

Please note that these are *possible* solutions, and that there are often many ways to approach and solve a problem or prove a theorem.

Problem 1 Let $(B(t))_{t \geq 0}$ be a Brownian motion. To show that

$$P\left(\max_{0 \leq t \leq 1} |B(t)| > a\right) \leq 4P(B(1) > a),$$

we first recognize that $-B(t)$ is also a Brownian motion, and setting the events

$$A = \left\{ \max_{0 \leq t \leq 1} B(t) > a \right\}, \quad C = \left\{ \max_{0 \leq t \leq 1} (-B(t)) > a \right\},$$

we have, via the reflection principle on A and C , and the inclusion-exclusion principle,

$$\begin{aligned} P\left(\max_{0 \leq t \leq 1} |B(t)| > a\right) &= P\left(\left\{ \max_{0 \leq t \leq 1} B(t) > a \right\} \cup \left\{ \min_{0 \leq t \leq 1} B(t) < -a \right\}\right) \\ &= P(A \cup C) = P(A) + P(C) - P(A \cap C) \\ &\leq P(A) + P(C) \leq 4P(B(1) > a). \end{aligned}$$

From this we conclude that the random variable $X := \max_{0 \leq t \leq 1} |B(t)|$ has all moments finite: we can bound the k th moment of X by using the identity, for random variables $Y \geq 0$ a.s.,

$$E(Y) = \int_0^\infty P(Y > y) dy.$$

We know that $|B(1)| \geq 0$ a.s. and that $E(|B(1)|^k) < \infty$ for all k (all standard normal absolute moments are finite). Thus, using $P(|B(1)| > a) = 2P(B(1) > a)$ for any $a \geq 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} \implies E(X^k) &= \int_0^\infty P(X^k > y) dy = \int_0^\infty P(X > y^{1/k}) dy \\ &\leq 4 \int_0^\infty P(B(1) > y^{1/k}) dy = 2 \int_0^\infty P(|B(1)| > y^{1/k}) dy \\ &= 2 \int_0^\infty P(|B(1)|^k > y) dy = 2E(|B(1)|^k) < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Problem 2 We start with Theorem 1.8 from Lecture 2: for $B(t)$ a Brownian motion, $B^*(t) = \max_{0 \leq s \leq t} B(s)$, $a > 0$, and $x \leq a$, we have

$$P(B^*(t) \geq a, B(t) \leq x) = 1 - N\left(\frac{2a-x}{\sqrt{t}}\right).$$

Using this, we get the joint distribution with $\phi(x) = \frac{d}{dx}N(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}e^{-x^2/2}$ the density of a standard normal, the joint density of $(B^*(t), B(t))$ is the mixed partial derivative

$$f_{B^*(t), B(t)}(a, x) = -\frac{\partial^2}{\partial a \partial x} P(B^*(t) \geq a, B(t) \leq x) = \frac{\partial}{\partial a} \left[\frac{1}{\sqrt{t}} \phi\left(\frac{2a-x}{\sqrt{t}}\right) \right] = \frac{2(2a-x)}{t\sqrt{2\pi t}} e^{-\frac{(2a-x)^2}{2t}}.$$

Problem 3 First, we prove Borel-Cantelli Lemma #1 (this proof is due to Durrett):

Let $N := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 1_{A_n}$ be the number of events A_n that occur. Then

$$E[N] = E \left[\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 1_{A_n} \right] = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} E[1_{A_n}] = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P(A_n) < \infty$$

implies that $N < \infty$ a.s. (i.e. with probability 1). Hence, $P(N = \infty) = P(A_n \text{ i.o.}) = 0$.

Now, let $(X_n)_{n \geq 1}$ be a sequence of IID integrable random variables, and set $B_n = \{X_n > n\varepsilon\}$ for some $\varepsilon > 0$. Since the X_n are IID and integrable, i.e. $E[X_n] = \mu < \infty$ for some μ , for all n , then by the SLLN,

$$P \left(\left| \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{X_n}{n} - \mu \right| > \varepsilon \right) = 0.$$

Thus, if $M := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 1_{B_n}$, we have $E[M] < \infty$ since only finitely many X_n can exceed $n\varepsilon$ a.s. if the SLLN is to hold. Therefore, $P(B_n \text{ i.o.}) = 0$, i.e. $P(X_n > n\varepsilon \text{ for infinitely many } n) = 0$, which implies that

$$P(X_n > n\varepsilon \text{ only finitely many times}) = P(\exists R = R(\varepsilon) : X_n \leq n\varepsilon \forall n > R) = 1.$$

Since this was done with an arbitrary $\varepsilon > 0$, we use the dominated convergence theorem to send $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ and get $P(\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{X_n}{n} = 0) = 1$.

