# Hominins and early humans

**Hominins** 

Sociality

Tool making

Humans

#### Outline

#### Hominins

Upright posture Complex foraging

Sociality

Tool making

Tools and adaptation

Humans


▶ Hominins refer to people and our upright ancestors

- ▶ Hominins refer to people and our upright ancestors
- ► Characterized by:

- ▶ Hominins refer to people and our upright ancestors
- Characterized by:
  - ► Walking upright

- ▶ Hominins refer to people and our upright ancestors
- Characterized by:
  - ▶ Walking upright
  - ► Specific changes in chewing design: teeth, jaws and skull

- ▶ Hominins refer to people and our upright ancestors
- Characterized by:
  - ▶ Walking upright
  - Specific changes in chewing design: teeth, jaws and skull



► Homonoidea, Hominidae, Hominininae, Hominini, Hominina, Homo



- Homonoidea, Hominidae, Hominininae, Hominini, Hominina, Homo
- ► Why so much detailed splitting?




- Homonoidea, Hominidae, Hominininae, Hominini, Hominina, Homo
- Why so much detailed splitting?







- ► Homonoidea, Hominidae, Hominininae, Hominini, Hominina, Homo
- Why so much detailed splitting?
  - \* We're a little bit full of ourselves



- ► Homonoidea, Hominidae, Hominininae, Hominini, Hominina, Homo
- Why so much detailed splitting?
  - \* We're a little bit full of ourselves
  - **▶** ≯



- ► Homonoidea, Hominidae, Hominininae, Hominini, Hominina, Homo
- Why so much detailed splitting?
  - \* We're a little bit full of ourselves
  - ▶ \* Observer bias



- ► Homonoidea, Hominidae, Hominininae, Hominini, Hominina, Homo
- Why so much detailed splitting?
  - \* We're a little bit full of ourselves
  - ▶ \* Observer bias

▶ What did our common ancestor with chimpanzees look like?

- ▶ What did our common ancestor with chimpanzees look like?
- ▶ Which fossils are related to which other fossils?

- ▶ What did our common ancestor with chimpanzees look like?
- Which fossils are related to which other fossils?
- ► The key is which features are reliable indicators of relatedness?

- What did our common ancestor with chimpanzees look like?
- Which fossils are related to which other fossils?
- ▶ The key is which features are reliable indicators of relatedness?
  - **▶** ³

- What did our common ancestor with chimpanzees look like?
- Which fossils are related to which other fossils?
- ▶ The key is which features are reliable indicators of relatedness?
  - \* How do we tell the difference between convergence and homology?

- What did our common ancestor with chimpanzees look like?
- Which fossils are related to which other fossils?
- ▶ The key is which features are reliable indicators of relatedness?
  - ▶ \* How do we tell the difference between convergence and homology?
  - k .

- What did our common ancestor with chimpanzees look like?
- Which fossils are related to which other fossils?
- ▶ The key is which features are reliable indicators of relatedness?
  - ▶ \* How do we tell the difference between convergence and homology?
  - \* It's all in the details

- What did our common ancestor with chimpanzees look like?
- Which fossils are related to which other fossils?
- ▶ The key is which features are reliable indicators of relatedness?
  - ▶ \* How do we tell the difference between convergence and homology?
  - \* It's all in the details

► There are a lot of theories and a great deal of expertise

- ▶ There are a lot of theories and a great deal of expertise
- ▶ But expertise can also lead to over-confidence

- ▶ There are a lot of theories and a great deal of expertise
- But expertise can also lead to over-confidence
- ► As with other examples, we try to make and test theories

- ▶ There are a lot of theories and a great deal of expertise
- But expertise can also lead to over-confidence
- ▶ As with other examples, we try to make and test theories
  - **>** 3

- ▶ There are a lot of theories and a great deal of expertise
- But expertise can also lead to over-confidence
- As with other examples, we try to make and test theories
  - \* Make predictions about things that haven't been seen yet

- ▶ There are a lot of theories and a great deal of expertise
- But expertise can also lead to over-confidence
- As with other examples, we try to make and test theories
  - \* Make predictions about things that haven't been seen yet

▶ Were our ancestors more like us, or more like apes?

▶ Were our ancestors more like us, or more like apes?

