

Proof of the TLA Reduction Theorem

Leslie Lamport

Tue 20 Jan 1998 [16:50]

Theorem 3 *Define:*

$$\begin{aligned}
 R &\triangleq M \wedge \mathcal{R}' \\
 L &\triangleq \mathcal{L} \wedge M \\
 X &\triangleq (\neg \mathcal{L}) \wedge M \wedge (\neg R') \\
 M^R &\triangleq \neg(\mathcal{R} \vee \mathcal{L}) \wedge M^+ \wedge \neg(\mathcal{R} \vee \mathcal{L})' \\
 N &\triangleq M \vee E \\
 N^R &\triangleq M^R \vee E \\
 S &\triangleq \text{Init} \wedge \Box[N]_v \\
 S^R &\triangleq \text{Init} \wedge \Box[N^R]_v \\
 I &\triangleq \wedge \mathcal{R} \Rightarrow R^+(\hat{v}/v, v/v') \\
 &\quad \wedge \mathcal{L} \Rightarrow L^+(\hat{v}/v') \\
 &\quad \wedge \neg(\mathcal{R} \vee \mathcal{L}) \Rightarrow (\hat{v} = v) \\
 &\quad \wedge \neg(\mathcal{R} \vee \mathcal{L})(\hat{v}/v) \\
 Q &\triangleq \vee \Box \Diamond \neg \mathcal{L} \\
 &\quad \vee \Diamond \Box [\text{FALSE}]_v \wedge \Diamond \Box \text{ENABLED} (L^+ \wedge \neg \mathcal{L}') \\
 A_i &\triangleq B_i \vee (\Delta_i \wedge M) \\
 A_i^R &\triangleq B_i \vee (\Delta_i \wedge M^R) \\
 O &\triangleq (\exists i \in \mathcal{I} : \Delta_i) \wedge \Box \Diamond \langle R \rangle_v \Rightarrow \Box \Diamond \neg \mathcal{R}
 \end{aligned}$$

Assume:

1. (a) $\text{Init} \Rightarrow \neg(\mathcal{R} \vee \mathcal{L})$
- (b) $E \Rightarrow (\mathcal{R}' \equiv \mathcal{R}) \wedge (\mathcal{L}' \equiv \mathcal{L})$
- (c) $\neg(\mathcal{L} \wedge M \wedge \mathcal{R}')$
- (d) $\neg(\mathcal{R} \wedge \mathcal{L})$
2. (a) $R \cdot E \Rightarrow E \cdot R$
- (b) $E \cdot L \Rightarrow L \cdot E$
- (c) $\forall i \in \mathcal{I} : R \cdot \langle E \wedge B_i \rangle_v \Rightarrow \langle E \wedge B_i \rangle_v \cdot R$
- (d) $\forall i \in \mathcal{I} : \langle E \wedge B_i \rangle_v \cdot L \Rightarrow L \cdot \langle E \wedge B_i \rangle_v$

Prove: $S \wedge Q \wedge O \Rightarrow \exists \hat{v} : \Box I \wedge \widehat{S^R} \wedge (\forall i \in \mathcal{I} : \Box \Diamond \langle A_i \rangle_v \Rightarrow \Box \Diamond \langle \widehat{A_i^R} \rangle_{\hat{v}})$.

Proof of the Theorem

Let m, r_1, \dots, r_k, p, n and l_1, \dots, l_k be variables distinct from the variables of v and \hat{v} , let r equal $\langle r_1, \dots, r_k \rangle$, and l equal $\langle l_1, \dots, l_k \rangle$. We also let u denote a k -tuple of bound variables, distinct from all the other variables.

We first define a temporal formula H^c which asserts that b and c are history variables chosen as follows. The initial condition I^c asserts, and it will remain true forever, that c is an infinite sequence of elements of \mathcal{I} in which each element appears infinitely many times. (Such a sequence exists because \mathcal{I} is at most countably infinite.) The initial value of b doesn't matter; we take it to be an arbitrary element of \mathcal{I} . We choose b' to be the first element i in the sequence c such that the current step is a $E \wedge B_i$ step. We define c' to be the sequence obtained from c by deleting the element b' . (If there is no such i , we let $c' = c$ and let b' be an arbitrary element \top not in \mathcal{I} .)

$$\begin{aligned}
\top &\triangleq \text{CHOOSE } i : i \notin \mathcal{I} \\
I^c &\triangleq \wedge c \in [\text{Nat} \rightarrow \mathcal{I}] \\
&\quad \wedge \forall n \in \text{Nat}, i \in \mathcal{I} : \exists m \in \text{Nat} : (m > n) \wedge (c[m] = i) \\
&\quad \wedge b \in \mathcal{I} \cup \{\top\} \\
\text{Pos}(i) &\triangleq \min\{n \in \text{Nat} : c[n] = i\} \\
N^c &\triangleq \text{if } E \wedge (\exists i \in \mathcal{I} : \langle B_i \rangle_v) \\
&\quad \text{then } \wedge b' = \text{CHOOSE } i : \wedge (i \in \mathcal{I}) \wedge \langle B_i \rangle_v \\
&\quad \quad \wedge \forall j \in \mathcal{I} : \langle B_j \rangle_v \Rightarrow (\text{Pos}(i) \leq \text{Pos}(j)) \\
&\quad \wedge c' = [n \in \text{Nat} \mapsto \text{if } n < \text{Pos}(b') \text{ then } c[n] \\
&\quad \quad \quad \text{else } c[n+1]] \\
&\quad \text{else } \wedge b' = \text{if } v' = v \text{ then } b \text{ else } \top \\
&\quad \wedge c' = c \\
H^c &\triangleq I^c \wedge \Box[N^c]_{\langle v, b, c \rangle}
\end{aligned}$$

Note that the initial predicate I^c is actually an invariant of H^c .

For convenience, we define the action D by

$$D \triangleq \text{if } b' = \top \text{ then } E \text{ else } E \wedge \langle B_{b'} \rangle_v$$

We next define a temporal formula H^r , which asserts that r is a history variable, and a predicate I^r that we will prove is an invariant of H^r . Note

that $\rho(u)$ is a state predicate, if u is a k -tuple of state functions.

$$\begin{aligned}
\rho(u) &\triangleq (\neg \mathcal{R} \wedge R^+)(u/v, v/v') \\
N^r &\triangleq \\
&\quad r' = \text{if } \neg \mathcal{R}' \text{ then } v' \\
&\quad \quad \text{else if } R \text{ then } r \\
&\quad \quad \quad \text{else if } \langle E \rangle_v \text{ then CHOOSE } u : \\
&\quad \quad \quad \quad (\neg \mathcal{R} \wedge R^+)(u/v) \wedge D(r/v, u/v') \\
&\quad \quad \quad \text{else } r \\
H^r &\triangleq (r = v) \wedge \Box[N^r \wedge (v' \neq v)]_{\langle v, r \rangle} \\
I^r &\triangleq \wedge \neg \mathcal{R} \Rightarrow (r = v) \\
&\quad \wedge \mathcal{R} \Rightarrow \rho(r)
\end{aligned}$$

Next, we define \mathcal{R}^p and \mathcal{R}^l , which assert that p , n , and l are prophecy variables. The prophecy variable p is an “infinite prophecy” of the form $\Box(p = F)$ for a temporal formula F . For a prophecy variable like l , the invariant I^l is part of the formula that describes the variable.

$$\begin{aligned}
P^p &\triangleq \Box(p = \wedge \Box \text{ENABLED}(L^+ \wedge \neg \mathcal{L}') \\
&\quad \wedge \Box[\text{FALSE}]_v) \\
\lambda(u) &\triangleq (L^+ \wedge \neg \mathcal{L}')(u/v') \\
l_{final} &\triangleq \text{CHOOSE } u : \lambda(u) \\
I^l &\triangleq \wedge \neg \mathcal{L} \Rightarrow (l = v) \\
&\quad \wedge \mathcal{L} \Rightarrow \lambda(l) \\
&\quad \wedge p \Rightarrow (l = l_{final}) \\
N^l &\triangleq \\
&\quad l = \text{if } p \text{ then } l_{final} \\
&\quad \quad \text{else if } \neg \mathcal{L} \text{ then } v \\
&\quad \quad \quad \text{else if } L \text{ then } l' \\
&\quad \quad \quad \quad \text{else if } \langle E \rangle_v \\
&\quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \text{then CHOOSE } u : \\
&\quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \wedge \lambda(u) \\
&\quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \wedge D(u/v, l'/v') \\
&\quad \quad \quad \quad \text{else } l' \\
P^l &\triangleq \Box I^l \wedge \Box[N^l \wedge (\langle p, v \rangle' \neq \langle p, v \rangle)]_{\langle v, b, c, p, l \rangle}
\end{aligned}$$

Note that the symmetric relation between the history variable r and the prophecy variable p becomes more apparent if, in the definition of N^r , we replace the expression $R^+(u/v)$ with the equivalent expression $\rho(u)'$. (The

expressions are equivalent because the bound variable u in the expression $\text{CHOOSE } u : \dots$ is by definition a constant, so $u' = u$.)

We also define the action N^p and predicate I^p , which play the role of next-state relation and invariant for P^p .

$$\begin{aligned} N^p &\triangleq \bigwedge p \Rightarrow (v' = v) \\ &\quad \bigwedge (v' = v) \Rightarrow (p' = p) \\ I^p &\triangleq p \Rightarrow (\exists u : \lambda(u)) \end{aligned}$$

For convenience, we combine all these next-state relations and invariants with the following definitions

$$\begin{aligned} all &\triangleq \langle v, b, c, r, p, l \rangle \\ N^{all} &\triangleq (v' \neq v) \wedge N \wedge N^c \wedge N^r \wedge N^p \wedge N^l \\ I^{all} &\triangleq I^c \wedge I^r \wedge I^l \end{aligned}$$

We also define X by

$$X \triangleq \neg \mathcal{L} \wedge M \wedge \neg \mathcal{R}'$$

Finally, we define our refinement mapping \overline{v} by

$$\overline{v} \triangleq \text{if } \mathcal{R} \text{ then } r \\ \text{else if } \mathcal{L} \text{ then } l \text{ else } v$$

We use the following simple observations. If v is the tuple of all variables that appear in the actions A and B , then for any u_1 and u_2 ,

$$(A \cdot B)(u_1/v, u_2/v') \equiv \exists w : A(u_1/v, w/v') \wedge B(w/v, u_2/v') \quad (1)$$

The proof of the theorem follows.

- $\langle 1 \rangle 1.$ $(I^c)' \wedge N^c \wedge E \wedge \rho(r) \Rightarrow \exists u : (\neg \mathcal{R} \wedge R^+)(u/v) \wedge D(r/v, u/v')$
- 2. $(I^c)' \wedge N^c \wedge E \wedge \lambda(l)' \Rightarrow \exists u : \lambda(u) \wedge D(u/v, l'/v')$
- 3. $\forall u : (R^+(u/v, v/v') \Rightarrow \neg \mathcal{L})$
- 4. $M \equiv R \vee X \vee L$
- $\langle 2 \rangle 1.$ ASSUME: $(I^c)' \wedge N^c \wedge E \wedge \rho(r)$
PROVE: $\exists u : (\neg \mathcal{R} \wedge R^+)(u/v) \wedge D(r/v, u/v')$
- $\langle 3 \rangle 1.$ $R \cdot D \Rightarrow D \cdot R$
PROOF: Assumption $\langle 2 \rangle$ (which implies $b' \in \mathcal{I} \cup \{\top\}$), the definition of D , and hypotheses 2(a) (if $b' = \top$) and 2(c) (if $b' \in \mathcal{I}$).
- $\langle 3 \rangle 2.$ $R^+ \cdot D \Rightarrow D \cdot R^+$
PROOF: By induction from $\langle 3 \rangle 1$ and the associativity of “ \cdot ”.
- $\langle 3 \rangle 3.$ $(\neg \mathcal{R} \wedge R^+) \cdot D \Rightarrow D \cdot (\neg \mathcal{R} \wedge R^+)$

PROOF:

$$\begin{aligned}
(\neg \mathcal{R} \wedge R^+) \cdot D &\equiv \neg \mathcal{R} \wedge (R^+ \cdot D) && \text{By (1).} \\
&\Rightarrow \neg \mathcal{R} \wedge (D \cdot R^+) && \text{By } \langle 3 \rangle 2. \\
&\equiv (\neg \mathcal{R} \wedge D) \cdot R^+ && \text{By (1).} \\
&\Rightarrow (D \wedge \neg \mathcal{R}') \cdot R^+ && \text{By hypothesis 1(b), since } D \Rightarrow E. \\
&\equiv D \cdot (\neg \mathcal{R} \wedge R^+) && \text{By (1).}
\end{aligned}$$

$\langle 3 \rangle 4$. Q.E.D.

