

Emerging Markets and Inflation

Lecture 4. Brazil

Fall 2017

Yury Blyakhman



Lecture 4. Brazil

- Lecture fully dedicated to Brazil markets
- Variety of special onshore and offshore products
- Special features of FX and Rates products and connections
- FX Convertibility

1. Benchmarks and Day Count Conventions
2. Foreign Exchange (FX) products
3. Linear Interest Rate products
4. Interest Rate Options

Lecture 4. Brazil

- Most special and peculiar Emerging Markets country
- Financial markets, conventions, products
- Special attention due to sheer size of the market
- Constrains due to capital controls and taxation

1. Benchmarks and Day Count Conventions

- ❑ SELIC Rate
- ❑ CDI Rate
- ❑ FX PTAX

2. Foreign Exchange (FX) products

3. Linear Interest Rate products

4. Interest Rate Options

- Introduce benchmarks and Day Count Conventions
- Mostly follow [[JPMorgan, 2004](#)] and use throughout the lecture

SELIC Rate:

- Central bank controlled repo rate
- Overnight borrowing and lending benchmark rate to banks
- Used for Govie's FRN overnight accrual
- Traded daily on Business / 252 convention:

$$\beta_{SELIC}(t, T) = \prod_{i=1}^N (1 + SELIC_i)^{1/252}$$

SELIC Rate (continue):

$$\beta_{SELIC}(t, T) = \prod_{i=1}^N (1 + SELIC_i)^{1/252}$$

- β is a SELIC-based bank account
- N is number of Brazil business days between t and T
- Note Holidays' contribution to any financial calculation!
- Addition or removal of public holidays:
 - Creates MTM and risk impact on any financial derivative
 - Propagates into fundamental Capital requirements!

CDI Rate:

$$\beta(t, T) = \prod_{i=1}^N (1 + CDI_i)^{1/252} \quad (1)$$

- No subscript for a main bank account β
- Uncollateralized interbank overnight rate, priced off SELIC
- Interestingly and surprisingly, historically trades below SELIC:
 - Reflecting tight interbank credit constraints (tighter than CB!)
 - Serving as main interbank benchmark rate

PTAX Rate:

- FX Fixing rate
- Weighted Average of intraday FX commercial transactions reported
- Main reference FX rate for settling:
 - Onshore and Offshore OTC trading
 - Exchange (BM&F) based trading
- ASK side only is used

Lecture 4. Brazil

Lecture fully dedicated to Brazil markets:

1. Benchmarks and Day Count Conventions
2. Foreign Exchange (FX) products
 - ❑ Onshore and Offshore FX
 - ❑ FX Futures
 - ❑ FX Convertibility
3. Linear Interest Rate products
4. Interest Rate Options

FX Products. Onshore and Offshore

- Follow [[JPMorgan, 2011](#)]
- Brazilian Real (BRL) is non-deliverable
- USD/BRL spot exchange rate is traded in domestic OTC, but is registered on local BM&F exchange
- Brazil Central Bank (BCB) buys and sells USD providing liquidity and controlling volatility

Onshore and Offshore FX:

- Cash settled FX derivatives traded onshore and offshore
- Note switch between Asset and Denominated in cases of Onshore vs. Offshore markets: settling in different currencies!

Onshore and Offshore FX (continued):

- Onshore, Quantity in Asset=BRL

$$FV^{BRL}(T) = N^{BRL} \cdot \left[1 - \frac{K}{F(T)} \right]$$

- Onshore, Quantity in Denominated=USD

$$FV^{BRL}(T) = N^{USD} \cdot [F(T) - K] \quad (2)$$

- Offshore, Quantity in Asset=USD

$$FV^{USD}(T) = N^{USD} \cdot \left[1 - \frac{K}{F(T)} \right] \quad (3)$$

- Keep definition of FX Spot rate of BRL per USD

FX Future:

- Onshore market
- FX Spot rate as underlying
- Settles on PTAX
- Virtually risk-free with daily margining
- Long dated trading + high rates + high vols → sizable Convexity Adjustment compared to FX Forwards as discussed in Lecture 2
- One of special Brazil features: **locally traded USD rate!** Different from US LIBOR
- USD local trading is still cash-settled in BRL of course

FX Future (continued):

$$\Phi_T = S \cdot \frac{[1 + r_{CDI}(t, T)]^{BD/252}}{\left[1 + r_{USD}(t, T) \cdot \frac{(T-t)}{360}\right] \times [1 + CDI(T-1, T)]} \quad (4)$$

