

Please note that these are *possible* solutions, and that there are often many ways to approach and solve a problem or prove a theorem.

Problem 1 (Girsanov Theorem, Shreve #5.3)

- (i) We differentiate with respect to x and use a standard normal distributed random variable Z to obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 c_x(0, x) &= \tilde{E} \left[e^{-rT} \partial_x \left(x e^{\sigma \tilde{W}_T + (r - \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2)T} - K \right)^+ \right] \\
 &= e^{-\sigma^2 T/2} \tilde{E} \left[e^{\sigma \tilde{W}_T} 1_{x e^{\sigma \tilde{W}_T + (r - \sigma^2/2)T} > K} \right] \\
 &= e^{-\sigma^2 T/2} \tilde{E} \left[e^{\sigma \sqrt{T} Z} 1_{Z - \sigma \sqrt{T} > -d_+(T, x)} \right] \\
 &= e^{-\sigma^2 T/2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{\sigma \sqrt{T} z} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-z^2/2} 1_{z - \sigma \sqrt{T} > -d_+(T, x)} dz \\
 &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-(z - \sigma \sqrt{T})^2/2} 1_{z - \sigma \sqrt{T} > -d_+(T, x)} dz \\
 &= \int_{-d_+(T, x)}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-u^2/2} du \\
 &= 1 - N(-d_+(T, x)) = N(d_+(T, x))
 \end{aligned}$$

- (ii) We set $\hat{Z}(T) = e^{\sigma \tilde{W}_T - \sigma^2 T/2}$ and $Z(t) = \tilde{E}[\hat{Z}(T) | \mathcal{F}_t]$, then \hat{Z} is a \tilde{P} -martingale, $\hat{Z} > 0$ and $\tilde{E}Z(T) = 1$. We can define a new measure $d\tilde{P} = \hat{Z}(T)d\tilde{P}$ which is equivalent to \tilde{P} , furthermore we have from (i)

$$c_x(0, x) = \tilde{E}[\hat{Z}(T) 1_{S(T) > K}] = \hat{E}[1_{S(T) > K}] = \hat{P}(S(T) > K).$$

By Girsanov $\tilde{W}(t) + \int_0^t (-\sigma) ds = \tilde{W}(t) - \sigma t = \hat{W}(t)$ is a \hat{P} -Brownian motion.

- (iii) We rewrite $S(T)$ in terms of $\hat{W}(T)$ $S(T) = S(0)e^{\sigma \hat{W}(T) + (r + \sigma^2/2)T}$ and thus

$$\begin{aligned}
 \hat{P}(S(T) > K) &= \hat{P}(S(0)e^{\sigma \hat{W}(T) + (r + \sigma^2/2)T} > K) = \hat{P}\left(\frac{\hat{W}(T)}{\sqrt{T}} > -d_+(T, x)\right) \\
 &= \hat{P}(Z > -d_+(T, x)) = N(d_+(T, x)).
 \end{aligned}$$

Problem 2 (Binomial Representation Theorem)

Note that

$$\tilde{V}_{n+1} = \tilde{V}_0 + \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} H_{i+1}(\tilde{S}_{i+1} - \tilde{S}_i) = \tilde{V}_n + H_{n+1}(\tilde{S}_{n+1} - \tilde{S}_n).$$

Since $\tilde{S}_n = (1+r)^{-n} S_n$ is a risk-neutral martingale itself, and H_{n+1} as stated is \mathcal{F}_n -measurable, then

$$\tilde{E}(\tilde{V}_{n+1} | \mathcal{F}_n) = \tilde{V}_n + H_{n+1}(\tilde{E}(\tilde{S}_{n+1} | \mathcal{F}_n) - \tilde{S}_n) = \tilde{V}_n,$$

as expected. Note that, since H_n is predictable, its value only relies on time $n-1$ and before, so, writing $H_n(\omega_n)$ to show emphasis of only the last coin flip, $H_n(u) = H_n(d)$. Note that

$\tilde{V}_n(u) \neq \tilde{V}_n(d)$ and $\tilde{S}_n(u) \neq \tilde{S}_n(d)$.