PROOF: By assumption $\langle 2 \rangle$, since

$$\begin{aligned}
&\rho(r) \wedge E \\
&\Rightarrow \rho(r) \wedge D && \text{Assumption } \langle 2 \rangle \text{ and def of } N^c. \\
&\equiv (\neg \mathcal{R} \wedge R^+)(r/v, v/v') \wedge D && \text{Definition of } \rho. \\
&\Rightarrow ((\neg \mathcal{R} \wedge R^+) \cdot D)(r/v) && \text{By (1).} \\
&\Rightarrow (D \cdot (\neg \mathcal{R} \wedge R^+))(r/v) && \text{By } \langle 3 \rangle 3. \\
&\equiv \exists u : D(r/v, u/v') \wedge (\neg \mathcal{R} \wedge R^+)(u/v) && \text{By (1).}
\end{aligned}$$

$\langle 2 \rangle 2$. ASSUME: $(I^c)' \wedge N^c \wedge E \wedge \lambda(l)'$

PROVE: $\exists u : (\lambda(u) \wedge D)(u/v, l'/v')$

$\langle 3 \rangle 1$. $D \cdot L \Rightarrow L \cdot D$

PROOF: Assumption $\langle 2 \rangle$ (which implies $b' \in \mathcal{I} \cup \{\top\}$), the definition of D , and Hypotheses 2(b) (if $b' = \top$) and 2(d) (if $b' \in \mathcal{I}$).

$\langle 3 \rangle 2$. $D \cdot L^+ \Rightarrow L^+ \cdot D$

PROOF: By induction from $\langle 3 \rangle 1$ and the associativity of “ \cdot ”.

$\langle 3 \rangle 3$. $\forall u, w : D(u/v, w/v') \wedge \neg \mathcal{L}(w/v) \Rightarrow \neg \mathcal{L}(u/v)$

PROOF: Hypothesis 1(b) (which implies $E \wedge \mathcal{L} \Rightarrow \mathcal{L}'$), since assumption $\langle 2 \rangle$ and the definition of D imply $D \Rightarrow E$.

$\langle 3 \rangle 4$. Q.E.D.

PROOF: By assumption $\langle 2 \rangle$, since

$$\begin{aligned}
&(\lambda(l))' \wedge E \\
&\Rightarrow (\lambda(l))' \wedge D && \text{Assumption } \langle 2 \rangle \text{ and def of } N^c. \\
&\equiv L^+(v'/v, l'/v') \wedge \neg \mathcal{L}(l'/v) \wedge D && \text{By definition of } \lambda. \\
&\Rightarrow (D \cdot L^+)(l'/v') \wedge \neg \mathcal{L}(l'/v) && \text{By (1).} \\
&\Rightarrow (L^+ \cdot D)(l'/v') \wedge \neg \mathcal{L}(l'/v) && \text{By } \langle 3 \rangle 2. \\
&\Rightarrow \exists u : L^+(u/v') \wedge D(u/v, l'/v') \wedge \neg \mathcal{L}(l'/v) && \text{By (1).} \\
&\Rightarrow \exists u : L^+(u/v') \wedge D(u/v, l'/v') \wedge \neg \mathcal{L}(u/v) && \text{By } \langle 3 \rangle 3 \\
&\equiv \exists u : \lambda(u) \wedge D(u/v, l'/v') && \text{By definition of } \lambda.
\end{aligned}$$

$\langle 2 \rangle 3$. ASSUME: u a k -tuple of constants

PROVE: $R^+(u/v, v/v') \Rightarrow \neg \mathcal{L}$

$\langle 3 \rangle 1$. $R(u/v, v/v') \Rightarrow \neg \mathcal{L}$

PROOF: By definition, R implies \mathcal{R}' , so $R(u/v, v/v')$ implies \mathcal{R} , which by hypothesis 1(d) implies $\neg \mathcal{L}$.

$\langle 3 \rangle 2$. Q.E.D.

PROOF: $\langle 3 \rangle 1$, by induction on k .

$\langle 2 \rangle 4$. $M \equiv R \vee X \vee L$

PROOF: $M \equiv (\neg \mathcal{L} \wedge M \wedge \mathcal{R}') \vee (\neg \mathcal{L} \wedge M \wedge \neg \mathcal{R}') \vee (\mathcal{L} \wedge M)$

Propositional logic.

$\equiv (M \wedge \mathcal{R}') \vee (\neg \mathcal{L} \wedge M \wedge \neg \mathcal{R}') \vee (\mathcal{L} \wedge M)$

Hypothesis 1(c).

$\equiv R \vee X \vee L$

Definitions of R , X , and L .

$\langle 2 \rangle 5$. Q.E.D.

PROOF: $\langle 2 \rangle 1$, $\langle 2 \rangle 2$, $\langle 2 \rangle 3$, and $\langle 2 \rangle 4$.

$\langle 1 \rangle 2$. $P^p \Rightarrow \Box[N^p]_{\langle v, p \rangle} \wedge \Box I^p$

$\langle 2 \rangle 1$. $P^p \Rightarrow \Box[N^p]_{\langle v, p \rangle}$

PROOF: This is semantically obvious, since $v = v'$ implies

$\text{ENABLED}(L^+ \wedge \neg \mathcal{L}') \equiv (\text{ENABLED}(L^+ \wedge \neg \mathcal{L}'))'$

but I don't know how to derive it from more primitive proof rules.

$\langle 2 \rangle 2$. $P^p \Rightarrow \Box I^p$

PROOF: Follows from the definitions of P^p and I^p by simple temporal reasoning, since $\text{ENABLED}(L^+ \wedge \neg \mathcal{L}')$ is equivalent to $\exists u : \lambda(u)$.

$\langle 2 \rangle 3$. Q.E.D.

PROOF: $\langle 2 \rangle 1$ and $\langle 2 \rangle 2$.

$\langle 1 \rangle 3$. $\exists b, c : H^c \wedge \Box I^c$

$\langle 2 \rangle 1$. $\exists b, c : H^c$

PROOF: By the standard rule for adding history variables.

$\langle 2 \rangle 2$. $H^c \Rightarrow \Box I^c$

$\langle 3 \rangle 1$. $I^c \wedge [N^c]_{\langle v, c \rangle} \Rightarrow (I^c)'$

PROOF: Immediate from the definitions.

$\langle 3 \rangle 2$. Q.E.D.

PROOF: $\langle 3 \rangle 1$ and the TLA invariance rule.

$\langle 2 \rangle 3$. Q.E.D.

PROOF: $\langle 2 \rangle 1$, $\langle 2 \rangle 2$, and predicate logic.

$\langle 1 \rangle 4$. $\Box I^c \wedge H^c \wedge S \Rightarrow \exists r : H^r \wedge \Box I^r$

$\langle 2 \rangle 1$. $\exists r : H^r$

PROOF: By the rules for history variables.

$\langle 2 \rangle 2$. $\Box I^c \wedge H^c \wedge S \wedge H^r \Rightarrow \Box I^r$

$\langle 3 \rangle 1$. ASSUME: $(I^c)' \wedge N^c \wedge N \wedge N^r \wedge (v' \neq v) \wedge I^r$

PROVE: $(I^r)'$

$\langle 4 \rangle 1$. CASE: $E \wedge \neg R$

$\langle 5 \rangle 1$. CASE: \mathcal{R}

$\langle 6 \rangle 1$. \mathcal{R}'

PROOF: Assumptions $\langle 5 \rangle$ and $\langle 4 \rangle$ and hypothesis 1(b) (which

implies $E \wedge \mathcal{R} \Rightarrow \mathcal{R}'$).

$\langle 6 \rangle 2$. $r' = \text{CHOOSE } u : (\neg \mathcal{R} \wedge R^+)(u/v) \wedge D(r/v, u/v')$
PROOF: $\langle 6 \rangle 1$, assumption $\langle 4 \rangle$ ($\neg R$), assumption $\langle 3 \rangle$ (which asserts $(v' \neq v) \wedge N^r$), and the definition of N^r .

$\langle 6 \rangle 3$. $\rho(r)$
PROOF: Assumptions $\langle 5 \rangle$ and $\langle 3 \rangle$ (which asserts I^r), and the definition of I^r .

$\langle 6 \rangle 4$. $(\neg \mathcal{R} \wedge R^+)(r'/v)$
PROOF: $\langle 6 \rangle 2$, $\langle 6 \rangle 3$, assumptions $\langle 3 \rangle$ (which asserts $(I^c)' \wedge N^c$) and $\langle 4 \rangle$, and $\langle 1 \rangle 1.1$.

$\langle 6 \rangle 5$. Q.E.D.
PROOF: $\langle 6 \rangle 4$ implies $\rho(r)'$, since $(\neg \mathcal{R} \wedge R^+)(r'/v) = (\neg \mathcal{R} \wedge R^+)(r'/v, v'/v') = (\neg \mathcal{R} \wedge R^+)(r/v, v/v')' = \rho(r)'$. The level- $\langle 3 \rangle$ goal then follows from $\langle 6 \rangle 1$ and the definition of I^r .

$\langle 5 \rangle 2$. CASE: $\neg \mathcal{R}$
 $\langle 6 \rangle 1$. $\neg \mathcal{R}'$
PROOF: Assumptions $\langle 5 \rangle$ and $\langle 4 \rangle$ and hypothesis 1(b) (which implies $E \wedge \mathcal{R}' \Rightarrow \mathcal{R}$).

$\langle 6 \rangle 2$. $r' = v'$
PROOF: $\langle 6 \rangle 1$, assumption $\langle 3 \rangle$ (which asserts N^r), and the definition of N^r .

$\langle 6 \rangle 3$. Q.E.D.
PROOF: $\langle 6 \rangle 1$, $\langle 6 \rangle 2$, and the definition of I^r imply the level- $\langle 3 \rangle$ goal.

$\langle 5 \rangle 3$. Q.E.D.
PROOF: Immediate from $\langle 5 \rangle 1$ and $\langle 5 \rangle 2$.

$\langle 4 \rangle 2$. CASE: R
 $\langle 5 \rangle 1$. $r' = r$
PROOF: Assumption $\langle 3 \rangle$ (which asserts N^r), assumption $\langle 4 \rangle$, which by definition of R implies \mathcal{R}' , and the definition of N^r .

$\langle 5 \rangle 2$. CASE: \mathcal{R}
 $\langle 6 \rangle 1$. $\rho(r) \wedge R \Rightarrow \rho(r)'$
PROOF:

$$\begin{aligned} \rho(r) \wedge R &\equiv (\neg \mathcal{R} \wedge R^+)(r/v, v/v') \wedge R && \text{By definition of } \rho. \\ &\Rightarrow ((\neg \mathcal{R} \wedge R^+) \cdot R)(r/v) && \text{By (1).} \\ &\equiv (\neg \mathcal{R} \wedge (R^+ \cdot R))(r/v) && \text{By (1).} \\ &\Rightarrow (\neg \mathcal{R} \wedge R^+)(r/v) && \text{By definition of } ^+. \\ &\equiv (\neg \mathcal{R} \wedge R^+)(r'/v, v'/v') && \text{By } \langle 5 \rangle 1. \\ &\equiv (\rho(r))' && \text{By definition of } \rho. \end{aligned}$$

$\langle 6 \rangle 2$. Q.E.D.

PROOF: Assumptions $\langle 5 \rangle$ and $\langle 3 \rangle$ (which asserts I^r) imply $\rho(r)$. The level- $\langle 3 \rangle$ goal then follows from assumption $\langle 4 \rangle$ (which, by definition of R , implies \mathcal{R}'), step $\langle 6 \rangle 1$, and the definition of I^r .

$\langle 5 \rangle 3$. CASE: $\neg \mathcal{R}$

$\langle 6 \rangle 1$. $r = v$

PROOF: Assumptions $\langle 5 \rangle$ and $\langle 3 \rangle$ (which asserts I^r) and the definition of I^r .

$\langle 6 \rangle 2$. $R(r'/v, v'/v')$

PROOF: By assumption $\langle 4 \rangle$, since $\langle 6 \rangle 1$ and $\langle 5 \rangle 1$ imply $r' = v$.

$\langle 6 \rangle 3$. $\rho(r)'$

PROOF: By assumption $\langle 5 \rangle$ and $\langle 6 \rangle 2$, since R implies R^+ and $(\neg \mathcal{R} \wedge R^+)(r'/v, v'/v') = (\neg \mathcal{R} \wedge R^+)(r/v, v/v')' = \rho(r)'$.

$\langle 6 \rangle 4$. Q.E.D.

PROOF: $\langle 6 \rangle 3$, assumption $\langle 4 \rangle$ (which implies \mathcal{R}'), and the definition of I^r imply the level- $\langle 3 \rangle$ goal.