- S is FX Spot
- BD is number of business days between t and T
- r_{USD} is onshore USD rate in Act/360 conventions
- r_{CDI} is effective term CDI rate defined via Eq.[1] as:

$$[1 + r_{CDI}(t_1 = t, t_N = T)]^{BD/252} \stackrel{def}{=} \prod_{i=1}^N (1 + CDI_i)^{1/252}$$

- Overnight correction term $[1 + CDI(T-1, T)]$. Will discuss later in more details. Comes from different settlement rules of BRL and USD

FX Future (continued):

- Futures standardization in Exchange trading +
- Better risk protection +
- Wider access of external investors to FX Future than to local FX Spot
- As a result, a phenomenon of front FX Future being much more liquid and attractive than FX Spot!
- Massive position to front FX Future vs. FX Spot creates a differential position between the two ➔
- Phenomenon of **Casado**

FX Convertibility:

- Onshore and Offshore FX markets trading NDFs. Differences?
- Onshore used by Offshore players for hedging [[Lipscomb, 2005](#)]
- Offshore players trying to manage their risk onshore
- Offshore may have perception of lower risk:
 - Wider range of counterparties
 - Generally stricter (or different) regulations
 - Expectation of lower transaction cost than onshore
- Result: diverging On- and Off-shore prices leading to *Convertibility*

FX Convertibility (continued):

- Taken to an extreme with Offshore market being driven by Onshore
- Convertibility evolves from a phenomenon to Tradable Asset
- Write Forward FX expression for Onshore and Offshore:

$$\begin{aligned} F^{OnShr}(T) &= S^{OnShr} \cdot \frac{Z_{\$}^{OnShr}(t, T)}{Z_{BRL}^{OnShr}(t, T)} \\ F^{OffShr}(T) &= S^{OffShr} \cdot \frac{Z_{\$}^{OffShr}(t, T)}{Z_{BRL}^{OffShr}(t, T)} \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

- S^{X-Shr} and F^{X-Shr} are FX Spot and Forward respectively
- Z^{X-Shr} is CCY Discount Factor

FX Convertibility (continued):

- Combining Eq. [4] and [5] we can write for each of Discount Factors:

$$\begin{aligned} Z_{\$}^{OffShr} &= \exp[-r_{US\ LIBOR}(t, T) \cdot (T - t)] \\ Z_{\$}^{OnShr} &= \frac{1}{1 + r_{\$}^{OnShr}(t, T) \cdot \frac{(T - t)}{360}} \\ Z_{BRL}^{OnShr} &= [1 + r_{CDI}(t, T)]^{-BD(t, T)/252} \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

- Define Convertibility as On- vs. Off- Shore FX Forward differential:

$$C(t, T) \stackrel{def}{=} \frac{F^{OffShr}(T)}{F^{OnShr}(T)}$$

- Or same in terms of Convertibility rate:

$$\begin{aligned} C(t, T) &= 1 + c_{A360}(t, T) \cdot \frac{T - t}{360} \\ &= [1 + c_{B252}]^{BD(t, T)/252} \end{aligned}$$

FX Convertibility (continued):



Figure 1. Future Cash Flow and Collateral example

- Assume same FX Spot to define Offshore FX via tradable Convertibility:

$$F^{OffShr}(T) = F^{OnShr}(T) \cdot C(t, T)$$

$$\frac{Z_{\$}^{OffShr}(t, T)}{Z_{BRL}^{OffShr}(t, T)} = \frac{Z_{\$}^{OnShr}(t, T)}{Z_{BRL}^{OnShr}(t, T)} \cdot C(t, T)$$

$$Z_{BRL}^{OffShr} \stackrel{def}{=} Z_{BRL}^{OnShr}(t, T) \cdot \frac{Z_{\$}^{OffShr}(t, T)}{Z_{\$}^{OnShr}(t, T)} \cdot \frac{1}{C(t, T)}$$

FX Convertibility (continued):

- Or same expressed via exponential rates for better visualization

$$\begin{aligned} Z_{BRL}^{OffShr} &= e^{-r_{CDI} \cdot \tau} \cdot \frac{e^{-r_{\$}^{OffShr} \cdot \tau}}{e^{-r_{\$}^{OnShr} \cdot \tau}} \cdot \frac{1}{e^{c \cdot \tau}} \\ &= \exp \left[- \left(r_{CDI} + r_{\$}^{OffShr} - r_{\$}^{OnShr} + c \right) \cdot \tau \right] \\ r_{BRL}^{OffShr} &\equiv r_{CDI} - \left(r_{\$}^{OnShr} - r_{\$}^{OffShr} \right) + c \end{aligned}$$

