

Please note that these are *possible* solutions, and that there are often many ways to approach and solve a problem or prove a theorem.

We start with $N(t) \sim \text{Poisson}(\lambda)$, $M(t) = N(t) - \lambda t$ a compensated Poisson process, $Q(t)$ a compound Poisson process with jump distribution $P(Y_1 = y_m) = p_m$, $m = 1, 2, \dots, M$, and $M_Q(t) = Q(t) - \beta \lambda t$ (where $\beta = E(Y_1)$) is the compensated process for Q .

Problem 1 (quadratic variation of compound Poissons)

Letting $\Delta Q(s) = Q(s) - Q(s-)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} [Q, Q](t) &= \sum_{0 < s \leq t} (\Delta Q(s))^2 = \sum_{j=1}^{N(t)} Y_j^2 \\ E([Q, Q](t)) &= E\left(\sum_{j=1}^{N(t)} Y_j^2\right) = E(N(t))E(Y_1^2) \quad (\text{by Wald's identity}) \\ &= (\sigma^2 + \beta^2)\lambda t \quad (\text{setting } \sigma^2 = \text{Var}(Y_1) = E(Y_1^2) - E(Y_1)^2) \\ [M_Q, M_Q](t) &= [M_Q^c, M_Q^c](t) + [J, J](t) \quad (M_Q^c(t) = -\beta \lambda t, J(t) = Q(t)) \\ &= [Q, Q](t). \end{aligned}$$

Problem 2 (Itô isometry with jumps, compensated Poisson)

The first part, showing that for ϕ a left-continuous square-integrable $M(t)$ -adapted process,

$$E\left[\left(\int_0^t \phi(s) dM(s)\right)^2\right] = \lambda E\left[\int_0^t \phi^2(s) ds\right],$$

is a special case of Problem 3 with $Q(t) = N(t)$. Hence, we know that $\int_0^t \phi(s) dM(s)$ is a martingale starting at 0 since $M(t)$ is a martingale, and so

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Var}\left(\int_0^t 2^{M(s-)} dM(s)\right) &= E\left[\left(\int_0^t 2^{M(s-)} dM(s)\right)^2\right] \\ &= \lambda E\left[\int_0^t 4^{M(s-)} ds\right] \\ &= \lambda E\left[\int_0^t e^{\ln(4)M(s-)} ds\right] = \lambda E\left[\int_0^t e^{\ln(4)(N(s-)-\lambda s)} ds\right]. \end{aligned}$$

At this point, we argue that the Lebesgue integrals $\int_0^t e^{\ln(4)(N(s-)-\lambda s)} ds = \int_0^t e^{\ln(4)(N(s)-\lambda s)} ds$ since $e^{\ln(4)N(s-)}$ and $e^{\ln(4)N(s)}$ only differ at finitely many points a.s., so their ds integrals match. We swap this out and use the MGF of $N(s)$, $E(e^{uN(s)}) = e^{\lambda s(e^u-1)}$, to get

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Var}\left(\int_0^t 2^{M(s-)} dM(s)\right) &= \lambda \int_0^t e^{\ln(4)(-\lambda s)} E(e^{\ln(4)N(s)}) ds \\ &= \lambda \int_0^t e^{\ln(4)(-\lambda s)} e^{3\lambda s} ds \\ &= \lambda \int_0^t e^{(3-\ln(4))(\lambda s)} ds = \frac{e^{(3-\ln(4))\lambda t} - 1}{3 - \ln(4)}. \end{aligned}$$

Problem 3 (Itô isometry with jumps, compound compensated Poisson)

We need to show that

$$E \left[\left(\int_0^t \phi(s) dM_Q(s) \right)^2 \right] = \lambda E(Y_1^2) E \left[\int_0^t \phi^2(s) ds \right].$$

We'll start with the differential

$$X(t) = \int_0^t \phi(s) dM_Q(s), \quad dX(t) = \phi(t) dM_Q(t), \quad X(0) = 0.$$

