Hominins

Sociality

Tool making

Humans

Outline

Hominins

Upright posture Complex foraging

Sociality

Tool making

Tools and adaptation

Humans


▶ Hominins refer to people and our upright ancestors

- ▶ Hominins refer to people and our upright ancestors
- ► Characterized by:

- ▶ Hominins refer to people and our upright ancestors
- Characterized by:
 - ► Walking upright

- ▶ Hominins refer to people and our upright ancestors
- Characterized by:
 - ▶ Walking upright
 - ► Specific changes in chewing design: teeth, jaws and skull

- ▶ Hominins refer to people and our upright ancestors
- Characterized by:
 - ▶ Walking upright
 - Specific changes in chewing design: teeth, jaws and skull



► Homonoidea, Hominidae, Hominininae, Hominini, Hominina, Homo



- Homonoidea, Hominidae, Hominininae, Hominini, Hominina, Homo
- ► Why so much detailed splitting?




- Homonoidea, Hominidae, Hominininae, Hominini, Hominina, Homo
- Why so much detailed splitting?







- ► Homonoidea, Hominidae, Hominininae, Hominini, Hominina, Homo
- Why so much detailed splitting?
 - * We're a little bit full of ourselves



- ► Homonoidea, Hominidae, Hominininae, Hominini, Hominina, Homo
- Why so much detailed splitting?
 - * We're a little bit full of ourselves
 - **▶** ≯



- ► Homonoidea, Hominidae, Hominininae, Hominini, Hominina, Homo
- Why so much detailed splitting?
 - * We're a little bit full of ourselves
 - ▶ * Observer bias



- ► Homonoidea, Hominidae, Hominininae, Hominini, Hominina, Homo
- Why so much detailed splitting?
 - * We're a little bit full of ourselves
 - ▶ * Observer bias

▶ What did our common ancestor with chimpanzees look like?

- ▶ What did our common ancestor with chimpanzees look like?
- ▶ Which fossils are related to which other fossils?

- ▶ What did our common ancestor with chimpanzees look like?
- Which fossils are related to which other fossils?
- ► The key is which features are reliable indicators of relatedness?

- What did our common ancestor with chimpanzees look like?
- Which fossils are related to which other fossils?
- ▶ The key is which features are reliable indicators of relatedness?
 - **▶** ³

- What did our common ancestor with chimpanzees look like?
- Which fossils are related to which other fossils?
- ▶ The key is which features are reliable indicators of relatedness?
 - * How do we tell the difference between convergence and homology?

- What did our common ancestor with chimpanzees look like?
- Which fossils are related to which other fossils?
- ▶ The key is which features are reliable indicators of relatedness?
 - ▶ * How do we tell the difference between convergence and homology?
 - k .

- What did our common ancestor with chimpanzees look like?
- Which fossils are related to which other fossils?
- ▶ The key is which features are reliable indicators of relatedness?
 - ▶ * How do we tell the difference between convergence and homology?
 - * It's all in the details

- What did our common ancestor with chimpanzees look like?
- Which fossils are related to which other fossils?
- ▶ The key is which features are reliable indicators of relatedness?
 - ▶ * How do we tell the difference between convergence and homology?
 - * It's all in the details

► There are a lot of theories and a great deal of expertise

- ▶ There are a lot of theories and a great deal of expertise
- ▶ But expertise can also lead to over-confidence

- ▶ There are a lot of theories and a great deal of expertise
- But expertise can also lead to over-confidence
- ► As with other examples, we try to make and test theories

- ▶ There are a lot of theories and a great deal of expertise
- But expertise can also lead to over-confidence
- ▶ As with other examples, we try to make and test theories
 - **>** 3

- ▶ There are a lot of theories and a great deal of expertise
- But expertise can also lead to over-confidence
- As with other examples, we try to make and test theories
 - * Make predictions about things that haven't been seen yet

- ▶ There are a lot of theories and a great deal of expertise
- But expertise can also lead to over-confidence
- As with other examples, we try to make and test theories
 - * Make predictions about things that haven't been seen yet

▶ Were our ancestors more like us, or more like apes?

▶ Were our ancestors more like us, or more like apes?

▶ 3

- ▶ Were our ancestors more like us, or more like apes?
 - * We are apes, if apes are a clade; both books make this mistake

- ▶ Were our ancestors more like us, or more like apes?
 - * We are apes, if apes are a clade; both books make this mistake



- Were our ancestors more like us, or more like apes?
 - * We are apes, if apes are a clade; both books make this mistake
 - * They also make a similar mistake with hominoids

- Were our ancestors more like us, or more like apes?
 - * We are apes, if apes are a clade; both books make this mistake
 - * They also make a similar mistake with hominoids
 - *****

- Were our ancestors more like us, or more like apes?
 - * We are apes, if apes are a clade; both books make this mistake
 - * They also make a similar mistake with hominoids
 - ▶ * Among living apes, the closest *relatives* of our ancestors is us

- Were our ancestors more like us, or more like apes?
 - * We are apes, if apes are a clade; both books make this mistake
 - * They also make a similar mistake with hominoids
 - ▶ * Among living apes, the closest *relatives* of our ancestors is us
 - >

- Were our ancestors more like us, or more like apes?
 - * We are apes, if apes are a clade; both books make this mistake
 - * They also make a similar mistake with hominoids
 - ▶ * Among living apes, the closest *relatives* of our ancestors is us
 - * In some important ways, we have evolved more than chimpanzees have

- Were our ancestors more like us, or more like apes?
 - * We are apes, if apes are a clade; both books make this mistake
 - * They also make a similar mistake with hominoids
 - ▶ * Among living apes, the closest *relatives* of our ancestors is us
 - * In some important ways, we have evolved more than chimpanzees have
 - *

- ▶ Were our ancestors more like us, or more like apes?
 - * We are apes, if apes are a clade; both books make this mistake
 - * They also make a similar mistake with hominoids
 - ▶ * Among living apes, the closest *relatives* of our ancestors is us
 - ▶ * In some important ways, we have evolved more than chimpanzees have
 - * But chimpanzees have probably evolved more than we assume

- ▶ Were our ancestors more like us, or more like apes?
 - * We are apes, if apes are a clade; both books make this mistake
 - * They also make a similar mistake with hominoids
 - ▶ * Among living apes, the closest *relatives* of our ancestors is us
 - ▶ * In some important ways, we have evolved more than chimpanzees have
 - * But chimpanzees have probably evolved more than we assume
 - *****

