#### **Hominins**

Emergence

Sociality

#### Outline

Emergence

Sociality

► Hominins refer to people and our upright ancestors

- ▶ **Hominins** refer to people and our upright ancestors
- ► Characterized by:

- ▶ **Hominins** refer to people and our upright ancestors
- ► Characterized by:
  - ► Walking upright

- ▶ **Hominins** refer to people and our upright ancestors
- Characterized by:
  - ► Walking upright
  - ► Specific changes in chewing design: teeth, jaws and skull


- ▶ **Hominins** refer to people and our upright ancestors
- Characterized by:
  - ► Walking upright
  - Specific changes in chewing design: teeth, jaws and skull



► Homonoidea, Hominidae, Hominininae, Hominini, Hominina, Homo



- Homonoidea, Hominidae, Hominininae, Hominini, Hominina, Homo
- ► Why so much detailed splitting?



- ► Homonoidea, Hominidae, Hominininae, Hominini, Hominina, Homo
- Why so much detailed splitting?





- ► Homonoidea, Hominidae, Hominininae, Hominini, Hominina, Homo
- Why so much detailed splitting?
  - \* We're a little bit full of ourselves



- ► Homonoidea, Hominidae, Hominininae, Hominini, Hominina, Homo
- Why so much detailed splitting?
  - ► \* We're a little bit full of ourselves
  - **▶** \*



- ► Homonoidea, Hominidae, Hominininae, Hominini, Hominina, Homo
- Why so much detailed splitting?
  - \* We're a little bit full of ourselves
  - ▶ \* Observer bias



- ► Homonoidea, Hominidae, Hominininae, Hominini, Hominina, Homo
- Why so much detailed splitting?
  - \* We're a little bit full of ourselves
  - ▶ \* Observer bias

▶ What did our common ancestor with chimpanzees look like?

- ▶ What did our common ancestor with chimpanzees look like?
- ► Which fossils are related to which other fossils?

- ▶ What did our common ancestor with chimpanzees look like?
- ▶ Which fossils are related to which other fossils?
- ► The key is which features are reliable indicators of relatedness?


- What did our common ancestor with chimpanzees look like?
- ▶ Which fossils are related to which other fossils?
- ▶ The key is which features are reliable indicators of relatedness?
  - **▶** \*

- ▶ What did our common ancestor with chimpanzees look like?
- Which fossils are related to which other fossils?
- ▶ The key is which features are reliable indicators of relatedness?
  - ► \* How do we tell the difference between convergence and homology?

- What did our common ancestor with chimpanzees look like?
- Which fossils are related to which other fossils?
- ▶ The key is which features are reliable indicators of relatedness?
  - ▶ \* How do we tell the difference between convergence and homology?
    - \*

- ▶ What did our common ancestor with chimpanzees look like?
- ▶ Which fossils are related to which other fossils?
- ▶ The key is which features are reliable indicators of relatedness?
  - ► \* How do we tell the difference between convergence and homology?
  - \* It's all in the details

- ▶ What did our common ancestor with chimpanzees look like?
- ▶ Which fossils are related to which other fossils?
- ▶ The key is which features are reliable indicators of relatedness?
  - ► \* How do we tell the difference between convergence and homology?
  - \* It's all in the details



#### Competition and replacement

► *H. erectus* replaced everything that came before it

#### Competition and replacement

- ► H. erectus replaced everything that came before it
- ► *H. sapiens* replaced everything that came before *it*

#### Competition and replacement

- ▶ *H. erectus* replaced everything that came before it
- ► *H. sapiens* replaced everything that came before *it*

► Characterized by small face and teeth

- ► Characterized by small face and teeth
- ► Less robust skeletal structure

- Characterized by small face and teeth
- Less robust skeletal structure
- ► Evolved in Africa around 200 kya (thousand years ago)

- Characterized by small face and teeth
- Less robust skeletal structure
- Evolved in Africa around 200 kya (thousand years ago)
- ► Took over most of the world in the last 50,000 years

- Characterized by small face and teeth
- Less robust skeletal structure
- Evolved in Africa around 200 kya (thousand years ago)
- ► Took over most of the world in the last 50,000 years

► Modern humans arose around 200 kya, but took over the world around 50 kya

- ► Modern humans arose around 200 kya, but took over the world around 50 kya
- ► What happened?

- ► Modern humans arose around 200 kya, but took over the world around 50 kya
- ▶ What happened?
  - ► Cultural change?

- ► Modern humans arose around 200 kya, but took over the world around 50 kya
- ► What happened?
  - Cultural change?
  - Evolutionary change?

- ► Modern humans arose around 200 kya, but took over the world around 50 kya
- What happened?
  - Cultural change?
  - Evolutionary change?
    - ► Sudden or gradual?