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{V}_n &= \tilde{V}_{n-1} + H_n(\tilde{S}_n - \tilde{S}_{n-1}) \\ \implies \tilde{V}_n(u) &= \tilde{V}_{n-1} + H_n(\tilde{S}_n(u) - \tilde{S}_{n-1}), \tilde{V}_n(d) = \tilde{V}_{n-1} + H_n(\tilde{S}_n(d) - \tilde{S}_{n-1}) \\ \implies H_n &= \frac{\tilde{V}_n(u) - \tilde{V}_n(d)}{\tilde{S}_n(u) - \tilde{S}_n(d)} = \frac{V_n(u) - V_n(d)}{S_n(u) - S_n(d)}.\end{aligned}$$

Problem 3 (Martingale Representation Theorem, Shreve #5.5)

(i) Compute $d(1/Z_t)$:

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{Z_t} &= f(X_t); f(x) = e^x, X_t = \int_0^t \Theta_u dW_u + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t \Theta_u^2 du \\ \implies df(X_t) &= f_x(X_t) dX_t + \frac{1}{2} f_{xx}(X_t) dX_t dX_t = f(X_t) dX_t + \frac{1}{2} f(X_t) dX_t dX_t \\ &= \frac{\Theta_t dW_t + \frac{1}{2} \Theta_t^2 dt + \frac{1}{2} \Theta_t^2 dt}{Z_t} = \frac{\Theta_t dW_t + \Theta_t^2 dt}{Z_t}.\end{aligned}$$

(ii) \tilde{M}_t is a \tilde{P} -martingale. Therefore, by Lemma 5.2.2, p. 212:

$$\tilde{E}(\tilde{M}_t | \mathcal{F}_s) = \tilde{M}_s = \frac{1}{Z_s} E(\tilde{M}_t Z_t | \mathcal{F}_s) \implies M_t = \tilde{M}_t Z_t \text{ is a } P\text{-martingale.}$$

(iii) Now, by Thm. 5.3.1, p. 221, $\exists \Gamma_u$ an adapted process such that $M_t = M_0 + \int_0^t \Gamma_u dW_u$. Writing $\tilde{M}_t = M_t/Z_t$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}d\tilde{M}_t &= dM_t(1/Z_t) + M_t d(1/Z_t) + dM_t d(1/Z_t) \\ &= \Gamma_t dW_t / Z_t + M_t \frac{\Theta_t dW_t + \Theta_t^2 dt}{Z_t} + \Gamma_t dW_t \frac{\Theta_t dW_t + \Theta_t^2 dt}{Z_t} \\ &= \frac{1}{Z_t} \left[\Gamma_t dW_t + M_t (\Theta_t dW_t + \Theta_t^2 dt) + \Gamma_t \Theta_t dt \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{Z_t} \left[(\Gamma_t + M_t \Theta_t) dW_t + \Theta_t (\Gamma_t + M_t \Theta_t) dt \right] \\ &= \frac{\Gamma_t + M_t \Theta_t}{Z_t} \left[dW_t + \Theta_t dt \right].\end{aligned}$$

iv) Note that, since $d\tilde{W}_t = dW_t + \Theta_t dt$, the last result is really $d\tilde{M}_t = \frac{\Gamma_t + M_t \Theta_t}{Z_t} d\tilde{W}_t$, which means, setting $\tilde{\Gamma}_t = \frac{\Gamma_t + M_t \Theta_t}{Z_t}$, we have (5.3.2): $\tilde{M}_t = \tilde{M}_0 + \int_0^t \tilde{\Gamma}_u d\tilde{W}_u$.

Problem 4 (Every strictly positive price process is a generalized GBM, Shreve #5.8)

We know a risk-neutral martingale $\tilde{M}(t)$ under $\tilde{\mathbb{P}}$ (with $\tilde{W}(t)$ its BM) has representation

$$\tilde{M}(t) = \tilde{M}(0) + \int_0^t \tilde{\Gamma}(u) d\tilde{W}(u), \quad 0 \leq t \leq T.$$

(i) We are given

$$V(t) = \tilde{E} \left[e^{-\int_t^T R(u) du} V(T) \mid \mathcal{F}(t) \right], \quad 0 \leq t \leq T.$$

Then the discount process $D(t) = e^{-\int_0^t R(u) du}$ (5.2.17) shows that $D(t)V(t)$ is a \tilde{P} -martingale, i.e. $D(t)V(t) = \tilde{E}[D(T)V(T) | \mathcal{F}(t)]$.

Thus, by the MRT, $\exists \tilde{\Gamma}(t)$ such that $D(t)V(t) = D(0)V(0) + \int_0^t \tilde{\Gamma}(u)d\tilde{W}(u)$. But, in differential form, this is, with $dD(t) = -R(t)D(t)dt$,

$$\begin{aligned} d(D(t)V(t)) &= \tilde{\Gamma}(t)d\tilde{W}(t) \\ &= D(t)dV(t) + V(t)dD(t) + dD(t)dV(t) = D(t)[dV(t) - V(t)R(t)dt] \\ \implies dV(t) &= \frac{\tilde{\Gamma}(t)}{D(t)}d\tilde{W}(t) + R(t)V(t)dt. \end{aligned}$$

(ii) Given $V(T) > 0$ a.s. as a hypothesis, $V(t)$ is the discounted expectation of the a.s. positive RV $e^{-\int_t^T R(u)du}V(T)$, so $V(t) > 0$ a.s.