- Were our ancestors more like us, or more like apes?
 - * We are apes, if apes are a clade; both books make this mistake
 - * They also make a similar mistake with hominoids
 - ▶ * Among living apes, the closest *relatives* of our ancestors is us
 - * In some important ways, we have evolved more than chimpanzees have
 - * But chimpanzees have probably evolved more than we assume
 - * It is hard for us to study ourselves

- ▶ Were our ancestors more like us, or more like apes?
 - * We are apes, if apes are a clade; both books make this mistake
 - * They also make a similar mistake with hominoids
 - ▶ * Among living apes, the closest *relatives* of our ancestors is us
 - * In some important ways, we have evolved more than chimpanzees have
 - * But chimpanzees have probably evolved more than we assume
 - * It is hard for us to study ourselves
 - *

- Were our ancestors more like us, or more like apes?
 - * We are apes, if apes are a clade; both books make this mistake
 - * They also make a similar mistake with hominoids
 - ▶ * Among living apes, the closest *relatives* of our ancestors is us
 - * In some important ways, we have evolved more than chimpanzees have
 - * But chimpanzees have probably evolved more than we assume
 - * It is hard for us to study ourselves
 - * Observer bias

- Were our ancestors more like us, or more like apes?
 - * We are apes, if apes are a clade; both books make this mistake
 - * They also make a similar mistake with hominoids
 - ▶ * Among living apes, the closest *relatives* of our ancestors is us
 - * In some important ways, we have evolved more than chimpanzees have
 - * But chimpanzees have probably evolved more than we assume
 - * It is hard for us to study ourselves
 - * Observer bias

Observer bias



Outline

Hominins

Upright posture

Complex foraging

Sociality

Tool making

Tools and adaptation

Humans

► How did upright posture and upright walking evolve?

- ► How did upright posture and upright walking evolve?
- ▶ It's not known, but there are many theories:

- How did upright posture and upright walking evolve?
- It's not known, but there are many theories:
 - Adaptation to walking on the ground instead of swinging through trees

- How did upright posture and upright walking evolve?
- It's not known, but there are many theories:
 - Adaptation to walking on the ground instead of swinging through trees

- How did upright posture and upright walking evolve?
- It's not known, but there are many theories:
 - Adaptation to walking on the ground instead of swinging through trees
 - * If so, probably dependent on gradual evolution from existing form

- How did upright posture and upright walking evolve?
- It's not known, but there are many theories:
 - Adaptation to walking on the ground instead of swinging through trees
 - * If so, probably dependent on gradual evolution from existing form
 - ► Adaptation for keeping cool


- ► How did upright posture and upright walking evolve?
- It's not known, but there are many theories:
 - Adaptation to walking on the ground instead of swinging through trees
 - * If so, probably dependent on gradual evolution from existing form
 - Adaptation for keeping cool
 - ► Adaptation for harvesting food

- ► How did upright posture and upright walking evolve?
- It's not known, but there are many theories:
 - Adaptation to walking on the ground instead of swinging through trees
 - * If so, probably dependent on gradual evolution from existing form
 - Adaptation for keeping cool
 - Adaptation for harvesting food
 - ► Adaptation for carrying food

- ► How did upright posture and upright walking evolve?
- It's not known, but there are many theories:
 - Adaptation to walking on the ground instead of swinging through trees
 - * If so, probably dependent on gradual evolution from existing form
 - Adaptation for keeping cool
 - Adaptation for harvesting food
 - Adaptation for carrying food



Figure 10.11



► Hominins' evolution of upright posture was likely dependent on evolutionary history and circumstance

- ► Hominins' evolution of upright posture was likely dependent on evolutionary history and circumstance
 - ► Built on previous adaptations

- Hominins' evolution of upright posture was likely dependent on evolutionary history and circumstance
 - Built on previous adaptations
- Evolution of upright posture almost certainly led to further evolutionary change:

- ► Hominins' evolution of upright posture was likely dependent on evolutionary history and circumstance
 - Built on previous adaptations
- Evolution of upright posture almost certainly led to further evolutionary change:
 - Carrying and storing things

- ► Hominins' evolution of upright posture was likely dependent on evolutionary history and circumstance
 - Built on previous adaptations
- Evolution of upright posture almost certainly led to further evolutionary change:
 - Carrying and storing things
 - ► Making and using tools

- ► Hominins' evolution of upright posture was likely dependent on evolutionary history and circumstance
 - Built on previous adaptations
- Evolution of upright posture almost certainly led to further evolutionary change:
 - Carrying and storing things
 - Making and using tools
- ► There should be lots of "loops" changes leading to other changes – to explain how dramatically our ancestors evolved

- ► Hominins' evolution of upright posture was likely dependent on evolutionary history and circumstance
 - Built on previous adaptations
- Evolution of upright posture almost certainly led to further evolutionary change:
 - Carrying and storing things
 - Making and using tools
- ► There should be lots of "loops" changes leading to other changes – to explain how dramatically our ancestors evolved

► Evidence from fossils

- Evidence from fossils
 - ▶ knees, hips, backs, skulls all provide evidence about posture

- Evidence from fossils
 - knees, hips, backs, skulls all provide evidence about posture
 - ► teeth and jaws provide evidence about diet

- Evidence from fossils
 - knees, hips, backs, skulls all provide evidence about posture
 - teeth and jaws provide evidence about diet
- ► Evidence from archaeology

- Evidence from fossils
 - knees, hips, backs, skulls all provide evidence about posture
 - teeth and jaws provide evidence about diet
- Evidence from archaeology
 - hominin fossils may be found in particular placess

- Evidence from fossils
 - knees, hips, backs, skulls all provide evidence about posture
 - teeth and jaws provide evidence about diet
- Evidence from archaeology
 - hominin fossils may be found in particular placess
 - associated with fossils from things that hominins used to eat

- Evidence from fossils
 - knees, hips, backs, skulls all provide evidence about posture
 - teeth and jaws provide evidence about diet
- Evidence from archaeology
 - hominin fossils may be found in particular placess
 - associated with fossils from things that hominins used to eat
 - or with tools

- Evidence from fossils
 - knees, hips, backs, skulls all provide evidence about posture
 - teeth and jaws provide evidence about diet
- Evidence from archaeology
 - hominin fossils may be found in particular placess
 - associated with fossils from things that hominins used to eat
 - or with tools

Outline

Hominins

Upright posture

Complex foraging

Sociality

Tool making

Tools and adaptation

Humans

► A key part of human evolution was shaped by **complex foraging** strategies of our ancestors — they relied on many types of food, including types of food that are difficult to get or process

- A key part of human evolution was shaped by complex foraging strategies of our ancestors – they relied on many types of food, including types of food that are difficult to get or process
- What adaptations likely favored this strategy?