- ▶ Modern humans arose around 200 kya, but took over the world around 50 kya
- What happened?
  - Cultural change?
  - Evolutionary change?
    - ► Sudden or gradual?
  - ► Why don't we see evidence?

- ► Modern humans arose around 200 kya, but took over the world around 50 kya
- What happened?
  - Cultural change?
  - Evolutionary change?
    - Sudden or gradual?
  - Why don't we see evidence?

- ► Modern humans arose around 200 kya, but took over the world around 50 kya
- What happened?
  - Cultural change?
  - Evolutionary change?
    - ► Sudden or gradual?
  - ▶ Why don't we see evidence?
    - \* Might be about our brains, and not reflected in fossils

- ► Modern humans arose around 200 kya, but took over the world around 50 kya
- What happened?
  - Cultural change?
  - Evolutionary change?
    - ► Sudden or gradual?
  - ▶ Why don't we see evidence?
    - \* Might be about our brains, and not reflected in fossils

▶ There are a lot of theories and a great deal of expertise

- ▶ There are a lot of theories and a great deal of expertise
- ▶ But expertise can also lead to over-confidence

- ▶ There are a lot of theories and a great deal of expertise
- But expertise can also lead to over-confidence
- As with other examples, we try to make and test theories

- ▶ There are a lot of theories and a great deal of expertise
- But expertise can also lead to over-confidence
- As with other examples, we try to make and test theories
  - >

- ▶ There are a lot of theories and a great deal of expertise
- But expertise can also lead to over-confidence
- As with other examples, we try to make and test theories
  - \* Make predictions about things that haven't been seen yet

- ▶ There are a lot of theories and a great deal of expertise
- But expertise can also lead to over-confidence
- As with other examples, we try to make and test theories
  - \* Make predictions about things that haven't been seen yet

► Were our ancestors more like us, or more like apes?

▶ Were our ancestors more like us, or more like apes?



- ▶ Were our ancestors more like us, or more like apes?
  - ▶ \* Trick question: we are apes, if apes are a clade

- ▶ Were our ancestors more like us, or more like apes?
  - \* Trick question: we are apes, if apes are a clade
  - **▶** \*

- ▶ Were our ancestors more like us, or more like apes?
  - ▶ \* Trick question: we are apes, if apes are a clade
  - ▶ \* Among living apes, the closest *relatives* of our ancestors is us

- ▶ Were our ancestors more like us, or more like apes?
  - \* Trick question: we are apes, if apes are a clade
  - ▶ \* Among living apes, the closest *relatives* of our ancestors is us
  - \*

- ▶ Were our ancestors more like us, or more like apes?
  - ▶ \* Trick question: we are apes, if apes are a clade
  - ▶ \* Among living apes, the closest *relatives* of our ancestors is us
  - \* In some important ways, we have evolved more than chimpanzees have

- Were our ancestors more like us, or more like apes?
  - ▶ \* Trick question: we are apes, if apes are a clade
  - ▶ \* Among living apes, the closest *relatives* of our ancestors is us
  - \* In some important ways, we have evolved more than chimpanzees have
  - > 1

- ▶ Were our ancestors more like us, or more like apes?
  - ▶ \* Trick question: we *are* apes, if apes are a clade
  - ▶ \* Among living apes, the closest *relatives* of our ancestors is us
  - \* In some important ways, we have evolved more than chimpanzees have
  - ▶ \* But chimpanzees have probably evolved more than we think

- Were our ancestors more like us, or more like apes?
  - ▶ \* Trick question: we *are* apes, if apes are a clade
  - ▶ \* Among living apes, the closest *relatives* of our ancestors is us
  - \* In some important ways, we have evolved more than chimpanzees have
  - ▶ \* But chimpanzees have probably evolved more than we think
    - **▶** \*

- ▶ Were our ancestors more like us, or more like apes?
  - ▶ \* Trick question: we *are* apes, if apes are a clade
  - ▶ \* Among living apes, the closest *relatives* of our ancestors is us
  - \* In some important ways, we have evolved more than chimpanzees have
  - ▶ \* But chimpanzees have probably evolved more than we think
    - ▶ \* Observer bias

- ▶ Were our ancestors more like us, or more like apes?
  - ▶ \* Trick question: we are apes, if apes are a clade
  - ▶ \* Among living apes, the closest *relatives* of our ancestors is us
  - \* In some important ways, we have evolved more than chimpanzees have
  - ▶ \* But chimpanzees have probably evolved more than we think
    - \* Observer bias
    - **▶** ≯

