

# Proof of Kemnitz' Conjecture and a generalization to higher dimensions

Panwei Hu

# Introduction

We define a  $d$ -dimensional affine space  $V$  and consider the set of points which lie in the set

$$V_d := \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^d \alpha_i v_i \mid \alpha_i \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad 1 \leq i \leq d \right\},$$

where  $\{v_i \mid 1 \leq i \leq d\}$  are linear independent vectors in  $V$ . We also call the points in  $V_d$  as *lattice points*.

## Problem 1

Find out the minimum of the number  $f$  s.t. given  $f$  sequences in  $V_d$ , we can guarantee to find out a subsequence of length  $n$ , s.t. the centroid of this subsequence is also a lattice point. We define such minimum number as  $f(n, d)$ .

# Goal

## Goal

Find the exact value of  $f(n, d)$ , if not possible, study the upper and lower bound of  $f(n, d)$

# Goal

## Goal

Find the exact value of  $f(n, d)$ , if not possible, study the upper and lower bound of  $f(n, d)$

Consider the additive group  $G := \mathbb{Z}_n^d$ . We call a subsequence of length  $l$ , which sums to a 0 in  $\mathbb{Z}_n^d$  as 0-sum  $l$ -subsequence (w.r.t  $G$ ), where 0 denotes the zero vector in  $G$ .

# Goal

## Goal

Find the exact value of  $f(n, d)$ , if not possible, study the upper and lower bound of  $f(n, d)$

Consider the additive group  $G := \mathbb{Z}_n^d$ . We call a subsequence of length  $l$ , which sums to a 0 in  $\mathbb{Z}_n^d$  as 0-sum  $l$ -subsequence (w.r.t  $G$ ), where 0 denotes the zero vector in  $G$ .

## Problem 2

Find the number  $f(n, d)$ , s.t. for any sequences of elements in  $G$ , with length  $l \geq f(n, d)$ , there exists a 0-sum  $n$ -subsequence.

# Goal

## Goal

Find the exact value of  $f(n, d)$ , if not possible, study the upper and lower bound of  $f(n, d)$

Consider the additive group  $G := \mathbb{Z}_n^d$ . We call a subsequence of length  $l$ , which sums to a 0 in  $\mathbb{Z}_n^d$  as 0-sum  $l$ -subsequence (w.r.t  $G$ ), where 0 denotes the zero vector in  $G$ .

## Problem 2

Find the number  $f(n, d)$ , s.t. for any sequences of elements in  $G$ , with length  $l \geq f(n, d)$ , there exists a 0-sum  $n$ -subsequence.

## Observation

Problem 1 and Problem 2 are equivalent

# A natural bound on $f(n, d)$

## Lemma 2.2

$$(n-1)2^d + 1 \leq f(n, d) \leq (n-1)n^d + 1 \quad (1)$$

# A natural bound on $f(n, d)$

## Lemma 2.2

$$(n-1)2^d + 1 \leq f(n, d) \leq (n-1)n^d + 1 \quad (1)$$

## Proof.

Left inequality: Construct  $(n-1)2^d$  vectors, which include all the vectors in  $\mathbb{Z}_n^d$ , which has 0 or 1 in their entry, so there are in all  $2^d$  different vectors. Each vector appear exactly  $n-1$  times. It is impossible to find a 0-sum  $n$ -subsequence among these vectors.



# A natural bound on $f(n, d)$

## Lemma 2.2

$$(n-1)2^d + 1 \leq f(n, d) \leq (n-1)n^d + 1 \quad (1)$$

## Proof.

Left inequality: Construct  $(n-1)2^d$  vectors, which include all the vectors in  $\mathbb{Z}_n^d$ , which has 0 or 1 in their entry, so there are in all  $2^d$  different vectors. Each vector appear exactly  $n-1$  times. It is impossible to find a 0-sum  $n$ -subsequence among these vectors.