Problem 4 We assume $a < 0 < b$. First, for the martingale $B(t)$, note that by Theorem 2.5, Refresher Lecture 5, $B(\tau_a \wedge \tau_b \wedge t)$ is also a martingale, and so by the optional stopping theorem, since $\tau_a \wedge \tau_b \wedge t \leq t$ for a fixed t ,

$$0 = E(B(0)) = E(B(\tau_a \wedge \tau_b \wedge t)) = aP(\tau_a < \tau_b \wedge t) + bP(\tau_b < \tau_a \wedge t) + E(B(t); t < \tau_a \wedge \tau_b).$$

(a) Sending $t \rightarrow \infty$ in the above equation, we get

$$0 = E(B(\tau_a \wedge \tau_b)) = aP(\tau_a < \tau_b) + bP(\tau_b < \tau_a).$$

The limit passes through the expectations and probabilities by the dominated convergence theorem, since, on $\{t < \tau_a \wedge \tau_b\}$, $a < B(t) < b$, and

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} P(t < \tau_a \wedge \tau_b) \leq P(B^*(t) < |a| \wedge b) \rightarrow 0.$$

(Compare this to Refresher Lecture 5, Exercise 9, the gambler's ruin problem.) Thus, $P(\tau_b < \tau_a) = 1 - P(\tau_a < \tau_b)$, reducing the above to

$$0 = aP(\tau_a < \tau_b) + b(1 - P(\tau_a < \tau_b)) \implies P(\tau_a < \tau_b) = \frac{b}{b - a}.$$

(b) This is now easy via optional stopping with the martingale $B(t)^2 - t$:

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= E(B(0)^2 - 0) = E(B(\tau_a \wedge \tau_b)^2 - (\tau_a \wedge \tau_b)) = E(B(\tau_a \wedge \tau_b)^2) - E(\tau_a \wedge \tau_b) \\ &\implies E(\tau_a \wedge \tau_b) = E(B(\tau_a \wedge \tau_b)^2) \\ &= a^2P(\tau_a < \tau_b) + b^2(1 - P(\tau_a < \tau_b)) \\ &= \frac{a^2b + ab^2}{b - a} = (-ab) \cdot \frac{-a + b}{b - a} = -ab. \end{aligned}$$

Problem 5 This problem has 5 parts. We are given that $X(t) = \mu t + W(t)$ is a Brownian motion with drift, $\tau_m = \inf\{t \geq 0 : X(t) = m\}$ is the first hitting time of $X(t)$ at the level m (with $\tau_m = \infty$ if X never reaches m), and $Z(t) = \exp\{\sigma X(t) - (\sigma\mu + \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2)t\}$.

- (i) To show that $Z(t)$ is a martingale¹, we first notice that $E(Z(t)) = 1 < \infty$ (due to the usual Gaussian integral with square completion), $Z(t)$ is adapted to $\mathcal{F}(t)$, the natural filtration of $W(t)$, and $Z(t)$ satisfies the martingale property: for $0 \leq s \leq t$, since $W(t) - W(s)$ and $W(s)$ are independent, we have that $\frac{Z(t)}{Z(s)}$ and $Z(s)$ are independent, and so

$$E[Z(t) | \mathcal{F}(s)] = E\left[\frac{Z(t)}{Z(s)} Z(s) | \mathcal{F}(s)\right] = Z(s) E\left[\frac{Z(t)}{Z(s)}\right] = Z(s) E[Z(t-s)] = Z(s).$$

- (ii) Since $Z(t)$ is a martingale, and $t \wedge \tau_m \leq t$ is a bounded stopping time, then by optional stopping,

$$E\left[\exp\{\sigma X(t \wedge \tau_m) - (\sigma\mu + \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2)(t \wedge \tau_m)\}\right] = E[Z(t \wedge \tau_m)] = E[Z(0)] = 1.$$