Then differentiate $X^2(t)$:

$$\begin{aligned} d(X^2(t)) &= 2X(t)dX(t) + d[X, X](t) \\ \implies X^2(t) &= 2 \int_0^t X(s) dX(s) + \int_0^t d[X, X](s) = 2 \int_0^t X(s-) dX(s) + \sum_{0 < s \leq t} \Delta X(s)^2 \\ &= 2 \int_0^t X(s-) dX(s) + \sum_{j=1}^{N(t)} Y_j^2 \int_{S_{j-1}}^{S_j \wedge t} \phi^2(s) dN(s), \end{aligned}$$

where S_j is the time of the j th jump of N , $S_0 = 0$ (I'm being a little flaky on the notation here, but $S_j - S_{j-1} \sim \exp(\lambda)$ for each j , IID). Since the Y_j are IID, we'll be able to combine all these integrals into one integral in the expectation.

$M_Q(t)$ is a martingale, so $X(t)$ is a martingale, so $\int_0^t X(s-) dX(s)$ is a martingale since $X(s-)$ is left-continuous. Hence, since the Y_j are IID and independent of $N(t)$, and $N(t) = M(t) + \lambda t$ where $M(t)$ is a martingale,

$$\begin{aligned} E[X^2(t)] &= E \left[\left(\int_0^t \phi(s) dM_Q(s) \right)^2 \right] = E \left[\sum_{j=1}^{N(t)} Y_j^2 \int_{S_{j-1}}^{S_j \wedge t} \phi^2(s) dN(s) \right] \\ &= E[Y_1^2] E \left[\int_0^t \phi^2(s) dN(s) \right] = \lambda E[Y_1^2] E \left[\int_0^t \phi^2(s) ds \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Problem 4 Shreve Vol. 2, Ch. 11 #11.6, p. 526 (BM and compound Poisson are independent)

We'll do the same thing as in #11.5 from the previous homework set: let

$$\begin{aligned} X(t) &= u_1 W(t) - \frac{1}{2} u_1^2 t + u_2 Q(t) - \lambda t(\phi(u_2) - 1) &= X^c(t) + J(t); \\ X^c(t) &= u_1 W(t) - \frac{1}{2} u_1^2 t - \lambda t(\phi(u_2) - 1); &J(t) &= u_2 Q(t); \end{aligned}$$

where ϕ is the MGF of $Y(t)$, so that $Y(t) = e^{X(t)}$. We again show that $Y(t)$ is a martingale.

First, the continuous terms:

$$dX^c(t) = u_1 dW_t + \left[-\frac{1}{2} u_1^2 - \lambda(\phi(u_2) - 1) \right] dt; \quad d[X^c, X^c](t) = u_1^2 dt.$$

Then the jump terms:

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta X(t) &= \Delta J(t) = u_2 \Delta Q(t) = u_2 Y_{N(t)} \Delta N(t) \\ \Delta e^{X(t)} &= e^{X(t)} - e^{X(t-)} = e^{X(t-)} (e^{\Delta X(t)} - 1) = e^{X(t-)} (e^{u_2 Y_{N(t)}} - 1) \Delta N(t). \end{aligned}$$

We'll consider the compound Poisson process $H(t) = \sum_{j=1}^{N(t)} (e^{u_2 Y_j} - 1)$, which looks just like the above, and so we combine via Itô to give us, for any $s \geq 0$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\Delta H(u) &= dH(u) = (e^{u_2 Y_{N(u)}} - 1) \Delta N(u) \\
H(t) - \lambda(\phi(u_2) - 1)t &\text{ is a martingale} \\
Y(t) = e^{X(t)} &= e^{X(s)} + \int_s^t e^{X(u-)} dX^c(u) + \frac{1}{2} \int_s^t e^{X(u-)} d[X^c, X^c](u) \\
&\quad + \sum_{s < u \leq t} e^{X(u-)} (e^{u_2 Y_{N(u)}} - 1) \Delta N(t) \\
&= e^{X(s)} + \int_s^t e^{X(u-)} u_1 dW_u - \int_s^t e^{X(u-)} \left[\frac{1}{2} u_1^2 + \lambda(\phi(u_2) - 1) \right] du \\
&\quad + \int_s^t e^{X(u-)} \frac{1}{2} u_1^2 du + \int_s^t e^{X(u-)} dH(u) \\
&= e^{X(s)} + \int_s^t e^{X(u-)} u_1 dW_u + \int_s^t e^{X(u-)} d[H(u) - \lambda(\phi(u_2) - 1)u].
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, $Y(t)$ is a martingale, so by the optional stopping theorem,