Right inequality: pigeon hole principle

Since  $|G| = n^d$ , given  $(n-1)n^d + 1$  elements, there are at least one vector  $v$  which has multiplicity

$$\left\lceil \frac{(n-1)n^d + 1}{n^d} \right\rceil = n.$$



# Decomposition of $f(n, d)$ (1)

## Lemma 2.3

$$f(pq, d) \leq f(p, d) + p(f(q, d) - 1) \quad (2.3.1)$$

## Proof.

For the convenience of notation, we define

$$f_1 := f(p, d), f_2 := f(q, d), f := f_1 + p(f_2 - 1).$$

since

$$f = f_1 + p(f_2 - 1),$$

we would obtain  $f_2$  0-sum  $p$ -subsequence (w.r.t  $\mathbb{Z}_p^d$ ). Among all these  $f_2$  vectors, there exists a 0-sum  $q$ -subsequence (w.r.t  $\mathbb{Z}_q^d$ ), which means they sum to a vector  $z$ , with each component divisible by  $q$ . Since each summand has components all divisible by  $p$ , the resultant vectors will be divisible by  $pq = n$ , thus we obtain a 0-sum  $n$ -subsequence. □

# Decomposition of $f(n, d)$ (2)

Due to the symmetry we would obtain similarly:

$$f(pq, d) \leq f(q, d) + q(f(p, d) - 1) \quad (2.3.1')$$

# Decomposition of $f(n, d)$ (2)

Due to the symmetry we would obtain similarly:

$$f(pq, d) \leq f(q, d) + q(f(p, d) - 1) \quad (2.3.1')$$

Combining (2.3.1) and (2.3.1'), we obtain the following upper bound.

## Corollary 2.5

$$f(pq, d) \leq \min\{f(p, d) + p(f(q, d) - 1), f(q, d) + q(f(p, d) - 1)\} \quad (2)$$

# Decomposition of $f(n, d)$ (2)

Due to the symmetry we would obtain similarly:

$$f(pq, d) \leq f(q, d) + q(f(p, d) - 1) \quad (2.3.1')$$

Combining (2.3.1) and (2.3.1'), we obtain the following upper bound.

## Corollary 2.5

$$f(pq, d) \leq \min\{f(p, d) + p(f(q, d) - 1), f(q, d) + q(f(p, d) - 1)\} \quad (2)$$

## Theorem 2.5 (Cauchy-Davenport)

*Let  $p$  be a prime number. If  $A, B \subset \mathbb{Z}_p$  are nonempty, then*

$$|A + B| \geq \min\{p, |A| + |B| - 1\},$$

*where  $A + B := \{a + b \mid a \in A, b \in B\}$*

# Examples

## Theorem 2.7 (Erdős-Ginsburg-Ziv)

$$f(n, 1) = 2n - 1$$

### Proof sketches.

From (1):

$$f(n, 1) \geq 2n - 1 \tag{3}$$

Only need to show

$$f(n, 1) \leq 2n - 1. \tag{4}$$

# Examples

## Theorem 2.7 (Erdős-Ginsburg-Ziv)

$$f(n, 1) = 2n - 1$$

### Proof sketches.

From (1):

$$f(n, 1) \geq 2n - 1 \tag{3}$$

Only need to show

$$f(n, 1) \leq 2n - 1. \tag{4}$$

Recall  $f(pq, d) \leq f(p, d) + p(f(q, d) - 1)$

# Examples

## Theorem 2.7 (Erdős-Ginsburg-Ziv)

$$f(n, 1) = 2n - 1$$

### Proof sketches.

From (1):

$$f(n, 1) \geq 2n - 1 \quad (3)$$

Only need to show

$$f(n, 1) \leq 2n - 1. \quad (4)$$

Recall  $f(pq, d) \leq f(p, d) + p(f(q, d) - 1)$

- ① restrict to prime number ( $f(pq, 1) \leq 2pq - 1$ )
- ② application of Theorem 1 to prove

$$f(p, 1) \leq 2p - 1$$





# Proof of (4)(1)

# Proof of (4)(2)

# Further examples

Lemma 2.8

$$f(2^n, d) = (2^n - 1)2^d + 1$$

# Further examples

## Lemma 2.8

$$f(2^n, d) = (2^n - 1)2^d + 1$$

## Lemma 2.9

$$f(3^n, 2) = 4 \cdot 3^n - 3$$

# Further examples

## Lemma 2.8

$$f(2^n, d) = (2^n - 1)2^d + 1$$

## Lemma 2.9

$$f(3^n, 2) = 4 \cdot 3^n - 3$$

## Problem

Is it true for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$f(n, 2) = 4n - 3$$

This is the well-known *Kemnitz' Conjecture*

## Remark

Notation:  $\equiv$  means modulo in  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ .

The 0 denotes the usual neutral element of addition in the corresponding abelian group. In particular, 0 denotes the standard 0 in the abelian group  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  and  $(0, 0)$  in the case of  $\mathbb{Z}_p \times \mathbb{Z}_p$ .

## Remark

Notation:  $\equiv$  means modulo in  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ .

The 0 denotes the usual neutral element of addition in the corresponding abelian group. In particular, 0 denotes the standard 0 in the abelian group  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  and  $(0, 0)$  in the case of  $\mathbb{Z}_p \times \mathbb{Z}_p$ .

## Theorem 3.1 (Chevalley-Warning Theorem)

*Let  $p$  be a prime number and  $q = p^t, t \in \mathbb{N}$ . We use  $\mathbb{F}_q$  to denote the finite field of  $q$  elements. Let  $p_1, \dots, p_m$  be  $m$  polynomials in  $\mathbb{F}_q[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n]$ , with degree  $d_i$ . Denote the number of common zeros of the  $m$  polynomials as  $N$ . If*

$$\sum_{i=1}^m d_i < n,$$

*then*

$$N \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$$

# First reduction

## Main Theorem (*Kemnitz' Conjecture*)

$$f(n, 2) = 4n - 3$$

## Proposition

*It suffices to consider the case for  $n$  is an odd prime number.*



# First reduction

## Main Theorem (*Kemnitz' Conjecture*)

$$f(n, 2) = 4n - 3$$

## Proposition

*It suffices to consider the case for  $n$  is an odd prime number.*

## Notation

Denote  $J, X$  and other capital alphabets as a multiset of  
 $G := \mathbb{Z}_p \times \mathbb{Z}_p$ .

$(m|J) \cong$  number of 0-sum  $m$ -subsequence (w.r.t  $G$ ) in  $J$ .

## Corollary 3.2

*If  $|J| = 3p - 3$ , then*

$$1 - (p - 1|J) - (p|J) + (2p - 1|J) + (2p|J) \equiv 0$$

## Corollary 3.2

If  $|J| = 3p - 3$ , then

$$1 - (p - 1|J) - (p|J) + (2p - 1|J) + (2p|J) \equiv 0$$

We specify  $J$  as the multiset  $\{(a_n, b_n) \mid 1 \leq n \leq 3p - 3\}$ .

We consider three polynomials

$$p_1 := \sum_{n=1}^{3p-3} x_n^{p-1} + x_{3p-2}^{p-1}, \quad p_2 := \sum_{n=1}^{3p-3} a_n x_n^{p-1}, \quad p_3 := \sum_{n=1}^{3p-3} b_n x_n^{p-1}$$

## Corollary 3.2

If  $|J| = 3p - 3$ , then

$$1 - (p - 1|J) - (p|J) + (2p - 1|J) + (2p|J) \equiv 0$$

We specify  $J$  as the multiset  $\{ (a_n, b_n) \mid 1 \leq n \leq 3p - 3 \}$ .

We consider three polynomials

$$p_1 := \sum_{n=1}^{3p-3} x_n^{p-1} + x_{3p-2}^{p-1}, \quad p_2 := \sum_{n=1}^{3p-3} a_n x_n^{p-1}, \quad p_3 := \sum_{n=1}^{3p-3} b_n x_n^{p-1}$$

Since the total sum of degrees are  $3(p - 1) = 3p - 3 < 3p - 2$ , we can apply the *Chevalley-Waring Theorem*.

## Corollary 3.2

If  $|J| = 3p - 3$ , then

$$1 - (p - 1|J) - (p|J) + (2p - 1|J) + (2p|J) \equiv 0$$

We specify  $J$  as the multiset  $\{ (a_n, b_n) \mid 1 \leq n \leq 3p - 3 \}$ .

We consider three polynomials

$$p_1 := \sum_{n=1}^{3p-3} x_n^{p-1} + x_{3p-2}^{p-1}, \quad p_2 := \sum_{n=1}^{3p-3} a_n x_n^{p-1}, \quad p_3 := \sum_{n=1}^{3p-3} b_n x_n^{p-1}$$

Since the total sum of degrees are  $3(p - 1) = 3p - 3 < 3p - 2$ , we can apply the *Chevalley-Waring Theorem*.