- A key part of human evolution was shaped by complex foraging strategies of our ancestors – they relied on many types of food, including types of food that are difficult to get or process
- What adaptations likely favored this strategy?

- A key part of human evolution was shaped by complex foraging strategies of our ancestors – they relied on many types of food, including types of food that are difficult to get or process
- What adaptations likely favored this strategy?
 - * Clever hands, upright walking

- A key part of human evolution was shaped by complex foraging strategies of our ancestors – they relied on many types of food, including types of food that are difficult to get or process
- What adaptations likely favored this strategy?
 - * Clever hands, upright walking
- ► What further adaptations might this strategy have favored?

- A key part of human evolution was shaped by complex foraging strategies of our ancestors – they relied on many types of food, including types of food that are difficult to get or process
- What adaptations likely favored this strategy?
 - * Clever hands, upright walking
- What further adaptations might this strategy have favored?
 - **▶** *

- A key part of human evolution was shaped by complex foraging strategies of our ancestors – they relied on many types of food, including types of food that are difficult to get or process
- What adaptations likely favored this strategy?
 - * Clever hands, upright walking
- What further adaptations might this strategy have favored?
 - ▶ * Big brains

- A key part of human evolution was shaped by complex foraging strategies of our ancestors – they relied on many types of food, including types of food that are difficult to get or process
- What adaptations likely favored this strategy?
 - * Clever hands, upright walking
- What further adaptations might this strategy have favored?
 - ▶ * Big brains
 - **k**

- A key part of human evolution was shaped by complex foraging strategies of our ancestors – they relied on many types of food, including types of food that are difficult to get or process
- What adaptations likely favored this strategy?
 - * Clever hands, upright walking
- What further adaptations might this strategy have favored?
 - ▶ * Big brains
 - * co-operation, including male-female co-operation

- A key part of human evolution was shaped by complex foraging strategies of our ancestors – they relied on many types of food, including types of food that are difficult to get or process
- What adaptations likely favored this strategy?
 - * Clever hands, upright walking
- What further adaptations might this strategy have favored?
 - ▶ * Big brains
 - ▶ * co-operation, including male-female co-operation
 - *

- A key part of human evolution was shaped by complex foraging strategies of our ancestors – they relied on many types of food, including types of food that are difficult to get or process
- What adaptations likely favored this strategy?
 - * Clever hands, upright walking
- What further adaptations might this strategy have favored?
 - ▶ * Big brains
 - ▶ * co-operation, including male-female co-operation
 - * Social behaviour

- A key part of human evolution was shaped by complex foraging strategies of our ancestors – they relied on many types of food, including types of food that are difficult to get or process
- What adaptations likely favored this strategy?
 - * Clever hands, upright walking
- What further adaptations might this strategy have favored?
 - ▶ * Big brains
 - ▶ * co-operation, including male-female co-operation
 - * Social behaviour

► Very early hominins (6 mya) had facial and dental features that were similar to later hominins (2 mya)

- ▶ Very early hominins (6 mya) had facial and dental features that were similar to later hominins (2 mya)
 - Less similar to chimpanzees

- ► Very early hominins (6 mya) had facial and dental features that were similar to later hominins (2 mya)
 - Less similar to chimpanzees
 - ▶ But also less similar to *Australopiths* (3 mya)

- ► Very early hominins (6 mya) had facial and dental features that were similar to later hominins (2 mya)
 - Less similar to chimpanzees
 - But also less similar to Australopiths (3 mya)
- ► Is this surprising?

- ► Very early hominins (6 mya) had facial and dental features that were similar to later hominins (2 mya)
 - Less similar to chimpanzees
 - But also less similar to Australopiths (3 mya)
- Is this surprising?
 - , ×

- Very early hominins (6 mya) had facial and dental features that were similar to later hominins (2 mya)
 - Less similar to chimpanzees
 - But also less similar to Australopiths (3 mya)
- Is this surprising?
 - ▶ * Radiation and contraction

- Very early hominins (6 mya) had facial and dental features that were similar to later hominins (2 mya)
 - Less similar to chimpanzees
 - But also less similar to Australopiths (3 mya)
- Is this surprising?
 - * Radiation and contraction
 - **>** 1

- Very early hominins (6 mya) had facial and dental features that were similar to later hominins (2 mya)
 - Less similar to chimpanzees
 - But also less similar to Australopiths (3 mya)
- Is this surprising?
 - * Radiation and contraction
 - * Changing conditions

- Very early hominins (6 mya) had facial and dental features that were similar to later hominins (2 mya)
 - Less similar to chimpanzees
 - But also less similar to Australopiths (3 mya)
- Is this surprising?
 - * Radiation and contraction
 - * Changing conditions

- Very early hominins (6 mya) had facial and dental features that were similar to later hominins (2 mya)
 - ▶ Less similar to chimpanzees
 - ▶ But also less similar to Australopiths (3 mya)
- Is this surprising?
 - ▶ * Radiation and contraction
 - * Changing conditions
 - * Evolution is not goal-oriented

- Very early hominins (6 mya) had facial and dental features that were similar to later hominins (2 mya)
 - ▶ Less similar to chimpanzees
 - ▶ But also less similar to Australopiths (3 mya)
- Is this surprising?
 - ▶ * Radiation and contraction
 - * Changing conditions
 - * Evolution is not goal-oriented



Figure 10.4

 Hominins had a large number of speciation and extinction events

 Hominins had a large number of speciation and extinction events


- Hominins had a large number of speciation and extinction events
 - ▶ * Radiation and contraction

- Hominins had a large number of speciation and extinction events
 - ▶ * Radiation and contraction
- ▶ The tree is not well understood, despite intensive study

- Hominins had a large number of speciation and extinction events
 - ▶ * Radiation and contraction
- ▶ The tree is not well understood, despite intensive study