- Offshore FX implied BRL rate is thus equal to:
 - ❑ Onshore CDI rate
 - ❑ Less US Rates differential (**always positive! As will be shown later!**)
 - ❑ And FX Convertibility

FX Convertibility (continued):

- Very important methodologically, but also practically!
- Defines formation of the offshore FX market and Hedging approach!
- Controls Interpolation rules:
 - Assume defined FX Forward benchmarks for 1Y and 2Y BRLUSD FX
 - How do we come up with 18m point?
 1. Interpolate directly in FX Forwards space
 2. Interpolate USD and BRL FX Implied curves separately, then combine
 3. Interpolate 3 rates per conventions + Convertibility, then combine!
 - More on that later once we consider onshore rates interpolation

Lecture 4. Brazil

1. Benchmarks and Day Count Conventions
2. Foreign Exchange (FX) products
3. **Linear Interest Rate products**
 - IR Futures
 - CDI Swap
4. Interest Rate Options
 - Consider Rates products linked to benchmarks considered in Sec 1

- Main peculiarity: onshore rates products are FX dependent

IR Futures:

- Summarize in a table:

Name	Underlying	Category	Benchmark Reference
DI Future	Capitalized interbank deposit rates between trading day and Expiry	IR	CDI
DDI Future	Ratio between capitalized CDI and USD/BRL exchange rate variation up to futures expiry	FX / IR	CDI and USD/BRL PTAX
OC Future	Capitalized repo rate (SELIC) between trading day and Expiry	IR	SELIC
DCO Future	Ratio between capitalized SELIC and USD/BRL exchange rate variation up to futures expiry	FX / IR	SELIC and USD/BRL PTAX

Table 1. Interest Rates Futures trading on Brazil BM&F exchange.

DI Future

- Most liquid one and is CDI Rate based
- Market participants express views on overnight lending CDI
- As always: daily margin for participants to place on Exchange
- Effectively swaps compounded floating O/N rate for a Fixed one:
- Traded as purely discount instrument, so the price PU is:

$$PU_{DI} = \frac{100,000}{[1 + r_{CDI}(t, T)]^{BD(t, T)/252}} \quad (7)$$

- Collection of PU's across maturities uniquely defines Zero Curve
- Note: no convexity as Futures are effectively traded and treated as Forwards!

DI Future (continued)

- Recall zero curve bootstrapping complexities discussed in Lecture 3
- Specifically on possible Interpolation approaches!
- Brazil market is very specific on using Flat Forward
- Flat Forward Interpolation in rates space for $T_1 < S < T_2$ between two market traded DI Futures:

$$\begin{aligned}
 PU_{T_1} &= \frac{100,000}{[1 + r_{CDI}(t, T_1)]^{BD(t, T_1)/252}} \\
 PU_{T_2} &= \frac{100,000}{[1 + r_{CDI}(t, T_2)]^{BD(t, T_2)/252}} \equiv PU_{T_1} \cdot \frac{1}{[1 + f_{CDI}(T_1, T_2)]^{BD(T_1, T_2)/252}}
 \end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

here $f_{CDI}(T_1, T_2)$ is Forward CDI Rate between T_1 and T_2 , then

$$PU_S = PU_{T_1} \cdot \frac{1}{[1 + f_{CDI}(T_1, T_2)]^{BD(T_1, S)/252}}$$

DDI Future

- USD referencing DDI Future or **Cupom Cambial**
- Expect some FX dependency (recall FX/IR category in Table 1)
- Difference between effective CDI rate and FX PTAX variation
- Serves as source and effective hedge against onshore USD rate
- Traded also as discount instrument via onshore USD rates:

$$PU_{DDI} = \frac{100,000}{1 + r_{\$}(t, T) \cdot \frac{T - t}{360}}$$

- Let us now go back to FX Forwards pricing and consider Convertibility based interpolation compared to other simpler methods

HW1: Compare pricing of the 18m USD-BRL off-shore NDF via 3 approaches using market data provided:

1. Direct interpolation in the forward FX space
2. Two curves interpolation: US Libor and FX implied BRL off-shore
3. Convertibility approach interpolating 4 curves at once. Use Linear interp for Onshore USD and Convertibility, use market defined Flat Forward for DI rate

DDI Future continued...

