

# Evaluating competing hypotheses

- ▶ We challenge hypotheses with experiments and observation

# Evaluating competing hypotheses

- ▶ We challenge hypotheses with experiments and observation

# Inheritance of acquired characteristics

- ▶ This is the idea that individuals change in response to their environment, and pass those changes on to their offspring

# Inheritance of acquired characteristics

- ▶ This is the idea that individuals change in response to their environment, and pass those changes on to their offspring
  - ▶ Example: giraffes reaching for food

# Inheritance of acquired characteristics

- ▶ This is the idea that individuals change in response to their environment, and pass those changes on to their offspring
  - ▶ Example: giraffes reaching for food
- ▶ It is now known that while individuals do often change in response to their environment, such changes are not (usually) passed on to offspring

# Inheritance of acquired characteristics

- ▶ This is the idea that individuals change in response to their environment, and pass those changes on to their offspring
  - ▶ Example: giraffes reaching for food
- ▶ It is now known that while individuals do often change in response to their environment, such changes are not (usually) passed on to offspring

# Inheritance of acquired characteristics vs. natural selection

- ▶ Raise several populations of mice in the lab

# Inheritance of acquired characteristics vs. natural selection

- ▶ Raise several populations of mice in the lab
- ▶ In the acquired group, every generation stretch (or chop off) their poor little tails



# Inheritance of acquired characteristics vs. natural selection

- ▶ Raise several populations of mice in the lab
- ▶ In the acquired group, every generation stretch (or chop off) their poor little tails
- ▶ In the selection group, every generation, allow mice with longer (or shorter) tails more chances to breed

# Inheritance of acquired characteristics vs. natural selection

- ▶ Raise several populations of mice in the lab
- ▶ In the acquired group, every generation stretch (or chop off) their poor little tails
- ▶ In the selection group, every generation, allow mice with longer (or shorter) tails more chances to breed
- ▶ In the control group, do everything the same (including manipulations)

# Inheritance of acquired characteristics vs. natural selection

- ▶ Raise several populations of mice in the lab
- ▶ In the acquired group, every generation stretch (or chop off) their poor little tails
- ▶ In the selection group, every generation, allow mice with longer (or shorter) tails more chances to breed
- ▶ In the control group, do everything the same (including manipulations)



# Inheritance of acquired characteristics vs. natural selection

- ▶ Raise several populations of mice in the lab
- ▶ In the acquired group, every generation stretch (or chop off) their poor little tails
- ▶ In the selection group, every generation, allow mice with longer (or shorter) tails more chances to breed
- ▶ In the control group, do everything the same (including manipulations)
  - ▶ \* Except the part that is the key to treatment

# Inheritance of acquired characteristics vs. natural selection

- ▶ Raise several populations of mice in the lab
- ▶ In the acquired group, every generation stretch (or chop off) their poor little tails
- ▶ In the selection group, every generation, allow mice with longer (or shorter) tails more chances to breed
- ▶ In the control group, do everything the same (including manipulations)
  - ▶ \* Except the part that is the key to treatment
- ▶ Measure natural tail length at the beginning of the experiment, and after 100 generations.

# Inheritance of acquired characteristics vs. natural selection

- ▶ Raise several populations of mice in the lab
- ▶ In the acquired group, every generation stretch (or chop off) their poor little tails
- ▶ In the selection group, every generation, allow mice with longer (or shorter) tails more chances to breed
- ▶ In the control group, do everything the same (including manipulations)
  - ▶ \* Except the part that is the key to treatment
- ▶ Measure natural tail length at the beginning of the experiment, and after 100 generations.