Since three polynomials have 0 as a common zero, the *Chevalley-Waring Theorem* states that there are non-trivial common zeros. We consider the common zeros depending on the term  $x_{3p-2}$  in  $p_1$ .

# Proof of Corollary 3.2(1) $x_{3n-2} = 0$

$$x_{3n-2} = 0$$

Proof of Corollary 3.2(1)  $x_{3n-2} = 0$ 

$$x_{3n-2} = 0$$

$$p_1 := \sum_{n=1}^{3p-3} x_n^{p-1} + x_{3p-2}^{p-1}$$

$$p_2 := \sum_{n=1}^{3p-3} a_n x_n^{p-1}$$

$$p_3 := \sum_{n=1}^{3p-3} b_n x_n^{p-1}$$

# Proof of Corollary 3.2(1) $x_{3n-2} = 0$

$$x_{3n-2} = 0$$

$$p_1 := \sum_{n=1}^{3p-3} x_n^{p-1} + x_{3p-2}^{p-1}$$

In this case for the zero of  $p_1$ , it needs to satisfy

$$p_2 := \sum_{n=1}^{3p-3} a_n x_n^{p-1}$$

$$p_3 := \sum_{n=1}^{3p-3} b_n x_n^{p-1}$$

$$\sum_{n=1}^{3p-3} x_n^{p-1} \equiv 0$$

We know that

$$x^{p-1} \equiv \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } x \not\equiv 0; \\ 0, & \text{if } x \equiv 0. \end{cases}$$

Since there are in all  $3p - 3$  variables left, there could only be three cases:



# Proof of Corollary 3.2(2)

$$p_1 := \sum_{n=1}^{3p-3} x_n^{p-1} + x_{3p-2}^{p-1}$$

$$p_2 := \sum_{n=1}^{3p-3} a_n x_n^{p-1}$$

$$p_3 := \sum_{n=1}^{3p-3} b_n x_n^{p-1}$$

# Proof of Corollary 3.2(2)

0 of them are 1: # 1

$$p_1 := \sum_{n=1}^{3p-3} x_n^{p-1} + x_{3p-2}^{p-1}$$

$$p_2 := \sum_{n=1}^{3p-3} a_n x_n^{p-1}$$

$$p_3 := \sum_{n=1}^{3p-3} b_n x_n^{p-1}$$

# Proof of Corollary 3.2(2)

$$p_1 := \sum_{n=1}^{3p-3} x_n^{p-1} + x_{3p-2}^{p-1}$$

$$p_2 := \sum_{n=1}^{3p-3} a_n x_n^{p-1}$$

$$p_3 := \sum_{n=1}^{3p-3} b_n x_n^{p-1}$$

0 of them are 1: # 1

$p$  of them are 1:  $x_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_p}$ .

# Proof of Corollary 3.2(2)

$$p_1 := \sum_{n=1}^{3p-3} x_n^{p-1} + x_{3p-2}^{p-1}$$

$$p_2 := \sum_{n=1}^{3p-3} a_n x_n^{p-1}$$

$$p_3 := \sum_{n=1}^{3p-3} b_n x_n^{p-1}$$

0 of them are 1:  $\# 1$

$p$  of them are 1:  $x_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_p}$ .

$$\sum_{j=1}^p a_{i_j} \equiv 0, \quad \sum_{j=1}^p b_{i_j} \equiv 0.$$

$\# (p-1)^p(p|J)$ .

$2p$  of them are 1:  
 $\# (p-1)^{2p}(2p|J)$ .

# Proof of Corollary 3.2(3)

$$p_1 := \sum_{n=1}^{3p-3} x_n^{p-1} + x_{3p-2}^{p-1}$$

$$p_2 := \sum_{n=1}^{3p-3} a_n x_n^{p-1}$$

$$p_3 := \sum_{n=1}^{3p-3} b_n x_n^{p-1}$$

# Proof of Corollary 3.2(3)

$$p_1 := \sum_{n=1}^{3p-3} x_n^{p-1} + x_{3p-2}^{p-1}$$

$$p_2 := \sum_{n=1}^{3p-3} a_n x_n^{p-1}$$

$$p_3 := \sum_{n=1}^{3p-3} b_n x_n^{p-1}$$

•  $p - 1$  of them are 1.  
#  $(p - 1)^p (p - 1 | J)$

# Proof of Corollary 3.2(3)

$$p_1 := \sum_{n=1}^{3p-3} x_n^{p-1} + x_{3p-2}^{p-1}$$

$$p_2 := \sum_{n=1}^{3p-3} a_n x_n^{p-1}$$

$$p_3 := \sum_{n=1}^{3p-3} b_n x_n^{p-1}$$

- $p - 1$  of them are 1.  
#  $(p - 1)^p (p - 1 | J)$
- $2p - 1$  of them are 1.  
#  $(p - 1)^{2p} (2p - 1 | J)$

