}(\omega) \right] d\lambda(t) = \int_{\Omega} X(\omega) d\mathbb{P}(\omega) = \mathbb{E}X$$

4. Note that for a function (in this case, a random variable), we can decompose it into its positive and negative parts.

$$f = f^+ - f^- \quad \text{where } f^+ = \max\{f, 0\} \text{ and } f^- = \max\{-f, 0\}$$

Therefore, we have

$$\mathbb{E}(Y) = \mathbb{E}(Y^+) - \mathbb{E}(Y^-) = \int_0^{\infty} \mathbb{P}\{Y^+ > t\} d\lambda(t) - \int_0^{\infty} \mathbb{P}\{Y^- > t\} d\lambda(t)$$

■

## Problem 4.

1. Define a sequence of function  $f_i : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  as follow:

$$f_i(x) = \begin{cases} f(x), & x \in [0, i] \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad (0.1)$$

Clearly,  $f_i(x) \leq f_{i+1}(x)$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ .

For each  $f_i : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ , we can represent its integral with respect to counting measure using simple functions:

$$\int_{\Omega} f_i(\omega) d\mu(\omega) = \left( \sum_{k=1}^i f_i(k) \mu_c(k) \right) + \left( \sum_{k=i+1}^{\infty} f_i(k) \mu_c(k) \right) = \sum_{k=1}^i f_i(k) \quad (0.2)$$

**Note:** The counting measure  $\mu_c(k) = 1$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Then, applying Monotone Convergence Theorem, we have

$$\int_{\Omega} f(\omega) d\mu(\omega) = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} f_i(\omega) d\mu(\omega) = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=1}^i f_i(k) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} f(k) \quad (0.3)$$

■

**2.** Following the result of part 1, we have the following relation in  $\mathbb{N}^2$ :

$$\int_{\mathbb{N}^2} f d\mu(\omega) = \sum_{(m,n) \in \mathbb{N}^2} f(m,n)$$

which is equivalent to both

$$\sum_{m \in \mathbb{N}} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} a_{mn} \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{N}} a_{mn}$$

Replacing  $f(m,n)$  with  $a_{mn}$ , then we finished the proof. ■