- ▶ Were our ancestors more like us, or more like apes?
  - ▶ \* Trick question: we *are* apes, if apes are a clade
  - ▶ \* Among living apes, the closest *relatives* of our ancestors is us
  - \* In some important ways, we have evolved more than chimpanzees have
  - ▶ \* But chimpanzees have probably evolved more than we think
    - \* Observer bias
    - \* Our ancestors are less like chimps than we thought

- ▶ Were our ancestors more like us, or more like apes?
  - ▶ \* Trick question: we *are* apes, if apes are a clade
  - ▶ \* Among living apes, the closest *relatives* of our ancestors is us
  - \* In some important ways, we have evolved more than chimpanzees have
  - ▶ \* But chimpanzees have probably evolved more than we think
    - \* Observer bias
    - \* Our ancestors are less like chimps than we thought

#### Observer bias



► How did upright posture and upright walking evolve?

- ► How did upright posture and upright walking evolve?
- ▶ It's not known, but there are many theories:

- How did upright posture and upright walking evolve?
- It's not known, but there are many theories:
  - ► Adaptation to walking on the ground instead of swinging through trees

- How did upright posture and upright walking evolve?
- It's not known, but there are many theories:
  - Adaptation to walking on the ground instead of swinging through trees
    - \*


- How did upright posture and upright walking evolve?
- It's not known, but there are many theories:
  - Adaptation to walking on the ground instead of swinging through trees
    - \* If so, probably dependent on gradual evolution from existing form

- ► How did upright posture and upright walking evolve?
- lt's not known, but there are many theories:
  - Adaptation to walking on the ground instead of swinging through trees
    - \* If so, probably dependent on gradual evolution from existing form
  - Adaptation for keeping cool

- ► How did upright posture and upright walking evolve?
- lt's not known, but there are many theories:
  - Adaptation to walking on the ground instead of swinging through trees
    - \* If so, probably dependent on gradual evolution from existing form
  - Adaptation for keeping cool
  - ► Adaptation for harvesting food

- ► How did upright posture and upright walking evolve?
- lt's not known, but there are many theories:
  - Adaptation to walking on the ground instead of swinging through trees
    - \* If so, probably dependent on gradual evolution from existing form
  - Adaptation for keeping cool
  - Adaptation for harvesting food
  - Adaptation for carrying food

- ► How did upright posture and upright walking evolve?
- lt's not known, but there are many theories:
  - Adaptation to walking on the ground instead of swinging through trees
    - \* If so, probably dependent on gradual evolution from existing form
  - Adaptation for keeping cool
  - Adaptation for harvesting food
  - Adaptation for carrying food



#### Gradual evolution

► Hominins' evolution of upright posture was likely dependent on evolutionary history and circumstance

- ► Hominins' evolution of upright posture was likely dependent on evolutionary history and circumstance
  - Built on previous adaptations

- ► Hominins' evolution of upright posture was likely dependent on evolutionary history and circumstance
  - Built on previous adaptations
- ► Evolution of upright posture almost certainly led to further evolutionary change:

- ► Hominins' evolution of upright posture was likely dependent on evolutionary history and circumstance
  - Built on previous adaptations
- Evolution of upright posture almost certainly led to further evolutionary change:
  - Carrying and storing things

- ► Hominins' evolution of upright posture was likely dependent on evolutionary history and circumstance
  - Built on previous adaptations
- Evolution of upright posture almost certainly led to further evolutionary change:
  - Carrying and storing things
  - ► Making and using tools

- ► Hominins' evolution of upright posture was likely dependent on evolutionary history and circumstance
  - Built on previous adaptations
- Evolution of upright posture almost certainly led to further evolutionary change:
  - Carrying and storing things
  - Making and using tools

► Evidence from fossils

- Evidence from fossils
  - knees, hips, backs, skulls all provide evidence about posture

- Evidence from fossils
  - knees, hips, backs, skulls all provide evidence about posture
  - teeth and jaws provide evidence about diet

- Evidence from fossils
  - knees, hips, backs, skulls all provide evidence about posture
  - teeth and jaws provide evidence about diet
- ► Evidence from archaeology

- Evidence from fossils
  - knees, hips, backs, skulls all provide evidence about posture
  - teeth and jaws provide evidence about diet
- Evidence from archaeology
  - hominin fossils may be found in particular placess

- Evidence from fossils
  - knees, hips, backs, skulls all provide evidence about posture
  - teeth and jaws provide evidence about diet
- Evidence from archaeology
  - hominin fossils may be found in particular placess
  - associated with fossils from things that hominins used to eat

- Evidence from fossils
  - knees, hips, backs, skulls all provide evidence about posture
  - teeth and jaws provide evidence about diet
- Evidence from archaeology
  - hominin fossils may be found in particular placess
  - associated with fossils from things that hominins used to eat
  - or with tools

