# VE280 Programming and Elementary Data Structures


# Paul Weng UM-SJTU Joint Institute

### Dynamic Memory Allocation; Overloading, Default arguments; Destructor

Death and Memory (C++ Stories)

2017 Ólafur Waage (@olafurw)

with thanks to Frank A. Krueger (@praeclarum)



### Learning Objectives

- Understand how dynamic memory allocation works
- Know how to define arrays whose sizes are determined at runtime
- Know what is overloading and how to have default arguments in functions
- Know what is a destructor, how to write one and when it is needed

### Outline

- Dynamic Memory Allocation
- Dynamic Arrays
- Overloaded Constructor and Default Argument
- Destructor

#### **Dynamic Allocation**

- So far, the data structures we've **built** have all had room for "at most N" elements.
  - E.g., the two IntSet implementations could have at most MAXELTS distinct integers.
- Sometimes, a **fixed-sized** structure is reasonable.
  - E.g., a deck of cards has 52 individual cards in it
- However, there is no meaningful sense in which "a set of integers" is limited to some particular size.
  - No matter how big you make the set's capacity, an application that needs more will eventually come along.

#### **Dynamic Allocation**

- We have seen two types of variables so far:
  - 1. Global Variables
  - 2. Local Variables

#### 1. Global Variables

- These are defined anywhere outside of a function definition.
- Space is set aside for these variables **before** the program begins its execution, and is reserved for them **until** the program completes.
- This space is reserved at **compile time**.

#### **Dynamic Allocation**

#### 2. Local Variables

- Local variables are defined within a block.
  - These include function arguments.
- Space is set aside for these variables when the relevant block is entered, and is reserved for them until the block is exited.
- This space is reserved at **run time**, but the size is known to the compiler.
- Since the compiler must know how big all of these variables will be, it is **static** information, and must be declared by the programmer.

#### **Dynamic Allocation**

- It turns out that there is a **third** type of object you can create, a "**dynamic**" one.
- They are dynamic in the sense that the compiler:
  - Doesn't need to know **how big it is.**
  - Doesn't need to know **how long it lives.**
- For example:
  - Our implementation of IntSet should be able to grow as big as any client needs it to grow, subject to the limits of the physical machine.
  - The IntSet should last as long as the client needs to use it, after which the **client** should be the one responsible for **destroying** it.



# ? Which statements are true?

Select all the correct answers.

- A. When using a fixed-sized data structure, it's better to set the capacity as large as possible.
- **B.** A structure whose size is chosen at runtime uses memory more efficiently.
- C. Using fixed-sized data structures is simpler than using data structures whose size is determined at runtime.
- **D.** Data structures whose size is determined at runtime should always be preferred.

#### **Dynamic Allocation**

- Dynamic object creation is accomplished through the dynamic storage management facilities in the language.
- These facilities consist of two operations:
  - new: Reserve space for an object of some type, initialize the object, and return a pointer to it.
  - **delete**: Given a pointer to an object <u>created by new</u>, destroy the object and release the space previously occupied by that object.

Dynamic Allocation – new

```
int *ip = new int;
```

- This creates a new space for an integer, and returns a pointer to that space, assigning it to ip.
- Note that we didn't do anything to initialize the integer it could be any random integer value.
- We can initialize it to a specific value with an "initializer": int \*ip = new int(5);
- We can also new a class type. E.g.,
   IntSet \*isp = new IntSet;
- The **constructor** is called. isp points to an empty IntSet object with zero elements.

Dynamic Allocation - delete

• If objects were created by new, they can be destroyed by delete:

```
delete ip;
```

- This releases the space.
- Note: you cannot delete an object not created by new!

```
int a = 5;
int *ip = &a;
delete ip; // Error
```

Dynamic Allocation - delete

 We can also destroy instances of class that were created by new:

```
delete isp;
```


- In this specific case (deleting an IntSet), the IntSet consists of only "ordinary" types (ints, arrays-of-ints), so we don't need to do anything to destroy it.
- That won't be true of all class-destruction events!
- Just as we have **constructors** to create objects, sometimes we will need **destructors** to properly destroy them.
  - We will see this later ...

Dynamic Allocation - delete

- Note that a <u>dynamic object</u>'s lifetime is completely under the control of the program it lives until it is <u>explicitly</u> destroyed.
- This is true even if you "forget" the pointer to the object.


```
int *p1 = new int(1);
int *p2 = new int(2);
p1 = p2;
Any problem?
```

• This leaves us with:



Dynamic Allocation – delete

```
int *p1 = new int(1);
int *p2 = new int(2);
p1 = p2;
```



- Two pointers point to the object "2", and **none** to the object "1".
- There is no way to release the memory occupied by "1".
- And worse:


```
delete p1;
delete p2;
```

"releases" the memory reserved for "2" twice.