- That is where complexity starts!
- Simple no-arbitrage must uniquely connect DI and DDI Futures via FX Futures
- Complexity comes from different settlement rules as already noted in Eq.(4)
- Different settlement rules between Rates and FX, but also BRL and USD
- From Eq.(4) connecting PU prices of DI and DDI Futures, FX Future price $\Phi(T)$ and TC_{T-1} is FX PTAX fixing prior to expiry:

$$PU_{DDI}(T) = PU_{DI}(T) \cdot \frac{\Phi(T)}{TC_{T-1}}$$

DDI Future (continued)

- TC_{T-1} is prior expiry FX PTAX fixing, so is not a good indicator of current market and current USD rates!
- Thus a name of **Dirty FX Coupon**
- And dedicated FRA called FRC or **Clean FX Coupon** to overcome is defined as differential between Short and Long DDI Future:

- Now can deduce bootstrapping of the Onshore USD Curve from:
 - Traded local DI and DDI Futures
 - FX Futures and
 - FRC contracts
- Follow [[Fabozzi, 2002](#)] first to discuss why onshore USD rate is different from USD LIBOR
- No-arbitrage domestic (onshore) rate expression via:
 - Offshore US LIBOR
 - Some spread and
 - FX devaluation we already used in Lecture 3

Onshore USD Curve [Fabozzi, 2002] (continued)

- Onshore rate via Offshore, spread s and FX devaluation \hat{e}_t

$$\left(r_{\$}^{OffShr} + s\right) \cdot \tau = \frac{\left(1 + r_{\$}^{OnShr}\right)^{\tau}}{\left(1 + \hat{e}_t\right)} - 1 \quad (9)$$

- Right side: interest earned investing locally, hedge currency risk
 - Left side: cost of funding in USD (assuming LIBOR funding contrary to Differential Discounting discussion in Lecture 3)
- Break in equality means arbitrage and is equivalent to free money flowing in or out
 - Domestic rate side is higher ➔ money starts flowing in
 - And the other way around
 - Eq.(9) also offers clear path for local policy actions to balance money flows across borders via capital controls

Onshore USD Curve [Fabozzi, 2002] (continued)

- Easy and most usual capital control is to add tax on earnings
- Thus restricting capital flow into the country
- Amend Eq.(9) to reflect that:

$$\left(r_{\$}^{OffShr} + s\right) \cdot \tau = \frac{\left[\left(1 + r_{\$}^{OnShr}\right)^{\tau} - 1\right] \times (1 - tax) + 1}{(1 + \hat{e}_t)} - 1$$

- Anything else? ➔

HW2: More economics than math, but suggest effects of upfront fees and minimum tenor requirements as yet another measures of capital control on on-shore USD rates in Brazil

CDI Swap

- OTC version of DI Future called Pre-DI or CDI Swap
- Combine Eq.(1) and (7) to write for Future Value of unit legs:

$$\begin{aligned}fv^{FIX}(t_o, t_N = T) &= (1 + K)^{BD(t_o, T)/252} \\fv^{FLT}(t_o, t_N = T) &= \prod_{i=0}^N (1 + CDI_i)^{1/252}\end{aligned}\tag{10}$$

- PV converges to simple discounting:

$$\begin{aligned}pv^{FLT} &= \mathbf{E} \left[\frac{fv^{FLT}(t_o, T)}{\beta(t_o, T)} \right] \\&= Z_{BRL}^{OnShr}(t, T) \cdot \prod_{i=0}^N (1 + CDI_i)^{1/252}\end{aligned}\tag{11}$$

- Spot starting Floating leg must price to par (unity)

Percentage CDI Swap

- Market uses Percentage of rate instead of Spread to solve that
- Leverage factor κ (quoted in percentage terms) or percentage accumulation of the overnight rate

$$fv^{FLT}(t_o, t_N = T) = \prod_{i=0}^N \left\{ \left(1 + CDI_i \right)^{1/252} - 1 \right\} \cdot \kappa + 1 \quad (12)$$

- No simple discounting as in Eq.(11), so need Convexity Adjustment:

$$pv^{FLT}(t_o, t_N = T) = Cxty \cdot Z(t, T) \cdot \prod_{i=0}^N \left\{ \left(1 + CDI_i \right)^{1/252} - 1 \right\} \cdot \kappa + 1$$