# Proof of Corollary 3.2(3)

$$p_1 := \sum_{n=1}^{3p-3} x_n^{p-1} + x_{3p-2}^{p-1}$$

$$p_2 := \sum_{n=1}^{3p-3} a_n x_n^{p-1}$$

$$p_3 := \sum_{n=1}^{3p-3} b_n x_n^{p-1}$$

•  $p - 1$  of them are 1.

$$\# (p - 1)^p (p - 1 | J)$$

•  $2p - 1$  of them are 1.

$$\# (p - 1)^{2p} (2p - 1 | J)$$

Collecting all the number of common zeros:

$$1 + (p - 1)^p (p | J) + (p - 1)^{2p} (2p | J) + (p - 1)^p (p - 1 | J) \\ + (p - 1)^{2p} (2p - 1 | J) \equiv 0$$

Simplifying the equation above we obtain

$$1 - (p - 1 | J) - (p | J) + (2p - 1 | J) + (2p | J) \equiv 0$$



### Corollary 3.3

*If  $|J| = 3p - 2$ , or  $|J| = 3p - 1$ , then  $1 - (p|J) + (2p|J) \equiv 0$*

### Corollary 3.3

*If  $|J| = 3p - 2$ , or  $|J| = 3p - 1$ , then  $1 - (p|J) + (2p|J) \equiv 0$*

### Corollary 3.5

*If  $|J| = 3p - 2$  or  $|J| = 3p - 1$ , then  $(p|J) = 0$  implies  $(2p|J) \equiv -1$ .*

### Corollary 3.3

*If  $|J| = 3p - 2$ , or  $|J| = 3p - 1$ , then  $1 - (p|J) + (2p|J) \equiv 0$*

### Corollary 3.5

*If  $|J| = 3p - 2$  or  $|J| = 3p - 1$ , then  $(p|J) = 0$  implies  $(2p|J) \equiv -1$ .*

### Corollary 3.6

*If  $J$  contains exactly  $3p$  elements, and  $\sum_{x \in J} x \equiv 0$ , then  $(p|J) > 0$ .*

# Proof of Corollary 3.6

Proof.

If  $(p|J) = 0 \Rightarrow$

$$\forall x \in J, \quad (p|J - x) = 0.$$

# Proof of Corollary 3.6

Proof.

If  $(p|J) = 0 \Rightarrow$

$$\forall x \in J, \quad (p|J - x) = 0.$$

$|J - x| = 3p - 1 \Rightarrow$

$$(2p|J - x) \equiv -1.$$

In particular

$$(2p|J - x) > 0$$

# Proof of Corollary 3.6

Proof.

If  $(p|J) = 0 \Rightarrow$

$$\forall x \in J, \quad (p|J - x) = 0.$$

$|J - x| = 3p - 1 \Rightarrow$

$$(2p|J - x) \equiv -1.$$

In particular

$$(2p|J - x) > 0$$

$\forall A \subset J$ , s.t.  $\sum_{a \in A} a \equiv 0$ ,

$$\sum_{a \in A} a + \sum_{b \in J-A} b = \sum_{j \in J} j \equiv 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \sum_{b \in J-A} b \equiv 0$$

The map  $T$

$$T : \left\{ A \subset J \mid |A| = p, \sum_{a \in A} a \equiv 0 \right\} \rightarrow \left\{ A \subset J \mid |A| = 2p, \sum_{a \in A} a \equiv 0 \right\}$$
$$A \mapsto J - A$$

is a bijection. It follows that:

$$(p|J) = (2p|J) \geq (2p|J - x) > 0,$$

which is a contradiction to the assumption  $(p|J) = 0$



### Corollary 3.7

If  $|X| = 4p - 3$ , then

- ①  $-1 + (p|X) - (2p|X) + (3p|X) \equiv 0$
- ②  $(p - 1|X) - (2p - 1|X) + (3p - 1|X) \equiv 0$

### Corollary 3.8

If  $|X| = 4p - 3$ , then

$$3 - 2(p - 1|X) - 2(p|X) + (2p - 1|X) + (2p|X) \equiv 0.$$



## Proof.

We deduce from Corollary 3.2 that:

$$\sum_I 1 - (p-1|I) - (p|I) + (2p-1|I) + (2p|I) \equiv 0,$$

where the sum is over  $I \subset X$ , s.t.,  $|I| = 3p-3$ .