- (iii) Suppose $\mu \geq 0$, and let $\sigma > 0$. Then $Z(t \wedge \tau_m) \leq e^{\sigma m} < \infty$ since, on $\{\tau_m < \infty\}$, $Z(\tau_m) = \exp\{\sigma m - (\sigma\mu + \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2)\tau_m\} \leq e^{\sigma m}$, and on $\{\tau_m = \infty\}$, $Z(t) \leq e^{\sigma m - \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2 t} \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$. Therefore, by the bounded convergence theorem and (ii),

$$\begin{aligned} E[Z(\tau_m)] &= \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} E[Z(t \wedge \tau_m)] = 1 \text{ and} \\ E[Z(\tau_m)] &= \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} E[1_{\{\tau_m < \infty\}} Z(t \wedge \tau_m)] + \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} E[1_{\{\tau_m = \infty\}} Z(t \wedge \tau_m)] \\ &= E[1_{\{\tau_m < \infty\}} \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} Z(t \wedge \tau_m)] + E[1_{\{\tau_m = \infty\}} \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} Z(t \wedge \tau_m)] \\ &= E[1_{\{\tau_m < \infty\}} \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} Z(t \wedge \tau_m)] + E[1_{\{\tau_m = \infty\}} \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} Z(t \wedge \tau_m)] \\ &= E[1_{\{\tau_m < \infty\}} Z(\tau_m)] = E[1_{\{\tau_m < \infty\}} \exp\{\sigma m - (\sigma\mu + \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2)\tau_m\}]. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, the Laplace transform of τ_m is, via the quadratic formula (with positive root only) and (ii),

$$\begin{aligned} 0 < \alpha := \sigma\mu + \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2 &\implies 0 < \sigma = -\mu + \sqrt{\mu^2 + 2\alpha} \\ E[e^{-\alpha\tau_m}] &= e^{-\sigma m} = e^{m(\mu - \sqrt{\mu^2 + 2\alpha})}. \end{aligned}$$

- (iv) If $\mu > 0$, then by (iii) and monotone convergence,

$$E[\tau_m] = -\lim_{\alpha \downarrow 0} \frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha} E[e^{-\alpha\tau_m}] = \lim_{\alpha \downarrow 0} e^{m(\mu - \sqrt{\mu^2 + 2\alpha})} \cdot \frac{m}{\sqrt{\mu^2 + 2\alpha}} = \frac{m}{\mu} < \infty.$$

- (v) Finally, if $\mu < 0$ and $\sigma > -2\mu > 0$, then $\sigma\mu + \frac{\sigma^2}{2} > 0$ (with equality at $\sigma = -2\mu$) and we have the same bounds on $Z(t \wedge \tau_m)$ that we have in (iii). Hence, the result of (iii) holds. In addition, we get by monotone convergence

$$P(\tau_m < \infty) = \lim_{\sigma \downarrow -2\mu} E[1_{\{\tau_m < \infty\}} Z(t \wedge \tau_m)] = e^{\sigma m} = e^{2\mu m}.$$

Problem 6 First, the stochastic representation (4.2) of Lecture 2 for the solution of the backwards heat equation $v_t + \frac{1}{2}v_{xx} = 0$ is

$$v(t, x) = u(T - t, x) = E[f(B(T - t)) | B(0) = x] = \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(y) p(T - t, x, y) dy$$

¹This is called the *exponential martingale*.

with terminal condition $v(T, x) = f(x)$. Our plan of attack is to convert this integral into expectations of standard normals whenever possible, since we know the following: if $Z \sim N(0, 1)$, then

$$E[Z] = 0, E[Z^2] = 1, E[e^{sZ}] = e^{s^2/2}.$$

To do this conversion, we'll use the substitution

$$u = \frac{y - x}{\sqrt{T - t}}, du = \frac{dy}{\sqrt{T - t}}, y = \sqrt{T - t} u + x,$$

to turn $p(T - t, x, y) dy$ into $p(1, 0, u) du$. Why? For fixed t and T , with $T \geq t \geq 0$,

$$"B(T - t) | B(0) = x" \equiv " [B(T - t) - B(0)] + B(0) | B(0) = x" \equiv " \sqrt{T - t} Z + x".$$

Thus,

$$v(t, x) = E[f(B(T - t)) | B(0) = x] = E[f(\sqrt{T - t} Z + x)] = \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(\sqrt{T - t} u + x) p(1, 0, u) du.$$

(You should check to see that each of these solutions satisfies its terminal condition and PDE by evaluating at $t = T$ and checking $v_t + \frac{1}{2} v_{xx} = 0$.)