$\langle 5 \rangle 4$. Q.E.D.

PROOF: Immediate from $\langle 5 \rangle 2$ and $\langle 5 \rangle 3$.

$\langle 4 \rangle 3$. CASE: $\neg \mathcal{R}'$

$\langle 5 \rangle 1$. $r' = v'$

PROOF: Assumption $\langle 3 \rangle$ (which asserts N^r), assumption $\langle 4 \rangle$, and the definition of N^r .

$\langle 5 \rangle 2$. Q.E.D.

PROOF: $\langle 5 \rangle 1$, assumption $\langle 4 \rangle$, and the definition of I^r imply our level- $\langle 3 \rangle$ goal.

$\langle 4 \rangle 4$. Q.E.D.

$\langle 5 \rangle 1$. $N \equiv (E \wedge \neg R) \vee R \vee (M \wedge \neg \mathcal{R}')$

PROOF: $N \equiv E \vee M$	By definition of N .
$\equiv E \vee (M \wedge \mathcal{R}') \vee (M \wedge \neg \mathcal{R}')$	By predicate logic.
$\equiv E \vee R \vee (M \wedge \neg \mathcal{R}')$	By definition of R .
$\equiv (E \wedge \neg R) \vee R \vee (M \wedge \neg \mathcal{R}')$	By propositional logic.

$\langle 5 \rangle 2$. Q.E.D.

PROOF: By $\langle 5 \rangle 1$ and assumption $\langle 3 \rangle$ (which asserts N), cases $\langle 4 \rangle 1$, $\langle 4 \rangle 2$, and $\langle 4 \rangle 3$ are exhaustive.

$\langle 3 \rangle 2$. $I^r \wedge \text{UNCHANGED} \langle v, r \rangle \Rightarrow (I^r)'$

PROOF: Immediate, since v and r are the only free variables of I^r .

$\langle 3 \rangle 3$. Q.E.D.

PROOF: By $\langle 3 \rangle 1$, $\langle 3 \rangle 2$, the definition of H^r , and the usual TLA invariance rule.

$\langle 2 \rangle 3$. Q.E.D.

PROOF: $\langle 2 \rangle 1$ and $\langle 2 \rangle 2$ and predicate logic.

$\langle 1 \rangle 5. \Box I^c \wedge H^c \wedge S \wedge Q \Rightarrow \exists p, l : P^p \wedge P^l$

$\langle 2 \rangle 1. \exists p : P^p$

PROOF: By the following rule for adding “infinite prophecy” variables:

If p does not occur free in the temporal formula F , then $\exists p :$

$\Box(p = F)$.

$\langle 2 \rangle 2. \Box I^c \wedge H^c \wedge Q \wedge S \wedge P^p \Rightarrow \exists l : P^l$

$\langle 3 \rangle 1. I^p \wedge p \Rightarrow I^l$

$\langle 4 \rangle 1. I^p \wedge p \Rightarrow \lambda(l_{final})$

PROOF: By definition of I^p and l_{final} .

$\langle 4 \rangle 2. \lambda(l_{final}) \Rightarrow \mathcal{L}$

PROOF: By definition of λ , since L^+ equals $(\mathcal{L} \wedge M)^+$ (by definition of L), which implies \mathcal{L} .

$\langle 4 \rangle 3. \text{Q.E.D.}$

PROOF: $\langle 4 \rangle 1$, $\langle 4 \rangle 2$, and the definition of I^l

$\langle 3 \rangle 2. Q \wedge P^p \Rightarrow \Box \Diamond (\exists ! u : I^l(u/l))$

$\langle 4 \rangle 1. \Box I^p \wedge \Box \Diamond \neg \mathcal{L} \Rightarrow \Box \Diamond (\exists ! u : I^l(u/l))$

$\langle 5 \rangle 1. I^p \wedge \neg \mathcal{L} \Rightarrow \neg p$

PROOF: $I^p \wedge p \Rightarrow (\exists u : \lambda(u)) \Rightarrow L^+ \Rightarrow \mathcal{L}$.

$\langle 5 \rangle 2. I^p \wedge \neg \mathcal{L} \Rightarrow (\exists ! u : I^l(u/l))$

PROOF: $\langle 5 \rangle 1$ and the definition of I^l imply $I^l(u/l) \equiv (u = v)$.

$\langle 5 \rangle 3. \text{Q.E.D.}$

PROOF: $\langle 5 \rangle 2$ and temporal reasoning.

$\langle 4 \rangle 2. \Box I^p \wedge \Box p \Rightarrow \Box (\exists ! u : I^l(u/l))$

$\langle 5 \rangle 1. I^l \wedge p \Rightarrow (l = l_{final})$

PROOF: Definition of I^l

$\langle 5 \rangle 2. I^p \wedge p \Rightarrow (\exists ! u : I^l(u/l))$

PROOF: Immediate from $\langle 5 \rangle 1$ and $\langle 3 \rangle 1$.

$\langle 5 \rangle 3. \text{Q.E.D.}$

PROOF: $\langle 5 \rangle 2$ and simple temporal reasoning.

$\langle 4 \rangle 3. Q \wedge P^p \Rightarrow (\Box \Diamond \neg \mathcal{L}) \vee \Diamond \Box p$

PROOF: By definition of Q and P^p .

$\langle 4 \rangle 4. \text{Q.E.D.}$

PROOF: By $\langle 4 \rangle 1$, $\langle 4 \rangle 2$, $\langle 4 \rangle 3$, $\langle 1 \rangle 2$ (which implies $P^p \Rightarrow \Box I^p$), and simple temporal reasoning.

$\langle 3 \rangle 3. \Box I^c \wedge H^c \wedge S \wedge P^p \Rightarrow \Box [(I^l)' \wedge (v' \neq v) \Rightarrow \exists u : N^l(u/l) \wedge I(u/l)]_v$

$\langle 4 \rangle 1. \text{ASSUME: } (I^c)' \wedge N^c \wedge N \wedge I^p \wedge N^p \wedge (I^l)' \wedge (v' \neq v)$

PROVE: $\exists u : N^l(u/l) \wedge I^l(u/l)$

$\langle 5 \rangle 1. \neg p$

PROOF: Assumption $\langle 4 \rangle$, since $N^p \wedge (v' \neq v)$ implies $\neg p$.

$\langle 5 \rangle 2$. CASE: $\neg \mathcal{L}$

$\langle 6 \rangle 1$. $I^l(v/l) \wedge N^l(v/l)$

PROOF: $\langle 5 \rangle 1$, assumption $\langle 5 \rangle$, and the definitions of I^l and N^l .

$\langle 6 \rangle 2$. Q.E.D.

PROOF: Immediate from $\langle 6 \rangle 1$.

$\langle 5 \rangle 3$. CASE: \mathcal{L}

$\langle 6 \rangle 1$. CASE: $E \wedge \neg L$

$\langle 7 \rangle 1$. \mathcal{L}'

PROOF: Assumptions $\langle 6 \rangle$ and $\langle 5 \rangle$ and hypothesis 1(b) (which implies $E \wedge \mathcal{L} \Rightarrow \mathcal{L}'$).

$\langle 7 \rangle 2$. $\exists u : \lambda(u) \wedge D(u/v, l'/v')$

PROOF: $\langle 7 \rangle 1$ and assumption $\langle 4 \rangle$ (which asserts $(I^l)'$) imply $\lambda(l)'$. The result follows from $\lambda(l)'$, assumptions $\langle 6 \rangle$ and $\langle 4 \rangle$ (which implies $(I^c)' \wedge N^c$), and $\langle 1 \rangle 1.2$.

$\langle 7 \rangle 3$. Q.E.D.

LET: $u \triangleq \text{CHOOSE } u : \lambda(u) \wedge D(u/v, l'/v')$

$\langle 8 \rangle 1$. $N^l \equiv (l = u)$

PROOF: $\langle 5 \rangle 1$, assumption $\langle 5 \rangle$, assumption $\langle 6 \rangle$, assumption $\langle 4 \rangle$ (which implies $v' \neq v$), and the definition of N^l .

$\langle 8 \rangle 2$. $N^l(u/l)$

PROOF: By $\langle 8 \rangle 1$.

$\langle 8 \rangle 3$. $\lambda(u)$

PROOF: $\langle 7 \rangle 2$ and the definition of u .

$\langle 8 \rangle 4$. $I^l(u/l)$

PROOF: $\langle 8 \rangle 3$, assumption $\langle 5 \rangle$, $\langle 5 \rangle 1$, and the definition of I^l .

$\langle 8 \rangle 5$. Q.E.D.

PROOF: $\langle 8 \rangle 2$ and $\langle 8 \rangle 4$ imply the level- $\langle 4 \rangle$ goal.

$\langle 6 \rangle 2$. CASE: L

$\langle 7 \rangle 1$. CASE: \mathcal{L}'

$\langle 8 \rangle 1$. $(\lambda(l))' \wedge L \Rightarrow \lambda(l')$

PROOF: $(\lambda(l))' \wedge L$
 $\equiv L^+(v'/v, l'/v') \wedge \neg \mathcal{L}(l'/v) \wedge L$
By definition of λ
 $\Rightarrow (L \cdot L^+)(l'/v') \wedge \neg \mathcal{L}(l'/v)$
By (1).
 $\Rightarrow (L^+)(l'/v') \wedge \neg \mathcal{L}(l'/v)$
By definition of A^+ for an action A .
 $\equiv \lambda(l')$
By definition of λ

$\langle 8 \rangle 2$. $\lambda(l')$

PROOF: Assumption $\langle 4 \rangle$ implies $(I^l)'$, which by assumption $\langle 7 \rangle$ implies $(\lambda(l))'$. By $\langle 8 \rangle 1$, $(\lambda(l))'$ and assumption $\langle 6 \rangle$ imply $\lambda(l')$.

$\langle 8 \rangle 3$. $I^l(l'/l)$

PROOF: $\langle 5 \rangle 1$ and assumption $\langle 5 \rangle$ imply $I^l \equiv \lambda(l)$, so $\langle 8 \rangle 2$ implies $I^l(l'/l)$.

$\langle 8 \rangle 4$. $N^l(l'/l)$

PROOF: $\langle 5 \rangle 1$, assumptions $\langle 5 \rangle$ and $\langle 6 \rangle$ imply $N^l \equiv (l = l')$, so $N^l(l'/l) \equiv (l' = l')$.

$\langle 8 \rangle 5$. Q.E.D.

PROOF: $\langle 8 \rangle 3$ and $\langle 8 \rangle 4$ imply the level- $\langle 4 \rangle$ goal.

$\langle 7 \rangle 2$. CASE: $\neg \mathcal{L}'$

$\langle 8 \rangle 1$. $l' = v'$

PROOF: Assumption $\langle 4 \rangle$ (which implies $(I^l)'$), assumption $\langle 7 \rangle$, and the definition of I^l .

$\langle 8 \rangle 2$. $\lambda(v')$

PROOF: Assumption $\langle 6 \rangle$ implies L^+ , which with assumption $\langle 7 \rangle$ implies $(L^+ \wedge \neg \mathcal{L}')(v'/v')$, which equals $\lambda(v')$.

$\langle 8 \rangle 3$. $I^l(v'/l)$

PROOF: $\langle 5 \rangle 1$ and assumption $\langle 5 \rangle$ imply $I^l \equiv \lambda(l)$, so $\langle 8 \rangle 2$ implies $I^l(v'/l)$.

$\langle 8 \rangle 4$. $N^l(v'/l)$

PROOF: $\langle 5 \rangle 1$, assumption $\langle 5 \rangle$, and assumption $\langle 6 \rangle$ imply $N^l \equiv (l = l')$. By $\langle 8 \rangle 1$, this implies $N^l \equiv (l = v')$, so $N^l(v'/l) \equiv (v' = v')$.

$\langle 8 \rangle 5$. Q.E.D.

PROOF: $\langle 8 \rangle 3$ and $\langle 8 \rangle 4$ imply the level- $\langle 4 \rangle$ goal.

$\langle 7 \rangle 3$. Q.E.D.

PROOF: Immediate from $\langle 7 \rangle 1$ and $\langle 7 \rangle 2$.

$\langle 6 \rangle 3$. Q.E.D.

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{PROOF: } N &\equiv E \vee M && \text{By definition of } N. \\
&\equiv E \vee (\mathcal{L} \wedge M) && \text{By assumption } \langle 5 \rangle. \\
&\equiv E \vee L && \text{By definition of } L. \\
&\equiv (E \wedge \neg L) \vee L && \text{By propositional logic.}
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, cases $\langle 6 \rangle 1$ and $\langle 6 \rangle 2$ are exhaustive.

$\langle 5 \rangle 4$. Q.E.D.

PROOF: $\langle 5 \rangle 3$ and $\langle 5 \rangle 2$.

