

Proof of Kemnitz' Conjecture and a generalization to higher dimensions

Panwei Hu

Introduction

We define a d -dimensional affine space V and consider the set of points which lie in the set

$$V_d := \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^d \alpha_i v_i \mid \alpha_i \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad 1 \leq i \leq d \right\},$$

where $\{v_i \mid 1 \leq i \leq d\}$ are linear independent vectors in V . We also call the points in V_d as *lattice points*.

Problem 1

Find out the minimum of the number f s.t. given f sequences in V_d , we can guarantee to find out a subsequence of length n , s.t. the centroid of this subsequence is also a lattice point. We define such minimum number as $f(n, d)$.

Goal

Goal

Find the exact value of $f(n, d)$, if not possible, study the upper and lower bound of $f(n, d)$

Goal

Goal

Find the exact value of $f(n, d)$, if not possible, study the upper and lower bound of $f(n, d)$

Consider the additive group $G := \mathbb{Z}_n^d$. We call a subsequence of length l , which sums to a 0 in \mathbb{Z}_n^d as 0-sum l -subsequence (w.r.t G), where 0 denotes the zero vector in G .

Goal

Goal

Find the exact value of $f(n, d)$, if not possible, study the upper and lower bound of $f(n, d)$

Consider the additive group $G := \mathbb{Z}_n^d$. We call a subsequence of length l , which sums to a 0 in \mathbb{Z}_n^d as 0-sum l -subsequence (w.r.t G), where 0 denotes the zero vector in G .

Problem 2

Find the number $f(n, d)$, s.t. for any sequences of elements in G , with length $l \geq f(n, d)$, there exists a 0-sum n -subsequence.

Goal

Goal

Find the exact value of $f(n, d)$, if not possible, study the upper and lower bound of $f(n, d)$

Consider the additive group $G := \mathbb{Z}_n^d$. We call a subsequence of length l , which sums to a 0 in \mathbb{Z}_n^d as 0-sum l -subsequence (w.r.t G), where 0 denotes the zero vector in G .

Problem 2

Find the number $f(n, d)$, s.t. for any sequences of elements in G , with length $l \geq f(n, d)$, there exists a 0-sum n -subsequence.

Observation

Problem 1 and Problem 2 are equivalent

A natural bound on $f(n, d)$

Lemma 2.2

$$(n-1)2^d + 1 \leq f(n, d) \leq (n-1)n^d + 1 \quad (1)$$

A natural bound on $f(n, d)$

Lemma 2.2

$$(n-1)2^d + 1 \leq f(n, d) \leq (n-1)n^d + 1 \quad (1)$$

Proof.

Left inequality: Construct $(n-1)2^d$ vectors, which include all the vectors in \mathbb{Z}_n^d , which has 0 or 1 in their entry, so there are in all 2^d different vectors. Each vector appear exactly $n-1$ times. It is impossible to find a 0-sum n -subsequence among these vectors.

A natural bound on $f(n, d)$

Lemma 2.2

$$(n-1)2^d + 1 \leq f(n, d) \leq (n-1)n^d + 1 \quad (1)$$

Proof.

Left inequality: Construct $(n-1)2^d$ vectors, which include all the vectors in \mathbb{Z}_n^d , which has 0 or 1 in their entry, so there are in all 2^d different vectors. Each vector appear exactly $n-1$ times. It is impossible to find a 0-sum n -subsequence among these vectors.

Right inequality: pigeon hole principle

Since $|G| = n^d$, given $(n-1)n^d + 1$ elements, there are at least one vector v which has multiplicity

$$\left\lceil \frac{(n-1)n^d + 1}{n^d} \right\rceil = n.$$



Decomposition of $f(n, d)$ (1)

Lemma 2.3

$$f(pq, d) \leq f(p, d) + p(f(q, d) - 1) \quad (2.3.1)$$

Proof.