- Evidence from fossils
  - knees, hips, backs, skulls all provide evidence about posture
  - teeth and jaws provide evidence about diet
- Evidence from archaeology
  - hominin fossils may be found in particular placess
  - associated with fossils from things that hominins used to eat
  - or with tools

► Very early hominins (6 mya) had facial and dental features that were similar to later hominins (2 mya)

- ► Very early hominins (6 mya) had facial and dental features that were similar to later hominins (2 mya)
  - Less similar to chimpanzees

- ➤ Very early hominins (6 mya) had facial and dental features that were similar to later hominins (2 mya)
  - Less similar to chimpanzees
  - ▶ But also less similar to *Australopiths* (3 mya)

- ➤ Very early hominins (6 mya) had facial and dental features that were similar to later hominins (2 mya)
  - Less similar to chimpanzees
  - But also less similar to Australopiths (3 mya)
- ► Is this surprising?

- ➤ Very early hominins (6 mya) had facial and dental features that were similar to later hominins (2 mya)
  - Less similar to chimpanzees
  - But also less similar to Australopiths (3 mya)
- Is this surprising?
  - **>** \*

- ➤ Very early hominins (6 mya) had facial and dental features that were similar to later hominins (2 mya)
  - Less similar to chimpanzees
  - ▶ But also less similar to Australopiths (3 mya)
- Is this surprising?
  - ► \* Radiation and contraction

- ► Very early hominins (6 mya) had facial and dental features that were similar to later hominins (2 mya)
  - Less similar to chimpanzees
  - But also less similar to Australopiths (3 mya)
- Is this surprising?
  - \* Radiation and contraction
  - \*

- ➤ Very early hominins (6 mya) had facial and dental features that were similar to later hominins (2 mya)
  - Less similar to chimpanzees
  - But also less similar to Australopiths (3 mya)
- Is this surprising?
  - \* Radiation and contraction
  - \* Changing conditions

- Very early hominins (6 mya) had facial and dental features that were similar to later hominins (2 mya)
  - Less similar to chimpanzees
  - But also less similar to Australopiths (3 mya)
- Is this surprising?
  - \* Radiation and contraction
  - \* Changing conditions

- Very early hominins (6 mya) had facial and dental features that were similar to later hominins (2 mya)
  - Less similar to chimpanzees
  - ▶ But also less similar to Australopiths (3 mya)
- Is this surprising?
  - ► \* Radiation and contraction
  - \* Changing conditions
    - \* Evolution is not goal-oriented

- Very early hominins (6 mya) had facial and dental features that were similar to later hominins (2 mya)
  - Less similar to chimpanzees
  - ▶ But also less similar to Australopiths (3 mya)
- Is this surprising?
  - ► \* Radiation and contraction
  - \* Changing conditions
    - \* Evolution is not goal-oriented

► Hominins had a large number of speciation and extinction events

- Hominins had a large number of speciation and extinction events
  - Consistent with radiation and contraction

- Hominins had a large number of speciation and extinction events
  - Consistent with radiation and contraction
  - ► Likely provided more opportunities for adaptation in the long run

- Hominins had a large number of speciation and extinction events
  - Consistent with radiation and contraction
  - Likely provided more opportunities for adaptation in the long run
- ► The tree is not well understood, despite intensive study

- Hominins had a large number of speciation and extinction events
  - Consistent with radiation and contraction
  - Likely provided more opportunities for adaptation in the long run
- The tree is not well understood, despite intensive study
  - \*

- Hominins had a large number of speciation and extinction events
  - Consistent with radiation and contraction
  - Likely provided more opportunities for adaptation in the long run
- ► The tree is not well understood, despite intensive study
  - \* Changing environments and convergent evolution

- Hominins had a large number of speciation and extinction events
  - Consistent with radiation and contraction
  - Likely provided more opportunities for adaptation in the long run
- ► The tree is not well understood, despite intensive study
  - \* Changing environments and convergent evolution

# Outline

Emergence

Sociality

# Complex foraging

► A key part of human evolution was shaped by **complex foraging** strategies of our ancestors — they relied on many types of food, including types of food that are difficult to get or process

# Complex foraging

- A key part of human evolution was shaped by complex foraging strategies of our ancestors – they relied on many types of food, including types of food that are difficult to get or process
- ► What adaptations likely favored this strategy?

# Complex foraging

- A key part of human evolution was shaped by complex foraging strategies of our ancestors – they relied on many types of food, including types of food that are difficult to get or process
- What adaptations likely favored this strategy?