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle 4 \rangle 2. \quad &(I^c)' \wedge [N^c]_{\langle v, b, c \rangle} \wedge [N]_v \wedge I^p \wedge [N^p]_{\langle v, p \rangle} \Rightarrow \\
&[(I^l)' \wedge (v' \neq v) \Rightarrow \exists u : N^l(u/l) \wedge I^l(u/l)]_v
\end{aligned}$$

PROOF: $\langle 4 \rangle 1$, since $v' = v$ implies $[\dots]_v$.

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle 4 \rangle 3. \quad &\Box I^c \wedge \Box [N^c]_{\langle v, b, c \rangle} \wedge \Box [N]_v \wedge \Box I^p \wedge \Box [N^p]_{\langle v, p \rangle} \Rightarrow \\
&\Box [(I^l)' \wedge (v' \neq v) \Rightarrow \exists u : N^l(u/l) \wedge I^l(u/l)]_v
\end{aligned}$$

PROOF: $\langle 4 \rangle 2$ and simple TLA reasoning.

$\langle 4 \rangle 4$. Q.E.D.

PROOF: $\langle 4 \rangle 3$ and $\langle 1 \rangle 2$.

$\langle 3 \rangle 4$. Q.E.D.

PROOF: By $\langle 3 \rangle 2$, $\langle 3 \rangle 3$, and the following rule for adding prophecy variables.

Let w be an m -tuple of variables, let x be an n -tuple of variables distinct from the variables of w , let I be a predicate and N an action, where all the free variables of I and N are included in w and x . Then

$$\begin{aligned}
&\wedge \Box \Diamond (\exists ! a : I(a/x)) \\
&\wedge \Box [I' \wedge (w' \neq w) \Rightarrow (\exists a : N(a/x) \wedge I(a/x))]_w \\
&\Rightarrow \exists x : \Box I \wedge \Box [N \wedge (w' \neq w)]_{\langle w, x \rangle}
\end{aligned}$$

where $\exists ! a$ means there exists a unique a :

$$\exists ! a : F(a) \triangleq \exists a : F(a) \wedge (\forall b : F(b) \Rightarrow (b = a))$$

$\langle 2 \rangle 3$. Q.E.D.

$$\langle 3 \rangle 1. \quad \Box I^c \wedge H^c \wedge Q \wedge S \wedge P^p \Rightarrow \exists l : (P^p \wedge P^l)$$

PROOF: By $\langle 2 \rangle 2$ and temporal predicate logic, since l does not occur free in P^p .

$$\langle 3 \rangle 2. \quad (\exists p : \Box I^c \wedge H^c \wedge Q \wedge S \wedge P^p) \Rightarrow \exists p, l : (P^p \wedge P^l)$$

PROOF: By $\langle 3 \rangle 1$ and temporal predicate logic.

$$\langle 3 \rangle 3. \quad (\exists p : \Box I^c \wedge H^c \wedge Q \wedge S \wedge P^p) \equiv \Box I^c \wedge H^c \wedge Q \wedge S$$

PROOF: By $\langle 2 \rangle 2$ and temporal predicate logic, since p does not occur free in $\Box I^c \wedge H^c \wedge Q \wedge S$.

$\langle 3 \rangle 4$. Q.E.D.

PROOF: By $\langle 3 \rangle 2$ and $\langle 3 \rangle 3$.

$$\langle 1 \rangle 6. \quad \text{ASSUME: } N^{all} \wedge I^{all} \wedge (I^{all})' \wedge X$$

PROVE: $\overline{M^R}$

$\langle 2 \rangle 1.$ $(\neg \mathcal{R} \wedge (r = v)) \vee (\neg \mathcal{R} \wedge R^+)(r/v, v/v')$

PROOF: Assumption $\langle 1 \rangle$ implies I^r , and the conclusion follows from I^r and the definition of $\rho(r)$.

$\langle 2 \rangle 2.$ $(\neg \mathcal{L}' \wedge (l' = v')) \vee (L^+ \wedge \neg \mathcal{L}')(v'/v, l'/v')$

PROOF: Assumption $\langle 1 \rangle$ implies $(I^l)'$, and the conclusion follows from $(I^l)'$ and the definition of $\lambda(l)$.

$\langle 2 \rangle 3.$ $M^R(r/v, l'/v')$

$\langle 3 \rangle 1.$ $(\neg(\mathcal{R} \vee \mathcal{L}) \wedge M^+)(r/v)$

$\langle 4 \rangle 1.$ CASE: $\neg \mathcal{R} \wedge (r = v)$

PROOF: Assumption $\langle 1 \rangle$ implies $\neg \mathcal{L} \wedge M$, from which we deduce $\neg(\mathcal{R} \vee \mathcal{L}) \wedge M \wedge (r = v)$, which implies the level- $\langle 3 \rangle$ goal because M implies M^+ .

$\langle 4 \rangle 2.$ CASE: $(\neg \mathcal{R} \wedge R^+)(r/v, v/v')$

$\langle 5 \rangle 1.$ $\neg \mathcal{L}(r/v)$

PROOF: Since R equals $M \wedge \mathcal{R}'$, this follows from assumption $\langle 4 \rangle$ and hypothesis 1(c).

$\langle 5 \rangle 2.$ $(\neg \mathcal{R} \wedge M^+)(r/v)$

PROOF: Assumption $\langle 1 \rangle$ implies M . Since R^+ implies M^+ , assumption $\langle 4 \rangle$ implies $(\neg \mathcal{R} \wedge M^+)(r/v, v/v')$. From (1), we then deduce $(\neg \mathcal{R} \wedge (M^+ \cdot M))(r/v)$, which implies the desired result since $M^+ \cdot M$ implies M^+ .

$\langle 5 \rangle 3.$ Q.E.D.

PROOF: The result follows immediately from $\langle 5 \rangle 1$ and $\langle 5 \rangle 2$.

$\langle 4 \rangle 3.$ Q.E.D.

PROOF: $\langle 2 \rangle 1$ implies that cases $\langle 4 \rangle 1$ and $\langle 4 \rangle 2$ are exhaustive.

$\langle 3 \rangle 2.$ Q.E.D.

$\langle 4 \rangle 1.$ CASE: $\neg \mathcal{L}' \wedge (l' = v')$

PROOF: By $\langle 3 \rangle 1$ and assumption $\langle 1 \rangle$, which implies $\neg \mathcal{R}'$, we have $(\neg(\mathcal{R} \vee \mathcal{L}) \wedge M^+)(r/v) \wedge \neg(\mathcal{R} \vee \mathcal{L}') \wedge (l' = v')$, which implies $(\neg(\mathcal{R} \vee \mathcal{L}) \wedge M^+ \wedge \neg(\mathcal{R} \vee \mathcal{L}'))(r/v, l'/v')$, and the level- $\langle 2 \rangle$ goal follows from the definition of M^R .

$\langle 4 \rangle 2.$ CASE: $(L^+ \wedge \neg \mathcal{L}')(v'/v, l'/v')$

$\langle 5 \rangle 1.$ $\neg \mathcal{R}'(l'/v')$

PROOF: Since L equals $\mathcal{L} \wedge M$, this follows from assumption $\langle 4 \rangle$ and hypothesis 1(c).

$\langle 5 \rangle 2.$ $(\neg(\mathcal{R} \vee \mathcal{L}) \wedge M^+ \wedge \neg \mathcal{L}')(r/v, l'/v')$

PROOF: By (1), $\langle 3 \rangle 1$ and assumption $\langle 4 \rangle$ imply

$$((\neg(\mathcal{R} \vee \mathcal{L}) \wedge M^+) \cdot (L^+ \wedge \neg \mathcal{L}'))(r/v, l'/v')$$

which by (1) equals

$$(\neg(\mathcal{R} \vee \mathcal{L}) \wedge (M^+ \cdot L^+) \wedge \neg\mathcal{L}')(r/v, l'/v')$$

The result then follows because $M^+ \cdot L^+$ implies $M^+ \cdot M^+$, which implies M^+ .

$\langle 5 \rangle 3$. Q.E.D.

PROOF: The level- $\langle 2 \rangle$ goal follows immediately from $\langle 5 \rangle 1$, $\langle 5 \rangle 2$, and the definition of M^R .

$\langle 4 \rangle 3$. Q.E.D.

PROOF: $\langle 2 \rangle 2$ implies that cases $\langle 4 \rangle 1$ and $\langle 4 \rangle 2$ are exhaustive.

$\langle 2 \rangle 4$. $\bar{v} = r$

$\langle 3 \rangle 1$. CASE: \mathcal{R}

PROOF: Immediate from the definition of \bar{v} .

$\langle 3 \rangle 2$. CASE: $\neg\mathcal{R}$

PROOF: Assumption $\langle 1 \rangle$ implies $\neg\mathcal{L}$ and I^r . From $\neg\mathcal{R}$, $\neg\mathcal{L}$, and the definition of \bar{v} we deduce $\bar{v} = v$. From $\neg\mathcal{R} \wedge I^r$ we deduce $r = v$.

$\langle 3 \rangle 3$. Q.E.D.

PROOF: Immediate from $\langle 3 \rangle 1$ and $\langle 3 \rangle 2$.

$\langle 2 \rangle 5$. $\bar{v}' = l'$

$\langle 3 \rangle 1$. CASE: \mathcal{L}'

PROOF: Assumption $\langle 1 \rangle$ implies $\mathcal{L} \wedge M$, which by hypothesis 1(c) implies $\neg\mathcal{R}'$. From $\neg\mathcal{R}'$, \mathcal{L}' , and definition of \bar{v} , we deduce $\bar{v}' = l'$.

$\langle 3 \rangle 2$. CASE: $\neg\mathcal{L}'$

PROOF: Assumption $\langle 1 \rangle$ implies $\neg\mathcal{R}'$ and $(I^r)'$. From $\neg\mathcal{R}'$ and $\neg\mathcal{L}'$ we deduce $\bar{v}' = v'$, and from $\neg\mathcal{L}' \wedge (I^r)'$ we deduce $l' = v'$.

$\langle 2 \rangle 6$. Q.E.D.

PROOF: $\langle 2 \rangle 3$, $\langle 2 \rangle 4$, and $\langle 2 \rangle 5$.

$\langle 1 \rangle 7$. $Init \wedge \Box[N^{all}]_{all} \wedge \Box I^{all} \Rightarrow \overline{Init} \wedge \Box[\overline{N^R}]_{\bar{v}}$

$\langle 2 \rangle 1$. $Init \wedge I^{all} \Rightarrow \overline{Init}$

PROOF: Assumption $\langle 1 \rangle$ implies $I^r \wedge I^l$. By hypothesis 1(a), $Init$ implies $\neg(\mathcal{R} \vee \mathcal{L})$, which by $I^r \wedge I^l$ implies $(l = v) \wedge (r = v)$, which by definition of \bar{v} implies $\bar{v} = v$, so $\overline{Init} = Init$.

$\langle 2 \rangle 2$. ASSUME: $N^{all} \wedge I^{all} \wedge (I^{all})'$

PROVE: $[\overline{N^R}]_{\bar{v}}$

$\langle 3 \rangle 1$. $\neg p$

PROOF: Assumption $\langle 2 \rangle$ implies N^{all} , which implies $(v' \neq v) \wedge N^p$, which implies $\neg p$.

$\langle 3 \rangle 2$. CASE: $E \wedge \neg R \wedge \neg L$

$\langle 4 \rangle 1$. CASE: $\neg\mathcal{R} \wedge \neg\mathcal{L}$

$\langle 5 \rangle 1$. $\neg\mathcal{R}' \wedge \neg\mathcal{L}'$

PROOF: Assumptions $\langle 3 \rangle$ and $\langle 4 \rangle$ and hypothesis 1(b) (which

implies $E \wedge \mathcal{L}' \Rightarrow \mathcal{L}$ and $E \wedge \mathcal{R}' \Rightarrow \mathcal{R}$).

$\langle 5 \rangle 2.$ $(\overline{v} = v) \wedge (\overline{v}' = v')$
 PROOF: $\langle 5 \rangle 1$, assumption $\langle 4 \rangle$, and the definition of \overline{v} .

$\langle 5 \rangle 3.$ Q.E.D.
 PROOF: $\langle 5 \rangle 2$ and case assumption $\langle 3 \rangle$ imply \overline{E} , which in turn implies $\overline{N^R}$.

$\langle 4 \rangle 2.$ CASE: \mathcal{R}
 $\langle 5 \rangle 1.$ $\exists u : (\neg \mathcal{R} \wedge R^+)(u/v) \wedge D(r/v, u/v')$
 PROOF: Assumption $\langle 2 \rangle$ implies $I^r \wedge (I^c)' \wedge N^c$. Assumption $\langle 4 \rangle$ and I^r implies $\rho(r)$. The result follows from assumption $\langle 3 \rangle$, $(I^c)' \wedge N^c$, $\rho(r)$, and $\langle 1 \rangle 1.1$.