For the convenience of notation, we define

$$f_1 := f(p, d), f_2 := f(q, d), f := f_1 + p(f_2 - 1).$$

since

$$f = f_1 + p(f_2 - 1),$$

we would obtain f_2 0-sum p -subsequence (w.r.t \mathbb{Z}_p^d). Among all these f_2 vectors, there exists a 0-sum q -subsequence (w.r.t \mathbb{Z}_q^d), which means they sum to a vector z , with each component divisible by q . Since each summand has components all divisible by p , the resultant vectors will be divisible by $pq = n$, thus we obtain a 0-sum n -subsequence. □

Decomposition of $f(n, d)$ (2)

Due to the symmetry we would obtain similarly:

$$f(pq, d) \leq f(q, d) + q(f(p, d) - 1) \quad (2.3.1')$$

Decomposition of $f(n, d)$ (2)

Due to the symmetry we would obtain similarly:

$$f(pq, d) \leq f(q, d) + q(f(p, d) - 1) \quad (2.3.1')$$

Combining (2.3.1) and (2.3.1'), we obtain the following upper bound.

Corollary 2.5

$$f(pq, d) \leq \min\{f(p, d) + p(f(q, d) - 1), f(q, d) + q(f(p, d) - 1)\} \quad (2)$$

Decomposition of $f(n, d)$ (2)

Due to the symmetry we would obtain similarly:

$$f(pq, d) \leq f(q, d) + q(f(p, d) - 1) \quad (2.3.1')$$

Combining (2.3.1) and (2.3.1'), we obtain the following upper bound.

Corollary 2.5

$$f(pq, d) \leq \min\{f(p, d) + p(f(q, d) - 1), f(q, d) + q(f(p, d) - 1)\} \quad (2)$$

Theorem 2.5 (Cauchy-Davenport)

Let p be a prime number. If $A, B \subset \mathbb{Z}_p$ are nonempty, then

$$|A + B| \geq \min\{p, |A| + |B| - 1\},$$

where $A + B := \{a + b \mid a \in A, b \in B\}$

Examples

Theorem 2.7 (Erdős-Ginsburg-Ziv)

$$f(n, 1) = 2n - 1$$

Proof sketches.

From (1):

$$f(n, 1) \geq 2n - 1 \tag{3}$$

Only need to show

$$f(n, 1) \leq 2n - 1. \tag{4}$$

Examples

Theorem 2.7 (Erdős-Ginsburg-Ziv)

$$f(n, 1) = 2n - 1$$

Proof sketches.

From (1):

$$f(n, 1) \geq 2n - 1 \tag{3}$$

Only need to show

$$f(n, 1) \leq 2n - 1. \tag{4}$$

Recall $f(pq, d) \leq f(p, d) + p(f(q, d) - 1)$

Examples

Theorem 2.7 (Erdős-Ginsburg-Ziv)

$$f(n, 1) = 2n - 1$$

Proof sketches.

From (1):

$$f(n, 1) \geq 2n - 1 \quad (3)$$

Only need to show

$$f(n, 1) \leq 2n - 1. \quad (4)$$

Recall $f(pq, d) \leq f(p, d) + p(f(q, d) - 1)$

- ① restrict to prime number ($f(pq, 1) \leq 2pq - 1$)
- ② application of Theorem 1 to prove

$$f(p, 1) \leq 2p - 1$$



Proof of (4)(1)

Proof of (4)(2)

Further examples

Lemma 2.8

$$f(2^n, d) = (2^n - 1)2^d + 1$$

Further examples

Lemma 2.8

$$f(2^n, d) = (2^n - 1)2^d + 1$$

Lemma 2.9

$$f(3^n, 2) = 4 \cdot 3^n - 3$$

Further examples

Lemma 2.8

$$f(2^n, d) = (2^n - 1)2^d + 1$$

Lemma 2.9

$$f(3^n, 2) = 4 \cdot 3^n - 3$$

Problem

Is it true for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$f(n, 2) = 4n - 3$$

This is the well-known *Kemnitz' Conjecture*

Remark

Notation: \equiv means modulo in \mathbb{Z}_p .