# Goal-directed evolution

- ▶ This is the idea that organisms evolve towards specific goals

# Goal-directed evolution

- ▶ This is the idea that organisms evolve towards specific goals
  - ▶ Complex, multicellular organisms



# Goal-directed evolution

- ▶ This is the idea that organisms evolve towards specific goals
  - ▶ Complex, multicellular organisms
  - ▶ Big-brained humans

# Goal-directed evolution

- ▶ This is the idea that organisms evolve towards specific goals
  - ▶ Complex, multicellular organisms
  - ▶ Big-brained humans
- ▶ If the organism is moving toward a goal, it should move more or less in that direction all the time

# Goal-directed evolution

- ▶ This is the idea that organisms evolve towards specific goals
  - ▶ Complex, multicellular organisms
  - ▶ Big-brained humans
- ▶ If the organism is moving toward a goal, it should move more or less in that direction all the time

# Goal-directed evolution

- ▶ There is a great deal of observational evidence against goal-directed evolution:

# Goal-directed evolution

- ▶ There is a great deal of observational evidence against goal-directed evolution:
  - ▶ Vestigial traits

# Goal-directed evolution

- ▶ There is a great deal of observational evidence against goal-directed evolution:
  - ▶ Vestigial traits
  - ▶ Bidirectional evolution

# Goal-directed evolution

- ▶ There is a great deal of observational evidence against goal-directed evolution:
  - ▶ Vestigial traits
  - ▶ Bidirectional evolution
    - ▶ Finch beaks get larger, then smaller

# Goal-directed evolution

- ▶ There is a great deal of observational evidence against goal-directed evolution:
  - ▶ Vestigial traits
  - ▶ Bidirectional evolution
    - ▶ Finch beaks get larger, then smaller
    - ▶ Birds gain, then lose, flying ability



# Goal-directed evolution

- ▶ There is a great deal of observational evidence against goal-directed evolution:
  - ▶ Vestigial traits
  - ▶ Bidirectional evolution
    - ▶ Finch beaks get larger, then smaller
    - ▶ Birds gain, then lose, flying ability
    - ▶ Things that become parasites may become much smaller and simpler

# Goal-directed evolution

- ▶ There is a great deal of observational evidence against goal-directed evolution:
  - ▶ Vestigial traits
  - ▶ Bidirectional evolution
    - ▶ Finch beaks get larger, then smaller
    - ▶ Birds gain, then lose, flying ability
    - ▶ Things that become parasites may become much smaller and simpler

# The good of the species

- ▶ Selection operates on individuals; individuals are not adapted to act for the good of the species

# The good of the species

- ▶ Selection operates on individuals; individuals are not adapted to act for the good of the species
- ▶ The evolution of co-operation always involves tension between what is good for the group, and what is good for the individual

# The good of the species

- ▶ Selection operates on individuals; individuals are not adapted to act for the good of the species
- ▶ The evolution of co-operation always involves tension between what is good for the group, and what is good for the individual
  - ▶ If 'cheating' strategies can evolve, they will

# The good of the species

- ▶ Selection operates on individuals; individuals are not adapted to act for the good of the species
- ▶ The evolution of co-operation always involves tension between what is good for the group, and what is good for the individual
  - ▶ If 'cheating' strategies can evolve, they will
  - ▶ A **cheater** benefits from co-operation, but does not participate

# The good of the species

- ▶ Selection operates on individuals; individuals are not adapted to act for the good of the species
- ▶ The evolution of co-operation always involves tension between what is good for the group, and what is good for the individual
  - ▶ If 'cheating' strategies can evolve, they will
  - ▶ A **cheater** benefits from co-operation, but does not participate
- ▶ Individuals are usually selected to act for themselves, sometimes for the group, and rarely or ever for the species

# The good of the species

- ▶ Selection operates on individuals; individuals are not adapted to act for the good of the species
- ▶ The evolution of co-operation always involves tension between what is good for the group, and what is good for the individual
  - ▶ If 'cheating' strategies can evolve, they will
  - ▶ A **cheater** benefits from co-operation, but does not participate
- ▶ Individuals are usually selected to act for themselves, sometimes for the group, and rarely or ever for the species



## A lemming not committing suicide



## Example: calculating allele frequencies

- ▶ I collect 20 peppered moths from a particular place, and find that 4 have genotype  $A_1A_1$ , 8 have genotype  $A_1A_2$ , and 8 have genotype  $A_2A_2$ .

## Example: calculating allele frequencies

- ▶ I collect 20 peppered moths from a particular place, and find that 4 have genotype  $A_1A_1$ , 8 have genotype  $A_1A_2$ , and 8 have genotype  $A_2A_2$ .
- ▶ What is the observed frequency of each allele?

