ADMM contid We are solving min f(x)+g(z)XER, ZER

S.T. Ax + Bz = 0Opt condi

prinalfers: $Ax^*+Bz^*-c=0$ (1)

dual feas: $\exists y^* s \neq i \text{ if } L_0(x,z,y^*) > -\infty$ $f(x)+g(z)+y^{*}(Ax+Bz-c)$ i.e. $\exists x^{*}, z^{*} \leq T$. $= a \; convex \; fur$ $[0] \in [af(x^{*})+A^{T}y^{*}] (+o+o) (2)$ $[o] \in [ag(z^{*})+B^{T}y^{*}] (+o+o) (3)$ RHS = set + vector = set. Recall $L_p(x,y,z) = f(x) + g(z) + g(Ax + Bz - c)$ $+ \frac{1}{2} |Ax + Bz - c||_2^2$ $+ \frac{1}{2}$ ZkH = arg min Lp (xkH, Z, yk) yk+1 yk + e(Axk+1 + Bzk+1 - e),

10, loling at zk+1 first,

0 & dg (zk+1) + BTyk + eB (Axk+1 Bzk+1 - c)

0 & dg (zk+1) + BTyk + eB (Axk+1 Bzk+1 - c)

10 & dg (zk+1) + BTyk + eB (Axk+1 Bzk+1 - c)

10 & dg (zk+1) + BTyk + eB (Axk+1 Bzk+1 - c)

10 & dg (zk+1) + BTyk + eB (Axk+1 Bzk+1 - c)

10 & dg (zk+1) + BTyk + eB (Axk+1 Bzk+1 - c)

10 & dg (zk+1) + BTyk + eB (Axk+1 Bzk+1 - c)

10 & dg (zk+1) + BTyk + eB (Axk+1 Bzk+1 - c)

11 & dg (zk+1) + BTyk + eB (Axk+1 Bzk+1 - c)

12 & dg (zk+1) + BTyk + eB (Axk+1 Bzk+1 - c)

13 & dg (zk+1) + BTyk + eB (Axk+1 Bzk+1 - c)

14 & dg (zk+1) + BTyk + eB (Axk+1 Bzk+1 - c)

15 & dg (zk+1) + BTyk + eB (Axk+1 Bzk+1 - c)

16 & dg (zk+1) + BTyk + eB (Axk+1 Bzk+1 - c)

17 & dg (zk+1) + dg (zk+ Let sturr to x ket By def's.

0 & of (x ket) + A y ke + pA T (Ax ket) + Bzk - c) 0 € 0 f (k k+1) + A y k+1 + PA B (2 k- 2 k+1) CAB(zk+1-zk) E df(xk+1)+A'yh call this 5h+1

"dual vindual"

as opposed to "prince voidual" 12 Inthe convergence proof, we'll see B(zk+1-zk) >0, inplying sk+1 >0. Recall Scaled Version of ADMM.

Xhe+1 = ary min (f(x)+ & MAX+BZk-C+Uk) = eng min (g(z)+ = ||Axb+1 +BZ-c+u/f) We'll use the notation x=x, etc, x =x k+1; x += aymir (f(x)+ 1/Ax - v/2) where v = -Bz + c-u.

3-3 Specific Cyplications Then x = arg min f(x)+ = 1/x-v//z, Suppose f(x) = 50 y xec "indicator function"

Ner + TI (x) x+ TI (x) Ner x = TT (v) projection of v outo C. (ind. of e). E.g. C=R, then x = v+

projof v onti R 2. f(x) = = = xTx + qx PES++ Man x + minimys \(\frac{1}{2}\times Px + q\times + \frac{1}{2}(Ax-v)(Ax-v) Differentiale: get

X'= (P+PATA) (PATV-9) 3. "Soft Thresholding" $f(x) = \lambda \|x\|, \quad \lambda > 0$ A = I = 0x = aymin > (x 11, + e /x-v/2 separable: x: = aymin 2|x:1+ (x:-vi) ha)