The 0 denotes the usual neutral element of addition in the corresponding abelian group. In particular, 0 denotes the standard 0 in the abelian group \mathbb{Z}_p and $(0, 0)$ in the case of $\mathbb{Z}_p \times \mathbb{Z}_p$.

Remark

Notation: \equiv means modulo in \mathbb{Z}_p .

The 0 denotes the usual neutral element of addition in the corresponding abelian group. In particular, 0 denotes the standard 0 in the abelian group \mathbb{Z}_p and $(0, 0)$ in the case of $\mathbb{Z}_p \times \mathbb{Z}_p$.

Theorem 3.1 (Chevalley-Waring Theorem)

Let p be a prime number and $q = p^t, t \in \mathbb{N}$. We use \mathbb{F}_q to denote the finite field of q elements. Let p_1, \dots, p_m be m polynomials in $\mathbb{F}_q[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n]$, with degree d_1, \dots, d_m . Denote the number of common zeros of the m polynomials as N . If

$$\sum_{i=1}^m d_i < n,$$

then

$$N \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$$

First reduction

Main Theorem (*Kemnitz' Conjecture*)

$$f(n, 2) = 4n - 3$$

Proposition

It suffices to consider the case for n is an odd prime number.

First reduction

Main Theorem (*Kemnitz' Conjecture*)

$$f(n, 2) = 4n - 3$$

Proposition

It suffices to consider the case for n is an odd prime number.

Notation

Denote J, X and other capital alphabets as a multiset of
 $G := \mathbb{Z}_p \times \mathbb{Z}_p$.

$(m|J) \cong$ number of 0-sum m -subsequence (w.r.t G) in J .

Corollary 3.2

If $|J| = 3p - 3$, then

$$1 - (p - 1|J) - (p|J) + (2p - 1|J) + (2p|J) \equiv 0$$

Corollary 3.2

If $|J| = 3p - 3$, then

$$1 - (p - 1|J) - (p|J) + (2p - 1|J) + (2p|J) \equiv 0$$

We specify J as the multiset $\{(a_n, b_n) \mid 1 \leq n \leq 3p - 3\}$.

We consider three polynomials

$$p_1 := \sum_{n=1}^{3p-3} x_n^{p-1} + x_{3p-2}^{p-1}, \quad p_2 := \sum_{n=1}^{3p-3} a_n x_n^{p-1}, \quad p_3 := \sum_{n=1}^{3p-3} b_n x_n^{p-1}$$

Corollary 3.2

If $|J| = 3p - 3$, then

$$1 - (p-1|J) - (p|J) + (2p-1|J) + (2p|J) \equiv 0$$

We specify J as the multiset $\{(a_n, b_n) \mid 1 \leq n \leq 3p-3\}$.

We consider three polynomials

$$p_1 := \sum_{n=1}^{3p-3} x_n^{p-1} + x_{3p-2}^{p-1}, \quad p_2 := \sum_{n=1}^{3p-3} a_n x_n^{p-1}, \quad p_3 := \sum_{n=1}^{3p-3} b_n x_n^{p-1}$$

Since the total sum of degrees are $3(p-1) = 3p-3 < 3p-2$, we can apply the *Chevalley-Warning Theorem*.

Corollary 3.2

If $|J| = 3p - 3$, then

$$1 - (p - 1|J) - (p|J) + (2p - 1|J) + (2p|J) \equiv 0$$

We specify J as the multiset $\{(a_n, b_n) \mid 1 \leq n \leq 3p - 3\}$.

We consider three polynomials

$$p_1 := \sum_{n=1}^{3p-3} x_n^{p-1} + x_{3p-2}^{p-1}, \quad p_2 := \sum_{n=1}^{3p-3} a_n x_n^{p-1}, \quad p_3 := \sum_{n=1}^{3p-3} b_n x_n^{p-1}$$

Since the total sum of degrees are $3(p - 1) = 3p - 3 < 3p - 2$, we can apply the *Chevalley-Waring Theorem*.