$\langle 5 \rangle 2.$ \mathcal{R}'
 PROOF: Assumptions $\langle 3 \rangle$ and $\langle 4 \rangle$ and hypothesis 1(b).

$\langle 5 \rangle 3.$ $r' = \text{CHOOSE } u : (\neg \mathcal{R} \wedge R^+)(u/v) \wedge D(r/v, u/v')$
 PROOF: Assumption $\langle 2 \rangle$ (which implies N^r and $v' \neq v$), $\langle 5 \rangle 2$, assumption $\langle 3 \rangle$, and the definition of N^r .

$\langle 5 \rangle 4.$ $D(r/v, r'/v')$
 PROOF: $\langle 5 \rangle 1$ and $\langle 5 \rangle 3$.

$\langle 5 \rangle 5.$ $(\overline{v} = r) \wedge (\overline{v}' = r')$
 PROOF: $\langle 5 \rangle 2$, assumption $\langle 4 \rangle$, and the definition of \overline{v} .

$\langle 5 \rangle 6.$ Q.E.D.
 PROOF: $\langle 5 \rangle 4$ and $\langle 5 \rangle 5$ imply \overline{D} , which implies \overline{E} (since D implies E), which in turn implies $\overline{N^R}$.

$\langle 4 \rangle 3.$ CASE: \mathcal{L}
 $\langle 5 \rangle 1.$ \mathcal{L}'
 PROOF: Assumptions $\langle 3 \rangle$ and $\langle 4 \rangle$ and hypothesis 1(b).

$\langle 5 \rangle 2.$ $\lambda(l)'$
 PROOF: $\langle 5 \rangle 1$, assumption $\langle 2 \rangle$ (which implies $(I^l)'$), and the definition of I^l .

$\langle 5 \rangle 3.$ $\exists u : \lambda(u) \wedge D(u/v, l'/v')$
 PROOF: Assumption $\langle 2 \rangle$ (which implies $(I^c)' \wedge N^c$), $\langle 5 \rangle 2$, assumption $\langle 3 \rangle$, and $\langle 1 \rangle 1.2$.

$\langle 5 \rangle 4.$ $l = \text{CHOOSE } u : \lambda(u) \wedge D(u/v, l'/v')$
 PROOF: $\langle 3 \rangle 1$, assumption $\langle 4 \rangle$, assumption $\langle 3 \rangle$, assumption $\langle 2 \rangle$ (which implies $v \neq v'$ and N^l), and the definition of N^l .

$\langle 5 \rangle 5.$ $D(l/v, l'/v')$
 PROOF: $\langle 5 \rangle 3$ and $\langle 5 \rangle 4$.

$\langle 5 \rangle 6.$ $\neg \mathcal{R} \wedge \neg \mathcal{R}'$
 PROOF: Assumption $\langle 4 \rangle$, $\langle 5 \rangle 1$, and hypothesis 1(d).

$\langle 5 \rangle 7.$ $(\overline{v} = l) \wedge (\overline{v}' = l')$

PROOF: Assumption $\langle 4 \rangle$, $\langle 5 \rangle 1$, $\langle 5 \rangle 6$, and the definition of \bar{v} .

$\langle 5 \rangle 8$. Q.E.D.

PROOF: $\langle 5 \rangle 5$ and $\langle 5 \rangle 7$ imply \bar{D} , which implies \bar{E} (since D implies E), which in turn implies \bar{N}^R .

$\langle 4 \rangle 4$. Q.E.D.

PROOF: Immediate from $\langle 4 \rangle 1$, $\langle 4 \rangle 2$, and $\langle 4 \rangle 3$.

$\langle 3 \rangle 3$. CASE: R

$\langle 4 \rangle 1$. $r' = r$

PROOF: Assumption $\langle 2 \rangle$ implies N^r , which by assumption $\langle 3 \rangle$ (which implies \mathcal{R}') implies $r' = r$.

$\langle 4 \rangle 2$. $\bar{v}' = r'$

PROOF: Assumption $\langle 3 \rangle$ (which implies \mathcal{R}') and the definition of \bar{v} .

$\langle 4 \rangle 3$. $\neg \mathcal{L}$

PROOF: Assumption $\langle 3 \rangle$ (which implies \mathcal{R}') and hypothesis 1(c).

$\langle 4 \rangle 4$. $\bar{v} = r$

$\langle 5 \rangle 1$. CASE: \mathcal{R}

PROOF: The definition of \bar{v} implies $\bar{v} = r$.

$\langle 5 \rangle 2$. CASE: $\neg \mathcal{R}$

PROOF: By $\langle 4 \rangle 3$, the definition of \bar{v} implies $\bar{v} = v$. Assumption $\langle 2 \rangle$ implies I^r , which implies $v = r$.

$\langle 5 \rangle 3$. Q.E.D.

PROOF: Immediate from $\langle 5 \rangle 1$ and $\langle 5 \rangle 2$.

$\langle 4 \rangle 5$. Q.E.D.

PROOF: $\langle 4 \rangle 1$, $\langle 4 \rangle 2$, and $\langle 4 \rangle 4$ imply $\bar{v}' = \bar{v}$, which implies the level- $\langle 2 \rangle$ goal.

$\langle 3 \rangle 4$. CASE: L

$\langle 4 \rangle 1$. $\neg \mathcal{R}$

PROOF: Assumption $\langle 3 \rangle$ (which implies \mathcal{L}) and hypothesis 1(d).

$\langle 4 \rangle 2$. $l' = l$

PROOF: Assumption $\langle 2 \rangle$ implies N^l , which by $\langle 3 \rangle 1$ and assumption $\langle 3 \rangle$ (which implies \mathcal{L}) implies $l = l'$.

$\langle 4 \rangle 3$. $\bar{v} = l$

PROOF: $\langle 4 \rangle 1$, assumption $\langle 3 \rangle$ (which implies \mathcal{L}), and the definition of \bar{v} .

$\langle 4 \rangle 4$. $\bar{v}' = l'$

$\langle 5 \rangle 1$. $\neg \mathcal{R}'$

PROOF: Assumption $\langle 3 \rangle$ (which implies \mathcal{L}) and hypothesis 1(c).

$\langle 5 \rangle 2$. CASE: \mathcal{L}'

PROOF: $\langle 5 \rangle 1$ and the definition of \bar{v} imply $\bar{v}' = l'$.

$\langle 5 \rangle 3$. CASE: $\neg \mathcal{L}'$

PROOF: $\langle 5 \rangle 1$ and the definition of \bar{v} imply $\bar{v}' = v'$. Assumption $\langle 2 \rangle$ implies $(I^l)'$, which implies $l' = v'$, proving $\bar{v}' = l'$.

$\langle 5 \rangle 4$. Q.E.D.

PROOF: Immediate from $\langle 5 \rangle 2$ and $\langle 5 \rangle 3$.

$\langle 4 \rangle 5$. Q.E.D.

PROOF: $\langle 4 \rangle 2$, $\langle 4 \rangle 3$, and $\langle 4 \rangle 4$ imply $\bar{v}' = \bar{v}$, which implies the level- $\langle 2 \rangle$ goal.

$\langle 3 \rangle 5$. CASE: X

PROOF: Assumption $\langle 2 \rangle$ and $\langle 1 \rangle 6$ imply $\overline{M^R}$, which implies the level- $\langle 2 \rangle$ goal.

$\langle 3 \rangle 6$. Q.E.D.

PROOF: Assumption $\langle 2 \rangle$ implies N , which equals $E \vee M$, so $\langle 1 \rangle 1.4$ implies that cases $\langle 3 \rangle 2$, $\langle 3 \rangle 3$, $\langle 3 \rangle 4$, and $\langle 3 \rangle 5$ are exhaustive.

$\langle 2 \rangle 3$. $[N^{all} \wedge I^{all} \wedge (I^{all})']_{all} \Rightarrow [\overline{N^R}]_{\bar{v}}$

PROOF: $\langle 2 \rangle 2$, since the definition of \bar{v} implies $(\overline{all}') = \overline{all} \Rightarrow (\bar{v}' = \bar{v})$.

$\langle 2 \rangle 4$. Q.E.D.

PROOF: $\langle 2 \rangle 1$, $\langle 2 \rangle 3$, and the usual TLA step-simulation rule.

$\langle 1 \rangle 8$. $\Box I^{all} \Rightarrow \Box I(\bar{v}/\hat{v})$

$\langle 2 \rangle 1$. $I^r \wedge I^l \Rightarrow I(\bar{v}/\hat{v})$

$\langle 3 \rangle 1$. $I^r \wedge \mathcal{R} \Rightarrow R^+(\bar{v}/v, v/v') \wedge \neg(\mathcal{R} \vee \mathcal{L})(\bar{v}/v)$

PROOF: $I^r \wedge \mathcal{R} \Rightarrow \rho(r) \wedge \mathcal{R}$

By definition of I^r .

$$= R^+(r/v, v/v') \wedge \mathcal{R} \wedge \neg \mathcal{R}(r/v)$$

By definition of ρ .

$$\Rightarrow R^+(r/v, v/v') \wedge \neg \mathcal{L}(r/v) \wedge \neg \mathcal{R}(r/v)$$

Since $R = M \wedge \mathcal{R}'$, hypothesis 1(c) implies $\neg(\mathcal{L} \wedge R^+)$.

$$= R^+(r/v, v/v') \wedge \neg(\mathcal{R} \vee \mathcal{L})(r/v)$$

By propositional logic.

and \mathcal{R} implies $\bar{v} = r$ by definition of \bar{v} .

$\langle 3 \rangle 2$. $I^l \wedge \mathcal{L} \Rightarrow L^+(\bar{v}/v') \wedge \neg(\mathcal{R} \vee \mathcal{L})(\bar{v}/v)$

PROOF: $I^l \wedge \mathcal{L} \Rightarrow \lambda(l)$

By definition of I^l .

$$= L^+(l/v') \wedge \neg \mathcal{L}'(l/v')$$

By definition of λ .

$$\Rightarrow L^+(l/v') \wedge \neg \mathcal{R}'(l/v') \wedge \neg \mathcal{L}'(l/v')$$

Since $L = \mathcal{L} \wedge M$, hypothesis 1(c) implies $\neg(L^+ \wedge \mathcal{R}')$.

$$\Rightarrow L^+(l/v') \wedge \neg(\mathcal{R}' \vee \mathcal{L}')(l/v')$$

By propositional logic.

$$= L^+(l/v') \wedge \neg(\mathcal{R} \vee \mathcal{L})(l/v)$$

and, by hypothesis 1(d), \mathcal{L} implies $\neg\mathcal{R}$, so \mathcal{L} implies $\bar{v} = l$ by definition of \bar{v} .

$\langle 3 \rangle 3$. $\neg(\mathcal{R} \vee \mathcal{L}) \Rightarrow (\bar{v} = v)$

PROOF: By definition of \bar{v} .

$\langle 3 \rangle 4$. Q.E.D.

PROOF: Immediate from $\langle 3 \rangle 1$, $\langle 3 \rangle 2$, $\langle 3 \rangle 3$, and the definition of I .

$\langle 2 \rangle 2$. Q.E.D.

PROOF: By simple temporal reasoning from $\langle 2 \rangle 1$.

$\langle 1 \rangle 9$. $\forall i \in \mathcal{I} : Q \wedge O \wedge \Box[N^{all}]_{all} \wedge \Box I^{all} \wedge \Box \Diamond \langle A_i \rangle_v \Rightarrow \Box \Diamond \langle \overline{A_i^R} \rangle_{\bar{v}}$

LET: $T \triangleq Q \wedge O \wedge \Box[N^{all}]_{all} \wedge \Box I^{all}$

$\langle 2 \rangle 1$. $\forall i \in \mathcal{I} : T \wedge \Box \Diamond \langle B_i \rangle_v \Rightarrow \Box \Diamond \langle \overline{B_i} \rangle_{\bar{v}}$

$\langle 3 \rangle 1$. ASSUME: $(b' \in \mathcal{I}) \wedge \langle N^{all} \wedge I^{all} \wedge (I^{all})' \wedge B_{b'} \rangle_v$

PROVE: $\langle \overline{B_{b'}} \rangle_{\bar{v}}$

$\langle 4 \rangle 1$. $\neg M$

PROOF: Assumption $\langle 3 \rangle$ and hypothesis 1(e).

$\langle 4 \rangle 2$. $\neg p$

PROOF: Assumption $\langle 3 \rangle$, since N^{all} implies $(v' \neq v) \wedge N^p$ which implies $\neg p$.