• This is surly not good!

#### Dynamic Allocation - delete

• Note there is an important difference between the lifetime of a pointer variable and the lifetime of the object it points to!



- In the previous example, exiting the block that defines p1 causes the local object p1 to vanish, but the dynamic object it points to remains!
- This leaves us with an allocated dynamic object that we have no means of recycling. This is called a **memory leak**.
- If memory leaks occur often enough, your program may reach a point where it can no longer allocate new dynamic objects.

# Checking Memory Leak


- Tool to use: valgrind
- Command:

```
valgrind --leak-check=full ./program <args>
```

- Function: search for memory leaks and give details of each individual leak.
- To install, type the command: sudo apt-get install valgrind

#### The heap

- The space for objects created via new comes from a location in memory called the **heap**.
  - Stack is for function calls.



### Outline

- Dynamic Memory Allocation
- Dynamic Arrays
- Overloaded Constructor and Default Argument
- Destructor

#### Creating

- So far, the things we create **dynamically** have sizes **known** to the compiler.
  - E.g., int, IntSet
- However, one can also create objects whose sizes are unknown to the compiler, by creating dynamic arrays.
- Syntax:

```
int *ia = new int[5];
```

It creates an array of five integers in the heap, and stores a pointer to the first element of that array in ia.

• The size is put inside []. It could even be a variable.

```
int n = 20;
int *ia= new int[n];
```

#### Freeing

• Freeing an array works slightly differently than freeing a single object:

#### delete[] ia;

- If you allocate an **array-of-T**, you **absolutely must** use the delete[] operator, and **not** the "plain" delete operator.
- They are completely different:
  - Mixing them leads to undefined behavior.

#### Freeing


- When the new operator sees it is allocating an array, it stores the size of the array along with the array.
- It does this by carving out space for the array, plus a bit extra:



• The space **before** the array records the number of elements in the array, in this case, 5:


5 array

And a pointer to the beginning of the array is returned:




#### Freeing

• Now, if you just delete ia; the delete operator thinks it is only returning enough space for a single integer to the heap.



• The delete[] operator knows to look "just before" the pointer, to see **how many** elements to return to the heap.



- We now build a version of IntSet that allows the client to specify how large the capacity of the set should be.
- The data elements will change slightly:

```
Rather than hold an array explicitly, we have a pointer that will (eventually) point to a dynamically-created array.
```

- · · · · } ;
- sizeElts tells us the size of the allocated array (which is not necessarily MAXELTS)
- numElts still tells us how many elements there actually are.

- We now build a version of IntSet that allows the client to specify how large the capacity of the set should be.
- The data elements will change slightly:

- We'll base our changes on the **unsorted** implementation.
- The methods are mostly unchanged. There is a new **default constructor**:

```
IntSet::IntSet() {
    // Allocate the "default-size" array
    elts = new int[MAXELTS];
    sizeElts = MAXELTS;
    numElts = 0;
}
```

Building a new IntSet

• Alternatively, we can write the default constructor using the initialization syntax:

```
IntSet::IntSet(): elts(new int[MAXELTS]),
    sizeElts(MAXELTS), numElts(0)
{
```

### Outline

- Dynamic Memory Allocation
- Dynamic Arrays
- Overloaded Constructor and Default Argument
- Destructor

- In addition to the default, we can write an "alternate constructor".
- It has the same name as the default, but a different type signature:

```
class IntSet {
  int *elts;  // pointer to dynamic array
  int sizeElts; // capacity of array
  int numElts; // current occupancy
public:
  IntSet(); // default constructor
    // EFFECTS: create a MAXELTS capacity set
  IntSet(int size); // constructor with
                    // explicit capacity
    // REQUIRES: size > 0
    // EFFECTS: create a size capacity set
};
```

### **Function Overloading**

- This is called **function overloading**.
  - Two different functions with exactly the **same name**, but **different argument count** and/or **argument types**.
- a) int average(int a, int b);
- b) double average(double a, double b);
- c) int average(int a, int b, int c);
- Compiler tells which function to call based on the actual argument count and types.

```
average(2, 3); \rightarrow int average(int a, int b);
```

```
average(2, 3, 5); \rightarrow int average(int a, int b, int c);
```

average(2.0, 3.0);  $\rightarrow$  double average(double a, double b);

Building a new IntSet

• The alternate constructor creates an array of the specified size:

Building a new IntSet

• Since the compiler knows the argument count and types, it can pick the "right" constructor when a new object is created.

• For example:

- Notice that the two constructors are nearly identical:
  - The only difference is whether we use Size or MAXELTS.
  - Otherwise the code is duplicated.
- This is bad: when we find ourselves writing the same code over and over, we should try to use parametric generalization.

