



RNA-Seq Module 3 Differential Expression

John Chamberlin, Kelsy Cotto, Felicia Gomez, Obi Griffith, Malachi Griffith, Simone Longo, Allegra Petti, Aaron Quinlan, Megan Richters, Huiming Xia Advanced Sequencing Technologies & Bioinformatics Analysis November 16-20, 2020








Differential Expression

- Tying gene expression back to genotype/phenotype
- What genes/transcripts are being expressed at higher/lower levels in different groups of samples?
 - Are these differences 'significant', accounting for variance/noise?
- Examples (used in course):
 - UHR cells vs HBR brain
 - Tumor vs Normal tissue
 - Wild-type vs gene KO cells




Differential Expression with Ballgown

Parametric F-test comparing nested linear models

- Two models are fit to each feature, using expression as the outcome
 - one including the covariate of interest (e.g., case/control status or time) and one not including that covariate.
- An F statistic and p-value are calculated using the fits of the two models.
 - A significant p-value means the model including the covariate of interest fits significantly better than the model without that covariate, indicating differential expression.
- We adjust for multiple testing by reporting q-values:
 - q < 0.05 the false discovery rate should be controlled at \sim 5%.

Frazee et al. (2014)

Ballgown for Visualization with R



Alternative differential expression methods

- Raw count approaches
 - DESeq2 http://www-huber.embl.de/users/anders/DESeq/
 - edgeR http://www.bioconductor.org/packages/release/bioc/html/edgeR.html
 - Others...

'FPKM/TPM' expression estimates vs. 'raw' counts

- Which should I use?
 - Long running debate, but the general consensus:


FPKM/TPM

- When you want to leverage benefits of tuxedo suite
 - Isoform deconvolution
- Good for visualization (e.g., heatmaps)
- Calculating fold changes, etc.

Counts

- More robust statistical methods for differential expression
- Accommodates more sophisticated experimental designs with appropriate statistical tests

Multiple approaches advisable



Lessons learned from microarray days

- Hansen et al. "Sequencing Technology Does Not Eliminate Biological Variability." Nature Biotechnology 29, no. 7 (2011): 572–573.
- Power analysis for RNA-seq experiments
 - http://scottv.genetics.utah.edu/
- RNA-seq need for biological replicates
 - http://www.biostars.org/p/1161/
- RNA-seq study design
 - http://www.biostars.org/p/68885/

Multiple testing correction

- As more attributes are compared, differences due solely to chance become more likely!
- Well known from array studies
 - 10,000s genes/transcripts
 - 100,000s exons
- With RNA-seq, more of a problem than ever
 - All the complexity of the transcriptome gives huge numbers of potential features
 - Genes, transcripts, exons, junctions, retained introns, microRNAs, lncRNAs, etc
- Bioconductor multtest
 - http://www.bioconductor.org/packages/release/bioc/html/multtest.html


Downstream interpretation of expression analysis

- Topic for an entire course
- Expression estimates and differential expression lists from StringTie,
 Ballgown or other alternatives can be fed into many analysis pipelines
- See supplemental R tutorial for how to format expression data and start manipulating in R

- Clustering/Heatmaps
 - Provided by Ballgown
 - For more customized analysis various R packages exist:
 - hclust, heatmap.2, plotrix, ggplot2, etc.
- Classification
 - For RNA-seq data we still rarely have sufficient sample size and clinical details but this is changing
 - Weka is a good learning tool
 - RandomForests R package (biostar tutorial being developed)
- Pathway analysis
 - GSEA, IPA, Cytoscape, many R/BioConductor packages: http://www.bioconductor.org/help/search/index.html?q=pathway

https://genviz.org/module-04-expression/0004/01/01/Expression Profiling and Visualization/

HISAT2/StringTie/Ballgown RNA-seq Pipeline



We are on a Coffee Break & Networking Session