- Use same Discount Factor notation as in Eq.(11)

Percentage CDI Swap (continued)

- Approximate Eq.(12) via short exp rate ex subscript for simplicity and replace starting time t_o with 0:

$$fv^{FLT}(0, T) = \prod_{i=0}^N \left\{ (1 + CDI_i)^{1/252} - 1 \right\} \cdot \kappa + 1 \Bigg\} \\ \approx \exp \left(\kappa \int_0^T r(s) ds \right)$$

- Expectation for Present Value:

$$pv^{FLT}(0, T) = \mathbf{E} \left[\exp \left(\kappa \int_0^T r(s) ds \right) \cdot \exp \left(- \int_0^T r(s) ds \right) \right] \\ = \mathbf{E} \left[\exp \left(- (1 - \kappa) \int_0^T r(s) ds \right) \right]$$

Percentage CDI Swap (continued)

- As per Lecture 2 Convexity Primer, try simple model. Vasicek:

$$dr_t = (\theta - \mu \cdot r_t)dt + \sigma \cdot dW_t$$

- here μ is constant mean reversion and
- σ is constant volatility

- Integrate Vasicek for closed form of zero-coupon bond price (more on that later)

$$pv(0, T) = \exp \left[(1 - \kappa) \cdot r_o \cdot B_T + (1 - \kappa) \frac{\theta}{\mu} (B_T - T) - (1 - \kappa)^2 \frac{\sigma^2}{2\mu^2} \left(B_T - T + \frac{\mu}{2} B_T^2 \right) \right]$$

- here $B_T \stackrel{def}{=} \frac{1}{\mu} (1 - e^{-\mu T})$

Percentage CDI Swap (continued)

- No-Convexity term ignores expectation:

$$pv_{NoCxty}(0, T) = \exp \left[(1 - \kappa) \cdot r_o \cdot B_T + (1 - \kappa) \frac{\theta}{\mu} (B_T - T) - (1 - \kappa) \frac{\sigma^2}{2\mu^2} \left(B_T - T + \frac{\mu}{2} B_T^2 \right) \right]$$

- And Convexity expression is a ratio of the two:

$$Cxty \stackrel{def}{=} \frac{pv(0, T)}{pv_{NoCxty}(0, T)} = \exp \left[\kappa(1 - \kappa) \frac{\sigma^2}{2\mu^2} \left(B_T - T + \frac{\mu}{2} B_T^2 \right) \right]$$

- Simplify more for $\mu=0$

$$\begin{aligned} Cxty &\approx \lim_{\mu \rightarrow 0} \left\{ \exp \left[\kappa(1 - \kappa) \frac{\sigma^2}{2\mu^2} \left(B_T - T + \frac{\mu}{2} B_T^2 \right) \right] \right\} \\ &= \exp \left[-\frac{1}{6} \kappa(1 - \kappa) \sigma^2 T^3 \right] \end{aligned}$$

Percentage CDI Swap (continued)

HW3: Estimate level of Percentage CDI Swap convexity adjustment for ranges of κ , σ and T . Suggest validity limits where convexity could be safely ignored and where it should be accounted.

Lecture 4. Brazil

1. Benchmarks and Day Count Conventions
2. Foreign Exchange (FX) products
3. Linear Interest Rate products
4. Interest Rate Options
 - CDI Swaption and Option on DI Future
 - CDI Cap
 - IDI Option
- Assume general familiarity with Options pricing theory and IR Options

CDI Swaption, Option on DI Future

- Future Value of Fixed Rate payer CDI swap as per Eq.(10)

$$fv(t_o, t_N = T) = \prod_{i=0}^N (1 + CDI_i)^{1/252} - (1 + K)^{BD(t_o, T)/252}$$

- Rewrite with effective CDI rate r and PV as in Eq.(11)

$$pv(t, T) = \left[(1 + r(t, T))^{BD(t, T)/252} - (1 + K)^{BD(t, T)/252} \right] \cdot Z(t, T)$$

- Option to enter on swap starting date t

$$\pi^{CDI\ Swptm}(t) = \max \left[(1 + r(t, T))^{BD(t, T)/252} - (1 + K)^{BD(t, T)/252}, 0 \right] \cdot Z(t, T)$$

CDI Swaption (continued)

- Discounting as per Eq.(6)

$$\pi_o^{CDI\ Swptn} = \max \left[\left(1 + r(t, T) \right)^{BD(t, T)/252} - (1 + K)^{BD(t, T)/252}, 0 \right] \cdot Z(0, T)$$
$$\pi_o^{CDI\ Swptn} \equiv Z(0, T) \cdot \max(FLT - FIX, 0)$$