- Hominins had a large number of speciation and extinction events
 - * Radiation and contraction
- ▶ The tree is not well understood, despite intensive study
 - ▶ * Changing environments and convergent evolution

- Hominins had a large number of speciation and extinction events
 - * Radiation and contraction
- ▶ The tree is not well understood, despite intensive study
 - ▶ * Changing environments and convergent evolution



Outline

Hominins

Upright posture Complex foraging

Sociality

Tool making

Tools and adaptation

Humans

Complex foraging and co-operation

 Complex foraging may have promoted co-operation between females and males, since primate child care is not well suited to a hunting life style

Complex foraging and co-operation

- Complex foraging may have promoted co-operation between females and males, since primate child care is not well suited to a hunting life style
- ► It may have promoted co-operation between people with different skills, since they might have access to food at different times

Complex foraging and co-operation

- Complex foraging may have promoted co-operation between females and males, since primate child care is not well suited to a hunting life style
- It may have promoted co-operation between people with different skills, since they might have access to food at different times
- ► It may have promoted co-operation among hunters, since hunting success is highly variable

Complex foraging and co-operation

- Complex foraging may have promoted co-operation between females and males, since primate child care is not well suited to a hunting life style
- It may have promoted co-operation between people with different skills, since they might have access to food at different times
- It may have promoted co-operation among hunters, since hunting success is highly variable
- ▶ It may have promoted co-operation in teaching and learning

Complex foraging and co-operation

- Complex foraging may have promoted co-operation between females and males, since primate child care is not well suited to a hunting life style
- It may have promoted co-operation between people with different skills, since they might have access to food at different times
- It may have promoted co-operation among hunters, since hunting success is highly variable
- ▶ It may have promoted co-operation in teaching and learning

► Complex foraging favors large brains that can learn a lot

- ► Complex foraging favors large brains that can learn a lot
- ▶ It also favors a long learning period

- ► Complex foraging favors large brains that can learn a lot
- It also favors a long learning period
 - ► Sensitivity vs. crystallization

- ► Complex foraging favors large brains that can learn a lot
- It also favors a long learning period
 - Sensitivity vs. crystallization
- ▶ It also favors communication

- Complex foraging favors large brains that can learn a lot
- It also favors a long learning period
 - Sensitivity vs. crystallization
- It also favors communication

► How might complex foraging affect child care and sexual dimorphism?

► How might complex foraging affect child care and sexual dimorphism?

*

- ► How might complex foraging affect child care and sexual dimorphism?
 - * If males and females co-operate, then child care might be more equal

- How might complex foraging affect child care and sexual dimorphism?
 - ▶ * If males and females co-operate, then child care might be more equal
 - _ ×

- ► How might complex foraging affect child care and sexual dimorphism?
 - * If males and females co-operate, then child care might be more equal
 - * If child care is more equal, we expect sexual dimorphism to be less

- ► How might complex foraging affect child care and sexual dimorphism?
 - * If males and females co-operate, then child care might be more equal
 - * If child care is more equal, we expect sexual dimorphism to be less

► As behaviour becomes more social, a wide variety of other adaptations may become available

- ► As behaviour becomes more social, a wide variety of other adaptations may become available
 - Mostly related to thinking and communication

- ► As behaviour becomes more social, a wide variety of other adaptations may become available
 - Mostly related to thinking and communication
- ► Leading to more opportunities for looping:

- ► As behaviour becomes more social, a wide variety of other adaptations may become available
 - Mostly related to thinking and communication
- ▶ Leading to more opportunities for looping:

- ► As behaviour becomes more social, a wide variety of other adaptations may become available
 - Mostly related to thinking and communication
- ▶ Leading to more opportunities for looping:
 - * Bigger brains may facilitate more food-gathering and survival strategies

- ► As behaviour becomes more social, a wide variety of other adaptations may become available
 - Mostly related to thinking and communication
- Leading to more opportunities for looping:
 - * Bigger brains may facilitate more food-gathering and survival strategies
 - k

- ► As behaviour becomes more social, a wide variety of other adaptations may become available
 - Mostly related to thinking and communication
- ▶ Leading to more opportunities for looping:
 - * Bigger brains may facilitate more food-gathering and survival strategies
 - ▶ * Communication may favor co-operation

- ► As behaviour becomes more social, a wide variety of other adaptations may become available
 - Mostly related to thinking and communication
- ▶ Leading to more opportunities for looping:
 - * Bigger brains may facilitate more food-gathering and survival strategies
 - ▶ * Communication may favor co-operation

► What kind of clues might be available?

What kind of clues might be available?

▶ *

- ▶ What kind of clues might be available?
 - ▶ * Sexual dimorphism

- What kind of clues might be available?
 - * Sexual dimorphism
 - ***** *

- ▶ What kind of clues might be available?
 - * Sexual dimorphism
 - ▶ * Physical structures consistent with vocal communication

- ▶ What kind of clues might be available?
 - * Sexual dimorphism
 - ▶ * Physical structures consistent with vocal communication
 - *

- ▶ What kind of clues might be available?
 - * Sexual dimorphism
 - ▶ * Physical structures consistent with vocal communication
 - ▶ * Dental enamel

- ▶ What kind of clues might be available?
 - * Sexual dimorphism
 - ▶ * Physical structures consistent with vocal communication
 - ▶ * Dental enamel

► The extent of sexual dimorphism tells us at least something about social structures

► The extent of sexual dimorphism tells us at least something about social structures

▶ 3

- The extent of sexual dimorphism tells us at least something about social structures
 - ► * Large amounts of sexual dimorphism probably mean less sociality and co-operation

- The extent of sexual dimorphism tells us at least something about social structures
 - ► * Large amounts of sexual dimorphism probably mean less sociality and co-operation
 - *****

- The extent of sexual dimorphism tells us at least something about social structures
 - ► * Large amounts of sexual dimorphism probably mean less sociality and co-operation
 - ▶ * At least among adult males

- The extent of sexual dimorphism tells us at least something about social structures
 - * Large amounts of sexual dimorphism probably mean less sociality and co-operation
 - ▶ * At least among adult males
- ▶ How do we know whose bones are male and female?

