# Project Title: System Verification and Validation Plan for REVITALIZE

Team 13, REVITALIZE

Bill Nguyen

Syed Bokhari

Hasan Kibria

Youssef Dahab

Logan Brown

Mahmoud Anklis

November 1, 2022

# 1 Revision History

| Date    |       | Version | Notes                                   |
|---------|-------|---------|-----------------------------------------|
| October | 31st, | Bill    | Added Functional Requirements Tests for |
| 2022    |       | Nguyen  | Login Page                              |
| October | 31st, | Bill    | Added Non Functional Requirements       |
| 2022    |       | Nguyen  | Tests for Look and Feel, Usability and  |
|         |       |         | Performance                             |
| October | 31st, | Bill    | Added 3 Questions to Usability Survey   |
| 2022    |       | Nguyen  |                                         |

# Contents

| 1 | Revision History |                                                  |    |  |  |  |  |
|---|------------------|--------------------------------------------------|----|--|--|--|--|
| 2 | Syn              | nbols, Abbreviations and Acronyms                | iv |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | Ger              | neral Information                                | 1  |  |  |  |  |
|   | 3.1              | Summary                                          | 1  |  |  |  |  |
|   | 3.2              | Objectives                                       | 1  |  |  |  |  |
|   | 3.3              | Relevant Documentation                           | 1  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 | Pla              | n                                                | 1  |  |  |  |  |
|   | 4.1              | Verification and Validation Team                 | 1  |  |  |  |  |
|   | 4.2              | SRS Verification Plan                            | 2  |  |  |  |  |
|   | 4.3              | Design Verification Plan                         | 2  |  |  |  |  |
|   | 4.4              | Implementation Verification Plan                 | 2  |  |  |  |  |
|   | 4.5              | Automated Testing and Verification Tools         | 2  |  |  |  |  |
|   | 4.6              | Software Validation Plan                         | 2  |  |  |  |  |
| 5 | $\mathbf{Sys}$   | System Test Description                          |    |  |  |  |  |
|   | 5.1              | Tests for Functional Requirements                | 3  |  |  |  |  |
|   |                  | 5.1.1 Login Page Testing                         | 3  |  |  |  |  |
|   |                  | 5.1.2 Area of Testing2                           | 6  |  |  |  |  |
|   | 5.2              | Tests for Nonfunctional Requirements             | 6  |  |  |  |  |
|   |                  | 5.2.1 Look and Feel Testing                      | 7  |  |  |  |  |
|   |                  | 5.2.2 Usability and Humanity Testing             | 7  |  |  |  |  |
|   |                  | 5.2.3 Performance Testing                        | 9  |  |  |  |  |
|   | 5.3              | Traceability Between Test Cases and Requirements | 11 |  |  |  |  |
| 6 | Uni              | it Test Description                              | 11 |  |  |  |  |
|   | 6.1              | Unit Testing Scope                               | 11 |  |  |  |  |
|   | 6.2              | Tests for Functional Requirements                | 11 |  |  |  |  |
|   |                  | 6.2.1 Module 1                                   | 11 |  |  |  |  |
|   |                  | 6.2.2 Module 2                                   | 12 |  |  |  |  |
|   | 6.3              | Tests for Nonfunctional Requirements             | 12 |  |  |  |  |
|   |                  | 6.3.1 Module ?                                   | 13 |  |  |  |  |
|   |                  | 6.3.2 Module ?                                   | 13 |  |  |  |  |
|   | 6.4              | Traceability Between Test Cases and Modules      | 13 |  |  |  |  |

| 7            | App            | pendix                                    | 14 |
|--------------|----------------|-------------------------------------------|----|
|              | 7.1            | Symbolic Parameters                       | 14 |
|              | 7.2            | Usability Survey Questions?               | 14 |
| ${f L}$      | $\mathbf{ist}$ | of Tables                                 |    |
|              | [Rer           | move this section if it isn't needed —SS] |    |
| $\mathbf{L}$ | ist            | of Figures                                |    |
|              | [Rer           | move this section if it isn't needed —SS  |    |

# 2 Symbols, Abbreviations and Acronyms

| symbol | description |  |
|--------|-------------|--|
| T      | Test        |  |

[symbols, abbreviations or acronyms – you can simply reference the SRS (Author, 2019) tables, if appropriate —SS]

This document ... [provide an introductory blurb and roadmap of the Verification and Validation plan —SS]

### 3 General Information

### 3.1 Summary

[Say what software is being tested. Give its name and a brief overview of its general functions. —SS]

# 3.2 Objectives

[State what is intended to be accomplished. The objective will be around the qualities that are most important for your project. You might have something like: "build confidence in the software correctness," "demonstrate adequate usability." etc. You won't list all of the qualities, just those that are most important. —SS]