- As per market conventions quote via implied Black-Sholes vol σ_{FLT} :

$$\pi_o^{CDI\ Swptn} \equiv Z(0, T) \cdot BS(FLT, FIX, t, T, \sigma_{FLT})$$

- Also, per same conventions it must be expressed via rate vol as follows:

$$\frac{dr}{r} = \mu dt + \sigma dW_{CDI}$$
$$\frac{dFLT}{FLT} = \mu_{FLT} dt + \sigma_{FLT} dW_{FLT}$$

CDI Swaption (continued)

■ Continue from before

$$\begin{aligned}dFLT &= \frac{dFLT}{dr} dr \\&= \frac{BD(t,T)}{252} (1+r)^{BD(t,T)/252-1} dr \\&= \frac{BD(t,T)}{252} \frac{FLT}{(1+r)} dr \\ \frac{dFLT}{FLT} &= \frac{BD(t,T)}{252} \frac{r}{(1+r)} \frac{dr}{r} \Rightarrow \sigma_{FLT} = \frac{BD(t,T)}{252} \frac{r}{(1+r)} \sigma\end{aligned}$$

- Market strongly is required to confirm every swaption on price (premium), rate vol and price vol as per above!
- Anything wrong with these assumptions?
- Only flexibility left is in Vol smile or Vol-per-strike approach

CDI Cap

- Cap on a CDI rate as single Caplet similarly to a regular IR Cap
- Accumulation starting at t and ending at T :

$$\pi(t_o = t, t_N = T) = \max \left[\prod_{i=0}^N (1 + CDI_i)^{1/252} - (1 + K)^{BD(t,T)/252}, 0 \right]$$

- Approximate overnight accumulation via integral over short rate $r(s)$ again as $e^r \approx 1 + CDI$ to re-write Eq.(1) for the bank account:

$$\beta(t, T) = \exp \left[\int_t^T r(s) ds \right] \propto \prod_{i=0}^N (1 + CDI_i)^{1/252}$$

- Then Cap's pay-off reduces to

$$\pi_T = \max[\beta(t, T) - FIX, 0]$$

CDI Cap (continued)

- PV of that pay-off we can write via short rate stochastic Discount Factor:

$$\pi_t = \max[1 - D(t, T) \cdot \text{FIX}, 0]$$

$$D(t, T) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \exp\left(-\int_t^T r(s) ds\right)$$

- Try deriving $D(t, T)$ from dynamics of a Zero Coupon bond. Note drift explicitly expressed via the short rate

$$\frac{dZ(t, T)}{Z(t, T)} = r(t)dt + \sigma_Z(t, T)dW_t \quad (13)$$

- And solve it:

$$d \ln[Z(t, T)] = \left(r(s) - \frac{\sigma_Z^2(t, T)}{2} \right) dt + \sigma_Z(t, T) dW_t$$

$$Z(t, T) = Z(0, T) \cdot \exp\left[\int_0^t \left(r(s) - \frac{\sigma_Z^2(s, T)}{2} \right) ds\right] \cdot \exp\left[\int_0^t \sigma_Z(s, T) dW_s\right]$$

CDI Cap (continued)

- Invert and add a unit Zero Coupon bond:

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{Z(T,T)}{Z(t,T)} &= \exp\left[\int_0^T \left(r(s) - \frac{\sigma_Z^2(s,T)}{2}\right) ds - \int_0^t \left(r(s) - \frac{\sigma_Z^2(s,T)}{2}\right) ds\right] \cdot \exp\left[\int_0^T \sigma_Z(s,T) dW_s - \int_0^t \sigma_Z(s,T) dW_s\right] \\ &= \exp\left[\int_t^T r(s) ds\right] \cdot \exp\left[\int_t^T \left(-\frac{\sigma_Z^2(s,T)}{2}\right) ds\right] \cdot \exp\left[\int_t^T \sigma_Z(s,T) dW_s\right]\end{aligned}$$

- To extract Stochastic Discount Factor expression:

$$D(t,T) = \exp\left[-\int_t^T r(s) ds\right] = Z(t,T) \cdot \exp\left[\int_t^T \left(-\frac{\sigma_Z^2(s,T)}{2}\right) ds\right] \cdot \exp\left[\int_t^T \sigma_Z(s,T) dW_s\right] \quad (14)$$