## Example: calculating allele frequencies

- ▶ I collect 20 peppered moths from a particular place, and find that 4 have genotype  $A_1A_1$ , 8 have genotype  $A_1A_2$ , and 8 have genotype  $A_2A_2$ .
- ▶ What is the observed frequency of each allele?
- ▶ What is the expected frequency of each genotype under the Hardy-Weinberg assumptions?

## Example: calculating allele frequencies

- ▶ I collect 20 peppered moths from a particular place, and find that 4 have genotype  $A_1A_1$ , 8 have genotype  $A_1A_2$ , and 8 have genotype  $A_2A_2$ .
- ▶ What is the observed frequency of each allele?
- ▶ What is the expected frequency of each genotype under the Hardy-Weinberg assumptions?
- ▶ What is the observed frequency of each genotype?

## Example: calculating allele frequencies

- ▶ I collect 20 peppered moths from a particular place, and find that 4 have genotype  $A_1A_1$ , 8 have genotype  $A_1A_2$ , and 8 have genotype  $A_2A_2$ .
- ▶ What is the observed frequency of each allele?
- ▶ What is the expected frequency of each genotype under the Hardy-Weinberg assumptions?
- ▶ What is the observed frequency of each genotype?

# What do we mean by expected?

- ▶ If we flip a fair coin 100 times, what is the expected number of heads?

# What do we mean by expected?

- ▶ If we flip a fair coin 100 times, what is the expected number of heads?
  - ▶ What if we flip it 25 times?



# What do we mean by expected?

- ▶ If we flip a fair coin 100 times, what is the expected number of heads?
  - ▶ What if we flip it 25 times?
- ▶ We don't expect to get exactly the expected value.

# What do we mean by expected?

- ▶ If we flip a fair coin 100 times, what is the expected number of heads?
  - ▶ What if we flip it 25 times?
- ▶ We don't expect to get exactly the expected value.
- ▶ The 'expected value' is an average of what is expected under our assumptions

# What do we mean by expected?

- ▶ If we flip a fair coin 100 times, what is the expected number of heads?
  - ▶ What if we flip it 25 times?
- ▶ We don't expect to get exactly the expected value.
- ▶ The 'expected value' is an average of what is expected under our assumptions

# How do you know a coin is perfectly fair?

- ▶ You can never be sure that a coin is perfectly fair, you can only evaluate your evidence that it's more or less close to fair.

# How do you know a coin is perfectly fair?

- ▶ You can never be sure that a coin is perfectly fair, you can only evaluate your evidence that it's more or less close to fair.
- ▶ Similarly, we never have evidence that a population is exactly in Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium, we can only evaluate our evidence that it is not in equilibrium, or our evidence that it is close to equilibrium.

# How do you know a coin is perfectly fair?

- ▶ You can never be sure that a coin is perfectly fair, you can only evaluate your evidence that it's more or less close to fair.
- ▶ Similarly, we never have evidence that a population is exactly in Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium, we can only evaluate our evidence that it is not in equilibrium, or our evidence that it is close to equilibrium.

# Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium

- ▶ When do we expect genotype frequencies to behave like coins?

# Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium

- ▶ When do we expect genotype frequencies to behave like coins?
- ▶ Alleles selected at random from the previous generation:



# Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium

- ▶ When do we expect genotype frequencies to behave like coins?
- ▶ Alleles selected at random from the previous generation:

▶ \*

# Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium

- ▶ When do we expect genotype frequencies to behave like coins?
- ▶ Alleles selected at random from the previous generation:
  - ▶ \* Random mating within a closed population

# Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium

- ▶ When do we expect genotype frequencies to behave like coins?
- ▶ Alleles selected at random from the previous generation:
  - ▶ \* Random mating within a closed population
  - ▶ \*

# Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium

- ▶ When do we expect genotype frequencies to behave like coins?
- ▶ Alleles selected at random from the previous generation:
  - ▶ \* Random mating within a closed population
  - ▶ \* No differences in fitness between genotypes

# Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium

- ▶ When do we expect genotype frequencies to behave like coins?
- ▶ Alleles selected at random from the previous generation:
  - ▶ \* Random mating within a closed population
  - ▶ \* No differences in fitness between genotypes
- ▶ If these assumptions hold, we expect **Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium**

# Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium

- ▶ When do we expect genotype frequencies to behave like coins?
- ▶ Alleles selected at random from the previous generation:
  - ▶ \* Random mating within a closed population
  - ▶ \* No differences in fitness between genotypes
- ▶ If these assumptions hold, we expect **Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium**
  - ▶ Hardy-Weinberg distribution, with no change in allele frequencies from generation to generation.

# Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium

- ▶ When do we expect genotype frequencies to behave like coins?
- ▶ Alleles selected at random from the previous generation:
  - ▶ \* Random mating within a closed population
  - ▶ \* No differences in fitness between genotypes
- ▶ If these assumptions hold, we expect **Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium**
  - ▶ Hardy-Weinberg distribution, with no change in allele frequencies from generation to generation.

# Differences from equilibrium

- ▶ If we observe large differences from the Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium, this is usually a sign that mating is not random, or that natural selection is operating



# Differences from equilibrium

- ▶ If we observe large differences from the Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium, this is usually a sign that mating is not random, or that natural selection is operating
- ▶ The analysis tells us that something is going on, but not what

# Differences from equilibrium

- ▶ If we observe large differences from the Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium, this is usually a sign that mating is not random, or that natural selection is operating
- ▶ The analysis tells us that something is going on, but not what
- ▶ Hardy-Weinberg is a **null model**: it tells us what to expect if complicating effects are absent

# Differences from equilibrium

- ▶ If we observe large differences from the Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium, this is usually a sign that mating is not random, or that natural selection is operating
- ▶ The analysis tells us that something is going on, but not what
- ▶ Hardy-Weinberg is a **null model**: it tells us what to expect if complicating effects are absent

# Reuniting

- ▶ What happens when isolated populations come back into contact?

# Reuniting

- ▶ What happens when isolated populations come back into contact?
- ▶ Usually this happens when a geographic barrier disappears

# Reuniting

- ▶ What happens when isolated populations come back into contact?
- ▶ Usually this happens when a geographic barrier disappears
  - ▶ a land bridge forms between an island and the continent

# Reuniting

- ▶ What happens when isolated populations come back into contact?
- ▶ Usually this happens when a geographic barrier disappears
  - ▶ a land bridge forms between an island and the continent
  - ▶ a river changes course

# Reuniting

- ▶ What happens when isolated populations come back into contact?
- ▶ Usually this happens when a geographic barrier disappears
  - ▶ a land bridge forms between an island and the continent
  - ▶ a river changes course



# Fusion

- ▶ When two isolated populations come into contact, they may **fuse** – go back together

# Fusion

- ▶ When two isolated populations come into contact, they may **fuse** – go back together
  - ▶ Adaptive differences may be small

# Fusion

- ▶ When two isolated populations come into contact, they may **fuse** – go back together
  - ▶ Adaptive differences may be small
  - ▶ Adaptive differences may be overwhelmed by gene flow

# Fusion

- ▶ When two isolated populations come into contact, they may **fuse** – go back together
  - ▶ Adaptive differences may be small
  - ▶ Adaptive differences may be overwhelmed by gene flow

# Reinforcement

- ▶ In some cases, hybrid offspring may have low fitness

# Reinforcement

- ▶ In some cases, hybrid offspring may have low fitness



# Reinforcement

- ▶ In some cases, hybrid offspring may have low fitness
  - ▶ \* Incompatible alleles

# Reinforcement

- ▶ In some cases, hybrid offspring may have low fitness
  - ▶ \* Incompatible alleles
  - ▶ \*



# Reinforcement

- ▶ In some cases, hybrid offspring may have low fitness
  - ▶ \* Incompatible alleles
  - ▶ \* Disruptive selection

# Reinforcement

- ▶ In some cases, hybrid offspring may have low fitness
  - ▶ \* Incompatible alleles
  - ▶ \* Disruptive selection
- ▶ In these cases we expect natural selection for traits that **reinforce** the distinction between the two species

# Reinforcement

- ▶ In some cases, hybrid offspring may have low fitness
  - ▶ \* Incompatible alleles
  - ▶ \* Disruptive selection
- ▶ In these cases we expect natural selection for traits that **reinforce** the distinction between the two species
  - ▶ They avoid mating, using coloration, timing, courtship rituals