$\langle 4 \rangle 3$. D

$\langle 5 \rangle 1$. E

PROOF: $\langle 4 \rangle 1$, assumption $\langle 3 \rangle$ (which implies N), and the definition of N .

$\langle 5 \rangle 2$. Q.E.D.

PROOF: $\langle 5 \rangle 1$, assumption $\langle 3 \rangle$ (which implies $B_{b'}$), and the definition of D .

$\langle 4 \rangle 4$. CASE: \mathcal{R}

$\langle 5 \rangle 1$. \mathcal{R}'

PROOF: $\langle 4 \rangle 3$, assumption $\langle 4 \rangle$ and hypothesis 1(b) (since $D \Rightarrow E$).

$\langle 5 \rangle 2$. $r' = \text{CHOOSE } u : (\neg\mathcal{R} \wedge R^+)(u/v) \wedge D(r/v, u/v')$

PROOF: $\langle 4 \rangle 1$ (which implies $\neg R$), $\langle 5 \rangle 1$, $\langle 4 \rangle 3$ (which with assumption $\langle 3 \rangle$ implies $\langle E \rangle_v$), assumption $\langle 3 \rangle$ (which implies N^r), and the definition of N^r .

$\langle 5 \rangle 3$. $\exists u : (\neg\mathcal{R} \wedge R^+)(u/v) \wedge D(r/v, u/v')$

PROOF: Assumption $\langle 3 \rangle$ (which implies $(I^c)' \wedge N^c \wedge I^r$), $\langle 4 \rangle 3$ (which implies E), assumption $\langle 4 \rangle$ (which with I^r implies $\rho(r)$), and $\langle 1 \rangle 1.1$.

$\langle 5 \rangle 4$. $D(r/v, r'/v')$

PROOF: $\langle 5 \rangle 2$ and $\langle 5 \rangle 3$.

$\langle 5 \rangle 5. \langle B_{b'}(r/v, r'/v') \rangle_r$
 By assumption $\langle 3 \rangle$ ($b' \in \mathcal{I}$) and the definition of D , $\langle 5 \rangle 4$ implies
 $(\langle B_{b'} \rangle_v)(r/v, r'/v')$.
 $\langle 5 \rangle 6. (\overline{v} = r) \wedge (\overline{v}' = r')$
 PROOF: Assumption $\langle 4 \rangle$, $\langle 5 \rangle 1$, and the definition of \overline{v} .
 $\langle 5 \rangle 7. \text{Q.E.D.}$
 PROOF: The level- $\langle 3 \rangle$ goal follows immediately from $\langle 5 \rangle 5$ and $\langle 5 \rangle 6$.
 $\langle 4 \rangle 5. \text{CASE: } \mathcal{L}$
 $\langle 5 \rangle 1. \mathcal{L}'$
 PROOF: Assumption $\langle 4 \rangle$, $\langle 4 \rangle 3$ (which implies E), and hypothesis 1(b).
 $\langle 5 \rangle 2. l = \text{CHOOSE } u : \lambda(u) \wedge D(u/v, l'/v')$
 PROOF: Assumption $\langle 3 \rangle$ implies N^l . The result then follows from $\langle 4 \rangle 2$, $\langle 4 \rangle 5$, $\langle 4 \rangle 1$ (which implies $\neg L$), $\langle 4 \rangle 3$ (which by assumption $\langle 3 \rangle$ implies $\langle E \rangle_v$), and the definition of N^l .
 $\langle 5 \rangle 3. \exists u : \lambda(u) \wedge D(u/v, l'/v')$
 PROOF: Assumption $\langle 3 \rangle$ implies $(I^c)' \wedge (I^l)'$. By $\langle 5 \rangle 1$, $(I^l)'$ implies $\lambda(l)'$. The result then follows from $\langle 4 \rangle 3$ and $\langle 1 \rangle 1.2$.
 $\langle 5 \rangle 4. D(l/v, l'/v')$
 PROOF: $\langle 5 \rangle 2$ and $\langle 5 \rangle 3$.
 $\langle 5 \rangle 5. \langle B_{b'}(l/v, l'/v') \rangle_l$
 PROOF: $\langle 5 \rangle 4$, assumption $\langle 3 \rangle$ (which asserts $b' \in \mathcal{I}$), and the definition of D imply $(\langle B_{b'} \rangle_v)(l/v, l'/v')$.
 $\langle 5 \rangle 6. (\overline{v} = l) \wedge (\overline{v}' = l')$
 PROOF: Case assumption $\langle 4 \rangle$, $\langle 5 \rangle 1$, hypothesis 1(d), and the definition of \overline{v} .
 $\langle 5 \rangle 7. \text{Q.E.D.}$
 PROOF: The level- $\langle 3 \rangle$ goal follows immediately from $\langle 5 \rangle 5$ and $\langle 5 \rangle 6$.
 $\langle 4 \rangle 6. \text{CASE: } \neg(\mathcal{R} \vee \mathcal{L})$
 $\langle 5 \rangle 1. \neg(\mathcal{R}' \vee \mathcal{L}')$
 PROOF: Assumption $\langle 4 \rangle$, $\langle 4 \rangle 3$ (which implies E), and hypothesis 1(b).
 $\langle 5 \rangle 2. (\overline{v} = v) \wedge (\overline{v}' = v')$
 PROOF: Case assumption $\langle 4 \rangle$, $\langle 5 \rangle 1$, and the definition of \overline{v} .
 $\langle 5 \rangle 3. \text{Q.E.D.}$
 PROOF: Assumption $\langle 3 \rangle$, which implies $\langle B_{b'} \rangle_v$, and $\langle 5 \rangle 2$ imply the level- $\langle 3 \rangle$ goal.
 $\langle 4 \rangle 7. \text{Q.E.D.}$

PROOF: Immediate from $\langle 4 \rangle 4$, $\langle 4 \rangle 5$, and $\langle 4 \rangle 6$.

$\langle 3 \rangle 2$. ASSUME: $i \in \mathcal{I}$

PROVE: $T \wedge \Box \Diamond \langle (i = b') \wedge B_{b'} \rangle_v \Rightarrow \Box \Diamond \langle \overline{B_i} \rangle_{\overline{v}}$

$\langle 4 \rangle 1$. $\Box[N^{all}]_{all} \wedge \Box I^{all} \wedge \Box \Diamond \langle (i = b') \wedge B_{b'} \rangle_v$
 $\Rightarrow \Box \Diamond \langle N^{all} \wedge I^{all} \wedge (I^{all})' \wedge (i = b') \wedge B_{b'} \rangle_v$

PROOF: Since $(all' = all)$ implies $(v' = v)$, this follows easily from the following three TLA proof rules:

1. $\frac{[A]_f \Rightarrow [B]_g}{\Box[A]_f \Rightarrow \Box[B]_g}$
2. $\Box[A]_f \wedge \Box \mathcal{R} \Rightarrow \Box[A \wedge \mathcal{R} \wedge \mathcal{R}']_f$
3. $\Box[A]_f \wedge \Box \Diamond \langle B \rangle_f \Rightarrow \Box \Diamond \langle A \wedge B \rangle_f$

$\langle 4 \rangle 2$. Q.E.D.

PROOF: By $\langle 4 \rangle 1$, assumption $\langle 3 \rangle$, and $\langle 3 \rangle 1$, using the TLA rule

$$\frac{A \Rightarrow B}{\Box \Diamond \langle A \rangle_f \Rightarrow \Box \Diamond \langle B \rangle_f}$$

$\langle 3 \rangle 3$. ASSUME: $i \in \mathcal{I}$

PROVE: $T \wedge \Box \Diamond \langle B_i \rangle_v \Rightarrow \Box \Diamond \langle (i = b') \wedge B_{b'} \rangle_v$

$\langle 4 \rangle 1$. $T \wedge \Box \Diamond \langle B_i \rangle_v \Rightarrow \Box \Diamond \langle E \wedge B_i \rangle_v$

PROOF:

$$\begin{aligned} & T \wedge \Box \Diamond \langle B_i \rangle_v \\ & \Rightarrow \Box[N]_v \wedge \Box \Diamond \langle B_i \rangle_v && \text{Definition of } T \\ & \Rightarrow \Box \Diamond \langle N \wedge B_i \rangle_v && \text{TLA reasoning.} \\ & \Rightarrow \Box \Diamond \langle E \wedge B_i \rangle_v \end{aligned}$$

the last step following from hypothesis 1(e) and assumption $\langle 3 \rangle$,

which imply $N \wedge B_i \equiv E \wedge B_i$.

$\langle 4 \rangle 2$. $T \wedge \Box \Diamond \langle E \wedge B_i \rangle_v \Rightarrow \vee \Box \Diamond \langle (i = b') \wedge E \wedge B_{b'} \rangle_v$
 $\vee \wedge \Box \Diamond \langle E \wedge B_i \wedge (i \neq b') \rangle_{\langle v, b, c \rangle}$
 $\wedge \Diamond \Box [E \wedge B_i \Rightarrow (i \neq b')]_{\langle v, b, c \rangle}$

$\langle 5 \rangle 1$. $\Box \Diamond \langle E \wedge B_i \rangle_v \Rightarrow \vee \Box \Diamond \langle (i = b') \wedge E \wedge B_{b'} \rangle_v$
 $\vee \wedge \Box \Diamond \langle E \wedge B_i \wedge (i \neq b') \rangle_v$
 $\wedge \Diamond \Box [E \wedge B_i \Rightarrow (i \neq b')]_v$

PROOF: For any action A and predicate q , we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \Box \Diamond \langle A \rangle_v \\ & \equiv \wedge \Box \Diamond \langle A \rangle_v && \Box \Diamond F \vee \Diamond \Box \neg F, \text{ for any } F \\ & \quad \wedge \Box \Diamond \langle A \wedge q \rangle_v \vee \Diamond \Box [\neg A \vee \neg q]_v \\ & \Rightarrow \vee \Box \Diamond \langle A \wedge q \rangle_v && \text{Propositional logic.} \\ & \quad \vee \Diamond \Box [\neg A \vee \neg q]_v \wedge \Box \Diamond \langle A \rangle_v \\ & \Rightarrow \vee \Box \Diamond \langle A \wedge q \rangle_v && \Diamond \Box [B]_v \wedge \Box \Diamond \langle C \rangle_v \Rightarrow \\ & \quad \vee \Diamond \Box [\neg A \vee \neg q]_v \wedge \Box \Diamond \langle A \wedge \neg q \rangle_v && \Box \Diamond \langle B \wedge C \rangle_v \text{ for any } B, C. \end{aligned}$$

⟨5⟩2. $T \Rightarrow$

$$\begin{aligned} \wedge \square \diamond \langle (i = b') \wedge E \wedge B_{b'} \rangle_v &\equiv \square \diamond \langle (i = b') \wedge E \wedge B_{b'} \rangle_{\langle v, b, c \rangle} \\ \wedge \diamond \square [E \wedge B_i \Rightarrow (i \neq b')]_v &\equiv \diamond \square [E \wedge B_i \Rightarrow (i \neq b')]_{\langle v, b, c \rangle} \end{aligned}$$

⟨6⟩1. $N^c \wedge (v' = v) \Rightarrow (\langle v, b, c \rangle' = \langle v, b, c \rangle)$

PROOF: By definition of N^c .

⟨6⟩2. For any action A ,

$$\begin{aligned} \square [N^c]_{\langle v, b, c \rangle} \Rightarrow \wedge \diamond \square [A]_v &\equiv \diamond \square [A]_{\langle v, b, c \rangle} \\ \wedge \square \diamond [A]_v &\equiv \square \diamond [A]_{\langle v, b, c \rangle} \end{aligned}$$

PROOF: By ⟨6⟩1, using the follow rules, among others

$$\frac{[A]_f \wedge [B]_g \Rightarrow [C]_h}{\square [A]_f \wedge \square [B]_g \Rightarrow \square [C]_h} \quad \frac{[A]_f \wedge \langle B \rangle_g \Rightarrow \langle C \rangle_h}{\square [A]_f \wedge \diamond [B]_g \Rightarrow \diamond \langle C \rangle_h}$$

⟨6⟩3. Q.E.D.

PROOF: By ⟨6⟩2, since T implies $\square [N^c]_{\langle v, b, c \rangle}$

⟨5⟩3. Q.E.D.

PROOF: Immediate from ⟨5⟩1 and ⟨5⟩2

⟨4⟩3. $T \Rightarrow \neg (\wedge \square \diamond \langle E \wedge B_i \wedge (i \neq b') \rangle_{\langle v, b, c \rangle})$

$$\wedge \diamond \square [(E \wedge B_i) \Rightarrow (i \neq b')]_{\langle v, b, c \rangle}$$

⟨5⟩1. $I^c \wedge N^c \wedge E \wedge B_i \wedge (i \neq b') \Rightarrow Pos(i)' < Pos(i)$

PROOF: $I^c \wedge N^c \wedge E \wedge B_i$ imply $b' \in \mathcal{I}$. From $b' \in \mathcal{I}$, $i \in \mathcal{I}$ (assumption ⟨3⟩), $E \wedge B_i$, and N^c , we deduce $Pos(b') < Pos(i)$, which by N^c implies $c'[Pos(i) - 1] = i$. By definition of Pos , this implies $Pos(i)' < Pos(i)$.