- The extent of sexual dimorphism tells us at least something about social structures
 - * Large amounts of sexual dimorphism probably mean less sociality and co-operation
 - ▶ * At least among adult males
- ▶ How do we know whose bones are male and female?
 - **▶** ∤

- The extent of sexual dimorphism tells us at least something about social structures
 - * Large amounts of sexual dimorphism probably mean less sociality and co-operation
 - ▶ * At least among adult males
- How do we know whose bones are male and female?
 - * Pelvises (hip bones) are very different in all of our ancestors

- The extent of sexual dimorphism tells us at least something about social structures
 - ► * Large amounts of sexual dimorphism probably mean less sociality and co-operation
 - ▶ * At least among adult males
- How do we know whose bones are male and female?
 - * Pelvises (hip bones) are very different in all of our ancestors
 - *****

- The extent of sexual dimorphism tells us at least something about social structures
 - * Large amounts of sexual dimorphism probably mean less sociality and co-operation
 - ▶ * At least among adult males
- How do we know whose bones are male and female?
 - * Pelvises (hip bones) are very different in all of our ancestors
 - ▶ * Because childbirth

- The extent of sexual dimorphism tells us at least something about social structures
 - * Large amounts of sexual dimorphism probably mean less sociality and co-operation
 - ▶ * At least among adult males
- How do we know whose bones are male and female?
 - * Pelvises (hip bones) are very different in all of our ancestors
 - ▶ * Because childbirth
- ▶ How do we know whose teeth are male and female?

- The extent of sexual dimorphism tells us at least something about social structures
 - * Large amounts of sexual dimorphism probably mean less sociality and co-operation
 - ▶ * At least among adult males
- ▶ How do we know whose bones are male and female?
 - * Pelvises (hip bones) are very different in all of our ancestors
 - ▶ * Because childbirth
- ▶ How do we know whose teeth are male and female?
 - *****

- The extent of sexual dimorphism tells us at least something about social structures
 - * Large amounts of sexual dimorphism probably mean less sociality and co-operation
 - ▶ * At least among adult males
- ▶ How do we know whose bones are male and female?
 - * Pelvises (hip bones) are very different in all of our ancestors
 - ▶ * Because childbirth
- ▶ How do we know whose teeth are male and female?
 - ▶ * We don't, usually

- The extent of sexual dimorphism tells us at least something about social structures
 - * Large amounts of sexual dimorphism probably mean less sociality and co-operation
 - * At least among adult males
- How do we know whose bones are male and female?
 - * Pelvises (hip bones) are very different in all of our ancestors
 - ▶ * Because childbirth
- ▶ How do we know whose teeth are male and female?
 - ▶ * We don't, usually
 - *

- The extent of sexual dimorphism tells us at least something about social structures
 - * Large amounts of sexual dimorphism probably mean less sociality and co-operation
 - ▶ * At least among adult males
- ▶ How do we know whose bones are male and female?
 - * Pelvises (hip bones) are very different in all of our ancestors
 - ▶ * Because childbirth
- How do we know whose teeth are male and female?
 - ▶ * We don't, usually
 - ▶ * Bimodality can tell us about dimorphism anyway


- The extent of sexual dimorphism tells us at least something about social structures
 - * Large amounts of sexual dimorphism probably mean less sociality and co-operation
 - ▶ * At least among adult males
- ▶ How do we know whose bones are male and female?
 - * Pelvises (hip bones) are very different in all of our ancestors
 - ▶ * Because childbirth
- How do we know whose teeth are male and female?
 - ▶ * We don't, usually
 - ▶ * Bimodality can tell us about dimorphism anyway


► Bimodality means having two peaks in a distribution


- Bimodality means having two peaks in a distribution
 - ► For example, a modern human height distribution would have a peak for men, and a peak for women

- ▶ Bimodality means having two peaks in a distribution
 - ► For example, a modern human height distribution would have a peak for men, and a peak for women
- ▶ If traits are strongly dimorphic, we should be able to tell by sampling, even if we don't know which teeth come from men and which from women

- Bimodality means having two peaks in a distribution
 - ► For example, a modern human height distribution would have a peak for men, and a peak for women
- ▶ If traits are strongly dimorphic, we should be able to tell by sampling, even if we don't know which teeth come from men and which from women







▶ Why do human children develop *so* slowly?

▶ Why do human children develop so slowly?

- ▶ Why do human children develop *so* slowly?
 - ▶ * Presumably related to elaborate sociality

- Why do human children develop so slowly?
 - ▶ * Presumably related to elaborate sociality
- We are therefore very interested in how long it took our ancestors to mature

- Why do human children develop so slowly?
 - ▶ * Presumably related to elaborate sociality
- We are therefore very interested in how long it took our ancestors to mature
- ► Some clues are available

- Why do human children develop so slowly?
 - ▶ * Presumably related to elaborate sociality
- We are therefore very interested in how long it took our ancestors to mature
- Some clues are available
 - Dental enamel

- Why do human children develop so slowly?
 - ▶ * Presumably related to elaborate sociality
- We are therefore very interested in how long it took our ancestors to mature
- Some clues are available
 - Dental enamel
 - ► Molar development

- Why do human children develop so slowly?
 - ▶ * Presumably related to elaborate sociality
- We are therefore very interested in how long it took our ancestors to mature
- Some clues are available
 - Dental enamel
 - ► Molar development
- ► But it's a hard problem

- Why do human children develop so slowly?
 - ▶ * Presumably related to elaborate sociality
- We are therefore very interested in how long it took our ancestors to mature
- Some clues are available
 - Dental enamel
 - ► Molar development
- But it's a hard problem



Outline

Hominins

Upright posture Complex foraging

Sociality

Tool making
Tools and adaptation

Humans

► Several species can make tools, but only people make tools that can project lectures directly from a computer onto a screen

- Several species can make tools, but only people make tools that can project lectures directly from a computer onto a screen
 - ▶ Which is awesome

- Several species can make tools, but only people make tools that can project lectures directly from a computer onto a screen
 - ▶ Which is awesome
- ► More broadly, hominins make far more sophisticated tools than non-hominins do

- Several species can make tools, but only people make tools that can project lectures directly from a computer onto a screen
 - ▶ Which is awesome
- More broadly, hominins make far more sophisticated tools than non-hominins do

► What other animals make tools?