Since three polynomials have 0 as a common zero, the *Chevalley-Waring Theorem* states that there are non-trivial common zeros. We consider the common zeros depending on the term x_{3p-2} in p_1 .

Proof of Corollary 3.2(1) $x_{3n-2} = 0$

$$x_{3n-2} = 0$$

Proof of Corollary 3.2(1) $x_{3n-2} = 0$

$$x_{3n-2} = 0$$

$$p_1 := \sum_{n=1}^{3p-3} x_n^{p-1} + x_{3p-2}^{p-1}$$

$$p_2 := \sum_{n=1}^{3p-3} a_n x_n^{p-1}$$

$$p_3 := \sum_{n=1}^{3p-3} b_n x_n^{p-1}$$

Proof of Corollary 3.2(1) $x_{3n-2} = 0$

$$x_{3n-2} = 0$$

$$p_1 := \sum_{n=1}^{3p-3} x_n^{p-1} + x_{3p-2}^{p-1}$$

In this case for the zero of p_1 , it needs to satisfy

$$p_2 := \sum_{n=1}^{3p-3} a_n x_n^{p-1}$$

$$p_3 := \sum_{n=1}^{3p-3} b_n x_n^{p-1}$$

$$\sum_{n=1}^{3p-3} x_n^{p-1} \equiv 0$$

We know that

$$x^{p-1} \equiv \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } x \not\equiv 0; \\ 0, & \text{if } x \equiv 0. \end{cases}$$

Since there are in all $3p - 3$ variables left, there could only be three cases:

Proof of Corollary 3.2(2) $x_{3n-2} = 0$

$$p_1 := \sum_{n=1}^{3p-3} x_n^{p-1} + x_{3p-2}^{p-1}$$

$$p_2 := \sum_{n=1}^{3p-3} a_n x_n^{p-1}$$

$$p_3 := \sum_{n=1}^{3p-3} b_n x_n^{p-1}$$

Proof of Corollary 3.2(2) $x_{3n-2} = 0$

① 0 of them are 1: # 1

$$p_1 := \sum_{n=1}^{3p-3} x_n^{p-1} + x_{3p-2}^{p-1}$$

$$p_2 := \sum_{n=1}^{3p-3} a_n x_n^{p-1}$$

$$p_3 := \sum_{n=1}^{3p-3} b_n x_n^{p-1}$$

Proof of Corollary 3.2(2) $x_{3n-2} = 0$

$$p_1 := \sum_{n=1}^{3p-3} x_n^{p-1} + x_{3p-2}^{p-1}$$

$$p_2 := \sum_{n=1}^{3p-3} a_n x_n^{p-1}$$

$$p_3 := \sum_{n=1}^{3p-3} b_n x_n^{p-1}$$

- ① 0 of them are 1: $\# 1$
- ② p of them are 1: x_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_p} .

Proof of Corollary 3.2(2) $x_{3n-2} = 0$

$$p_1 := \sum_{n=1}^{3p-3} x_n^{p-1} + x_{3p-2}^{p-1}$$

$$p_2 := \sum_{n=1}^{3p-3} a_n x_n^{p-1}$$

$$p_3 := \sum_{n=1}^{3p-3} b_n x_n^{p-1}$$

① 0 of them are 1: $\# 1$

② p of them are 1: x_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_p} .

$$\sum_{j=1}^p a_{i_j} \equiv 0, \quad \sum_{j=1}^p b_{i_j} \equiv 0.$$

Proof of Corollary 3.2(2) $x_{3n-2} = 0$

$$p_1 := \sum_{n=1}^{3p-3} x_n^{p-1} + x_{3p-2}^{p-1}$$

$$p_2 := \sum_{n=1}^{3p-3} a_n x_n^{p-1}$$

$$p_3 := \sum_{n=1}^{3p-3} b_n x_n^{p-1}$$

① 0 of them are 1: $\# 1$

② p of them are 1: x_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_p} .