CDI Cap. HJM for short CDI rate

- Introduce forward rate $f(t, T)$ and use martingale $Z(t, T)/\beta(t)$

$$\begin{aligned}df(t, T) &= \mu_f(t, T)dt + \sigma_f(t, T)dW_t \\Z(t, T) &= \exp\left[-\int_t^T f(t, s)ds\right] \\ \mu_f(t, T) &= \sigma_f(t, T)\int_t^T \sigma_f(t, s)ds\end{aligned}\tag{15}$$

- Drift condition above derived equating drift of $Z(t, T)$ from Eq.(13) and (15):

$$r(t) - \int_t^T \mu_f(t, s)ds + \frac{1}{2}\left\|\int_t^T \sigma_f(t, s)ds\right\|^2 = r(t)$$

CDI Cap. HJM for short CDI rate

- Simplest form of Hull-White vol for extended Vasicek

$$\sigma_f(t, T) = \sigma(t) \exp \left[- \int_t^T \lambda(x) dx \right]$$

- Or even easier for $\lambda = \text{Const}$:

$$\sigma_f(t, T) = \sigma(t) e^{-\lambda(T-t)}$$

CDI Cap. HJM for short CDI rate

- Derive Zero Coupon Bond vol σ_Z via Ito and Eq.(13) and (15):

$$f(t, T) = -\frac{\partial \ln[Z(t, T)]}{\partial T}$$
$$df(t, T) = \sigma_Z \frac{\partial \sigma_Z}{\partial T} dt - \frac{\partial \sigma_Z}{\partial T} dW_t$$

- To arrive at that we write

$$\sigma_Z(t, T) = -\int_t^T \sigma_f(t, s) ds$$

- That for constant vol converges to

$$\sigma_Z(t, T) = -\sigma \int_t^T e^{-\lambda(s-t)} ds$$
$$= \frac{\sigma}{\lambda} (e^{-\lambda(T-t)} - 1)$$

CDI Cap. HJM for short CDI rate (continued)

- Back to Eq.(14) to integrate σ_Z

$$\exp\left[-\int_t^T \frac{\sigma_Z^2(s,T)}{2} ds\right] = \exp\left[-\frac{1}{2} \int_t^T \frac{\sigma^2}{\lambda^2} (e^{-\lambda(T-s)} - 1)^2 ds\right] \equiv \exp\left[-\frac{1}{2} \Sigma_Z^2\right]$$

- Where

$$\begin{aligned} \Sigma_Z^2 &\equiv \int_t^T \frac{\sigma^2}{\lambda^2} (e^{-\lambda(T-s)} - 1)^2 ds \\ &= \frac{\sigma^2}{\lambda^2} \left\{ \frac{e^{-2\lambda T}}{2\lambda} (e^{2\lambda T} - e^{2\lambda t}) - \frac{2e^{-\lambda T}}{\lambda} (e^{\lambda T} - e^{\lambda t}) + (T-t) \right\} \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

- Combine all of the above re-writing Eq.(14) for our stochastic DF:

$$D(t,T) = \exp\left[-\int_t^T r(s) ds\right] = Z(t,T) \cdot \exp\left[-\frac{1}{2} \Sigma_Z^2\right] \cdot \exp\left[\int_t^T \sigma_Z(s,T) dW_s\right] \quad (17)$$

CDI Cap. Final Cap price

- Once again for Option's price with Expectation:

$$\begin{aligned}\pi_o &= [1 - D(0, T) \cdot FIX]^+ \\ &\equiv \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \max[1 - D_T \cdot FIX, 0] \cdot \phi(D_T) dD_T\end{aligned}$$

- Take log of Eq.(17):

$$\ln(D_T) = \left(\ln(Z(0, T)) - \frac{1}{2} \Sigma_Z^2 \right) + \int_0^T \sigma_Z(s, T) dW_s$$

to see it is Normally distributed with mean

$$m_D \equiv \left(\ln(Z(0, T)) - \frac{1}{2} \Sigma_Z^2 \right) \quad (18)$$

and variance Σ_Z^2

CDI Cap. Final Cap price (continued)

- Define new variable $x \equiv \frac{\ln(D_T) - m_D}{\Sigma_Z}$
- Now $x \propto N(0,1)$ and $D(0,T) = \exp(x \cdot \Sigma_Z + m_D)$, so we can write

$$\pi_o = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \max[1 - e^{x \cdot \Sigma_Z + m_D} \cdot FIX, 0] \cdot \phi(x) dx,$$

$$\text{where } \phi(x) \equiv \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-x^2/2}$$