# Reinforcement

- ▶ In some cases, hybrid offspring may have low fitness
  - ▶ \* Incompatible alleles
  - ▶ \* Disruptive selection
- ▶ In these cases we expect natural selection for traits that **reinforce** the distinction between the two species
  - ▶ They avoid mating, using coloration, timing, courtship rituals

# Meadowlarks



# Species divergence in allopatry

- ▶ **Allopatry** refers to organisms living apart from each other

# Species divergence in allopatry

- ▶ **Allopatry** refers to organisms living apart from each other
- ▶ If two populations are isolated from each other, we would expect that they might diverge. Why?

# Species divergence in allopatry

- ▶ **Allopatry** refers to organisms living apart from each other
- ▶ If two populations are isolated from each other, we would expect that they might diverge. Why?

▶ \*



# Species divergence in allopatry

- ▶ **Allopatry** refers to organisms living apart from each other
- ▶ If two populations are isolated from each other, we would expect that they might diverge. Why?
  - ▶ \* Genetic drift

# Species divergence in allopatry

- ▶ **Allopatry** refers to organisms living apart from each other
- ▶ If two populations are isolated from each other, we would expect that they might diverge. Why?
  - ▶ \* Genetic drift
  - ▶ \*

# Species divergence in allopatry

- ▶ **Allopatry** refers to organisms living apart from each other
- ▶ If two populations are isolated from each other, we would expect that they might diverge. Why?
  - ▶ \* Genetic drift
  - ▶ \* Natural selection

# Species divergence in allopatry

- ▶ **Allopatry** refers to organisms living apart from each other
- ▶ If two populations are isolated from each other, we would expect that they might diverge. Why?
  - ▶ \* Genetic drift
  - ▶ \* Natural selection
  - ▶ \*

# Species divergence in allopatry

- ▶ **Allopatry** refers to organisms living apart from each other
- ▶ If two populations are isolated from each other, we would expect that they might diverge. Why?
  - ▶ \* Genetic drift
  - ▶ \* Natural selection
    - ▶ \* Different environments, or different adaptive mutations

# Species divergence in allopatry

- ▶ **Allopatry** refers to organisms living apart from each other
- ▶ If two populations are isolated from each other, we would expect that they might diverge. Why?
  - ▶ \* Genetic drift
  - ▶ \* Natural selection
    - ▶ \* Different environments, or different adaptive mutations

# Mechanisms of isolation

- ▶ Isolated populations of the same species can develop if some individuals **disperse** (move) to a new area and **colonize** it (establish a new population).

# Mechanisms of isolation


- ▶ Isolated populations of the same species can develop if some individuals **disperse** (move) to a new area and **colonize** it (establish a new population).
- ▶ Isolated populations of the same species can develop by **vicariance** – when a population is split by a geographical or ecological barrier



# Mechanisms of isolation

- ▶ Isolated populations of the same species can develop if some individuals **disperse** (move) to a new area and **colonize** it (establish a new population).
- ▶ Isolated populations of the same species can develop by **vicariance** – when a population is split by a geographical or ecological barrier


## Example: ratites



TRIASSIC  
200 million years ago

- ▶ The ancestors of today's ostriches, emus, etc. were isolated when the super-continent of Gondwanaland drifted apart starting about 140 million years ago

## Example: ratites



TRIASSIC  
200 million years ago

- ▶ The ancestors of today's ostriches, emus, etc. were isolated when the super-continent of Gondwanaland drifted apart starting about 140 million years ago

# Species divergence in sympatry

- ▶ **Sympatry** refers to organisms living in the same geographic area

# Species divergence in sympatry

- ▶ **Sympatry** refers to organisms living in the same geographic area
- ▶ In general, it should be hard for populations of the same species living in sympatry to diverge.

# Species divergence in sympatry

- ▶ **Sympatry** refers to organisms living in the same geographic area
- ▶ In general, it should be hard for populations of the same species living in sympatry to diverge.