$$\sum_{j=1}^p a_{i_j} \equiv 0, \quad \sum_{j=1}^p b_{i_j} \equiv 0.$$

$$\# (p-1)^p(p|J).$$

Proof of Corollary 3.2(2) $x_{3n-2} = 0$

$$p_1 := \sum_{n=1}^{3p-3} x_n^{p-1} + x_{3p-2}^{p-1}$$

$$p_2 := \sum_{n=1}^{3p-3} a_n x_n^{p-1}$$

$$p_3 := \sum_{n=1}^{3p-3} b_n x_n^{p-1}$$

① 0 of them are 1: $\# 1$

② p of them are 1: x_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_p} .

$$\sum_{j=1}^p a_{i_j} \equiv 0, \quad \sum_{j=1}^p b_{i_j} \equiv 0.$$

$$\# (p-1)^p(p|J).$$

③ $2p$ of them are 1:

$$\# (p-1)^{2p}(2p|J).$$

Proof of Corollary 3.2(3) $x_{3n-2} \neq 0$

$$x_{3n-2} \neq 0$$

$$p_1 := \sum_{n=1}^{3p-3} x_n^{p-1} + x_{3p-2}^{p-1}$$

$$p_2 := \sum_{n=1}^{3p-3} a_n x_n^{p-1}$$

$$p_3 := \sum_{n=1}^{3p-3} b_n x_n^{p-1}$$

Proof of Corollary 3.2(3) $x_{3n-2} \neq 0$

$$x_{3n-2} \neq 0$$

$$p_1 := \sum_{n=1}^{3p-3} x_n^{p-1} + x_{3p-2}^{p-1}$$

$$p_2 := \sum_{n=1}^{3p-3} a_n x_n^{p-1}$$

$$p_3 := \sum_{n=1}^{3p-3} b_n x_n^{p-1}$$

① $p-1$ of them are 1.

Proof of Corollary 3.2(3) $x_{3n-2} \neq 0$

$$x_{3n-2} \neq 0$$

$$p_1 := \sum_{n=1}^{3p-3} x_n^{p-1} + x_{3p-2}^{p-1}$$

$$p_2 := \sum_{n=1}^{3p-3} a_n x_n^{p-1}$$

$$p_3 := \sum_{n=1}^{3p-3} b_n x_n^{p-1}$$

- ① $p-1$ of them are 1.
$(p-1)^p(p-1|J)$

Proof of Corollary 3.2(3) $x_{3n-2} \neq 0$

$$x_{3n-2} \neq 0$$

$$p_1 := \sum_{n=1}^{3p-3} x_n^{p-1} + x_{3p-2}^{p-1}$$

$$p_2 := \sum_{n=1}^{3p-3} a_n x_n^{p-1}$$

$$p_3 := \sum_{n=1}^{3p-3} b_n x_n^{p-1}$$

- ① $p-1$ of them are 1.
$(p-1)^p(p-1|J)$
- ② $2p-1$ of them are 1.
$(p-1)^{2p}(2p-1|J)$

Proof of Corollary 3.2(3) $x_{3n-2} \neq 0$

$$x_{3n-2} \neq 0$$

$$p_1 := \sum_{n=1}^{3p-3} x_n^{p-1} + x_{3p-2}^{p-1}$$

$$p_2 := \sum_{n=1}^{3p-3} a_n x_n^{p-1}$$

$$p_3 := \sum_{n=1}^{3p-3} b_n x_n^{p-1}$$

① $p-1$ of them are 1.

$$\# (p-1)^p (p-1|J)$$

② $2p-1$ of them are 1.

$$\# (p-1)^{2p} (2p-1|J)$$

Collecting all the number of common zeros:

$$\begin{aligned} & 1 + (p-1)^p (p|J) + (p-1)^{2p} (2p|J) + (p-1)^p (p-1|J) \\ & \quad + (p-1)^{2p} (2p-1|J) \equiv 0 \\ \Rightarrow & 1 - (p-1|J) - (p|J) + (2p-1|J) + (2p|J) \equiv 0 \end{aligned}$$

Corollary 3.3

If $|J| = 3p - 2$, or $|J| = 3p - 1$, then $1 - (p|J) + (2p|J) \equiv 0$

Corollary 3.3

If $|J| = 3p - 2$, or $|J| = 3p - 1$, then $1 - (p|J) + (2p|J) \equiv 0$

Corollary 3.5

If $|J| = 3p - 2$ or $|J| = 3p - 1$, then $(p|J) = 0$ implies $(2p|J) \equiv -1$.