- Thus we can write similar to Black-Sholes with m_D and Σ_Z^2 defined in Eq.(16) and (18)

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_o &= N(d_1) - e^{m_D + \Sigma_Z^2/2} N(d_2) \cdot FIX \\ d_1 &\equiv -\frac{\ln(FIX) + m_D}{\Sigma_Z} \quad d_2 \equiv d_1 - \Sigma_Z \end{aligned}$$

CDI Cap. Final Cap price (continued)

- Once again Brazil IR Option via Black-Sholes:

$$\pi_o^{CAP} = BS \left[CALL, FLT(t, T), FIX(t, T), T, \frac{\Sigma_Z(t, T, \sigma, \lambda)}{\sqrt{T-t}} \right] \cdot Z(0, T)$$

IDI Option

- IDI Index: average overnight interbank deposit rate index

$$IDI_t = IDI_{t-1} \cdot \left[(1 + CDI_{t-1})^{1/252} \right]$$

- Compare that to Eq.(1) to see that IDI is identical to a bank account with some initial reset

IDI Option (continued)

- Cash settled IDI Call Option pay-off is:

$$\pi_T^{IDI\ CALL} = \max[IDI_T - K, 0]$$

is a simplified expression for Today starting CDI Cap:

$$\begin{aligned}\pi_o^{IDI\ CALL} &= IDI_o \cdot \max[FLT(0, T) - FIX(0, T), 0] \cdot Z(0, T) \\ &= IDI_o \cdot \max[1 - FIX(0, T) \cdot Z(0, T), 0] \\ &= IDI_o \cdot BS\left[CALL, 1, FIX(0, T) \cdot Z(0, T), T, \frac{\Sigma_z(T, \sigma, \lambda)}{\sqrt{T}}\right]\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\Sigma_z(T, \sigma, \lambda) = \frac{\sigma^2}{\lambda^2} \left\{ \frac{e^{-2\lambda T}}{2\lambda} (e^{2\lambda T} - 1) - \frac{2e^{-\lambda T}}{\lambda} (e^{\lambda T} - 1) + T \right\}$$

IDI Option. Last comment

- HJM vol σ we used in derivation could be connected to CDI rate vol σ_{CDI} from earlier via simple relationship:

$$\sigma = \sigma_{CDI} \cdot f(T-1, T)$$

where $f_{CDI}(T-1, T)$ is instantaneous forward CDI rate

References

- [JPMorgan, 2004] *Guide to Brazilian Local Markets*. J.P.Morgan. 2004
- [JPMorgan, 2011] *Local Markets Guide. Brazil*. J.P.Morgan. 2011
- [Lipscomb, 2005] Lipscomb, (2005). *An Overview of Non-Deliverable Foreign Exchange Forward Markets*. Federal Reserve Bank of New York
- [Fabozzi, 2002] Fabozzi, (2002). *Investing in Emerging Fixed Income Markets*. John Wiley & Sons

Homework Supplement

1. Compare pricing of the 18m USD-BRL off-shore NDF via 3 approaches using market data provided:

Today	23-Nov-15			
FX Spot	3.75			
Multiplier	10,000			
FX Market Data				
				Convertibility Rate, Linear
Tenor	FX Fwd Pts	USD Disc Factor	Act/360	
1Y	4,400.0	0.9935	0.96%	
2Y	9,050.0	0.9810	0.40%	
Brazil Onshore Dates and Rates				
		USD Onshore Rate, Linear		
Tenor	Date	BRL Onshore Rate, Bus/252	Act/360	Num BRL Bus Days
1Y	1-Apr-17	15.33%	4.03%	341
2Y	1-Jul-17	15.43%	4.02%	402
18M	23-May-17			375

3. Estimate level of Percentage CDI Swap convexity adjustment for ranges of κ , σ and T via few study cases provided. Suggest validity limits where convexity could be safely ignored and where it should be accounted for properly.

Start with level of rates at 15%, consider cases of log-normal rate vol of 10% and 30%, consider κ from 50% to 150%, and consider maturities from 1y to 10y. Discuss adjustment for swap with a notional of \$1mm.

Hint: note that Vasicek model of short rates we considered requires normal volatility. Discuss how to convert log-normal vol provided to normal vol needed in our formulae