- A key part of human evolution was shaped by complex foraging strategies of our ancestors – they relied on many types of food, including types of food that are difficult to get or process
- What adaptations likely favored this strategy?
  - \* Clever hands, upright walking

- A key part of human evolution was shaped by complex foraging strategies of our ancestors – they relied on many types of food, including types of food that are difficult to get or process
- What adaptations likely favored this strategy?
  - \* Clever hands, upright walking
- ► What further adaptations might this strategy have favored?

- ▶ A key part of human evolution was shaped by complex foraging strategies of our ancestors – they relied on many types of food, including types of food that are difficult to get or process
- What adaptations likely favored this strategy?
  - \* Clever hands, upright walking
- What further adaptations might this strategy have favored?
  - **▶** ≯

- A key part of human evolution was shaped by complex foraging strategies of our ancestors – they relied on many types of food, including types of food that are difficult to get or process
- What adaptations likely favored this strategy?
  - \* Clever hands, upright walking
- What further adaptations might this strategy have favored?
  - \* Big brains

- ▶ A key part of human evolution was shaped by complex foraging strategies of our ancestors – they relied on many types of food, including types of food that are difficult to get or process
- What adaptations likely favored this strategy?
  - \* Clever hands, upright walking
- What further adaptations might this strategy have favored?
  - \* Big brains
  - \*

- A key part of human evolution was shaped by complex foraging strategies of our ancestors – they relied on many types of food, including types of food that are difficult to get or process
- What adaptations likely favored this strategy?
  - \* Clever hands, upright walking
- What further adaptations might this strategy have favored?
  - \* Big brains
  - \* co-operation, including male-female co-operation

- A key part of human evolution was shaped by complex foraging strategies of our ancestors – they relied on many types of food, including types of food that are difficult to get or process
- What adaptations likely favored this strategy?
  - \* Clever hands, upright walking
- What further adaptations might this strategy have favored?
  - \* Big brains
  - \* co-operation, including male-female co-operation
  - \*

- A key part of human evolution was shaped by complex foraging strategies of our ancestors – they relied on many types of food, including types of food that are difficult to get or process
- What adaptations likely favored this strategy?
  - \* Clever hands, upright walking
- What further adaptations might this strategy have favored?
  - \* Big brains
  - \* co-operation, including male-female co-operation
  - \* Social behaviour

- A key part of human evolution was shaped by complex foraging strategies of our ancestors – they relied on many types of food, including types of food that are difficult to get or process
- What adaptations likely favored this strategy?
  - \* Clever hands, upright walking
- What further adaptations might this strategy have favored?
  - \* Big brains
  - \* co-operation, including male-female co-operation
  - \* Social behaviour

▶ Lots of adaptations may be partly explained by adaptive loops

Lots of adaptations may be partly explained by adaptive loops

,

- Lots of adaptations may be partly explained by adaptive loops
  - ► \* Complex foraging more sociality bigger brains more opportunities to adapt complex foraging techniques . . .

- Lots of adaptations may be partly explained by adaptive loops
  - ► \* Complex foraging more sociality bigger brains more opportunities to adapt complex foraging techniques . . .
  - ,

- Lots of adaptations may be partly explained by adaptive loops
  - ► \* Complex foraging more sociality bigger brains more opportunities to adapt complex foraging techniques . . .
  - \* More communication more complex social interactions bigger brains more opportunities to evolve better communication or language

- Lots of adaptations may be partly explained by adaptive loops
  - ► \* Complex foraging more sociality bigger brains more opportunities to adapt complex foraging techniques . . .
  - \* More communication more complex social interactions bigger brains more opportunities to evolve better communication or language

► Complex foraging may have promoted co-operation between females and males, since primate child care is not well suited to a hunting life style

- Complex foraging may have promoted co-operation between females and males, since primate child care is not well suited to a hunting life style
- ► It may have promoted co-operation between people with different skills, since they might have access to food at different times

- Complex foraging may have promoted co-operation between females and males, since primate child care is not well suited to a hunting life style
- ▶ It may have promoted co-operation between people with different skills, since they might have access to food at different times
- ► It may have promoted co-operation among hunters, since hunting success is highly variable

- Complex foraging may have promoted co-operation between females and males, since primate child care is not well suited to a hunting life style
- ▶ It may have promoted co-operation between people with different skills, since they might have access to food at different times
- It may have promoted co-operation among hunters, since hunting success is highly variable
- ▶ It may have promoted co-operation in teaching and learning

- Complex foraging may have promoted co-operation between females and males, since primate child care is not well suited to a hunting life style
- ▶ It may have promoted co-operation between people with different skills, since they might have access to food at different times
- It may have promoted co-operation among hunters, since hunting success is highly variable
- It may have promoted co-operation in teaching and learning

► Complex foraging favors large brains that can learn a lot

- ► Complex foraging favors large brains that can learn a lot
- ► It also favors a long learning period

- ► Complex foraging favors large brains that can learn a lot
- It also favors a long learning period
  - Sensitivity vs. crystallization

- ► Complex foraging favors large brains that can learn a lot
- It also favors a long learning period
  - Sensitivity vs. crystallization
- ► It also favors communication

- ► Complex foraging favors large brains that can learn a lot
- It also favors a long learning period
  - Sensitivity vs. crystallization
- It also favors communication

► How might complex foraging affect child care and sexual dimorphism?