# Species divergence in sympatry

- ▶ **Sympatry** refers to organisms living in the same geographic area
- ▶ In general, it should be hard for populations of the same species living in sympatry to diverge.
  - ▶ \* [Gene flow](#)

# Species divergence in sympatry

- ▶ **Sympatry** refers to organisms living in the same geographic area
- ▶ In general, it should be hard for populations of the same species living in sympatry to diverge.
  - ▶ \* Gene flow
- ▶ Divergence by partitioning habitats



# Species divergence in sympatry

- ▶ **Sympatry** refers to organisms living in the same geographic area
- ▶ In general, it should be hard for populations of the same species living in sympatry to diverge.
  - ▶ \* Gene flow
- ▶ Divergence by partitioning habitats
  - ▶ In some cases, gene flow will prevent divergence

# Species divergence in sympatry

- ▶ **Sympatry** refers to organisms living in the same geographic area
- ▶ In general, it should be hard for populations of the same species living in sympatry to diverge.
  - ▶ \* Gene flow
- ▶ Divergence by partitioning habitats
  - ▶ In some cases, gene flow will prevent divergence
- ▶ Divergence by genetic incompatibility

# Species divergence in sympatry

- ▶ **Sympatry** refers to organisms living in the same geographic area
- ▶ In general, it should be hard for populations of the same species living in sympatry to diverge.
  - ▶ \* Gene flow
- ▶ Divergence by partitioning habitats
  - ▶ In some cases, gene flow will prevent divergence
- ▶ Divergence by genetic incompatibility
  - ▶ In some cases, one will drive the other extinct via competition

# Species divergence in sympatry

- ▶ **Sympatry** refers to organisms living in the same geographic area
- ▶ In general, it should be hard for populations of the same species living in sympatry to diverge.
  - ▶ \* **Gene flow**
- ▶ Divergence by partitioning habitats
  - ▶ In some cases, gene flow will prevent divergence
- ▶ Divergence by genetic incompatibility
  - ▶ In some cases, one will drive the other extinct via competition

# Monophyletic group

- ▶ A **monophyletic group** is a group *defined by* a single common ancestor

# Monophyletic group

- ▶ A **monophyletic group** is a group *defined by* a single common ancestor
  - ▶ All descendants of the ancestor must be in the group

# Monophyletic group

- ▶ A **monophyletic group** is a group *defined by* a single common ancestor
  - ▶ All descendants of the ancestor must be in the group
- ▶ Monophyletic groups can also be called **clades** or **taxa**.

# Monophyletic group

- ▶ A **monophyletic group** is a group *defined by* a single common ancestor
  - ▶ All descendants of the ancestor must be in the group
- ▶ Monophyletic groups can also be called **clades** or **taxa**.
- ▶ As biologists, we should try to think in terms of clades



# Monophyletic group

- ▶ A **monophyletic group** is a group *defined by* a single common ancestor
  - ▶ All descendants of the ancestor must be in the group
- ▶ Monophyletic groups can also be called **clades** or **taxa**.
- ▶ As biologists, we should try to think in terms of clades
  - ▶ Are flying vertebrates a clade?

# Monophyletic group

- ▶ A **monophyletic group** is a group *defined by* a single common ancestor
  - ▶ All descendants of the ancestor must be in the group
- ▶ Monophyletic groups can also be called **clades** or **taxa**.
- ▶ As biologists, we should try to think in terms of clades
  - ▶ Are flying vertebrates a clade?
  - ▶ What are some prominent groups that are not clades?

# Monophyletic group


- ▶ A **monophyletic group** is a group *defined by* a single common ancestor
  - ▶ All descendants of the ancestor must be in the group
- ▶ Monophyletic groups can also be called **clades** or **taxa**.
- ▶ As biologists, we should try to think in terms of clades
  - ▶ Are flying vertebrates a clade?
  - ▶ What are some prominent groups that are not clades?
    - ▶ \*

# Monophyletic group

- ▶ A **monophyletic group** is a group *defined by* a single common ancestor
  - ▶ All descendants of the ancestor must be in the group
- ▶ Monophyletic groups can also be called **clades** or **taxa**.
- ▶ As biologists, we should try to think in terms of clades
  - ▶ Are flying vertebrates a clade?
  - ▶ What are some prominent groups that are not clades?
    - ▶ \* apes, reptiles, dinosaurs