- ▶ What other animals make tools?
- ► Not counting programmed behaviours (spiky nests, ant traps)

- ▶ What other animals make tools?
- Not counting programmed behaviours (spiky nests, ant traps)
 - **,**

- ▶ What other animals make tools?
- Not counting programmed behaviours (spiky nests, ant traps)
 - ▶ * Chimpanzees, orangutans

- ▶ What other animals make tools?
- Not counting programmed behaviours (spiky nests, ant traps)
 - * Chimpanzees, orangutans
 - *****

- What other animals make tools?
- Not counting programmed behaviours (spiky nests, ant traps)
 - * Chimpanzees, orangutans
 - ▶ * Elephants

- ▶ What other animals make tools?
- Not counting programmed behaviours (spiky nests, ant traps)
 - * Chimpanzees, orangutans
 - * Elephants
 - *****

- ▶ What other animals make tools?
- ▶ Not counting programmed behaviours (spiky nests, ant traps)
 - * Chimpanzees, orangutans
 - * Elephants
 - ▶ * Crows!

- ▶ What other animals make tools?
- ▶ Not counting programmed behaviours (spiky nests, ant traps)
 - * Chimpanzees, orangutans
 - * Elephants
 - ▶ * Crows!



► Tool making is likely an important part of the "loop" that provided many opportunities for new adaptations along the hominin tree

- ► Tool making is likely an important part of the "loop" that provided many opportunities for new adaptations along the hominin tree
- ► Others include:

► Tool making is likely an important part of the "loop" that provided many opportunities for new adaptations along the hominin tree

Others include:

▶ ³

- ► Tool making is likely an important part of the "loop" that provided many opportunities for new adaptations along the hominin tree
- Others include:
 - * Complex foraging

- ► Tool making is likely an important part of the "loop" that provided many opportunities for new adaptations along the hominin tree
- Others include:
 - * Complex foraging
 - *

- Tool making is likely an important part of the "loop" that provided many opportunities for new adaptations along the hominin tree
- Others include:
 - * Complex foraging
 - ▶ * Sociality

- Tool making is likely an important part of the "loop" that provided many opportunities for new adaptations along the hominin tree
- Others include:
 - * Complex foraging
 - * Sociality
 - *

- Tool making is likely an important part of the "loop" that provided many opportunities for new adaptations along the hominin tree
- Others include:
 - * Complex foraging
 - * Sociality
 - * Communication

- Tool making is likely an important part of the "loop" that provided many opportunities for new adaptations along the hominin tree
- Others include:
 - * Complex foraging
 - * Sociality
 - * Communication
- ► All these things probably interacted with and encouraged each other along the way

- Tool making is likely an important part of the "loop" that provided many opportunities for new adaptations along the hominin tree
- Others include:
 - * Complex foraging
 - * Sociality
 - * Communication
- ► All these things probably interacted with and encouraged each other along the way

▶ We find *amazing* stone tools from 2-3.5 mya

- ▶ We find *amazing* stone tools from 2-3.5 mya
 - ► Oldowan tools

- ▶ We find *amazing* stone tools from 2-3.5 mya
 - Oldowan tools
- ▶ It's hard to know who made them and used them

- ▶ We find *amazing* stone tools from 2-3.5 mya
 - Oldowan tools
- ▶ It's hard to know who made them and used them
 - **▶** ≯

- ▶ We find *amazing* stone tools from 2-3.5 mya
 - Oldowan tools
- ▶ It's hard to know who made them and used them
 - * Stone keeps better than bone

- ▶ We find *amazing* stone tools from 2-3.5 mya
 - Oldowan tools
- ▶ It's hard to know who made them and used them
 - * Stone keeps better than bone
- It's hard to figure out how they were used

- ▶ We find *amazing* stone tools from 2-3.5 mya
 - Oldowan tools
- ▶ It's hard to know who made them and used them
 - ▶ * Stone keeps better than bone
- It's hard to figure out how they were used



Polyhedron

Figure 11.2

5 cm





► Scientists have practiced *making* and *using* tools similar to the Oldowan tools

- Scientists have practiced making and using tools similar to the Oldowan tools
- Surprising conclusions:

- Scientists have practiced making and using tools similar to the Oldowan tools
- Surprising conclusions:
 - ► Cores are made by striking off flakes

- Scientists have practiced making and using tools similar to the Oldowan tools
- Surprising conclusions:
 - Cores are made by striking off flakes
 - ► Flakes are surprisingly useful

- Scientists have practiced making and using tools similar to the Oldowan tools
- Surprising conclusions:
 - Cores are made by striking off flakes
 - ► Flakes are surprisingly useful
 - cores may just be leftovers

- Scientists have practiced making and using tools similar to the Oldowan tools
- Surprising conclusions:
 - Cores are made by striking off flakes
 - ► Flakes are surprisingly useful
 - cores may just be leftovers
 - Spheroids may be discarded hammers

- Scientists have practiced making and using tools similar to the Oldowan tools
- Surprising conclusions:
 - Cores are made by striking off flakes
 - Flakes are surprisingly useful
 - cores may just be leftovers
 - Spheroids may be discarded hammers
 - ► Tool makers were mostly right-handed

- Scientists have practiced making and using tools similar to the Oldowan tools
- Surprising conclusions:
 - Cores are made by striking off flakes
 - ► Flakes are surprisingly useful
 - cores may just be leftovers
 - Spheroids may be discarded hammers
 - Tool makers were mostly right-handed

Outline

Hominins

Upright posture Complex foraging

Sociality

Tool making
Tools and adaptation

Humans

Tools and adaptation

► Tools opened up new strategies that likely favored co-operation, communication and culture:

Tools and adaptation

- ► Tools opened up new strategies that likely favored co-operation, communication and culture:
 - Hunting and scavenging with weapons

Tools and adaptation

- ► Tools opened up new strategies that likely favored co-operation, communication and culture:
 - Hunting and scavenging with weapons
 - Advanced foraging

Tools and adaptation

- ► Tools opened up new strategies that likely favored co-operation, communication and culture:
 - Hunting and scavenging with weapons
 - Advanced foraging

► Scientists lived with, and attempted to learn from, remaining forage-based societies

- Scientists lived with, and attempted to learn from, remaining forage-based societies
 - ► Skills are very detailed, and take a long time to develop

- Scientists lived with, and attempted to learn from, remaining forage-based societies
 - ▶ Skills are very detailed, and take a long time to develop
 - Possible support for looping with culture and language

- Scientists lived with, and attempted to learn from, remaining forage-based societies
 - Skills are very detailed, and take a long time to develop
 - Possible support for looping with culture and language
- ▶ What can we learn from modern humans about our ancestors?