8-4

Meed $o \in [\partial h^{(i)}(x_i) = \int [-\lambda, \lambda] - ev_i$ if $x_i = 0$ $\left[\lambda sgn(x_i) + ex - ev_i \right] \times i \neq 0$ We see OE dhi(0) iff PIVI = A sor xit = o if Ivils ?. Otherwise need of syn(xit) + xit - vi = 0 and $v_i > 2$, set $x_i^+ = v_i - 2 > 0$ 7 in and $v_i < 2$, set $x_i^+ = v_i + 2 < 0$ satisfy for $X_i^{\dagger} = S_{\mathcal{K}}(V_i)$ where $S_{\mathcal{K}}(a) = \begin{cases} a - k & a > k \end{cases}$ $a + k & a < -k \end{cases}$ $\frac{\int_{K}^{S} \chi(\alpha)}{\int_{K} (= \chi/e)} \Rightarrow \alpha \ (=(v_{i}))$ "prox operator for the l, norm Write in vector form

x+ - Sx/e (v).

8-5 4. LASSO "Least absolute thinhage + felection Operator" min = 1/Ax-b/2 + / 1/1x/1, "Erconrage" "sparsity" in x* larger \ : more composent of x* will be o. ADMM formulation f(x)== 1/1/1/1/1/ g(z) = / 1/2/1, Contracti X=2 or A=I, B=I, c=0 Her ADMM becomes Diff: 0=(ATA+PI)x-Ab-P(zk-uh) Shoe using Cholesty factor of A'A+EI 2 = argmin /1/21, + 9 /1xk+1 2 + uk/2 - 5 x (xk+1+uk) $u^{k+1} = u^k + x^{k+1} - z^{k+1}$

Convergence analysis Recall Cosmptions
1: f,g are convex, closed+proper
2. Lo has a saddle pt (x*, 2*, y*) (not nec, unique,) WTS 12h->0, p=f(xh)+g(zh)->p") and sh=pATB(zk-zkH) -> 0 Let V== - 1/yk-y*//2+ P/B(zk-z*)//2 Wint to show

Vk+1

Vk- ellok+1/2- ello (2k+12k) //2.

Vk+1

Vk- ellok+1/2- ello (2k+12k) //2.

(A.1) hold, the Eyh} and EB2 is are bounded as, of the Vi-Vi = ello (2i-2i) //2

Vi-Vi = ello (2i-2i) //2

Vi-Vi = ello (2i-2i) //2

Vi-Vi = ello (2i-2i) //2 So $R \rightarrow e$ and $B(z^k-z^{k-1}) \rightarrow e$ as $k \rightarrow 0$.

She so also.

Class west to show $(h+1)^T k+1 - \rho(B(z^{k+1}z^k))^T (A,2)$ and $p^* - \rho k+1 \leq (y^*)^T k+1 \rightarrow e$ $P \rightarrow e$ P

Ar (A.1), (A.2), (A.3) ⇒ plet -p* -> 0 couldhave + or - sign as iterates are not feasible. Proof of (A3)
We have $p^* \leq L_0(x^{k+1}, z^{k+1}, y^*)$ Lo(x*, z*, y*) f(xk+1) + g(zk+1) + y* rk+1)

Proof of (4.2) x^{k+1} minimizes $L_{\varrho}(x, z^k, y^k)$, so $0 \in \partial_x L_{\varrho}(x^{k+1}, z^k, y^k) = \partial_x f(x^{k+1}) + Ay^k$ TO E of (xh+1) + A (yh + exh+1 - eB (zh+1 zh))

So xh+1 minimizes f(x) + (yk+1 - eB (zh+1 zh))

M - B (zh+1 zh) A x also zh+1 minimize Le(xk+1, z,yk), so OE dg (zk+1) + BT (yk+ epk+1) (already

So zk+1 minimizes g(z) + (yk+1) TBZ.

It blows that

f(xk+1) + (yk+1 pB(zk+1 zk)) Axk+1 < f(x*) + (yb+1-PB(zk+1zk) Ax* and g(zk+1) + (yk+1) Bzk+1 ≤ g(z*) + (yk+1) TBZ* Now add these inequalities: P + (yk+1) (Axk+1 Bzk+1) - PB(zk+1zk) Axk+1 < p* + (yh+1) (Ax*+B=*) - P(B(zh+1-zh)) Ax* P-P* < (yk+1) - PB (= k+1) + (x *-x k+1) (Ax*+Bz*)- (Axk+1+Bzk+1) +B(z*-zk+1)) -nk+1+B(zh+1-z*) which is (A.2) Pf 1(A.1): Take another (15 pages!