#### 3.3 Relevant Documentation

[Reference relevant documentation. This will definitely include your SRS and your other project documents (MG, MIS, etc). You can include these even before they are written, since by the time the project is done, they will be written. —SS]

Author (2019)

# 4 Plan

[Introduce this section. You can provide a roadmap of the sections to come. —SS]

#### 4.1 Verification and Validation Team

[You, your classmates and the course instructor. Maybe your supervisor. You should do more than list names. You should say what each person's role is for the project. A table is a good way to summarize this information.
—SS]

#### 4.2 SRS Verification Plan

[List any approaches you intend to use for SRS verification. This may just be ad hoc feedback from reviewers, like your classmates, or you may have something more rigorous/systematic in mind..—SS]

[Remember you have an SRS checklist—SS]

### 4.3 Design Verification Plan

[Plans for design verification —SS]
[The review will include reviews by your classmates —SS]
[Remember you have MG and MIS checklists —SS]

# 4.4 Implementation Verification Plan

[You should at least point to the tests listed in this document and the unit testing plan. —SS]

[In this section you would also give any details of any plans for static verification of the implementation. Potential techniques include code walk-throughs, code inspection, static analyzers, etc. —SS]

# 4.5 Automated Testing and Verification Tools

[What tools are you using for automated testing. Likely a unit testing framework and maybe a profiling tool, like ValGrind. Other possible tools include a static analyzer, make, continuous integration tools, test coverage tools, etc. Explain your plans for summarizing code coverage metrics. Linters are another important class of tools. For the programming language you select, you should look at the available linters. There may also be tools that verify that coding standards have been respected, like flake9 for Python. —SS]

[The details of this section will likely evolve as you get closer to the implementation. —SS]

#### 4.6 Software Validation Plan

[If there is any external data that can be used for validation, you should point to it here. If there are no plans for validation, you should state that here. —SS]

# 5 System Test Description

# 5.1 Tests for Functional Requirements

Subsections of the requirements will be divided into the events from our SRS, which are Login Page, Sign up Page, Main Page, Diet Section, Workout Section and Rest Section. There will be 1 test per functional requirement, and will follow the same order as functional requirements in SRS (ex. FR1 in VnV plan is the test for FR1 in SRS).

#### 5.1.1 Login Page Testing

Testing all functional requirements for login page of REVITALIZE. (Refer to BE1 in SRS)

#### 1. FR1

Control: Manual

Initial State: Loading stage of the login page

Input: An event that loads the login page

Output: Login page is displayed with all necessary components

Test Case Derivation: Request is made to load login page

How test will be performed: Tester will open REVITALIZE application  $\,$ 

and login page should be displayed

#### 2. FR2

Control: Manual

Initial State: Login page is displayed with username textbox

Input: Enter username information in textbox

Output: Username information entered is displayed in textbox

Test Case Derivation: User can enter information in username textbox

How test will be performed: Tester will enter information in username

textbox and checks if textbox displays what the tester entered.

#### 3. FR3

Control: Manual

Initial State: Login page is displayed with password textbox

Input: Enter password information in textbox

Output: password information entered is displayed in textbox via hid-

den text

Test Case Derivation: User can enter information in password textbox

How test will be performed: Tester will enter information in password textbox and checks if textbox displays what the tester entered via hidden text.

#### 4. FR4

Control: Manual

Initial State: Login page is displayed with login button

Input: Click login button

Output: Intended events occurs. Refer to FR9

Test Case Derivation: User clicks login button and a request is made

based on username and password text-boxes

How test will be performed: Tester will click on login button and check

if request is made correctly

#### 5. FR5

Control: Manual

Initial State: Login page is displayed with forgot password button

Input: Click forgot password button

Output: Display forgot password screen with textbox to enter email

Test Case Derivation: User clicks forgot password button and request is made to display forgot password screen with textbox to enter email How test will be performed: Tester will click on forgot password button and checks if forgot password screen is displayed with textbox to enter email

#### 6. FR6

Control: Manual

Initial State: Login page is displayed with stay logged in checkbox that is empty

Input: Click stay logged in checkbox

Output: Display a check-mark in the stay logged in checkbox if check-box is empty, else if checkbox contains check-mark already it will then display an empty checkbox

Test Case Derivation: User clicks on stay logged in checkbox and displays appropriate action

How test will be performed: Tester will click on checkbox and checks to see if check-mark is displayed if checkbox was empty and if an empty checkbox appears if checkbox contained a check-mark

#### 7. FR7

Control: Manual

Initial State: Loading stage of REVITALIZE where previous state had stay logged in checkbox checked