- Scientists lived with, and attempted to learn from, remaining forage-based societies
 - Skills are very detailed, and take a long time to develop
 - Possible support for looping with culture and language
- ▶ What can we learn from modern humans about our ancestors?
 - ***** *

- Scientists lived with, and attempted to learn from, remaining forage-based societies
 - Skills are very detailed, and take a long time to develop
 - Possible support for looping with culture and language
- What can we learn from modern humans about our ancestors?
 - * It's complicated

- Scientists lived with, and attempted to learn from, remaining forage-based societies
 - Skills are very detailed, and take a long time to develop
 - Possible support for looping with culture and language
- What can we learn from modern humans about our ancestors?
 - * It's complicated
 - *

- Scientists lived with, and attempted to learn from, remaining forage-based societies
 - Skills are very detailed, and take a long time to develop
 - Possible support for looping with culture and language
- ▶ What can we learn from modern humans about our ancestors?
 - * It's complicated
 - ► * A lot of evolution has happened since then, and it's hard to figure out which parts are how relevant

- Scientists lived with, and attempted to learn from, remaining forage-based societies
 - Skills are very detailed, and take a long time to develop
 - Possible support for looping with culture and language
- ▶ What can we learn from modern humans about our ancestors?
 - * It's complicated
 - ► * A lot of evolution has happened since then, and it's hard to figure out which parts are how relevant

► **Scavenging** is eating meat that is found, or taken from predators

- Scavenging is eating meat that is found, or taken from predators
- ► Evidence of early hominins **butchering** large animals including elephants raises the question of whether they were hunting or scavenging

- Scavenging is eating meat that is found, or taken from predators
- Evidence of early hominins butchering large animals including elephants raises the question of whether they were hunting or scavenging
 - ▶ It's not so easy to kill an elephant

- Scavenging is eating meat that is found, or taken from predators
- Evidence of early hominins butchering large animals including elephants raises the question of whether they were hunting or scavenging
 - ▶ It's not so easy to kill an elephant
 - ► Could they have had techniques or tools we don't know about?

- Scavenging is eating meat that is found, or taken from predators
- Evidence of early hominins butchering large animals including elephants raises the question of whether they were hunting or scavenging
 - ▶ It's not so easy to kill an elephant
 - ► Could they have had techniques or tools we don't know about?

Scavenging and hunting are complementary activities

- Scavenging and hunting are complementary activities
 - ► Most hunters scavenge

- Scavenging and hunting are complementary activities
 - Most hunters scavenge
 - Most mammalian scavengers hunt

- Scavenging and hunting are complementary activities
 - Most hunters scavenge
 - Most mammalian scavengers hunt
- Our ancestors probably did both

- Scavenging and hunting are complementary activities
 - Most hunters scavenge
 - Most mammalian scavengers hunt
- Our ancestors probably did both
- Scavenging requires the ability to:

- Scavenging and hunting are complementary activities
 - Most hunters scavenge
 - Most mammalian scavengers hunt
- Our ancestors probably did both
- Scavenging requires the ability to:
 - ► Take kills from other predators, or

- Scavenging and hunting are complementary activities
 - Most hunters scavenge
 - Most mammalian scavengers hunt
- Our ancestors probably did both
- Scavenging requires the ability to:
 - ▶ Take kills from other predators, or
 - Use resources others can't use

- Scavenging and hunting are complementary activities
 - Most hunters scavenge
 - Most mammalian scavengers hunt
- Our ancestors probably did both
- Scavenging requires the ability to:
 - ▶ Take kills from other predators, or
 - Use resources others can't use

► Some tools may have been used as weapons

- ▶ Some tools may have been used as weapons
 - ► For killing prey, or for fighting off other carnivovers

- Some tools may have been used as weapons
 - For killing prey, or for fighting off other carnivovers
 - ► There is no evidence of this

- Some tools may have been used as weapons
 - For killing prey, or for fighting off other carnivovers
 - ▶ There is no evidence of this
- ► Tools could be used to process leftovers

- Some tools may have been used as weapons
 - For killing prey, or for fighting off other carnivovers
 - ▶ There is no evidence of this
- Tools could be used to process leftovers
 - >

- Some tools may have been used as weapons
 - For killing prey, or for fighting off other carnivovers
 - ▶ There is no evidence of this
- Tools could be used to process leftovers
 - * Cracking large bones for marrow, for example

- Some tools may have been used as weapons
 - For killing prey, or for fighting off other carnivovers
 - ▶ There is no evidence of this
- Tools could be used to process leftovers
 - * Cracking large bones for marrow, for example

► Tools and knowledge can make a wide range of food sources available

- Tools and knowledge can make a wide range of food sources available
 - Colonial insect resources

- Tools and knowledge can make a wide range of food sources available
 - Colonial insect resources
 - ► Deeply buried plant resources

- ► Tools and knowledge can make a wide range of food sources available
 - Colonial insect resources
 - Deeply buried plant resources
 - ▶ Poisonous things that can be processed

- Tools and knowledge can make a wide range of food sources available
 - Colonial insect resources
 - Deeply buried plant resources
 - Poisonous things that can be processed

Outline

Hominins

Upright posture Complex foraging

Sociality

Tool making

Tools and adaptation

Humans

► Early humans replaced other hominins starting about 2 mya

- ► Early humans replaced other hominins starting about 2 mya
- Modern humans replaced other humans starting about 0.2 mya

- ► Early humans replaced other hominins starting about 2 mya
- Modern humans replaced other humans starting about 0.2 mya
 - **▶** ×

- ► Early humans replaced other hominins starting about 2 mya
- Modern humans replaced other humans starting about 0.2 mya
 - * Probably through competition

- ► Early humans replaced other hominins starting about 2 mya
- Modern humans replaced other humans starting about 0.2 mya
 - * Probably through competition
 - *

- ► Early humans replaced other hominins starting about 2 mya
- Modern humans replaced other humans starting about 0.2 mya
 - ▶ * Probably through competition
 - ▶ * But we still don't know all of the reasons

- Early humans replaced other hominins starting about 2 mya
- Modern humans replaced other humans starting about 0.2 mya
 - * Probably through competition
 - ▶ * But we still don't know all of the reasons
- ► Both early and modern humans evolved in Africa and spread from there

- Early humans replaced other hominins starting about 2 mya
- Modern humans replaced other humans starting about 0.2 mya
 - * Probably through competition
 - ▶ * But we still don't know all of the reasons
- ► Both early and modern humans evolved in Africa and spread from there

Early humans

► Acheulean industry lasted almost 1 million years

Early humans

- Acheulean industry lasted almost 1 million years
- ► It took people longer to move on from Acheulean industry than to move from Acheulean industry to self-driving cars!