$$\begin{aligned}
E[e^{u_1 N_1(t) + u_2 N_2(t) - \lambda_1 t(e^{u_1} - 1) - \lambda_2 t(e^{u_2} - 1)}] &= E[Y(0)] = 1 \\
\implies E[e^{u_1 N_1(t) + u_2 N_2(t)}] &= e^{\lambda_1 t(e^{u_1} - 1)} e^{\lambda_2 t(e^{u_2} - 1)} = E[e^{u_1 N_1(t)}] E[e^{u_2 N_2(t)}],
\end{aligned}$$

which implies that $N_1(t)$ and $N_2(t)$ are independent.

Problem 5 (change of measure for stock price, with jumps)

We are given the SDE

$$dS(t) = \mu S(t)dt + \sigma S(t)dB(t) + S(t-)dQ(t).$$

In the no-jumps case (where $y_m = 0$ for all m , for instance, so that $Q(t) = 0$ and $\beta = 0$), we merely change the measure via the market price of risk process $\theta = \theta(t) = \frac{\mu - r}{\sigma}$. Girsanov's theorem then says that, for fixed $T > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{B}(t) &= B(t) + \int_0^t \theta(s)ds = B(t) + \left(\frac{\mu - r}{\sigma} \right) t \\
Z(t) &= \exp \left[- \int_0^t \theta(s)dB(s) - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t \theta(s)^2 ds \right] = \exp \left[-\theta B(t) - \frac{1}{2} \theta^2 t \right], \quad 0 \leq t \leq T
\end{aligned}$$

yields the \tilde{P} -martingale ($\tilde{P}(A) = \int_A Z(T)dP$ for $A \in \mathcal{F}(T)$)

$$\begin{aligned}
d(e^{-rt} S(t)) &= e^{-rt} (-rS(t)dt + dS(t)) \\
&= e^{-rt} ((\mu - r)S(t)dt + \sigma S(t)dB(t)) \\
&= e^{-rt} \left((\mu - r)S(t)dt + \sigma S(t)d\tilde{B}(t) - \sigma \theta(t)dt \right) = e^{-rt} S(t)d\tilde{B}(t).
\end{aligned}$$

Rewriting the SDE in terms of the martingale $M_Q(t)$ instead of $Q(t)$ yields (where, on the continuous measure dt , we can swap $S(t)$ with $S(t-)$)

$$\begin{aligned}
dS(t) &= \mu S(t)dt + \sigma S(t)dB(t) + S(t-)d[Q(t) - \beta \lambda t + \beta \lambda t] \\
&= (\mu + \beta \lambda)S(t)dt + \sigma S(t)dB(t) + S(t-)dM_Q(t).
\end{aligned}$$

With jumps in play, we still need the dt term to be zero to make $e^{-rt}S(t)$ a \tilde{P} -martingale. To change measure, we can change the Poisson intensity from λ to $\tilde{\lambda}$, and change the probabilities of Y_1 to $\tilde{p}_m = \tilde{P}(Y_1 = y_m)$ so that $\tilde{\beta} = \tilde{E}(Y_1) = \sum_{m=1}^M \tilde{p}_m y_m$. However, we cannot change the y_m . We have

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{B}(t) &= B(t) + \int_0^t \theta(s) ds = B(t) + \theta t \\ \tilde{M}_Q(t) &= Q(t) - \tilde{\beta} \tilde{\lambda} t\end{aligned}$$

with the constant θ to be determined, yielding the \tilde{P} -martingale

$$\begin{aligned}d(e^{-rt}S(t)) &= e^{-rt}(-rS(t)dt + dS(t)) \\ &= e^{-rt}((\mu - r)S(t)dt + \sigma S(t)dB(t) + S(t-)dQ(t)) \\ &= e^{-rt}\left((\mu - r - \sigma\theta + \tilde{\beta}\tilde{\lambda})S(t)dt + \sigma S(t)d\tilde{B}(t) + S(t-)d\tilde{M}_Q(t)\right),\end{aligned}$$