(iii) Hence, since $D(t)V(t) > 0$ a.s. as well, we set $\sigma(t) := \frac{\tilde{\Gamma}(t)}{D(t)V(t)}$ and rewrite (i) as a generalized GBM:

$$dV(t) = \frac{\tilde{\Gamma}(t)}{D(t)}d\tilde{W}(t) + R(t)V(t)dt = \sigma(t)V(t)d\tilde{W}(t) + R(t)V(t)dt.$$

Problem 5 (Finding the risk-neutral distribution from call prices across all strikes, Shreve #5.9)

We are given

$$\begin{aligned} c(0, T, x, K) &= \mathbb{E} [e^{-rT}(S(T) - K)_+] \\ &= e^{-rT} \int_K^\infty (y - K)\tilde{p}(0, T, x, y)dy. \end{aligned}$$

for the price of a call where $S(0) = x$, expiry time is T , and strike price is K . All we need to do is differentiate this twice with respect to K .

$$\begin{aligned} c(0, T, x, K) &= e^{-rT} \int_K^\infty (y - K)\tilde{p}(0, T, x, y)dy \\ &= e^{-rT} \left[\int_K^\infty y\tilde{p}(0, T, x, y)dy - K \int_K^\infty \tilde{p}(0, T, x, y)dy \right] \\ \implies c_K(0, T, x, K) &= e^{-rT} \left[(y\tilde{p}(0, T, x, y)|_{y=K}^\infty - \int_K^\infty \tilde{p}(0, T, x, y)dy - K\tilde{p}(0, T, x, y)|_{y=K}^\infty \right] \\ &= e^{-rT} \left[[(y - K)\tilde{p}(0, T, x, y)|_{y=K}^\infty - \int_K^\infty \tilde{p}(0, T, x, y)dy] \right] \\ &= -e^{-rT} \int_K^\infty \tilde{p}(0, T, x, y)dy = -e^{-rT} \tilde{P}(S(T) > K); \\ c_{KK}(0, T, x, K) &= -e^{-rT} \tilde{p}(0, T, x, y)|_{y=K}^\infty = e^{-rT} \tilde{p}(0, T, x, K), \end{aligned}$$

which gives a formula for the risk-neutral distribution of $S(T)$ in terms of call prices:

$$\tilde{p}(0, T, x, K) = e^{rT} c_{KK}(0, T, x, K), \quad K > 0.$$

Problem 6 (Chooser option, Shreve #5.10)

Put-call parity sets the time- t value of a long call and short put to the value of a forward:

$$\begin{aligned} C(t) - P(t) &= \tilde{E} \left[e^{-r(T-t)} [(S(T) - K)^+ - (K - S(T))^+] \mid \mathcal{F}(t) \right] \\ &= \tilde{E} \left[e^{-r(T-t)} [S(T) - K] \mid \mathcal{F}(t) \right] = F(t). \end{aligned}$$

(i) Set t_0 to be the chooser option time, $0 < t_0 < T$, and let its value at time t be $A(t)$. There are two cases, both of which set the value of the chooser to

$$A(t_0) = \max\{C(t_0), P(t_0)\} = C(t_0) + \max\{0, -F(t_0)\} = C(t_0) + \left(e^{-r(T-t_0)}K - S(t_0) \right)^+ :$$

- $C(t_0) \geq P(t_0)$: here you would choose the call over the put, so the value of the chooser is $C(t_0)$. Note that $F(t_0) = C(t_0) - P(t_0) \geq 0$ here, so $C(t_0) + \max\{0, -F(t_0)\} = C(t_0)$.
- $C(t_0) < P(t_0)$: here you would choose the put over the call, so the value of the chooser is $P(t_0) = C(t_0) - F(t_0)$ by put-call parity.

(ii) $D(t)A(t)$ is a \tilde{P} -martingale, just like any other asset. Thus, we have the conditional expectations

$$\begin{aligned} A(0) &= D(0)A(0) = \tilde{E}(D(T)V(T)) = e^{-rT} \tilde{E}(A(T)) \\ D(t_0)A(t_0) &= \tilde{E}(D(T)V(T) | \mathcal{F}(t_0)) = e^{-rt_0} \left[C(t_0) + \left(e^{-r(T-t_0)}K - S(t_0) \right)^+ \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Combining the two and using the tower property at t_0 ,

$$\begin{aligned} A(0) &= \tilde{E}[\tilde{E}(D(T)V(T) | \mathcal{F}(t_0))] \\ &= \tilde{E} \left(e^{-rt_0} \left[C(t_0) + \left(e^{-r(T-t_0)}K - S(t_0) \right)^+ \right] \right) \\ &= \tilde{E} [e^{-rt_0} C(t_0)] + \tilde{E} \left[e^{-rt_0} \left(e^{-r(T-t_0)}K - S(t_0) \right)^+ \right] = C(0) + P^*(0), \end{aligned}$$

where $C(0)$ is the time-0 price of a call with expiration T and strike K , and $P^*(0)$ is the time-0 price of a put with expiration t_0 and strike $e^{-r(T-t_0)}K$.