Early humans

- Acheulean industry lasted almost 1 million years
- ▶ It took people longer to move on from Acheulean industry than to move from Acheulean industry to self-driving cars!




Figure 12.8

► Characterized by small face and teeth

- ► Characterized by small face and teeth
- ► Less robust skeletal structure

- Characterized by small face and teeth
- Less robust skeletal structure
- ► Evolved in Africa around 200 kya (thousand years ago)

- Characterized by small face and teeth
- Less robust skeletal structure
- ► Evolved in Africa around 200 kya (thousand years ago)
- ► Took over most of the world in the last 50,000 years

- Characterized by small face and teeth
- Less robust skeletal structure
- ► Evolved in Africa around 200 kya (thousand years ago)
- ► Took over most of the world in the last 50,000 years

► Modern humans arose around 200 kya, but took over the world around 50 kya

- ► Modern humans arose around 200 kya, but took over the world around 50 kya
- ► What happened?

- Modern humans arose around 200 kya, but took over the world around 50 kya
- ► What happened?
 - ► A sudden evolutionary change?

- Modern humans arose around 200 kya, but took over the world around 50 kya
- ► What happened?
 - A sudden evolutionary change?
 - Gradual evolutionary change?

- Modern humans arose around 200 kya, but took over the world around 50 kya
- What happened?
 - A sudden evolutionary change?
 - Gradual evolutionary change?

- Modern humans arose around 200 kya, but took over the world around 50 kya
- What happened?
 - A sudden evolutionary change?
 - Gradual evolutionary change?
 - ▶ * Why don't we see evidence?

- Modern humans arose around 200 kya, but took over the world around 50 kya
- ► What happened?
 - A sudden evolutionary change?
 - Gradual evolutionary change?
 - ▶ * Why don't we see evidence?
 - *

- Modern humans arose around 200 kya, but took over the world around 50 kya
- What happened?
 - A sudden evolutionary change?
 - Gradual evolutionary change?
 - ▶ * Why don't we see evidence?
 - ▶ * Might be about our brains, and not reflected in fossils

- ► Modern humans arose around 200 kya, but took over the world around 50 kya
- What happened?
 - A sudden evolutionary change?
 - Gradual evolutionary change?
 - ▶ * Why don't we see evidence?
 - ▶ * Might be about our brains, and not reflected in fossils
 - ► A sudden cultural change?

- ► Modern humans arose around 200 kya, but took over the world around 50 kya
- What happened?
 - A sudden evolutionary change?
 - Gradual evolutionary change?
 - ▶ * Why don't we see evidence?
 - ▶ * Might be about our brains, and not reflected in fossils
 - A sudden cultural change?
 - Gradual cultural change?

- ► Modern humans arose around 200 kya, but took over the world around 50 kya
- What happened?
 - A sudden evolutionary change?
 - Gradual evolutionary change?
 - ▶ * Why don't we see evidence?
 - ▶ * Might be about our brains, and not reflected in fossils
 - A sudden cultural change?
 - Gradual cultural change?

► People evolved by the same basic rules as other organisms

▶ People evolved by the same basic rules as other organisms

•

- ▶ People evolved by the same basic rules as other organisms
 - * Adaptation by natural selection

- ▶ People evolved by the same basic rules as other organisms
 - ▶ * Adaptation by natural selection
- ► Followed a very different path

- ▶ People evolved by the same basic rules as other organisms
 - ▶ * Adaptation by natural selection
- ▶ Followed a very different path
 - **▶** ≯

- ▶ People evolved by the same basic rules as other organisms
 - * Adaptation by natural selection
- ▶ Followed a very different path
 - * Strong loops that continually created new adaptive opportunities

- ▶ People evolved by the same basic rules as other organisms
 - * Adaptation by natural selection
- Followed a very different path
 - * Strong loops that continually created new adaptive opportunities
- ► There is a lot we can learn about ourselves from biology

- ▶ People evolved by the same basic rules as other organisms
 - * Adaptation by natural selection
- Followed a very different path
 - * Strong loops that continually created new adaptive opportunities
- ► There is a lot we can learn about ourselves from biology
 - **▶** ∤

- ▶ People evolved by the same basic rules as other organisms
 - * Adaptation by natural selection
- Followed a very different path
 - * Strong loops that continually created new adaptive opportunities
- ▶ There is a lot we can learn about ourselves from biology
 - * We are affected by all of the same basic processes as other organisms

- ▶ People evolved by the same basic rules as other organisms
 - * Adaptation by natural selection
- Followed a very different path
 - * Strong loops that continually created new adaptive opportunities
- ▶ There is a lot we can learn about ourselves from biology
 - * We are affected by all of the same basic processes as other organisms
- ► And also a lot that we can't learn

- ▶ People evolved by the same basic rules as other organisms
 - * Adaptation by natural selection
- Followed a very different path
 - * Strong loops that continually created new adaptive opportunities
- ▶ There is a lot we can learn about ourselves from biology
 - ▶ * We are affected by all of the same basic processes as other organisms
- And also a lot that we can't learn
 - **▶** ≯

- ▶ People evolved by the same basic rules as other organisms
 - * Adaptation by natural selection
- Followed a very different path
 - * Strong loops that continually created new adaptive opportunities
- ► There is a lot we can learn about ourselves from biology
 - * We are affected by all of the same basic processes as other organisms
- And also a lot that we can't learn
 - ► * We are also strongly affected by our complex brains (and complex cultures)

- ▶ People evolved by the same basic rules as other organisms
 - * Adaptation by natural selection
- Followed a very different path
 - * Strong loops that continually created new adaptive opportunities
- ▶ There is a lot we can learn about ourselves from biology
 - * We are affected by all of the same basic processes as other organisms
- And also a lot that we can't learn
 - ► * We are also strongly affected by our complex brains (and complex cultures)