which is only a martingale if the dt term is zero, with

$$\theta = \frac{\mu - r + \tilde{\beta}\tilde{\lambda}}{\sigma}.$$

Now, defining $\tilde{\lambda} = \sum_{m=1}^M \tilde{\lambda}_m$, where $\tilde{p}_m = \frac{\tilde{\lambda}_m}{\tilde{\lambda}}$ is the change of measure on Y_1 (from $p_m = \frac{\lambda_m}{\lambda}$). Thus, our change of measure process changes $B(t)$ to $\tilde{B}(t)$ and $M_Q(t)$ to $\tilde{M}_Q(t)$:

$$Z(t) = \exp\left[-\theta B(t) - \frac{1}{2}\theta(s)^2 t + (\lambda - \tilde{\lambda})t\right] \prod_{j=1}^{N(t)} \frac{\tilde{\lambda} \tilde{p}(Y_j)}{\lambda p(Y_j)}$$

so that, for fixed $T > 0$, $\tilde{P}(A) = \int_A Z(T) dP$, $A \in \mathcal{F}(T)$ makes $e^{-rt}S(t)$ a \tilde{P} -martingale.

Problem 6 (price a BSM-Euro call with jumps)

We found the risk-neutral measure in #5 above, so we are granted the risk-neutral measure here: we are given the stock price model $S(t) = S^*(t)e^{Q(t)}$ with

$$S^*(t) = S(0)e^{\sigma B(t) + \mu t}, \quad \mu = r - \frac{\sigma^2}{2} - \lambda(E(e^{Y_1}) - 1); \quad Q(t) = \sum_{j=1}^{N(t)} Y_j, \quad Y_j \sim N(\mu_0, \sigma_0^2).$$

Thus, the time-zero cost of a European call option with strike price K and expiration t is simply the risk-neutral discounted expected value of the payoff function, as usual:

$$C(0) = e^{-rt}E[(S(t) - K)^+].$$

To calculate this expected value, we can condition on the number of jumps up to time t , i.e. on the event $\{N(t) = n\}$. If $N(t) = n$, then $Q(t)$ is a normal random variable, independent of $B(t)$, and so we can add all the normals together and scale to a standard normal Z to find when $S(t) > K$

probabilistically.

$$\begin{aligned}
N(t) = n, S(t) > K &\implies \mu t + \sigma B(t) + Q(t) > \ln \left(\frac{K}{S(0)} \right) \\
&\implies \mu t + \left[\sigma B(t) + \sum_{j=1}^{N(t)=n} Y_j \right] > \ln \left(\frac{K}{S(0)} \right) \quad (\text{sum of } n+1 \text{ indep. normals}) \\
&\implies \mu t + \mu_0 n + Z \sqrt{\sigma_0^2 n + \sigma^2 t} > \ln \left(\frac{K}{S(0)} \right) \quad (Z \sim N(0, 1)) \\
&\implies Z > \frac{\ln \left(\frac{K}{S(0)} \right) - (\mu t + \mu_0 n)}{\sqrt{\sigma_0^2 n + \sigma^2 t}} \\
&\implies Z' \stackrel{(d)}{=} -Z < \frac{\ln \left(\frac{S(0)}{K} \right) + (\mu t + \mu_0 n)}{\sqrt{\sigma_0^2 n + \sigma^2 t}} =: d_-(n) \quad (Z' \sim N(0, 1)).
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, the price of the call option is

$$\begin{aligned}
C(0) &= e^{-rt} E[(S(t) - K)^+] \\
&= e^{-rt} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} E[(S(t) - K)^+ | N(t) = n] P(N(t) = n) \\
&= \frac{e^{-rt-\lambda}}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda^n}{n!} \int_{-\infty}^{d_-(n)} \left(S(0) e^{\mu t + \mu_0 n - x \sqrt{\sigma_0^2 n + \sigma^2 t}} - K \right) e^{-\frac{x^2}{2}} dx \\
&= e^{-\lambda} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda^n}{n!} (S(0) N(d_+(n)) - K e^{-rt} N(d_-(n))),
\end{aligned}$$

Note that setting $\lambda = 0$ kills off all the jumps and reduces this to the $n = 0$ case (using $0^0 = 1$), which is the usual BSM-Euro call price.