**▶** 3

- ▶ Were our ancestors more like us, or more like apes?
  - \* We are apes, if apes are a clade; both books make this mistake

- ▶ Were our ancestors more like us, or more like apes?
  - \* We are apes, if apes are a clade; both books make this mistake



- Were our ancestors more like us, or more like apes?
  - \* We are apes, if apes are a clade; both books make this mistake
    - \* They also make a similar mistake with hominoids

- Were our ancestors more like us, or more like apes?
  - \* We are apes, if apes are a clade; both books make this mistake
    - \* They also make a similar mistake with hominoids
  - **\***

- Were our ancestors more like us, or more like apes?
  - \* We are apes, if apes are a clade; both books make this mistake
    - \* They also make a similar mistake with hominoids
  - ▶ \* Among living apes, the closest *relatives* of our ancestors is us

- Were our ancestors more like us, or more like apes?
  - \* We are apes, if apes are a clade; both books make this mistake
    - \* They also make a similar mistake with hominoids
  - ▶ \* Among living apes, the closest *relatives* of our ancestors is us
  - >

- Were our ancestors more like us, or more like apes?
  - \* We are apes, if apes are a clade; both books make this mistake
    - \* They also make a similar mistake with hominoids
  - ▶ \* Among living apes, the closest *relatives* of our ancestors is us
  - \* In some important ways, we have evolved more than chimpanzees have

- Were our ancestors more like us, or more like apes?
  - \* We are apes, if apes are a clade; both books make this mistake
    - \* They also make a similar mistake with hominoids
  - ▶ \* Among living apes, the closest *relatives* of our ancestors is us
  - \* In some important ways, we have evolved more than chimpanzees have
    - \*

- ▶ Were our ancestors more like us, or more like apes?
  - \* We are apes, if apes are a clade; both books make this mistake
    - \* They also make a similar mistake with hominoids
  - ▶ \* Among living apes, the closest *relatives* of our ancestors is us
  - ▶ \* In some important ways, we have evolved more than chimpanzees have
  - \* But chimpanzees have probably evolved more than we assume

- ▶ Were our ancestors more like us, or more like apes?
  - \* We are apes, if apes are a clade; both books make this mistake
    - \* They also make a similar mistake with hominoids
  - ▶ \* Among living apes, the closest *relatives* of our ancestors is us
  - ▶ \* In some important ways, we have evolved more than chimpanzees have
  - \* But chimpanzees have probably evolved more than we assume
    - **\***

- Were our ancestors more like us, or more like apes?
  - \* We are apes, if apes are a clade; both books make this mistake
    - \* They also make a similar mistake with hominoids
  - ▶ \* Among living apes, the closest *relatives* of our ancestors is us
  - \* In some important ways, we have evolved more than chimpanzees have
  - \* But chimpanzees have probably evolved more than we assume
    - \* It is hard for us to study ourselves

- ▶ Were our ancestors more like us, or more like apes?
  - \* We are apes, if apes are a clade; both books make this mistake
    - \* They also make a similar mistake with hominoids
  - ▶ \* Among living apes, the closest *relatives* of our ancestors is us
  - \* In some important ways, we have evolved more than chimpanzees have
  - \* But chimpanzees have probably evolved more than we assume
    - \* It is hard for us to study ourselves
    - \*

- Were our ancestors more like us, or more like apes?
  - \* We are apes, if apes are a clade; both books make this mistake
    - \* They also make a similar mistake with hominoids
  - ▶ \* Among living apes, the closest *relatives* of our ancestors is us
  - \* In some important ways, we have evolved more than chimpanzees have
  - \* But chimpanzees have probably evolved more than we assume
    - \* It is hard for us to study ourselves
    - \* Observer bias

- Were our ancestors more like us, or more like apes?
  - \* We are apes, if apes are a clade; both books make this mistake
    - \* They also make a similar mistake with hominoids
  - ▶ \* Among living apes, the closest *relatives* of our ancestors is us
  - \* In some important ways, we have evolved more than chimpanzees have
  - \* But chimpanzees have probably evolved more than we assume
    - \* It is hard for us to study ourselves
    - \* Observer bias

#### Observer bias



### Outline

#### Hominins

Upright posture

Complex foraging

Sociality

Tool making

Tools and adaptation

Humans

► How did upright posture and upright walking evolve?

