## Naïve Bayes Example

Reference: <a href="https://web.stanford.edu/~jurafsky/slp3/">https://web.stanford.edu/~jurafsky/slp3/</a>, Chapter 3.

Positive tweets

I am happy because I am learning NLP I am happy, not sad.

Negative tweets

I am sad, I am not learning NLP I am sad, not happy

word	Pos	Neg
I	3	3
am	3	3
happy	2	1
because	1	0
learning	1	1
NLP	1	1
sad	1	2
not	1	2
N <sub>class</sub>	13	12

word	Pos	Neg
1	0.24	0.25
am	0.24	0.25
happy	0.15	0.08
because	0.08	0
learning	0.08	0.08
NLP	0.08	0.08
sad	0.08	0.17
not	0.08	0.17

Let's classify the following tweets as positive or negative:

1. I am not sad. LR = Prival Positive or negative:

Prival Posit

(1) Pro- Processing 7 Remove tags/Stopwalls

Stemming  $W_{ij} = tf_{ij} \times log \frac{N}{df_{ij}}$ (2) TF-IDF word with a gis (3), N- Gran Mode(  $[FLW_n | W_i W_r \dots W_{n-i}] = P$ Unigram: P= R-CWJ Bi gran: ps Pr [Wh | Wn- ] (Markov Chai) Ngram: 12 rewallwn-Nei, ... Wn-1)

Pr[Wn | Whi] = C(Wn-1, Wn)
(ME)
Whi only appears in the testing set. Laplace Smoothing. C(Whill Wast)
C(Whill) + (V) Naile Boyes Input; d

Due put; CG {C, Cr, ... CJ}

Pr[c[d] = Pr[d] Pr[c] Pr[walc]Pr(c) ~ Prtulo] Prtudo] ... Chy G cramox

Work Embeddig mwirds Output of word Enkelding. Cosh text words. Nord Endeding Wi Pr[C D-m Q(m-1), - D-1, O, Or--exp(ut, v)) Z exp(Vj. v)

enp(Vi) depends on ( Cod jev (U. v.) Skip Coon, ent. dim ent-din W Context enbedy the M target enled; (1) Usually On --- O-1 (C O, --- Om) we use Z Desperier ve)

Z exp (ut ve)

Cod j=m (sev) (ut ve) terge e -emb, computationally too Newy (8) (1, 1, 1)

Sample  $V_{ns} \subseteq V$   $\frac{m}{2} \log \left( \frac{\exp(u_0^{\overline{i}} \cdot v_c)}{2 \exp(u_i^{\overline{i}} \cdot v_c)} \right)$   $\frac{m}{2} \log \left( \frac{\exp(u_0^{\overline{i}} \cdot v_c)}{2 \exp(u_i^{\overline{i}} \cdot v_c)} \right)$   $\frac{1}{3} \log \left( \frac{\exp(u_0^{\overline{i}} \cdot v_c)}{2 \exp(u_0^{\overline{i}} \cdot v_c)} \right)$