Corollary 3.3

If $|J| = 3p - 2$, or $|J| = 3p - 1$, then $1 - (p|J) + (2p|J) \equiv 0$

Corollary 3.5

If $|J| = 3p - 2$ or $|J| = 3p - 1$, then $(p|J) = 0$ implies $(2p|J) \equiv -1$.

Corollary 3.6

If J contains exactly $3p$ elements, and $\sum_{x \in J} x \equiv 0$, then $(p|J) > 0$.

Proof of Corollary 3.6

Proof.

If $(p|J) = 0 \Rightarrow$

$$\forall x \in J, \quad (p|J - x) = 0.$$

Proof of Corollary 3.6

Proof.

If $(p|J) = 0 \Rightarrow$

$$\forall x \in J, \quad (p|J - x) = 0.$$

$|J - x| = 3p - 1 \Rightarrow$

$$(2p|J - x) \equiv -1.$$

In particular

$$(2p|J - x) > 0$$

Proof of Corollary 3.6

Proof.

If $(p|J) = 0 \Rightarrow$

$$\forall x \in J, \quad (p|J - x) = 0.$$

$|J - x| = 3p - 1 \Rightarrow$

$$(2p|J - x) \equiv -1.$$

In particular

$$(2p|J - x) > 0$$

$\forall A \subset J$, s.t. $\sum_{a \in A} a \equiv 0$,

$$\sum_{a \in A} a + \sum_{b \in J-A} b = \sum_{j \in J} j \equiv 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \sum_{b \in J-A} b \equiv 0$$

The map T

$$T : \left\{ A \subset J \mid |A| = p, \sum_{a \in A} a \equiv 0 \right\} \rightarrow \left\{ A \subset J \mid |A| = 2p, \sum_{a \in A} a \equiv 0 \right\}$$
$$A \mapsto J - A$$

is a bijection. It follows that:

$$(p|J) = (2p|J) \geq (2p|J - x) > 0,$$

which is a contradiction to the assumption $(p|J) = 0$



Corollary 3.7

If $|X| = 4p - 3$, then

- ① $-1 + (p|X) - (2p|X) + (3p|X) \equiv 0$
- ② $(p - 1|X) - (2p - 1|X) + (3p - 1|X) \equiv 0$

Corollary 3.7

If $|X| = 4p - 3$, then

- ① $-1 + (p|X) - (2p|X) + (3p|X) \equiv 0$
- ② $(p - 1|X) - (2p - 1|X) + (3p - 1|X) \equiv 0$

Corollary 3.8

If $|X| = 4p - 3$, then

$$3 - 2(p - 1|X) - 2(p|X) + (2p - 1|X) + (2p|X) \equiv 0.$$

Proof.

We deduce from Corollary 3.2 that:

$$\sum_I 1 - (p-1|I) - (p|I) + (2p-1|I) + (2p|I) \equiv 0,$$

where the sum is over $I \subset X$, s.t, $|I| = 3p-3$.

Proof.

We deduce from Corollary 3.2 that:

$$\sum_I 1 - (p-1|I) - (p|I) + (2p-1|I) + (2p|I) \equiv 0,$$

where the sum is over $I \subset X$, s.t., $|I| = 3p-3$. Given a subset $Y \subset X$, s.t. $|Y| = p$, $\sum_{y \in Y} y \equiv 0$

$$|\{I | Y \subset I, |I| = 3p-3\}| = \binom{3p-3}{2p-3},$$

Proof.

