

MODULE *SendSeqUndoP*

This module adds a prophecy variable  $p$  to specification  $SpecU$  of module *SendSeqUndo* to obtain the specification  $SpecUP$ . It then asserts that  $SpecUP$  implements specification  $Spec$  of module *SendSeq* under a suitable refinement mapping, which implies that  $SpecU$  implements  $\exists y : Spec$ .

EXTENDS *SendSeqUndo*

Our definitions make use of the operators defined in module *Prophecy*. You should read that module to understand the meanings of those operators. We begin by defining the set  $Pi$  of possible individual predictions and the domain  $Dom$  of  $p$ , where  $p[d]$  makes a prediction associated with  $d$ . In this case,  $d$  is the domain of  $y$  (which equals  $1 \dots Len(y)$ ), and  $p[d]$  predicts whether element number  $d$  of  $y$  is either sent or undone (removed from  $y$  by an *Undo* step).

$Pi \triangleq \{ \text{"send"}, \text{"undo"} \}$   
 $Dom \triangleq \text{DOMAIN } y$

INSTANCE *Prophecy* WITH  $Pi \leftarrow \{ \text{"send"}, \text{"undo"} \}$ ,  $DomPrime \leftarrow Dom'$

Adding the prophecy variable requires replacing each subaction  $A$  of a disjunctive representation with an action  $Ap$ . We use the disjunctive representation with subactions *Choose*, *Send*, *Rcv*, and *Undo(i)*. Each action  $Ap$  is defined by defining:

- An operator  $PredA$ , where  $PredA(p)$  is the prediction that the value of  $p$  is making about action  $A$ .
- $PredDomA$ , the subset of  $Dom$  consisting of the elements  $d$  for which  $p[d]$  is used in the prediction  $PredA(p)$ .
- $DomInjA$ , an injection from a subset of  $Dom$  to  $Dom'$  describing the correspondence between predictions made by  $p$  and those made by  $p'$ . For the prophecy variable we are defining,  $DomInjA$  specifies the obvious correspondence between the elements of the sequences  $y$  and  $y'$ .

These definitions for each subaction  $A$  follow. For example,  $PredDomChoose$  is  $PredDomA$  for  $A$  the *Choose* action.

$PredDomChoose \triangleq \{ \}$   
 $DomInjChoose \triangleq [i \in Dom \mapsto i]$   
 $PredChoose(p) \triangleq \text{TRUE}$

$PredDomSend \triangleq \{1\}$   
 $DomInjSend \triangleq [i \in 2 \dots Len(y) \mapsto i - 1]$   
 $PredSend(p) \triangleq p[1] = \text{"send"}$

$PredDomRcv \triangleq \{ \}$   
 $DomInjRcv \triangleq [d \in Dom \mapsto d]$   
 $PredRcv(p) \triangleq \text{TRUE}$

$PredDomUndo(i) \triangleq \{i\}$   
 $DomInjUndo(i) \triangleq [j \in 1 \dots Len(y) \setminus \{i\} \mapsto \text{IF } j < i \text{ THEN } j \text{ ELSE } j - 1]$   
 $PredUndo(p, i) \triangleq p[i] = \text{"undo"}$

The following theorem asserts the action requirements described in Section 4.5 of "Auxiliary Variables in TLA+", which must be satisfied to ensure that  $\exists p : SpecUP$  is equivalent to  $SpecU$ .

$Condition \triangleq \wedge ProphCondition(Choose, DomInjChoose, PredDomChoose,$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \text{PredChoose}) \\
& \wedge \text{ProphCondition}(\text{Send}, \text{DomInjSend}, \text{PredDomSend}, \text{PredSend}) \\
& \wedge \text{ProphCondition}(\text{Rcv}, \text{DomInjRcv}, \text{PredDomRcv}, \text{PredRcv}) \\
& \wedge \forall i \in \text{Dom} : \\
& \quad \text{ProphCondition}(\text{Undo}(i), \text{DomInjUndo}(i), \text{PredDomUndo}(i), \\
& \quad \quad \text{LAMBDA } p : \text{PredUndo}(p, i))
\end{aligned}$$

THEOREM  $\text{Spec}U \Rightarrow \Box[\text{Condition}]_{\text{vars}}$

Temporarily end the module here to use *TLC* to check the theorem.

---


$$\begin{aligned}
& \text{VARIABLE } p \\
& \text{vars}P \triangleq \langle \text{vars}, p \rangle \\
& \text{TypeOK}P \triangleq \text{TypeOK} \wedge (p \in [\text{Dom} \rightarrow \text{Pi}]) \\
& \text{InitUP} \triangleq \text{Init} \wedge (p \in [\text{Dom} \rightarrow \text{Pi}])
\end{aligned}$$

The actions  $\text{Ap}$  are defined using the *ProphAction* operator defined in the *Prophecy* module.

$$\begin{aligned}
& \text{Choose}P \triangleq \text{ProphAction}(\text{Choose}, p, p', \\
& \quad \text{DomInjChoose}, \text{PredDomChoose}, \text{PredChoose}) \\
& \text{Send}P \triangleq \text{ProphAction}(\text{Send}, p, p', \text{DomInjSend}, \text{PredDomSend}, \text{PredSend}) \\
& \text{Rcv}P \triangleq \text{ProphAction}(\text{Rcv}, p, p', \text{DomInjRcv}, \text{PredDomRcv}, \text{PredRcv}) \\
& \text{Undo}P(i) \triangleq \text{ProphAction}(\text{Undo}(i), p, p', \text{DomInjUndo}(i), \text{PredDomUndo}(i), \\
& \quad \text{LAMBDA } j : \text{PredUndo}(j, i)) \\
& \text{NextUP} \triangleq \text{Choose}P \vee \text{Send}P \vee \text{Rcv}P \vee (\exists i \in 1 \dots \text{Len}(y) : \text{Undo}P(i)) \\
& \text{SpecUP} \triangleq \text{InitUP} \wedge \Box[\text{NextUP}]_{\text{vars}P}
\end{aligned}$$


---

The theorem below asserts that  $\text{SpecUP}$  implements specification  $\text{Spec}$  of module  $\text{SendSeq}$  under the refinement mapping  $y \leftarrow y\text{Bar}$ , where  $y\text{Bar}$  is defined here to be the subsequence of  $y$  consisting of those elements for which  $p$  predicts "send".

$$\begin{aligned}
y\text{Bar} \triangleq & \text{LET RECURSIVE } R(-, -) \\
& R(y\text{seq}, p\text{seq}) \triangleq \\
& \quad \text{IF } y\text{seq} = \langle \rangle \\
& \quad \quad \text{THEN } y\text{seq} \\
& \quad \quad \text{ELSE IF } \text{Head}(p\text{seq}) = \text{"send"} \\
& \quad \quad \quad \text{THEN } \langle \text{Head}(y\text{seq}) \rangle \circ R(\text{Tail}(y\text{seq}), \text{Tail}(p\text{seq})) \\
& \quad \quad \quad \text{ELSE } R(\text{Tail}(y\text{seq}), \text{Tail}(p\text{seq})) \\
& \text{IN } R(y, p)
\end{aligned}$$

$$SS \triangleq \text{INSTANCE } \text{SendSeq} \text{ WITH } y \leftarrow y\text{Bar}$$

THEOREM  $\text{SpecUP} \Rightarrow SS! \text{Spec}$

---

\\* Modification History  
\\* Last modified Sat *Dec* 31 16:10:30 *PST* 2016 by *lamport*  
\\* Created *Thu Sep* 15 02:52:00 *PDT* 2016 by *lamport*