Input: An event that loads REVITALIZE

Output: Display main page, with same data from previous state of main page

Test Case Derivation: User can load REVITALIZE and main page is displayed with same data as the previous time user opened REVITALIZE main page

How test will be performed: Tester will check stay logged in checkbox go to main page, leave REVITALIZE, reopen REVITALIZE and check whether same data from main page is the same from the last time tester opened main page

#### 8. FR8

Control: Manual

Initial State: Login page is displayed with sign up button

Input: Click sign up button

Output: Loads and displays sign up page

Test Case Derivation: User can click sign up button which loads and

displays sign up page

How test will be performed: Tester will click on sign up button and

checks if sign up page is displayed

#### 9. FR9

Control: Manual

Initial State: Login page is displayed with inputted information in

username and password text-boxes

Input: Click login button

Output: if failure state, display an invalid password or username ban-

ner, else if success state, load and display main page

Test Case Derivation: User clicks login button and request is made based on username and password, and will proceed to main page only

if username and password are valid

How test will be performed: Tester will click on login button and test for scenarios when login should be successful and when login should fail

#### 5.1.2 Area of Testing2

. . .

# 5.2 Tests for Nonfunctional Requirements

[The nonfunctional requirements for accuracy will likely just reference the appropriate functional tests from above. The test cases should mention reporting the relative error for these tests. —SS]

[Tests related to usability could include conducting a usability test and survey. —SS]

#### 5.2.1 Look and Feel Testing

#### 1. LF1

Type: Dynamic, Functional, Manual

Initial State: User is using REVITALIZE features Input/Condition: REVITALIZE features are in use

Output/Result: All UI/UX design and elements matches original design and are displayed correctly and neatly

How test will be performed: All related stakeholders will test application with the focus on neatness and attractiveness of UI/UX design of REVITALIZE and answer question 1 of the Usability Survey. Would need an average rating of 8.5 or above out of 10 and assess all stakeholders responses to make improvements

#### 2. LF2

Type: Static, Manual

Initial State: A display of all pages in REVITALIZE

Input/Condition: All displays for all pages in REVITALIZE are at a common point during a user session

Output/Result: All colours are considered appealing, contrasting and non-intrusive

How test will be performed: All related stakeholders will test application with the focus on colour and answer question 2 of the Usability Survey. Would need an average rating of 8.5 or above out of 10 for each factor and assess all stakeholders responses to make improvements

### 5.2.2 Usability and Humanity Testing

#### 1. UH1

Type: Dynamic, Functional, Manual

Initial State: User is using REVITALIZE features

Input/Condition: REVITALIZE features are in use, using one hand/one

finger

Output/Result: REVITALIZE features are displaying correct outputs

and results

How test will be performed: All related stakeholders with varying size hands/fingers can use all aspects of REVITALIZE using one hand/finger and have an average rating of 9.5 or above for question 3 of the Usability Survey

#### 2. UH2

Type: Dynamic, Functional, Manual

Initial State: Main page of application is displayed

Input/Condition: User uses main page to access features (Diet, Work-

out and Rest Section)

Output/Result: All features take less than 10 seconds to access

How test will be performed: Stakeholders will navigate to the Diet, Workout and/or Rest section from the main page in 10 seconds or less. 90% of stakeholders need to be able to navigate to any of the sections in 10 seconds or less

#### 3. UH3

Type: Dynamic, Functional, Manual

Initial State: REVITALIZE is loaded but not in use

Input/Condition: Users in targeted demographic will use all features

of REVITALIZE

Output/Result: Results gathered from survey

How test will be performed: Primary stakeholders such as teenagers and young adults 14 years or older will test application and fill in survey, with the goal of an approval rating of 85% or above

#### 4. UH4

Type: Dynamic, Functional, Manual

Initial State: REVITALIZE is loaded but not in use

Input/Condition: User will use all features of REVITALIZE

Output/Result: User can use and understand basic/common aspects

of all features after 3rd iteration

How test will be performed: Stakeholders will use all features/aspects of REVITALIZE and 85% of stakeholders should be able to use and understand basic/common aspects of all features in 3 iterations or less.

#### 5. UH5

Type: Dynamic, Functional, Manual

Initial State: REVITALIZE is loaded but not in use

Input/Condition: User will use all features of REVITALIZE

Output/Result: Results gathered from survey based on consistency of UI aspects such as buttons, drop-downs, words etc.

or aspects such as buttons, drop-downs, words etc.

