



Institute of Computing

IT 413 – ADVANCED SEMINAR
Final Examination
1ST Semester AY 2024-2025

MECHANICS FOR THE FINAL DEFENSE

- A. The Capstone Project Adviser shall sign the Recommendation Letter endorsing the students to have a Pre-Deployment Defense.
- B. On or before November 29, 2024, the requirements must be submitted to the Capstone Project Instructor for the proponents' defense schedule.
 - a. Copy or scanned copy of the approved recommendation letter signed by the Adviser.
 - b. Copy or scanned copy of the acceptance letter with a complete signature.
 - c. Proof of Payment

Note: Failure to submit either one of the requirements before the deadline means no schedule for the Informal Final defense. Students who fail to defend during the informal final defense will be subjected to formal defense.

- C. The presentation shall be limited to 1 to 1.5 hours. The content of the presentation is as follows:
 - a. Title of the Capstone Project
 - b. Brief discussion of the Background of the Study
 - c. Objectives of the Study
 - d. Reading of previous minutes (outline defense) – system demo may depend on the panelists' discretion.

Note: Panelists may intervene in presenting previous minutes for their comments, ideas, clarifications, and recommendations.

- D. System functionality and system UI must be 100%
- E. Fifteen (15) minutes will be dedicated to panelist deliberations and the announcement of the verdict. The panel chairman will announce the verdict and consolidate the panelists' comments. Depending on the uniqueness of the work, an extension may be granted.
- F. The Adviser shall take the minutes during the presentation and have them signed by the panelists before giving them to the students. The minutes will be referenced during the signing of the Approval Sheet.
- G. The student-researcher's manuscript and oral presentation will be evaluated using the Rating Sheet. The students should prepare three (3) copies of the rating sheet during the defense proper.

Criteria for Grading the Final Defense:

- **Individual Grade (50%)**
 - Disposition (30%)
 - Delivery and Presentation (70%)
 - Organization of the Content (25%)
 - Manner of presentation (25%)
 - Ability of defend ideas and technical output (20%)
- **Group Grade (50%)**
 - Documentation (50%)
 - Mechanics – Spelling/Punctuation/Grammar/Structure (30%)
 - Completeness (30%)
 - Content - Correctness and consistency (40%)
 - Software (50%)
 - Successful implementation of the set objectives (40%)
 - Technical output as reliable proof of the content of the study (30%)
 - Degree of impact on the panelists (10%)
 - Exhibits ease of use and user friendliness (20%)

VISION

A premier Higher Institution in Agri-Fisheries and Socio-cultural Development in the ASEAN Region.

MISSION

DNSC strives to produce competent human resource, generate, and utilize knowledge and technology, uphold good governance and quality management system for sustainable resources and resilient communities.

CORE VALUES

Excellence
Integrity
Innovativeness
Stewardship
Love of God and Country





Institute of Computing

PRE-DEPLOYMENT DEFENSE EVALUATION

Title of Project: _____

Name of Presenters: 1. _____ 4. _____

2. _____ 5. _____

3. _____ 6. _____

Individual Grade (50%)

Criteria and Weight	Score					
	1	2	3	4	5	6
I. Disposition (30%) <ul style="list-style-type: none">Generally neat appearanceProjects an aura of confidencePreparedness and teamwork						
II. Delivery and Presentation (70%) <div>1. Organization of Content (25%)<ul style="list-style-type: none">Sense of segmentationPresentation not interrupted to reload a file.Smoothness of presentation flowNo inconsistencies within content</div> <div>2. Manner of Presentation (25%)<ul style="list-style-type: none">The presenter does not tend to talk to the screen.Does not have some hesitancy in speaking.Less consulting of notes and has good eye contact with panelists.The volume of voice is adequate and can be heard by everyone.Does not delve too long into a specific subject matter.Use of clear visuals and appropriate materials for presentation</div> <div>3. Ability to Defend Ideas and Technical Output (20%)<ul style="list-style-type: none">Ability to respond to questions and criticisms.Has good responses to critiques & and comments.Doesn't have any tendency to go into other issues when answering questions.Attitude towards suggestions given (i.e., receptive, not hostile or indifferent)</div>						
Total						

Group Grade (50%)

Criteria and Weight	Score
Documentation (50%) <ul style="list-style-type: none">Mechanics - Spelling/Punctuation/Grammar/Structure (30%)Completeness (30%)Content (should be correct and consistent) (40%)	
Total	

Criteria and Weight	Score
Software (50%) <ul style="list-style-type: none">Successful implementation of the set objectives (40%)Technical output as a reliable proof of concept of the study (30%)Degree of impact on the panelists (10%)Exhibits ease of use and user friendliness (20%)	
Total	

Verdict:

☐ Passed (No revisions needed)

☐ Conditional Passed

☐ Passed (With revisions needed)

☐ Failed

To comply the necessary requirements to get a passing grade on _____

Name and Signature of the Panelist

VISION

A premier Higher Institution in Agri-Fisheries and Socio-cultural Development in the ASEAN Region.

MISSION

DNSC strives to produce competent human resource, generate, and utilize knowledge and technology, uphold good governance and quality management system for sustainable resources and resilient communities.

CORE VALUES

Excellence
Integrity
Innovativeness
Stewardship
Love of God and Country