## Proof.

We deduce from Corollary 3.2 that:

$$\sum_I 1 - (p-1|I) - (p|I) + (2p-1|I) + (2p|I) \equiv 0,$$

where the sum is over  $I \subset X$ , s.t.,  $|I| = 3p-3$ .

$$|\{(Y, I) | Y \subset I, \text{s.t. } \sum_{y \in Y} y \equiv 0, |I| = 3p-3\}| = \binom{3p-3}{2p-3},$$

We consider the sum

$$\sum_{\substack{Y \subset X, \\ \sum_{y \in Y} y = 0}} \sum_{\substack{I, \text{s.t.} \\ Y \subset I, \\ |I| = 3p-3}} 1$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\binom{3p-3}{2p-3}(p|X) &= \sum_{\substack{Y \subset X \\ \sum_{y \in Y} y = 0}} \binom{3p-3}{2p-3} = \sum_{\substack{Y \subset X, \\ \sum_{y \in Y} y = 0}} \sum_{\substack{I, s.t. \\ Y \subset I, \\ |I| = 3p-3}} 1 \\
&= \sum_{\substack{I, s.t. \\ |I| = 3p-3}} \sum_{\substack{Y \subset I, \\ \sum_{y \in Y} y = 0}} 1 = \sum_I (p|I)
\end{aligned}$$

Performing similar calculation, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
&\binom{4p-3}{3p-3} - \binom{3p-2}{2p-2}(p-1|X) - \binom{3p-3}{2p-3}(p|X) \\
&\quad + \binom{2p-2}{p-2}(2p-1|X) + \binom{2p-3}{p-3}(2p|X) \equiv 0 \quad (5)
\end{aligned}$$

We finally prove that

$$\binom{4p-3}{3p-3} \equiv 3, \binom{3p-2}{2p-2} \equiv 2, \quad (6)$$

because:

$$\begin{aligned} \binom{4p-3}{3p-3} &\equiv \frac{(4p-3) \cdots (4p-(p-1)) \cdot 3p \cdot (3p-1) \cdot (3p-2)}{p!} \\ &\equiv \frac{(4p-3) \cdots (4p-(p-1)) \cdot 3 \cdot (3p-1) \cdot (3p-2)}{(p-1)!} \\ &\equiv 3 \cdot \frac{(-3) \cdot (-4) \cdots (-(p-1)) \cdot (-1) \cdot (-2)}{(p-1)!} \\ &\equiv 3 \cdot \frac{(p-1)!}{(p-1)!} \\ &\equiv 3 \end{aligned}$$

Note that we have used the fact that  $p$  is an odd prime, s.t.  
 $(-1)^{p-1} \equiv 1$ .

Similarly, one can prove that

$$\binom{3p-3}{2p-3} \equiv 2, \binom{2p-2}{p-2} \equiv 1, \binom{2p-3}{p-3} \equiv 1. \quad (7)$$

Combining the modulo equivalence in (6) and (7), (5) can be simplified to

$$3 - 2(p-1|X) - 2(p|X) + (2p-1|X) + (2p|X) \equiv 0, \quad (8)$$

which is what we want to prove. □

**Lemma 3.9**

*If  $|X| = 4p - 3$  and  $(p|X) = 0$ , then  $(p - 1|X) \equiv (3p - 1|X)$ .*

## Proof.

We consider the partition of  $X = A \cup B \cup C$ , where

$$|A| = p - 1, \quad |B| = p - 2, \quad |C| = 2p.$$

and

$$\sum_{a \in A} a \equiv 0, \quad \sum_{b \in B} b \equiv \sum_{x \in X} x, \quad \sum_{c \in C} c \equiv 0$$