How test will be performed: Stakeholders will test application with focus on consistency of UI aspects and fill in survey, with the goal of an approval rating of 85% or above

#### 5.2.3 Performance Testing

#### 1. PE1

Type: Dynamic, Functional, Manual

Initial State: REVITALIZE is loaded but not in use

Input/Condition: All REVITALIZE features are loaded with appropri-

ate data

Output/Result: loading time of all REVITALIZE features

How test will be performed: Developers will run performance tests and ensure that all output data loads within 5 seconds or less for 95% of all API responses and outputs

#### 2. PE2

Type: Dynamic, Functional, Automatic

Initial State: REVITALIZE is loaded but not in use

Input/Condition: User uses all features of REVITALIZE where output

contain data/numbers

Output/Result: data/numbers of used features in floating points

How test will be performed: Developers will run accuracy tests to ensure output data/numbers are accurate for double precision floating points and pass all test cases

#### 3. PE3

Type: Dynamic, Functional, Manual

Initial State: REVITALIZE is loaded but not in use

Input/Condition: Multiple (More than 50) users using REVITALIZE

Output/Result: Performance metrics (ex. loading time, frames per second etc.)

How test will be performed: 50 or more users (does not have to be actual people) use/send requests to REVITALIZE application simultaneously and analyze performance trends based on the number of users

#### 4. PE4

Type: Dynamic, Functional, Manual

Initial State: REVITALIZE is loaded but not in use

Input/Condition: User will use all features of REVITALIZE

Output/Result: Storage percentage of data

How test will be performed: Developers will try to add as much data as possible to user account and application should be able to store 1 million or more data points for all users

### 5.3 Traceability Between Test Cases and Requirements

[Provide a table that shows which test cases are supporting which requirements. —SS]

# 6 Unit Test Description

[Reference your MIS and explain your overall philosophy for test case selection. —SS] [This section should not be filled in until after the MIS has been completed. —SS]

# 6.1 Unit Testing Scope

[What modules are outside of the scope. If there are modules that are developed by someone else, then you would say here if you aren't planning on verifying them. There may also be modules that are part of your software, but have a lower priority for verification than others. If this is the case, explain your rationale for the ranking of module importance. —SS]

# 6.2 Tests for Functional Requirements

[Most of the verification will be through automated unit testing. If appropriate specific modules can be verified by a non-testing based technique. That can also be documented in this section. —SS]

#### 6.2.1 Module 1

[Include a blurb here to explain why the subsections below cover the module. References to the MIS would be good. You will want tests from a black box perspective and from a white box perspective. Explain to the reader how the tests were selected. —SS]

#### 1. test-id1

Type: [Functional, Dynamic, Manual, Automatic, Static etc. Most will be automatic —SS]

Initial State:

```
Input:
     Output: [The expected result for the given inputs—SS]
     Test Case Derivation: [Justify the expected value given in the Output
     field —SS]
     How test will be performed:
  2. test-id2
     Type: [Functional, Dynamic, Manual, Automatic, Static etc. Most will
     be automatic —SS]
     Initial State:
     Input:
     Output: [The expected result for the given inputs—SS]
     Test Case Derivation: [Justify the expected value given in the Output
     field —SS]
     How test will be performed:
  3. ...
6.2.2
       Module 2
```

# 6.3 Tests for Nonfunctional Requirements

[If there is a module that needs to be independently assessed for performance, those test cases can go here. In some projects, planning for nonfunctional tests of units will not be that relevant. —SS]

[These tests may involve collecting performance data from previously mentioned functional tests. —SS]

#### 6.3.1 Module?

1. test-id1

Type: [Functional, Dynamic, Manual, Automatic, Static etc. Most will be automatic —SS]

Initial State:

Input/Condition:

Output/Result:

How test will be performed:

2. test-id2

Type: Functional, Dynamic, Manual, Static etc.

Initial State:

Input:

Output:

How test will be performed:

#### 6.3.2 Module?

...

# 6.4 Traceability Between Test Cases and Modules

[Provide evidence that all of the modules have been considered. —SS]

# References

Author Author. System requirements specification. https://github.com/..., 2019.

# 7 Appendix

This is where you can place additional information.

# 7.1 Symbolic Parameters

The definition of the test cases will call for SYMBOLIC\_CONSTANTS. Their values are defined in this section for easy maintenance.

# 7.2 Usability Survey Questions?

This is a section that would be appropriate for some projects. —SS

- 1. How would you rate overall neatness of UI/UX design of REVITALIZE out of 10? What are elements you like related to neatness? What are elements you would improve related to neatness?
- 2. Are colours used in REVITALIZE non-intrusive, appealing and/or contrasting? Rate each factor of non-intrusive, appealing and contrasting out of 10? What are elements you like that elevate these factors? What are elements you would improve to elevate these factors?
- 3. How would you rate the overall ability to use REVITALIZE with only one hand/one finger out of 10? What are elements that help with this? What are elements you would improve?