# Monophyletic group

- ▶ A **monophyletic group** is a group *defined by* a single common ancestor
  - ▶ All descendants of the ancestor must be in the group
- ▶ Monophyletic groups can also be called **clades** or **taxa**.
- ▶ As biologists, we should try to think in terms of clades
  - ▶ Are flying vertebrates a clade?
  - ▶ What are some prominent groups that are not clades?
    - ▶ \* apes, reptiles, dinosaurs



# Sister taxa

- ▶ Sister taxa can be a useful way of thinking about trees

# Sister taxa

- ▶ Sister taxa can be a useful way of thinking about trees
  - ▶ two taxa that share a common node



# Sister taxa

- ▶ Sister taxa can be a useful way of thinking about trees
  - ▶ two taxa that share a common node
  - ▶ You need to take the whole taxon, when appropriate

# Sister taxa

- ▶ Sister taxa can be a useful way of thinking about trees
  - ▶ two taxa that share a common node
  - ▶ You need to take the whole taxon, when appropriate
- ▶ E.g., sisters of: *Homo sapiens*; *Homo erectus*; humans?

# Sister taxa

- ▶ Sister taxa can be a useful way of thinking about trees
  - ▶ two taxa that share a common node
  - ▶ You need to take the whole taxon, when appropriate
- ▶ E.g., sisters of: *Homo sapiens*; *Homo erectus*; humans?
  - ▶ \*

# Sister taxa

- ▶ Sister taxa can be a useful way of thinking about trees
  - ▶ two taxa that share a common node
  - ▶ You need to take the whole taxon, when appropriate
- ▶ E.g., sisters of: *Homo sapiens*; *Homo erectus*; humans?
  - ▶ \* *Homo neanderthalensis*; *Hn* and *Hs*; *Paranthropus*

# Sister taxa

- ▶ Sister taxa can be a useful way of thinking about trees
  - ▶ two taxa that share a common node
  - ▶ You need to take the whole taxon, when appropriate
- ▶ E.g., sisters of: *Homo sapiens*; *Homo erectus*; humans?
  - ▶ \* *Homo neanderthalensis*; *Hn* and *Hs*; *Paranthropus*

# Reading phylogenetic trees

- ▶ The tree indicates the pattern of branching of **lineages** (evolving lines)

# Reading phylogenetic trees

- ▶ The tree indicates the pattern of branching of **lineages** (evolving lines)
- ▶ Tips are *assumed* by the model to be monophyletic

# Reading phylogenetic trees

- ▶ The tree indicates the pattern of branching of **lineages** (evolving lines)
- ▶ Tips are *assumed* by the model to be monophyletic
- ▶ A tree is a model of how evolution occurred



# Reading phylogenetic trees

- ▶ The tree indicates the pattern of branching of **lineages** (evolving lines)
- ▶ Tips are *assumed* by the model to be monophyletic
- ▶ A tree is a model of how evolution occurred

# Morphological innovation

- ▶ A new adaptive mutation can open up further possibilities for adaptation

# Morphological innovation

- ▶ A new adaptive mutation can open up further possibilities for adaptation
  - ▶ **Hox gene** mutations allowed early animals to develop complex body plans

# Morphological innovation

- ▶ A new adaptive mutation can open up further possibilities for adaptation
  - ▶ **Hox gene** mutations allowed early animals to develop complex body plans
  - ▶ The arthropod body plan

# Morphological innovation

- ▶ A new adaptive mutation can open up further possibilities for adaptation
  - ▶ **Hox gene** mutations allowed early animals to develop complex body plans
  - ▶ The arthropod body plan
    - ▶ insects, arachnids, crustaceans ...

# Morphological innovation

- ▶ A new adaptive mutation can open up further possibilities for adaptation
  - ▶ **Hox gene** mutations allowed early animals to develop complex body plans
  - ▶ The arthropod body plan
    - ▶ insects, arachnids, crustaceans ...
  - ▶ The tetrapod body plan

# Morphological innovation

- ▶ A new adaptive mutation can open up further possibilities for adaptation
  - ▶ **Hox gene** mutations allowed early animals to develop complex body plans
  - ▶ The arthropod body plan
    - ▶ insects, arachnids, crustaceans ...
  - ▶ The tetrapod body plan
    - ▶ reptiles, mammals ...