- ► How did upright posture and upright walking evolve?
- ▶ It's not known, but there are many theories:

- How did upright posture and upright walking evolve?
- It's not known, but there are many theories:
  - Adaptation to walking on the ground instead of swinging through trees

- How did upright posture and upright walking evolve?
- It's not known, but there are many theories:
  - Adaptation to walking on the ground instead of swinging through trees

- How did upright posture and upright walking evolve?
- It's not known, but there are many theories:
  - Adaptation to walking on the ground instead of swinging through trees
    - \* If so, probably dependent on gradual evolution from existing form

- How did upright posture and upright walking evolve?
- It's not known, but there are many theories:
  - Adaptation to walking on the ground instead of swinging through trees
    - \* If so, probably dependent on gradual evolution from existing form
  - ► Adaptation for keeping cool


- ► How did upright posture and upright walking evolve?
- It's not known, but there are many theories:
  - Adaptation to walking on the ground instead of swinging through trees
    - \* If so, probably dependent on gradual evolution from existing form
  - Adaptation for keeping cool
  - ► Adaptation for harvesting food

- ► How did upright posture and upright walking evolve?
- It's not known, but there are many theories:
  - Adaptation to walking on the ground instead of swinging through trees
    - \* If so, probably dependent on gradual evolution from existing form
  - Adaptation for keeping cool
  - Adaptation for harvesting food
  - ► Adaptation for carrying food

- ► How did upright posture and upright walking evolve?
- It's not known, but there are many theories:
  - Adaptation to walking on the ground instead of swinging through trees
    - \* If so, probably dependent on gradual evolution from existing form
  - Adaptation for keeping cool
  - Adaptation for harvesting food
  - Adaptation for carrying food



Figure 10.11



► Hominins' evolution of upright posture was likely dependent on evolutionary history and circumstance

- ► Hominins' evolution of upright posture was likely dependent on evolutionary history and circumstance
  - ► Built on previous adaptations

- Hominins' evolution of upright posture was likely dependent on evolutionary history and circumstance
  - Built on previous adaptations
- Evolution of upright posture almost certainly led to further evolutionary change:

- ► Hominins' evolution of upright posture was likely dependent on evolutionary history and circumstance
  - Built on previous adaptations
- Evolution of upright posture almost certainly led to further evolutionary change:
  - Carrying and storing things

- ► Hominins' evolution of upright posture was likely dependent on evolutionary history and circumstance
  - Built on previous adaptations
- Evolution of upright posture almost certainly led to further evolutionary change:
  - Carrying and storing things
  - ► Making and using tools

- ► Hominins' evolution of upright posture was likely dependent on evolutionary history and circumstance
  - Built on previous adaptations
- Evolution of upright posture almost certainly led to further evolutionary change:
  - Carrying and storing things
  - Making and using tools
- ► There should be lots of "loops" changes leading to other changes – to explain how dramatically our ancestors evolved

- ► Hominins' evolution of upright posture was likely dependent on evolutionary history and circumstance
  - Built on previous adaptations
- Evolution of upright posture almost certainly led to further evolutionary change:
  - Carrying and storing things
  - Making and using tools
- ► There should be lots of "loops" changes leading to other changes – to explain how dramatically our ancestors evolved

► Skeletons

#### Skeletons

► knees, hips, backs, skulls all provide evidence about posture

- Skeletons
  - knees, hips, backs, skulls all provide evidence about posture
  - ▶ teeth and jaws provide evidence about diet

- Skeletons
  - knees, hips, backs, skulls all provide evidence about posture
  - teeth and jaws provide evidence about diet

### Outline

#### Hominins

Upright posture

Complex foraging

Sociality

Tool making

Tools and adaptation

Humans

# Complex foraging

► A key part of human evolution was shaped by **complex foraging** strategies of our ancestors — they relied on many types of food, including types of food that are difficult to get or process

## Complex foraging

- A key part of human evolution was shaped by complex foraging strategies of our ancestors – they relied on many types of food, including types of food that are difficult to get or process
- What adaptations likely favored this strategy?

# Complex foraging

- A key part of human evolution was shaped by complex foraging strategies of our ancestors – they relied on many types of food, including types of food that are difficult to get or process
- What adaptations likely favored this strategy?

- A key part of human evolution was shaped by complex foraging strategies of our ancestors – they relied on many types of food, including types of food that are difficult to get or process
- What adaptations likely favored this strategy?
  - \* Clever hands, upright walking

- A key part of human evolution was shaped by complex foraging strategies of our ancestors – they relied on many types of food, including types of food that are difficult to get or process
- What adaptations likely favored this strategy?
  - \* Clever hands, upright walking
- ► What further adaptations might this strategy have favored?