We deduce from Corollary 3.2 that:

$$\sum_I 1 - (p-1|I) - (p|I) + (2p-1|I) + (2p|I) \equiv 0,$$

where the sum is over $I \subset X$, s.t. $|I| = 3p-3$. Given a subset $Y \subset X$, s.t. $|Y| = p$, $\sum_{y \in Y} y \equiv 0$

$$|\{I | Y \subset I, |I| = 3p-3\}| = \binom{3p-3}{2p-3},$$

We consider the sum

$$\sum_{\substack{Y \subset X, |Y|=p, \\ \sum_{y \in Y} y = 0}} \sum_{\substack{I, \text{ s.t. } \\ Y \subset I, \\ |I|=3p-3}} 1$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\binom{3p-3}{2p-3}(p|X) &= \sum_{\substack{Y \subset X, |Y|=p, \\ \sum_{y \in Y} y=0}} \binom{3p-3}{2p-3} = \sum_{\substack{Y \subset X, |Y|=p, \\ \sum_{y \in Y} y=0}} \sum_{\substack{I, s.t. \\ Y \subset I, \\ |I|=3p-3}} 1 \\
&= \sum_{\substack{I, s.t. \\ |I|=3p-3}} \sum_{\substack{Y \subset I, |Y|=p, \\ \sum_{y \in Y} y=0}} 1 = \sum_I (p|I)
\end{aligned}$$

Performing similar calculation, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
&\binom{4p-3}{3p-3} - \binom{3p-2}{2p-2}(p-1|X) - \binom{3p-3}{2p-3}(p|X) \\
&\quad + \binom{2p-2}{p-2}(2p-1|X) + \binom{2p-3}{p-3}(2p|X) \equiv 0 \quad (5)
\end{aligned}$$

We finally prove that

$$\binom{4p-3}{3p-3} \equiv 3 \quad (6)$$

because:

$$\begin{aligned} \binom{4p-3}{3p-3} &\equiv \frac{(4p-3) \cdots (4p-(p-1)) \cdot 3p \cdot (3p-1) \cdot (3p-2)}{p!} \\ &\equiv \frac{(4p-3) \cdots (4p-(p-1)) \cdot 3 \cdot (3p-1) \cdot (3p-2)}{(p-1)!} \\ &\equiv 3 \cdot \frac{(-3) \cdot (-4) \cdots (-(p-1)) \cdot (-1) \cdot (-2)}{(p-1)!} \\ &\equiv 3 \cdot \frac{(p-1)!}{(p-1)!} \\ &\equiv 3 \end{aligned}$$

Note that we have used the fact that $(-1)^{p-1} \equiv 1$.

Similarly, one can prove that

$$\binom{3p-3}{2p-3} \equiv 2, \binom{2p-2}{p-2} \equiv 1, \binom{2p-3}{p-3} \equiv 1. \quad (7)$$

Combining the modulo equivalence in (6) and (7), (5) can be simplified to

$$3 - 2(p-1|X) - 2(p|X) + (2p-1|X) + (2p|X) \equiv 0, \quad (8)$$

which is what we want to prove. □

Lemma 3.9

If $|X| = 4p - 3$ and $(p|X) = 0$, then $(p - 1|X) \equiv (3p - 1|X)$.

Proof.

We consider the partition of $X = A \cup B \cup C$, where

$$|A| = p - 1, \quad |B| = p - 2, \quad |C| = 2p.$$

and

$$\sum_{a \in A} a \equiv 0, \quad \sum_{b \in B} b \equiv \sum_{x \in X} x, \quad \sum_{c \in C} c \equiv 0$$

Proof.