Problem 7 (Hedging a cash flow, Shreve #5.11)

We are given the setup

$$\begin{aligned} dS(t) &= \alpha(t)S(t)dt + \sigma(t)S(t)dW(t), \quad 0 \leq t \leq T \\ dX(t) &= \Delta(t)dS(t) + R(t)[X(t) - \Delta(t)S(t)]dt - C(t)dt \end{aligned}$$

where $C(t)$ is the cash flow being continuously paid out of the portfolio. With the discount process $D(t)$ given above, we need to show that there is a nonzero $X(0)$ and a portfolio process $\Delta(t)$ that hedges this portfolio, leaving the investor with $X(T) = 0$ a.s.

First, we need the risk-neutral measure \tilde{P} that makes our discounted assets martingales: this comes from applying Girsanov's Theorem with the process $\Theta(t)$ (what is it??): the \tilde{P} -BM will be

$$\tilde{W}(t) = \int_0^t \Theta(u)du + W(t); \quad d\tilde{W}(t) = \Theta(t)dt + dW(t)$$

and we get

$$\begin{aligned} d(D(t)S(t)) &= D(t)dS(t) + S(t)dD(t) + dD(t)dS(t) \\ &= D(t)S(t) [(\alpha(t) - R(t))dt + \sigma(t)dW(t)] \\ &= D(t)S(t) \left[(\alpha(t) - R(t))dt + \sigma(t)(d\tilde{W}(t) - \Theta(t)dt) \right] \\ &= D(t)S(t) \left[(\alpha(t) - R(t) - \sigma(t)\Theta(t))dt + \sigma(t)d\tilde{W}(t) \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $\Theta(t) = \frac{\alpha(t) - R(t)}{\sigma(t)}$, the *market price of risk* process (5.2.21), p. 216, is the process we need in Girsanov's Theorem here to kill the dt term in our discounted assets. Applying this

to the discounted portfolio process $D(t)X(t)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
d(D(t)X(t)) &= D(t)dX(t) + X(t)dD(t) + dD(t)dX(t) \\
&= D(t)[dX(t) - R(t)X(t)dt] \\
&= D(t)[\Delta(t)dS(t) - R(t)\Delta(t)S(t)dt - C(t)dt] \\
&= D(t)[\Delta(t)[\alpha(t)S(t)dt + \sigma(t)S(t)dW(t)] - R(t)\Delta(t)S(t)dt - C(t)dt \\
&= D(t)\Delta(t)S(t)\sigma(t)d\tilde{W}(t) - D(t)C(t)dt.
\end{aligned}$$

Now we integrate and take expectations, more precisely we set

$$\tilde{M}(t) := \tilde{E} \left[\int_0^T D(s)C(s)ds \middle| \mathcal{F}(t) \right].$$

By the MRT, \tilde{M} has a representation $\tilde{M}(t) = \tilde{M}(0) + \int_0^t \tilde{\Gamma}(s)d\tilde{W}(s)$. Now from above we have

$$D(T)X(T) = D(0)X(0) + \int_0^T D(t)\Delta(t)S(t)\sigma(t)d\tilde{W}(t) - \int_0^T D(t)C(t)dt,$$

which, choosing $\Delta(t) = \frac{\tilde{\Gamma}(t)}{D(t)S(t)\sigma(t)}$, can be written as

$$\begin{aligned}
D(T)X(T) &= X(0) + \int_0^T \tilde{\Gamma}(t)d\tilde{W}(t) - \int_0^T D(t)C(t)dt \\
&= X(0) + (\tilde{M}(T) - \tilde{M}(0)) - \int_0^T D(t)C(t)dt
\end{aligned}$$

Note that $\tilde{M}(0) = \tilde{E} \left[\int_0^T D(t)C(t)dt \right]$, $\tilde{M}(T) = \int_0^T D(t)C(t)dt$ and hence

$$\begin{aligned}
D(T)X(T) &= X(0) + \int_0^T \tilde{\Gamma}(t)d\tilde{W}(t) - \int_0^T D(t)C(t)dt \\
&= X(0) - \tilde{M}(0)
\end{aligned}$$

Finally if we choose $X(0) = \tilde{M}(0) = \tilde{E} \left[\int_0^T D(t)C(t)dt \right]$ as our initial portfolio value, we get $X(T) = 0$ a.s.