► How might complex foraging affect child care and sexual dimorphism?



- ► How might complex foraging affect child care and sexual dimorphism?
  - \* If males and females co-operate, then pair bonds might be more stable

- ► How might complex foraging affect child care and sexual dimorphism?
  - ► \* If males and females co-operate, then pair bonds might be more stable

- ► How might complex foraging affect child care and sexual dimorphism?
  - ► \* If males and females co-operate, then pair bonds might be more stable
  - ► \* If pair bonds are more stable, we expect sexual dimorphism to be less

- ► How might complex foraging affect child care and sexual dimorphism?
  - ► \* If males and females co-operate, then pair bonds might be more stable
  - ► \* If pair bonds are more stable, we expect sexual dimorphism to be less

► As behaviour becomes more social, a wide variety of other adaptations may become available

- As behaviour becomes more social, a wide variety of other adaptations may become available
  - ► Mostly related to thinking and communication

- As behaviour becomes more social, a wide variety of other adaptations may become available
  - Mostly related to thinking and communication
- ► Leading to more opportunities for looping:

- As behaviour becomes more social, a wide variety of other adaptations may become available
  - ► Mostly related to thinking and communication
- Leading to more opportunities for looping:
  - \*

- As behaviour becomes more social, a wide variety of other adaptations may become available
  - Mostly related to thinking and communication
- Leading to more opportunities for looping:
  - \* Bigger brains may facilitate more food-gathering and survival strategies

#### Social behaviour

- As behaviour becomes more social, a wide variety of other adaptations may become available
  - Mostly related to thinking and communication
- Leading to more opportunities for looping:
  - \* Bigger brains may facilitate more food-gathering and survival strategies
  - >

### Social behaviour

- As behaviour becomes more social, a wide variety of other adaptations may become available
  - Mostly related to thinking and communication
- Leading to more opportunities for looping:
  - \* Bigger brains may facilitate more food-gathering and survival strategies
  - \* Communication may favor co-operation

### Social behaviour

- As behaviour becomes more social, a wide variety of other adaptations may become available
  - Mostly related to thinking and communication
- Leading to more opportunities for looping:
  - \* Bigger brains may facilitate more food-gathering and survival strategies
  - \* Communication may favor co-operation

► What kind of clues might be available?

▶ What kind of clues might be available?



- ▶ What kind of clues might be available?
  - \* Sexual dimorphism

- ▶ What kind of clues might be available?
  - \* Sexual dimorphism
  - **>** ×

- ▶ What kind of clues might be available?
  - \* Sexual dimorphism
  - \* Physical structures consistent with vocal communication

- ▶ What kind of clues might be available?
  - \* Sexual dimorphism
  - ▶ \* Physical structures consistent with vocal communication
  - \*

- ▶ What kind of clues might be available?
  - \* Sexual dimorphism
  - \* Physical structures consistent with vocal communication
  - ► \* Dental enamel! Preserves amazingly detailed history of growth and growth rate

- ▶ What kind of clues might be available?
  - \* Sexual dimorphism
  - \* Physical structures consistent with vocal communication
  - ► \* Dental enamel! Preserves amazingly detailed history of growth and growth rate

► The extent of sexual dimorphism tells us at least something about social structures

➤ The extent of sexual dimorphism tells us at least something about social structures

**>** ,

- The extent of sexual dimorphism tells us at least something about social structures
  - \* Large amounts of sexual dimorphism probably mean less sociality and co-operation

- The extent of sexual dimorphism tells us at least something about social structures
  - \* Large amounts of sexual dimorphism probably mean less sociality and co-operation
  - \*

- The extent of sexual dimorphism tells us at least something about social structures
  - \* Large amounts of sexual dimorphism probably mean less sociality and co-operation
  - \* At least among adult males

- The extent of sexual dimorphism tells us at least something about social structures
  - \* Large amounts of sexual dimorphism probably mean less sociality and co-operation
  - ▶ \* At least among adult males
- ▶ How do we know whose bones are male and female?