⟨5⟩2. $\square I^c \wedge \square [N^c]_{\langle v, b, c \rangle} \wedge \square [(E \wedge B_i) \Rightarrow (i \neq b')]_{\langle v, b, c \rangle}$
 $\Rightarrow \square [Pos(i)' \leq Pos(i)]_{\langle v, b, c \rangle}$

⟨6⟩1. $I^c \wedge N^c \wedge \neg (E \wedge B_i) \Rightarrow Pos(i)' \leq Pos(i)$

⟨7⟩1. CASE: $E \wedge \exists j \in \mathcal{I} : B_j$

PROOF: In this case, I^c and N^c imply $c'[Pos(i)] = i$ or $c'[Pos(i) - 1] = i$, either case implying $Pos(i)' \leq Pos(i)$.

⟨7⟩2. CASE: $\neg (E \wedge \exists j \in \mathcal{I} : B_j)$

PROOF: In this case, $c' = c$, so $Pos(i)' = Pos(i)$.

⟨7⟩3. Q.E.D.

PROOF: Immediate from ⟨7⟩1 and ⟨7⟩2.

⟨6⟩2. $I^c \wedge [N^c]_{\langle v, b, c \rangle} \wedge [(E \wedge B_i) \Rightarrow (i \neq b')]_{\langle v, b, c \rangle}$
 $\Rightarrow [Pos(i)' \leq Pos(i)]_{\langle v, b, c \rangle}$

PROOF: ⟨5⟩1, ⟨6⟩1, and propositional logic.

⟨6⟩3. Q.E.D.

PROOF: By ⟨6⟩2 and the TLA rules

$$\frac{I \wedge I' \wedge [A]_f \Rightarrow [B]_g}{\square I \wedge \square [A]_f \Rightarrow \square [B]_g} \quad \frac{[A]_f \wedge [B]_g \equiv [C]_h}{\square [A]_f \wedge \square [B]_g \equiv \square [C]_h}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \langle 5 \rangle 3. \quad & \Box I^c \wedge \Box [N^c]_{\langle v, b, c \rangle} \wedge \Box \Diamond \langle E \wedge B_i \wedge (i \neq b') \rangle_{\langle v, b, c \rangle} \\ & \Rightarrow \Box \Diamond \langle Pos(i)' < Pos(i) \rangle_{\langle v, b, c \rangle} \end{aligned}$$

PROOF: By $\langle 5 \rangle 1$, the TLA rules

$$\frac{I \wedge [A]_f \wedge \langle B \rangle_g \Rightarrow \langle C \rangle_h}{\Box I \wedge \Box [A]_f \wedge \Diamond \langle B \rangle_g \Rightarrow \Diamond \langle C \rangle_h} \quad \frac{F \Rightarrow G}{\Box F \Rightarrow \Box G}$$

and the rule that \Box distributes over \wedge .

$\langle 5 \rangle 4$. Q.E.D.

$\langle 6 \rangle 1$. $\wedge T$

$$\begin{aligned} & \wedge \Box \Diamond \langle E \wedge B_i \wedge (i \neq b') \rangle_{\langle v, b, c \rangle} \\ & \wedge \Diamond \Box [(E \wedge B_i) \Rightarrow (i \neq b')]_{\langle v, b, c \rangle} \\ & \Rightarrow \wedge \Box [Pos(i)' \leq Pos(i)]_{\langle v, b, c \rangle} \\ & \wedge \Box \Diamond \langle Pos(i)' < Pos(i) \rangle_{\langle v, b, c \rangle} \end{aligned}$$

PROOF: $\langle 5 \rangle 2$ and $\langle 5 \rangle 3$

$\langle 6 \rangle 2$. Q.E.D.

PROOF: the formula

$$\begin{aligned} & \wedge \Box (Pos(i) \in Nat) \\ & \wedge \Box [Pos(i)' \leq Pos(i)]_{\langle v, b, c \rangle} \\ & \wedge \Box \Diamond \langle Pos(i)' < Pos(i) \rangle_{\langle v, b, c \rangle} \end{aligned}$$

asserts that $Pos(i)$ is decremented infinitely many times and remains a natural number, which is impossible. Since T implies I^c , which implies $\Box (Pos(i) \in Nat)$, $\langle 6 \rangle 1$ implies the level- $\langle 4 \rangle$ goal.

$\langle 4 \rangle 4$. Q.E.D.

PROOF: By propositional logic from $\langle 4 \rangle 1$, $\langle 4 \rangle 2$, and $\langle 4 \rangle 3$.

$\langle 3 \rangle 4$. Q.E.D.

PROOF: By $\langle 3 \rangle 2$ and $\langle 3 \rangle 3$.

$$\langle 2 \rangle 2. \quad (\exists i \in \mathcal{I} : \Delta_i) \wedge T \wedge \Box \Diamond \langle M \rangle_v \Rightarrow \Box \Diamond \langle \overline{M^R} \rangle_{\overline{v}}$$

$$\langle 3 \rangle 1. \quad T \wedge \Box \Diamond \langle X \rangle_v \Rightarrow \Box \Diamond \langle \overline{M^R} \rangle_{\overline{v}}$$

PROOF: From the general rule

$$\Box I \wedge \Box [A]_v \wedge \Box \Diamond \langle B \rangle_v \Rightarrow \Box \Diamond \langle I \wedge I' \wedge A \wedge B \rangle_v$$

and $\Box [N^{all}]_{all} \Rightarrow \Box [N^{all}]_v$ (which follows from $[N^{all}]_{all} \Rightarrow [N^{all}]_v$), we deduce that $T \wedge \Box \Diamond \langle X \rangle_v$ implies $\Box \Diamond \langle N^{all} \wedge I^{all} \wedge (I^{all})' \wedge X \rangle_v$.

The result then follows from $\langle 1 \rangle 6$.

$$\langle 3 \rangle 2. \quad (\exists i \in \mathcal{I} : \Delta_i) \wedge T \wedge \Box \Diamond \langle R \rangle_v \Rightarrow \Box \Diamond \langle \overline{M^R} \rangle_{\overline{v}}$$

$$\langle 4 \rangle 1. \quad (\exists i \in \mathcal{I} : \Delta_i) \wedge T \wedge \Box \Diamond \langle R \rangle_v \Rightarrow \Box \Diamond \neg \mathcal{R}$$

PROOF: By definition of O (which is implied by T).

$$\langle 4 \rangle 2. \quad \Box [N]_v \wedge \Box \Diamond \langle R \rangle_v \wedge \Box \Diamond \neg \mathcal{R} \Rightarrow \Box \Diamond \langle X \rangle_v$$

$$\langle 5 \rangle 1. \quad \Box \Diamond \langle R \rangle_v \wedge \Box \Diamond \neg \mathcal{R} \Rightarrow \Box \Diamond \langle \mathcal{R} \wedge \neg \mathcal{R}' \rangle_v$$

PROOF: Since R implies \mathcal{R}' , we infer that $\Box \Diamond \langle R \rangle_v$ implies $\Box \Diamond \mathcal{R}$,

and the result follows from the general rule

$$\Box\Diamond P \wedge \Box\Diamond\neg P \Rightarrow \Box\Diamond\langle P \wedge \neg P' \rangle_P$$

plus the observation that $\Box\Diamond\langle \mathcal{R} \wedge \neg\mathcal{R}' \rangle_{\mathcal{R}}$ implies $\Box\Diamond\langle \mathcal{R} \wedge \neg\mathcal{R}' \rangle_v$ because $\mathcal{R}' \neq \mathcal{R}$ implies $v' \neq v$ (because v contains all the variables that occur free in \mathcal{R}).

$$\langle 5 \rangle 2. \Box[N]_v \wedge \Box\Diamond\langle \mathcal{R} \wedge \neg\mathcal{R}' \rangle_v \Rightarrow \Box\Diamond\langle X \rangle_v$$

$$\langle 6 \rangle 1. N \wedge \mathcal{R} \wedge \neg\mathcal{R}' \Rightarrow X$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{PROOF: } N \wedge \mathcal{R} \wedge \neg\mathcal{R}' &\equiv (M \vee E) \wedge \mathcal{R} \wedge \neg\mathcal{R}' && \text{Definition of } N. \\ &\equiv M \wedge \mathcal{R} \wedge \neg\mathcal{R}' && \text{Hypothesis 1(b).} \\ &\Rightarrow M \wedge \neg\mathcal{L} \wedge \neg\mathcal{R}' && \text{Hypothesis 1(d).} \\ &= X && \text{Definition of } X \end{aligned}$$

$$\langle 6 \rangle 2. \text{ Q.E.D.}$$

PROOF: From $\langle 6 \rangle 1$ by the general rule

$$\frac{[N]_v \wedge \langle A \rangle_v \Rightarrow \langle B \rangle_v}{\Box[N]_v \wedge \Box\Diamond\langle A \rangle_v \Rightarrow \Box\Diamond\langle B \rangle_v}$$

$$\langle 5 \rangle 3. \text{ Q.E.D.}$$

PROOF: By propositional logic from $\langle 5 \rangle 1$ and $\langle 5 \rangle 2$.

$$\langle 4 \rangle 3. \text{ Q.E.D.}$$

PROOF: By propositional logic from $\langle 4 \rangle 1$, $\langle 4 \rangle 2$, and $\langle 3 \rangle 1$, since T implies $\Box[N^{all}]_{all}$ which implies $\Box[N]_v$.

$$\langle 3 \rangle 3. T \wedge \Box\Diamond\langle L \rangle_v \Rightarrow \Box\Diamond\langle \overline{M^R} \rangle_{\overline{v}}$$

$$\langle 4 \rangle 1. T \wedge \Box\Diamond\langle L \rangle_v \Rightarrow \Box\Diamond(\neg\mathcal{L})$$

PROOF: By definition of Q (which is implied by T), since $\Box\Diamond\langle L \rangle_v \Rightarrow \Box\Diamond\langle \text{TRUE} \rangle_v = \Box\neg\Box[\text{FALSE}]_v = \neg\Diamond\Box[\text{FALSE}]_v$.

$$\langle 4 \rangle 2. (\neg\mathcal{L}) \wedge \Box[N \wedge \neg X]_v \Rightarrow \Box(\neg\mathcal{L})$$

$$\langle 5 \rangle 1. \neg\mathcal{L} \wedge [N \wedge \neg X]_v \Rightarrow \neg\mathcal{L}'$$

$$\langle 6 \rangle 1. \neg\mathcal{L} \wedge E \Rightarrow \neg\mathcal{L}'$$

PROOF: Hypothesis 1(b).

$$\langle 6 \rangle 2. \neg\mathcal{L} \wedge R \Rightarrow \neg\mathcal{L}'$$

PROOF: By definition of R (which implies \mathcal{R}') and hypothesis 1(d).

$$\langle 6 \rangle 3. \neg\mathcal{L} \wedge L \Rightarrow \neg\mathcal{L}'$$

PROOF: By definition of L (which implies \mathcal{L}).

$$\langle 6 \rangle 4. \neg\mathcal{L} \wedge (v' = v) \Rightarrow \neg\mathcal{L}'$$

PROOF: By the hypothesis that the tuple v contains all the free variables of \mathcal{L} .

$$\langle 6 \rangle 5. \text{ Q.E.D.}$$

PROOF: By $\langle 6 \rangle 1$, $\langle 6 \rangle 2$, $\langle 6 \rangle 3$, $\langle 6 \rangle 4$, since $\langle 1 \rangle 1.4$ and the definition of N imply that $N \wedge \neg X$ equals $E \vee R \vee L$.

$\langle 5 \rangle 2$. Q.E.D.

PROOF: By $\langle 5 \rangle 1$ and the standard TLA invariance rule.

$\langle 4 \rangle 3$. $\Box \Diamond \langle L \rangle_v \wedge \Box \Diamond \neg \mathcal{L} \Rightarrow \Box \Diamond \langle \neg N \vee X \rangle_v$

$\langle 5 \rangle 1$. $\Diamond \mathcal{L} \Rightarrow \Diamond \langle \neg N \vee X \rangle_v \vee \mathcal{L}$

PROOF: By $\langle 4 \rangle 2$, since $\neg \Box [N \wedge \neg X]_v$ is equivalent to $\Diamond \langle \neg N \vee X \rangle_v$.