#### Building a new constructor

- One way to solve this problem of duplicate definitions is to use default argument.
- We can define **just one** constructor, but make its argument **optional**.
- First, we have to re-declare the constructor in IntSet:

### Default Argument

- int add(int a, int b, int c = 1)
  - The default value of c is 1.
- Using default arguments allows you to call the function with different number of arguments.

```
add(1, 2) // a = 1, b = 2, c = 1 (default value) add(1, 2, 3) // a = 1, b = 2, c = 3
```

• There could be multiple default arguments in a function, but they must be the last arguments.

```
int add(int a, int b = 0, int c = 1) // OK int add(in a, int b = 1, int c) // Error
```

Building a new constructor

• Then, we implement the constructor in a same way as before.

### Outline

- Dynamic Memory Allocation
- Dynamic Arrays
- Overloaded Constructor and Default Argument
- Destructor

### Problem

- There is a problem with what we've built so far.
- What happens if we have a local IntSet inside of a function and the function returns?
- Answer: Memory leak! Because link to the elts array in IntSet is lost.

### Question

• Is this a problem with the "static" version of IntSet? Why? void foo() { IntSet is2; // Do work with is2 in some way class IntSet { int elts[MAXELTS]; int numElts; // current occupancy public:

How to solve the leak

- To solve this memory leak, we have to de-allocate the integer array whenever the "enclosing" IntSet is destroyed.
- We do this with a **destructor** and it is the opposite of a constructor.
  - The constructor ensures that the object is a legal instance of its class and the destructor's job is to destroy the object.
- In a class where its methods (including the constructor) allocate dynamic storage, the destructor is responsible for de-allocating it.

### The Destructor

```
class IntSet {
  int *elts;  // pointer to dynamic array
  int sizeElts; // capacity of array
  int numElts; // current occupancy
public:
  IntSet(int size = MAXELTS);
    // EFFECTS: create a set with size capacity;
                capacity is MAXELTS by default.
  ~IntSet(); // Destroy this IntSet
IntSet::~IntSet()
                     Note that we have to use the array-based
  delete[] elts;
                      delete operator, not the "standard"
                      delete operator
```

### The Destructor

```
class IntSet {
  int *elts;  // pointer to dynamic array
  int sizeElts; // capacity of array
  int numElts; // current occupancy
public:
  IntSet(int size = MAXELTS);
    // EFFECTS: create a set with size capacity;
               capacity is MAXELTS by default.
  ~IntSet(); // Destroy this IntSet
                           When the IntSet is
IntSet::~IntSet() {
                           destroyed, the elements in the
  delete[] elts; 
                           array will first be deleted.
```

### The Destructor

```
class IntSet {
  int *elts;  // pointer to dynamic array
  int sizeElts; // capacity of array
  int numElts; // current occupancy
public:
  IntSet(int size = MAXELTS);
    // EFFECTS: create a set with size capacity;
                 capacity is MAXELTS by default.
  ~IntSet(); // Destroy this IntSet
                       Note: the destructors for any ADTs
IntSet::~IntSet() {
                       declared locally within a block of code
  delete[] elts;
                       are called <u>automatically</u> when the block
```

ends.

Dynamic IntSet

• The new definition of IntSet can be created/destroyed dynamically, just like anything else:


```
// a non-standard size
IntSet *ip = new IntSet(50);
... // do stuff
delete ip; // Destroys the IntSet.
```

IntSet \*ip = new IntSet(50);

# **Dynamic Arrays**

Dynamic IntSet creation


- After the IntSet pointer is created, we get:
  - Allocate space to hold the IntSet (a pointer and two integers)
  - Call the constructor on that object (allocates space for the array of 50 integers)



delete ip;

Dynamic IntSet deletion

- When you call delete on an instance of a class with a destructor
  - **First** the destructor is called (deallocates the array)
  - **Then** the object itself is deleted





# Which of the following statements are true?

Select all the correct answers.

- A. Any object should be destroyed with delete.
- **B.** Any object created with new should be destroyed with delete.
- **C.** Any object containing a dynamic array should have a destructor.
- **D.** A destructor is only needed when a member variable is a dynamic array.

### References

- **Problem Solving with C++ (8<sup>th</sup> Edition)**, by *Walter Savitch*, Addison Wesley Publishing (2011)
  - Chapter 9.1 Pointers
  - Chapter 9.2 Dynamic Arrays
  - Chapter 11.4 Classes and Dynamic Arrays
  - Chapter 10.2 Constructors for Initialization (pp. 560-570)
  - Chapter 6.3 Default Arguments for Functions (pp. 344-345)