- The extent of sexual dimorphism tells us at least something about social structures
  - \* Large amounts of sexual dimorphism probably mean less sociality and co-operation
  - ▶ \* At least among adult males
- How do we know whose bones are male and female?
  - \*

- The extent of sexual dimorphism tells us at least something about social structures
  - \* Large amounts of sexual dimorphism probably mean less sociality and co-operation
  - ▶ \* At least among adult males
- How do we know whose bones are male and female?
  - \* Pelvises (hip bones) are very different in all of our ancestors

- The extent of sexual dimorphism tells us at least something about social structures
  - \* Large amounts of sexual dimorphism probably mean less sociality and co-operation
  - ▶ \* At least among adult males
- How do we know whose bones are male and female?
  - ▶ \* Pelvises (hip bones) are very different in all of our ancestors
  - \*

- The extent of sexual dimorphism tells us at least something about social structures
  - \* Large amounts of sexual dimorphism probably mean less sociality and co-operation
  - ▶ \* At least among adult males
- ▶ How do we know whose bones are male and female?
  - \* Pelvises (hip bones) are very different in all of our ancestors
  - ▶ \* Because childbirth

- The extent of sexual dimorphism tells us at least something about social structures
  - \* Large amounts of sexual dimorphism probably mean less sociality and co-operation
  - \* At least among adult males
- How do we know whose bones are male and female?
  - \* Pelvises (hip bones) are very different in all of our ancestors
  - \* Because childbirth
- ▶ How do we know whose teeth are male and female?

- The extent of sexual dimorphism tells us at least something about social structures
  - \* Large amounts of sexual dimorphism probably mean less sociality and co-operation
  - \* At least among adult males
- How do we know whose bones are male and female?
  - ▶ \* Pelvises (hip bones) are very different in all of our ancestors
  - ► \* Because childbirth
- ▶ How do we know whose teeth are male and female?
  - \*

- The extent of sexual dimorphism tells us at least something about social structures
  - \* Large amounts of sexual dimorphism probably mean less sociality and co-operation
  - ▶ \* At least among adult males
- How do we know whose bones are male and female?
  - \* Pelvises (hip bones) are very different in all of our ancestors
  - ▶ \* Because childbirth
- ▶ How do we know whose teeth are male and female?
  - ► \* We don't, usually

- The extent of sexual dimorphism tells us at least something about social structures
  - \* Large amounts of sexual dimorphism probably mean less sociality and co-operation
  - \* At least among adult males
- How do we know whose bones are male and female?
  - \* Pelvises (hip bones) are very different in all of our ancestors
  - ▶ \* Because childbirth
- ▶ How do we know whose teeth are male and female?
  - ▶ \* We don't, usually
  - **>** ×

- The extent of sexual dimorphism tells us at least something about social structures
  - \* Large amounts of sexual dimorphism probably mean less sociality and co-operation
  - ▶ \* At least among adult males
- How do we know whose bones are male and female?
  - \* Pelvises (hip bones) are very different in all of our ancestors
  - ▶ \* Because childbirth
- ▶ How do we know whose teeth are male and female?
  - ► \* We don't, usually
  - \* Bimodality can tell us about dimorphism anyway


- The extent of sexual dimorphism tells us at least something about social structures
  - \* Large amounts of sexual dimorphism probably mean less sociality and co-operation
  - ▶ \* At least among adult males
- How do we know whose bones are male and female?
  - \* Pelvises (hip bones) are very different in all of our ancestors
  - ▶ \* Because childbirth
- ▶ How do we know whose teeth are male and female?
  - ► \* We don't, usually
  - \* Bimodality can tell us about dimorphism anyway


▶ Bimodality means having two peaks in a distribution

- Bimodality means having two peaks in a distribution
  - ► For example, a modern human height distribution would have a peak for men, and a peak for women

- Bimodality means having two peaks in a distribution
  - For example, a modern human height distribution would have a peak for men, and a peak for women
- ► If traits are strongly dimorphic, we should be able to tell by sampling, even if we don't know which fossils come from males and which from females

- Bimodality means having two peaks in a distribution
  - For example, a modern human height distribution would have a peak for men, and a peak for women
- ▶ If traits are strongly dimorphic, we should be able to tell by sampling, even if we don't know which fossils come from males and which from females





#### Teeth

► Chimpanzees and (especially) gorillas have extreme sexual dimorphism in tooth size

#### **Teeth**

- Chimpanzees and (especially) gorillas have extreme sexual dimorphism in tooth size
- ► We can tell our ancestors have less dimorphism than that *even* if we can't tell the males from the females

### **Teeth**

- Chimpanzees and (especially) gorillas have extreme sexual dimorphism in tooth size
- ► We can tell our ancestors have less dimorphism than that *even* if we can't tell the males from the females





#### **Teeth**


- Chimpanzees and (especially) gorillas have extreme sexual dimorphism in tooth size
- We can tell our ancestors have less dimorphism than that even if we can't tell the males from the females
  - ▶ \* We would expect to see two clear peaks in the distribution


#### **Teeth**


- Chimpanzees and (especially) gorillas have extreme sexual dimorphism in tooth size
- We can tell our ancestors have less dimorphism than that even if we can't tell the males from the females
  - ▶ \* We would expect to see two clear peaks in the distribution














► Why do human children develop *so* slowly?

