Merge Sort O(nlogn)

· Based on divide & conquer

```
Merge(A[1 ... m], B[1 ... n], C[1 ... m+n])
  i = 1
  j = 1
  for k = 1 to m+n:
    if A[i] <= B[j]:
        C[k] = A[i]
        i = i + 1
  else:
        C[k] = B[j]
        j = j + 1</pre>
```

Proof of correctness:

Loop invariant: at beginning of the kth iteration, C[1 ... k-1] contains the k-1 smallest elements of $A \cup B$ in sorted order, and these elements are A[1 ... i-1] and B[1 ... j-1].

Initialization: When k = 1, i = j = 1, and invariant holds trivially.

Maintenance: Assume invariant holds for iteration k. We want to show also holds for iteration k+1. Assume $A[i] \le B[j]$. Then A[i] is smallest among all remaining items. Therefore, invariant holds also at iteration k+1. If A[i] > B[j], similar.

Termination: When k = m+n+1 (end of iteration), C contains all elements of $A \cup B$ in sorted order.

Runtime: O(m+n)

```
MERGESORT (A[1 ... n])
```

- 1. MERGESORT(A[1 ... n/2])
- 2. MERGESORT(A[n/2+1 ... n])
- 3. MERGE both output

Correctness follows easily from that MERGE.

Runtime: Denote by T(n) the runtime on input of size n.

```
T(n) = 2 \cdot T(n/2) + n Wrong Claim: T(n) = O(n) Wrong Proof: By induction on n  
Assume holds for 1, ..., n-1  
Let's prove for n: T(n) = 2 \cdot T(n/2) + n = 2 \cdot O(n) + n = O(n)  
// can only assume T(n) \le 100 \cdot n (a concrete number)  
// inductive step will get T(n) \le 101 \cdot n, wrong
```

3 approaches

1. Recursion tree (a bit informal but usually OK)

Merge Sort O(nlogn) 1

$$T(n) = 2 \cdot T(n/2) + n$$

= $4 \cdot T(n/4) + 2n$
= $8 \cdot T(n/8) + 3n$
... $(log_2 n \text{ steps})$
= $nlog_2 n$

2. Induction / Substitution

Claim: for large enough c, $T(n) \le c \cdot nlogn$ Proof: Assume by induction that holds for 1, ..., n-1 $T(n) = 2 \cdot T(n/2) + n$ $\le 2 \cdot c \cdot n/2 \log(n/2) + n$ $= c \cdot n \cdot \log(n/2) + n$

3. Master theorem

 $\leq c \cdot n \cdot \log(n)$

Merge Sort O(nlogn) 2