(a) $f(x) = x^2$, combined with (4.2), yields the backwards heat equation solution

$$\begin{aligned} v(t, x) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(y) p(T - t, x, y) dy = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi(T - t)}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} y^2 e^{-\frac{(y-x)^2}{2(T-t)}} dy \\ &\quad (\text{substitution}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (\sqrt{T - t} u + x)^2 e^{-\frac{u^2}{2}} du \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} ((T - t)u^2 + 2x\sqrt{T - t}u + x^2) e^{-\frac{u^2}{2}} du \\ &= (T - t)E[Z^2] + 2x\sqrt{T - t}E[Z] + x^2 = T - t + x^2. \end{aligned}$$

(b) $f(x) = e^x$ yields

$$\begin{aligned} v(t, x) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi(T - t)}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^y e^{-\frac{(y-x)^2}{2(T-t)}} dy \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{\sqrt{T-t}u+x} e^{-\frac{u^2}{2}} du = e^x E[e^{\sqrt{T-t}Z}] = e^{x + \frac{T-t}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

(c) $f(x) = e^{-x^2}$ yields (here we go straight with the substitution)

$$\begin{aligned} v(t, x) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-(\sqrt{T-t}u+x)^2} e^{-\frac{u^2}{2}} du \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-\frac{(2(T-t)+1)u^2 + 4\sqrt{T-t}xu + 2x^2}{2}} du. \end{aligned}$$

Here we need another square-completion substitution: let $v = au + bx$, $dv = a du$, for the functions a, b, c of $T - t$ such that the exponent on the integrand is

$$-\frac{(2(T - t) + 1)u^2 + 4\sqrt{T - t}xu + 2x^2}{2} = -\frac{(au + bx)^2}{2} + cx^2.$$

Then, this integral reduces to

$$v(t, x) = \frac{e^{cx^2}}{a\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-\frac{v^2}{2}} dv = \frac{e^{cx^2}}{a}.$$

We have $a = \sqrt{2(T-t)+1}$, $b = \frac{2\sqrt{T-t}}{a}$, and $c = \frac{1}{2}b^2 - 1$, simplifying to

$$v(t, x) = \frac{e^{cx^2}}{a} = \frac{e^{-\frac{x^2}{2(T-t)+1}}}{\sqrt{2(T-t)+1}}.$$

Problem 7 The option in question is a perpetual option which pays \$1 at

$$\tau_A = \inf\{t \geq 0 : S(t) = A\} \text{ for a fixed } A < S(0),$$

assuming the interest rate is $r \in [0, \sigma^2/2]$ and that the price follows the GBM $S(t) = S(0)e^{(r-\sigma^2/2)t+\sigma B(t)}$, $t \geq 0$, under the risk-neutral measure.

Let C_t be the value of the option at time $t \geq 0$. The time-zero price, as usual, is the discounted payoff under the risk-neutral measure; that is, since the payoff is $C_{\tau_A} = 1_{\{\tau_A < \infty\}}$,

$$C_0 = \tilde{E}[e^{-r\tau_A} C_{\tau_A}] = \tilde{E}[e^{-r\tau_A} 1_{\{\tau_A < \infty\}}].$$

Theorem 5.1 in Lecture 2 states that, for $\lambda > 0$, $a > 0$, and any $b \in \mathbb{R}$, the first hitting time by a Brownian motion of the line $a + bt$ has Laplace transform

$$E(e^{-\lambda\tau_{a,b}}) = e^{-a(b+\sqrt{b^2+2\lambda})}.$$

Note that in this problem,

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_A = t &\iff S(t) = A \\ &\iff S(0)e^{(r-\sigma^2/2)t+\sigma B(t)} = A \\ &\iff (r-\sigma^2/2)t + \sigma B(t) = \ln(A/S(0)) < 0 \\ &\iff B(t) = \frac{-(r-\sigma^2/2)t + \ln(A/S(0))}{\sigma}. \end{aligned}$$

We know that $\tilde{B}(t) := -B(t)$ is also a Brownian motion, so, by setting $a := -\ln(A/S(0))/\sigma = \ln(S(0)/A)/\sigma$ and $b := (r-\sigma^2/2)/\sigma$, we satisfy the theorem and can conclude that

$$\tilde{E}[e^{-r\tau_A} 1_{\{\tau_A < \infty\}}] = e^{-a(b+\sqrt{b^2+2r})} = \left(\frac{S(0)}{A}\right)^{-\frac{b+\sqrt{b^2+2r}}{\sigma}} = \left(\frac{S(0)}{A}\right)^{-\frac{2r}{\sigma^2}}.$$