$\langle 5 \rangle 2$. $\Box \Diamond \mathcal{L} \Rightarrow \Box \Diamond \langle \neg N \vee X \rangle_v \vee \Box \Diamond \mathcal{L}$

PROOF: By $\langle 5 \rangle 1$ and the proof rules

$$\frac{F \Rightarrow G \quad \Box(\Diamond F \vee G) \Rightarrow \Box \Diamond F \vee \Box \Diamond G}{\Box F \Rightarrow \Box G}$$

$\langle 5 \rangle 3$. Q.E.D.

PROOF:

$$\Box \Diamond \langle L \rangle_v \wedge \Box \Diamond \neg \mathcal{L}$$

$$\Rightarrow \Box \Diamond \mathcal{L} \wedge \Box \Diamond \neg \mathcal{L}$$

Since $L \Rightarrow \mathcal{L}$.

$$\Rightarrow (\Box \Diamond \langle \neg N \vee X \rangle_v \vee \Box \Diamond \mathcal{L}) \wedge \Box \Diamond \neg \mathcal{L}$$

By $\langle 5 \rangle 2$.

$$\Rightarrow \Box \Diamond \langle \neg N \vee X \rangle_v$$

Since $\Box \Diamond \neg \mathcal{L} \equiv \neg \Box \mathcal{L}$.

$\langle 4 \rangle 4$. $T \wedge \Box \Diamond \langle L \rangle_v \Rightarrow \Box \Diamond \langle X \rangle_v$

$\langle 5 \rangle 1$. $T \wedge \Box \Diamond \langle L \rangle_v \Rightarrow \Box \Diamond \langle \neg N \vee X \rangle_v$

PROOF: $\langle 4 \rangle 1$ and $\langle 4 \rangle 3$.

$\langle 5 \rangle 2$. $\Box [N]_v \wedge \Box \Diamond \langle \neg N \vee X \rangle_v \Rightarrow \Box \Diamond \langle X \rangle_v$

PROOF: By the TLA rule $\Box [A]_v \wedge \Diamond \langle B \rangle_v \Rightarrow \Diamond \langle A \wedge B \rangle_v$.

$\langle 5 \rangle 3$. Q.E.D.

PROOF: $\langle 5 \rangle 1$ and $\langle 5 \rangle 2$, since T implies $\Box [N]_v$.

$\langle 4 \rangle 5$. Q.E.D.

PROOF: $\langle 4 \rangle 4$ and $\langle 3 \rangle 1$.

$\langle 3 \rangle 4$. Q.E.D.

PROOF: $\langle 3 \rangle 1$, $\langle 3 \rangle 2$, $\langle 3 \rangle 3$, and $\langle 1 \rangle 1.4$, since $\Box \Diamond$ distributes over disjunction.

$\langle 2 \rangle 3$. Q.E.D.

PROOF: $\langle 2 \rangle 1$ and $\langle 2 \rangle 2$ and definition of A_i , since $\Delta_i \wedge \Box \Diamond \langle M \rangle_v$ equals $\Box \Diamond \langle \Delta_i \wedge M \rangle_v$ (because Δ_i is a constant), and $\Box \Diamond (F \vee G)$ is equivalent to $(\Box \Diamond F) \vee (\Box \Diamond G)$ for any temporal formulas F and G .

$\langle 1 \rangle 10$. Q.E.D.

$\langle 2 \rangle 1$. $S \wedge H^c \wedge \Box I^c \wedge H^r \wedge \Box I^r \wedge P^p \wedge P^l \Rightarrow \Box I^{all} \wedge \Box [N^{all}]_{all}$

$\langle 3 \rangle 1$. $(v' = v) \wedge I^r \wedge I^l \wedge (I^l)' \wedge N^c \wedge N^r \wedge N^p \wedge N^l \Rightarrow (all' = all)$

$\langle 4 \rangle 1$. $(v' = v) \wedge N^c \Rightarrow \langle b, c \rangle' = \langle b, c \rangle$

PROOF: By definition of N^c .

$\langle 4 \rangle 2$. $I^r \wedge (v' = v) \wedge N^r \Rightarrow (r' = r)$

PROOF: Follows from the definitions of I^r and N^r , and the hypothesis that the free variables of \mathcal{R} are included in the tuple of

variables v , which implies $(v' = v) \Rightarrow (\mathcal{R}' = \mathcal{R})$.

$\langle 4 \rangle 3$. $(v' = v) \wedge N^p \Rightarrow (p' = p)$

PROOF: Immediate from the definition of N^p .

$\langle 4 \rangle 4$. $(v' = v) \wedge N^p \wedge I^l \wedge (I^l)' \wedge N^l \Rightarrow (l' = l)$

$\langle 5 \rangle 1$. CASE: p

$\langle 6 \rangle 1$. $I^l \Rightarrow (l = l_{final})$

PROOF: Assumption $\langle 5 \rangle$ and definition of I^l .

$\langle 6 \rangle 2$. $(v' = v) \wedge N^p \Rightarrow p'$

PROOF: Assumption $\langle 5 \rangle$ and definition of N^p .

$\langle 6 \rangle 3$. $(I^l)' \wedge p' \Rightarrow (l' = l'_{final})$

PROOF: By definition of I^l .

$\langle 6 \rangle 4$. $(v = v') \Rightarrow (l'_{final} = l_{final})$

PROOF: By definition of l_{final} , since, for any constant tuple u , v are the only free variables of $\lambda(u)$.

$\langle 6 \rangle 5$. Q.E.D.

PROOF: The level- $\langle 4 \rangle$ goal follows from $\langle 6 \rangle 1$, $\langle 6 \rangle 2$, $\langle 6 \rangle 3$, and $\langle 6 \rangle 4$.

$\langle 5 \rangle 2$. CASE: $\neg p$

$\langle 6 \rangle 1$. $N^p \Rightarrow \neg p'$

PROOF: Assumption $\langle 5 \rangle$ and the definition of N^p .

$\langle 6 \rangle 2$. CASE: $\neg \mathcal{L}$

PROOF: In this case, $(v' = v)$ implies $\neg \mathcal{L}'$, so by $\langle 6 \rangle 1$, $I^l \wedge (I^l)' \wedge N^p \wedge (v' = v)$ implies $l = v = v' = l'$.

$\langle 6 \rangle 3$. CASE: \mathcal{L}

PROOF: In this case, assumption $\langle 5 \rangle$ implies $(v' = v) \wedge N^l \Rightarrow (l = l')$.

$\langle 6 \rangle 4$. Q.E.D.

PROOF: Cases $\langle 6 \rangle 2$ and $\langle 6 \rangle 3$ are exhaustive.

$\langle 5 \rangle 3$. Q.E.D.

PROOF: By $\langle 5 \rangle 1$ and $\langle 5 \rangle 2$.

$\langle 4 \rangle 5$. Q.E.D.

PROOF: By $\langle 4 \rangle 1$, $\langle 4 \rangle 2$, $\langle 4 \rangle 3$, $\langle 4 \rangle 4$, and the definition of all .

$\langle 3 \rangle 2$. $\square[N]_v \wedge \square I^r \wedge \square I^l \wedge \square[N^c]_{\langle v, b, c \rangle} \wedge \square[N^r \wedge (v' \neq v)]_{\langle v, r \rangle}$
 $\wedge \square[N^p]_{\langle v, p \rangle} \wedge \square[N^l \wedge (\langle p, v \rangle' \neq \langle p, v \rangle)]_{\langle v, b, c, p, l \rangle} \Rightarrow \square[N^{all}]_{all}$

PROOF: By the definition of N^{all} , $\langle 3 \rangle 1$, repeated application of the rule

$$\frac{\wedge (g = g') \wedge A \Rightarrow (f = f') \quad \wedge (f = f') \wedge B \Rightarrow (g = g')}{[A]_f \wedge [B]_g \equiv [A \wedge B]_{\langle f, g \rangle}}$$

and the usual TLA rules

$$\square I \wedge \square[A]_f \Rightarrow \square[I \wedge I' \wedge A]_f \quad \frac{[A]_f \wedge [B]_g \Rightarrow [C]_h}{\square[A]_f \wedge \square[B]_g \Rightarrow \square[C]_h}$$

$\langle 3 \rangle 3$. Q.E.D.

PROOF: Follows easily from $\langle 3 \rangle 2$, $\langle 1 \rangle 2$, the definitions, and the rule that \square distributes over \wedge .

$$\begin{aligned} \langle 2 \rangle 2. \quad & S \wedge Q \wedge O \wedge H^c \wedge \square I^c \wedge H^r \wedge \square I^r \wedge P^p \wedge P^l \\ & \Rightarrow \overline{S^R} \wedge \square I(\overline{v}/\widehat{v}) \wedge (\forall i \in \mathcal{I} : \square \Diamond \langle A_i \rangle_v \Rightarrow \square \Diamond \langle \overline{A_i^R} \rangle_{\overline{v}}) \end{aligned}$$

PROOF: $\langle 2 \rangle 1$, $\langle 1 \rangle 7$, $\langle 1 \rangle 8$, $\langle 1 \rangle 9$, and the definition of S^R .

$$\begin{aligned} \langle 2 \rangle 3. \quad & S \wedge Q \wedge O \wedge H^c \wedge \square I^c \wedge H^r \wedge \square I^r \wedge P^p \wedge P^l \\ & \Rightarrow \exists \widehat{v} : \widehat{S^R} \wedge \square I \wedge (\forall i \in \mathcal{I} : \square \Diamond \langle A_i \rangle_v \Rightarrow \square \Diamond \langle \widehat{A_i^R} \rangle_{\widehat{v}}) \end{aligned}$$

PROOF: $\langle 2 \rangle 2$ and (temporal) predicate logic.

$$\begin{aligned} \langle 2 \rangle 4. \quad & S \wedge Q \wedge O \wedge (\exists b, c, r, p, l : H^c \wedge \square I^c \wedge H^r \wedge \square I^r \wedge P^p \wedge P^l) \\ & \Rightarrow (\exists \widehat{v} : \widehat{S^R} \wedge \square I \wedge (\forall i \in \mathcal{I} : \square \Diamond \langle A_i \rangle_v \Rightarrow \square \Diamond \langle \widehat{A_i^R} \rangle_{\widehat{v}})) \end{aligned}$$

PROOF: $\langle 2 \rangle 3$ and (temporal) predicate logic, since b , c , r , p , and l do not occur free in S , Q , O , or

$$\begin{aligned} & \exists \widehat{v} : \widehat{S^R} \wedge \square I \wedge (\forall i \in \mathcal{I} : \square \Diamond \langle A_i \rangle_v \Rightarrow \square \Diamond \langle \widehat{A_i^R} \rangle_{\widehat{v}}) \\ \langle 2 \rangle 5. \quad & S \wedge Q \Rightarrow (\exists b, c, r, p, l : H^c \wedge \square I^c \wedge H^r \wedge \square I^r \wedge P^p \wedge P^l) \end{aligned}$$

$$\langle 3 \rangle 1. \quad H^c \wedge \square I^c \wedge S \Rightarrow \exists r : H^c \wedge \square I^c \wedge H^r \wedge \square I^r$$

PROOF: By $\langle 1 \rangle 4$, since r does not occur free in H^c and I^c .

$$\langle 3 \rangle 2. \quad H^c \wedge \square I^c \wedge S \wedge Q \Rightarrow \exists p, l : P^p \wedge P^l$$

PROOF: $\langle 1 \rangle 5$.

$$\langle 3 \rangle 3. \quad H^c \wedge \square I^c \wedge S \wedge Q \Rightarrow \exists r, p, l : H^c \wedge \square I^c \wedge H^r \wedge \square I^r \wedge P^p \wedge P^l$$

PROOF: $\langle 3 \rangle 1$ and $\langle 3 \rangle 2$, since r does not occur free in P^p or P^l , and p and l do not occur free in H^c , $\square I^c$, H^r , or $\square I^r$. (We are using the rule that if x does not occur free in F , then $(\exists x : F \wedge G) \equiv F \wedge (\exists x : G)$.)

$$\langle 3 \rangle 4. \quad S \wedge Q \wedge (\exists b, c : H^c \wedge \square I^c) \Rightarrow \exists b, c, r, p, l : H^c \wedge \square I^c \wedge H^r \wedge \square I^r \wedge P^p \wedge P^l$$

PROOF: By $\langle 3 \rangle 3$, since b and c do not occur free in S or Q . (We are using the rule that if x does not occur free in F , then $(\exists x : F \wedge G) \equiv F \wedge (\exists x : G)$.)

$\langle 3 \rangle 5$. Q.E.D.

PROOF: By $\langle 3 \rangle 4$ and $\langle 1 \rangle 5$.

$\langle 2 \rangle 6$. Q.E.D.

PROOF: $\langle 2 \rangle 4$ and $\langle 2 \rangle 5$.