# 12. Aligning Language Models (Advanced)

Guest lecture by Hyung Won Chung

Instruction Finetuning and Reinforcement Learning with Human Feedback (RLHF)

### **Outline**

Rule-based systems

Classical machine learning

· Automatic learning

Deep learning: (self-)supervised learning

• Hand-designed features → Learned features

Deep learning: RLHF

Hand-designed loss function → Learned loss function

Pretraining (general knowledge) → Instruction finetuing (Ability to respond to instructions)

- → Reward model training
- → Policy model training → Instruction finetuing (as a loop)

### Instruction finetuing

- · Instruction finetuing on a mixture of academic tasks
  - o Example: Flan
  - Scaling with number of tasks and task diversity
- · Instruction finetuing on user prompts of language model APIs
  - Example: InstructGPT
  - o Academic tasks aren't reflected of how models are used in an API setting

## **Reward model training**

Limitation of instruction finetuning: the target is the single correct answer. In RL, this is called "behavior cloning".

RL provides one way to use a learned objective.

Reward modeling (RM): ranking → score

Let  $p_{ij}$  be the probability that completion  $y_i$  is better than completion  $y_j$ 

Bradley-Terry model:  $\log rac{p_{ij}}{1-p_{ij}} = r(x,y_i;\phi) - r(x,y_j;\phi)$ 

Pairwise ranking loss for K responses

$$ext{loss}( heta) = -rac{1}{{k \choose 2}} E_{x,y_w,y_l \sim D} \left[ \log(\sigma(r_{ heta}(x,y_w)) - r_{ heta}(x,y_l)) 
ight]$$

where  $r_{\theta}(x,y)$  is the scalar output of the reward model for prompt x and completion y with parameters  $\theta$ ,  $y_w$  is the preferred completion out of the pair of  $y_w$  and  $y_l$ , and D is the dataset of human comparisons.

# Policy model training

Once we have a RM, we maximize the expected reward

$$\max_{ heta} J( heta) = \max_{ heta} \mathbb{E}_{(X,Y) \sim D_{\pi_{ heta}}}[r(X,Y;\phi)]$$

We use iterative algorithms such as gradient ascent to solve this

$$\theta := \theta + \alpha \nabla J(\theta)$$

We add KL penalty to prevent over-optimization of the RM

Proximal Policy Optimization (PPO)

$$egin{aligned} ext{objective}(\phi) &= E_{(x,y) \sim D_{\pi^{ ext{RL}}}}[r_{ heta}(x,y) - eta \log(\pi^{ ext{RL}}_{\phi}(y \mid x)/\pi^{ ext{SFT}}(y \mid x))] + \ \gamma E_{x \sim D_{ ext{pretrain}}}[\log(\pi^{ ext{RL}}_{\phi}(x))] \end{aligned}$$

where  $\pi_\phi^{\rm RL}$  is the learned RL policy,  $\pi^{\rm SFT}$  is the supervised trained model, and  $D_{\rm pretrain}$  is the pretraining distribution.

- $r_{\theta}(x,y)$ : expected reward for the new model
- $\log(\pi_\phi^{\mathrm{RL}}(y\mid x)/\pi^{\mathrm{SFT}}(y\mid x))]$ : KL divergence to avoid going too far away from the original model
- ullet  $E_{x\sim D_{
  m pretrain}}[\log(\pi_\phi^{
  m RL}(x))]$ : objective for GPT3 on the original data