▶ Why do human children develop *so* slowly?



- ▶ Why do human children develop *so* slowly?
  - ▶ \* Presumably related to elaborate sociality

- Why do human children develop so slowly?
  - ▶ \* Presumably related to elaborate sociality
- We are therefore very interested in how long it took our ancestors to mature


- Why do human children develop so slowly?
  - ▶ \* Presumably related to elaborate sociality
- We are therefore very interested in how long it took our ancestors to mature
- Clues are available

- Why do human children develop so slowly?
  - \* Presumably related to elaborate sociality
- We are therefore very interested in how long it took our ancestors to mature
- Clues are available
  - Dental enamel

- Why do human children develop so slowly?
  - ▶ \* Presumably related to elaborate sociality
- We are therefore very interested in how long it took our ancestors to mature
- Clues are available
  - Dental enamel
  - ► Molar development

- Why do human children develop so slowly?
  - ▶ \* Presumably related to elaborate sociality
- We are therefore very interested in how long it took our ancestors to mature
- Clues are available
  - Dental enamel
  - Molar development
- ► But it's a hard problem

- Why do human children develop so slowly?
  - ▶ \* Presumably related to elaborate sociality
- We are therefore very interested in how long it took our ancestors to mature
- Clues are available
  - Dental enamel
  - Molar development
- But it's a hard problem



4□ > 4□ > 4 = > 4 = > = 90

▶ People evolved by the same basic rules as other organisms

▶ People evolved by the same basic rules as other organisms

**▶** ³

- ▶ People evolved by the same basic rules as other organisms
  - \* Adaptation by natural selection

- ▶ People evolved by the same basic rules as other organisms
  - \* Adaptation by natural selection
- ► Followed a very different path

- ▶ People evolved by the same basic rules as other organisms
  - \* Adaptation by natural selection
- ► Followed a very different path
  - **\***

- People evolved by the same basic rules as other organisms
  - \* Adaptation by natural selection
- ► Followed a very different path
  - \* Strong loops that continually created new adaptive opportunities

- People evolved by the same basic rules as other organisms
  - \* Adaptation by natural selection
- Followed a very different path
  - \* Strong loops that continually created new adaptive opportunities
- ► There is a lot we can learn about ourselves from biology

- People evolved by the same basic rules as other organisms
  - \* Adaptation by natural selection
- Followed a very different path
  - \* Strong loops that continually created new adaptive opportunities
- ► There is a lot we can learn about ourselves from biology
  - **▶** ≯

- People evolved by the same basic rules as other organisms
  - \* Adaptation by natural selection
- Followed a very different path
  - \* Strong loops that continually created new adaptive opportunities
- There is a lot we can learn about ourselves from biology
  - \* We are affected by all of the same basic processes as other organisms

- People evolved by the same basic rules as other organisms
  - \* Adaptation by natural selection
- Followed a very different path
  - \* Strong loops that continually created new adaptive opportunities
- There is a lot we can learn about ourselves from biology
  - \* We are affected by all of the same basic processes as other organisms
- ► And also a lot that we can't learn

- People evolved by the same basic rules as other organisms
  - \* Adaptation by natural selection
- Followed a very different path
  - \* Strong loops that continually created new adaptive opportunities
- There is a lot we can learn about ourselves from biology
  - \* We are affected by all of the same basic processes as other organisms
- And also a lot that we can't learn
  - **>** \*

- People evolved by the same basic rules as other organisms
  - \* Adaptation by natural selection
- Followed a very different path
  - \* Strong loops that continually created new adaptive opportunities
- There is a lot we can learn about ourselves from biology
  - \* We are affected by all of the same basic processes as other organisms
- And also a lot that we can't learn
  - \* We are also strongly affected by our complex brains (and complex cultures)

- People evolved by the same basic rules as other organisms
  - \* Adaptation by natural selection
- Followed a very different path
  - \* Strong loops that continually created new adaptive opportunities
- There is a lot we can learn about ourselves from biology
  - \* We are affected by all of the same basic processes as other organisms
- And also a lot that we can't learn
  - \* We are also strongly affected by our complex brains (and complex cultures)