# Morphological innovation

- ▶ A new adaptive mutation can open up further possibilities for adaptation
  - ▶ **Hox gene** mutations allowed early animals to develop complex body plans
  - ▶ The arthropod body plan
    - ▶ insects, arachnids, crustaceans ...
  - ▶ The tetrapod body plan
    - ▶ reptiles, mammals ...



# Hox genes

- ▶ **Hox genes** are involved in determining the identity of different body parts

# Hox genes

- ▶ **Hox genes** are involved in determining the identity of different body parts
- ▶ Taxa with simpler body structures tend to have fewer hox genes

# Hox genes

- ▶ **Hox genes** are involved in determining the identity of different body parts
- ▶ Taxa with simpler body structures tend to have fewer hox genes
  - ▶ Phylogenetic comparisons provide important evidence that hox genes were involved in evolution of complex body plans

# Hox genes

- ▶ **Hox genes** are involved in determining the identity of different body parts
- ▶ Taxa with simpler body structures tend to have fewer hox genes
  - ▶ Phylogenetic comparisons provide important evidence that hox genes were involved in evolution of complex body plans
- ▶ Evidence that new hox genes were largely created by **gene duplication** events

# Hox genes


- ▶ **Hox genes** are involved in determining the identity of different body parts
- ▶ Taxa with simpler body structures tend to have fewer hox genes
  - ▶ Phylogenetic comparisons provide important evidence that hox genes were involved in evolution of complex body plans
- ▶ Evidence that new hox genes were largely created by **gene duplication** events
  - ▶ A kind of mutation; random change

# Hox genes

- ▶ **Hox genes** are involved in determining the identity of different body parts
- ▶ Taxa with simpler body structures tend to have fewer hox genes
  - ▶ Phylogenetic comparisons provide important evidence that hox genes were involved in evolution of complex body plans
- ▶ Evidence that new hox genes were largely created by **gene duplication** events
  - ▶ A kind of mutation; random change
  - ▶ If it persists, it was selected for

# Hox genes

- ▶ **Hox genes** are involved in determining the identity of different body parts
- ▶ Taxa with simpler body structures tend to have fewer hox genes
  - ▶ Phylogenetic comparisons provide important evidence that hox genes were involved in evolution of complex body plans
- ▶ Evidence that new hox genes were largely created by **gene duplication** events
  - ▶ A kind of mutation; random change
  - ▶ If it persists, it was selected for





# Looping

- ▶ How did we get so far?

# Looping

- ▶ How did we get so far?
  - ▶ Big brains, complex culture, technology, language

# Looping

- ▶ How did we get so far?
  - ▶ Big brains, complex culture, technology, language
- ▶ Probably many of the adaptations our ancestors had set the stage for new adaptations

# Looping

- ▶ How did we get so far?
  - ▶ Big brains, complex culture, technology, language
- ▶ Probably many of the adaptations our ancestors had set the stage for new adaptations
  - ▶ A lot of these would have “looped” through brain development

# Looping

- ▶ How did we get so far?
  - ▶ Big brains, complex culture, technology, language
- ▶ Probably many of the adaptations our ancestors had set the stage for new adaptations
  - ▶ A lot of these would have “looped” through brain development
  - ▶ Bigger brains open the way for more culture, or language, or complex foraging

# Looping

- ▶ How did we get so far?
  - ▶ Big brains, complex culture, technology, language
- ▶ Probably many of the adaptations our ancestors had set the stage for new adaptations
  - ▶ A lot of these would have “looped” through brain development
  - ▶ Bigger brains open the way for more culture, or language, or complex foraging
  - ▶ These strategies could favor even bigger brains

# Looping

- ▶ How did we get so far?
  - ▶ Big brains, complex culture, technology, language
- ▶ Probably many of the adaptations our ancestors had set the stage for new adaptations
  - ▶ A lot of these would have “looped” through brain development
  - ▶ Bigger brains open the way for more culture, or language, or complex foraging
  - ▶ These strategies could favor even bigger brains