Let  $\chi$  denote the number of such partition. We use two ways to compute the number  $\chi$ , the first one fixes  $A$  and find out the possible set  $C$ :

$$\chi \equiv \sum_A (2p|X - A|) \equiv \sum_A -1 \equiv -(p-1|X),$$

where we have used Corollary 3.5, for  $J = X - A$ , with  $|J| = 3p - 2$  and the fact that

$$0 \leq (p|J|) \leq (p|X|) = 0,$$

Now by fixing  $B$  and count the possible set  $C$ , we get:

$$\chi \equiv \sum_B (2p | X - B) \stackrel{1}{\equiv} \sum_B -1 \stackrel{2}{\equiv} \sum_{X-B} -1 \stackrel{3}{\equiv} -(3p - 1 | X)$$

For the three equivalences, we have used the following facts:

1: We use the similar argumentation as before, since

$|X - B| = 3p - 1$  and apply Corollary 3.5 leads to  
 $(2p | X - B) \equiv -1$ .

2: Consider the two sets

$$S := \{ B \subset X \mid |B| = p - 2, \sum_{b \in B} b \equiv \sum_{x \in X} x \}$$

$$W := \{ J \subset X \mid |J| = 3p - 1, \sum_{j \in J} j \equiv 0 \}$$



The map  $T$  defined by:

$$\begin{aligned} T : S &\rightarrow W \\ B &\mapsto X - B \end{aligned}$$

is a bijection, s.t.

$$\sum_B 1 \equiv \sum_{X-B} 1$$

3: Since  $\sum_{b \in B} b \equiv \sum_{x \in X} x$ , it follows that

$$\sum_{x \in X-B} x \equiv 0,$$

in particular

$$\sum_{X-B} -1 \equiv -1 \cdot (3p - 1 | X)$$



# Proof of *Kemnitz' Conjecture*

Proof.

$$-1 + (p|X) - (2p|X) + (3p|X) \equiv 0 \quad (9)$$

$$(p-1|X) - (2p-1|X) + (3p-1|X) \equiv 0 \quad (10)$$

$$3 - 2(p-1|X) - 2(p|X) + (2p-1|X) + (2p|X) \equiv 0. \quad (11)$$

# Proof of *Kemnitz' Conjecture*

Proof.

$$-1 + (p|X) - (2p|X) + (3p|X) \equiv 0 \quad (9)$$

$$(p-1|X) - (2p-1|X) + (3p-1|X) \equiv 0 \quad (10)$$

$$3 - 2(p-1|X) - 2(p|X) + (2p-1|X) + (2p|X) \equiv 0. \quad (11)$$

Adding the three above equations, we obtain:

$$2 - (p-1|X) - (p|X) + (3p-1|X) + (3p|X) \equiv 0 \quad (12)$$

Assume there is a set  $X$ , with  $|X| = 4p-3$  which contradicts the theorem, that is  $(p|X) = 0$ .

# Proof of *Kemnitz' Conjecture*

Proof.

$$-1 + (p|X) - (2p|X) + (3p|X) \equiv 0 \quad (9)$$

$$(p-1|X) - (2p-1|X) + (3p-1|X) \equiv 0 \quad (10)$$

$$3 - 2(p-1|X) - 2(p|X) + (2p-1|X) + (2p|X) \equiv 0. \quad (11)$$

Adding the three above equations, we obtain:

$$2 - (p-1|X) - (p|X) + (3p-1|X) + (3p|X) \equiv 0 \quad (12)$$

Assume there is a set  $X$ , with  $|X| = 4p-3$  which contradicts the theorem, that is  $(p|X) = 0$ .

Using the previous Lemma 3.9, we obtain  $(p-1|X) \equiv (3p-1|X)$ . Then (12) simplifies to

$$2 - (p|X) + (3p|X) \equiv 0 \quad (13)$$

Since  $p$  is odd, we see that  $(p|X)$  and  $(3p|X)$  could not both be 0. Since we assume that  $(p|X) = 0$ , it follows that  $(3p|X) > 0$ , i.e., there is a subset  $J \subset X$ ,  $|J| = 3p$  and  $\sum_{j \in J} j \equiv 0$ . But from Corollary 3.6, we see that  $(p|J) > 0$ , in particular  $(p|X) > 0$ , which is a contradiction. □

### Theorem (*Alon-Dubiner Theorem*)

$\exists c > 0$ , s.t.  $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$f(n, d) < (cd \log_2 d)^d n$$

*Thank You*