We consider the partition of $X = A \cup B \cup C$, where

$$|A| = p - 1, \quad |B| = p - 2, \quad |C| = 2p.$$

and

$$\sum_{a \in A} a \equiv 0, \quad \sum_{b \in B} b \equiv \sum_{x \in X} x, \quad \sum_{c \in C} c \equiv 0$$

Let χ denote the number of such partition. We use two ways to compute the number χ , the first one fixes A and find out the possible set C :

$$\chi \equiv \sum_A (2p|X - A|) \equiv \sum_A -1 \equiv -(p-1|X),$$

where we have used Corollary 3.5, for $J = X - A$, with $|J| = 3p - 2$ and the fact that

$$0 \leq (p|J|) \leq (p|X|) = 0,$$

Now by fixing B and counting the possible set C , we get:

$$\chi \equiv \sum_B (2p | X - B) \stackrel{1}{\equiv} \sum_B -1 \stackrel{2}{\equiv} \sum_{X-B} -1 \stackrel{3}{\equiv} -(3p-1 | X)$$

For the three equivalences, we have used the following facts:

1: We use the similar argumentation as before, since

$|X - B| = 3p - 1$ and apply Corollary 3.5 leads to
 $(2p | X - B) \equiv -1$.

2: Consider the two sets

$$S := \{ B \subset X \mid |B| = p - 2, \sum_{b \in B} b \equiv \sum_{x \in X} x \}$$

$$W := \{ J \subset X \mid |J| = 3p - 1, \sum_{j \in J} j \equiv 0 \}$$

The map T defined by:

$$\begin{aligned} T : S &\rightarrow W \\ B &\mapsto X - B \end{aligned}$$

is a bijection, s.t.

$$\sum_B 1 \equiv \sum_{X-B} 1$$

3: Since $\sum_{b \in B} b \equiv \sum_{x \in X} x$, it follows that

$$\sum_{x \in X-B} x \equiv 0,$$

in particular

$$\sum_{X-B} -1 \equiv -1 \cdot (3p - 1|X)$$



Proof of *Kemnitz' Conjecture*

Proof.

$$-1 + (p|X) - (2p|X) + (3p|X) \equiv 0 \quad (9)$$

$$(p-1|X) - (2p-1|X) + (3p-1|X) \equiv 0 \quad (10)$$

$$3 - 2(p-1|X) - 2(p|X) + (2p-1|X) + (2p|X) \equiv 0. \quad (11)$$

Proof of *Kemnitz' Conjecture*

Proof.

$$-1 + (p|X) - (2p|X) + (3p|X) \equiv 0 \quad (9)$$

$$(p-1|X) - (2p-1|X) + (3p-1|X) \equiv 0 \quad (10)$$

$$3 - 2(p-1|X) - 2(p|X) + (2p-1|X) + (2p|X) \equiv 0. \quad (11)$$

Adding the three above equations, we obtain:

$$2 - (p-1|X) - (p|X) + (3p-1|X) + (3p|X) \equiv 0 \quad (12)$$

Assume there is a set X , with $|X| = 4p-3$ which contradicts the theorem, that is $(p|X) = 0$.

Proof of *Kemnitz' Conjecture*

Proof.

$$-1 + (p|X) - (2p|X) + (3p|X) \equiv 0 \quad (9)$$

$$(p-1|X) - (2p-1|X) + (3p-1|X) \equiv 0 \quad (10)$$

$$3 - 2(p-1|X) - 2(p|X) + (2p-1|X) + (2p|X) \equiv 0. \quad (11)$$

Adding the three above equations, we obtain:

$$2 - (p-1|X) - (p|X) + (3p-1|X) + (3p|X) \equiv 0 \quad (12)$$

Assume there is a set X , with $|X| = 4p-3$ which contradicts the theorem, that is $(p|X) = 0$.

Using the previous Lemma 3.9, we obtain $(p-1|X) \equiv (3p-1|X)$. Then (12) simplifies to

$$2 - (p|X) + (3p|X) \equiv 0 \quad (13)$$

Since p is odd, we see that $(p|X)$ and $(3p|X)$ could not both be 0. Since we assume that $(p|X) = 0$, it follows that $(3p|X) > 0$, i.e., there is a subset $J \subset X$, $|J| = 3p$ and $\sum_{j \in J} j \equiv 0$. But from Corollary 3.6, we see that $(p|J) > 0$, in particular $(p|X) > 0$, which is a contradiction. □

Theorem (*Alon-Dubiner Theorem*)

$\exists c > 0$, s.t. $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$f(n, d) < (cd \log_2 d)^d n$$

Thank You