- A key part of human evolution was shaped by complex foraging strategies of our ancestors – they relied on many types of food, including types of food that are difficult to get or process
- What adaptations likely favored this strategy?
  - \* Clever hands, upright walking
- What further adaptations might this strategy have favored?
  - **▶** \*

- A key part of human evolution was shaped by complex foraging strategies of our ancestors – they relied on many types of food, including types of food that are difficult to get or process
- What adaptations likely favored this strategy?
  - \* Clever hands, upright walking
- What further adaptations might this strategy have favored?
  - ▶ \* Big brains

- A key part of human evolution was shaped by complex foraging strategies of our ancestors – they relied on many types of food, including types of food that are difficult to get or process
- What adaptations likely favored this strategy?
  - \* Clever hands, upright walking
- What further adaptations might this strategy have favored?
  - ▶ \* Big brains
  - **k**

- A key part of human evolution was shaped by complex foraging strategies of our ancestors – they relied on many types of food, including types of food that are difficult to get or process
- What adaptations likely favored this strategy?
  - \* Clever hands, upright walking
- What further adaptations might this strategy have favored?
  - ▶ \* Big brains
  - \* male-female co-operation

- A key part of human evolution was shaped by complex foraging strategies of our ancestors – they relied on many types of food, including types of food that are difficult to get or process
- What adaptations likely favored this strategy?
  - \* Clever hands, upright walking
- What further adaptations might this strategy have favored?
  - ▶ \* Big brains
  - ▶ \* male-female co-operation
  - \*

- A key part of human evolution was shaped by complex foraging strategies of our ancestors – they relied on many types of food, including types of food that are difficult to get or process
- What adaptations likely favored this strategy?
  - \* Clever hands, upright walking
- What further adaptations might this strategy have favored?
  - ▶ \* Big brains
  - \* male-female co-operation
  - \* Social behaviour

- A key part of human evolution was shaped by complex foraging strategies of our ancestors – they relied on many types of food, including types of food that are difficult to get or process
- What adaptations likely favored this strategy?
  - \* Clever hands, upright walking
- What further adaptations might this strategy have favored?
  - ▶ \* Big brains
  - \* male-female co-operation
  - \* Social behaviour

► Very early hominins (6 mya) had facial and dental features that were similar to later hominins (2 mya)

- ▶ Very early hominins (6 mya) had facial and dental features that were similar to later hominins (2 mya)
  - Less similar to chimpanzees

- ► Very early hominins (6 mya) had facial and dental features that were similar to later hominins (2 mya)
  - Less similar to chimpanzees
  - ▶ But also less similar to *Australopiths* (3 mya)

- ► Very early hominins (6 mya) had facial and dental features that were similar to later hominins (2 mya)
  - Less similar to chimpanzees
  - But also less similar to Australopiths (3 mya)
- ► Is this surprising?

- ► Very early hominins (6 mya) had facial and dental features that were similar to later hominins (2 mya)
  - Less similar to chimpanzees
  - But also less similar to Australopiths (3 mya)
- Is this surprising?
  - **▶** ∤

- Very early hominins (6 mya) had facial and dental features that were similar to later hominins (2 mya)
  - Less similar to chimpanzees
  - But also less similar to Australopiths (3 mya)
- Is this surprising?
  - ▶ \* Radiation and contraction

- Very early hominins (6 mya) had facial and dental features that were similar to later hominins (2 mya)
  - Less similar to chimpanzees
  - ▶ But also less similar to Australopiths (3 mya)
- Is this surprising?
  - \* Radiation and contraction
  - **k**

- Very early hominins (6 mya) had facial and dental features that were similar to later hominins (2 mya)
  - Less similar to chimpanzees
  - But also less similar to Australopiths (3 mya)
- Is this surprising?
  - \* Radiation and contraction
  - \* Changing conditions

- Very early hominins (6 mya) had facial and dental features that were similar to later hominins (2 mya)
  - Less similar to chimpanzees
  - But also less similar to Australopiths (3 mya)
- Is this surprising?
  - \* Radiation and contraction
  - \* Changing conditions

- Very early hominins (6 mya) had facial and dental features that were similar to later hominins (2 mya)
  - ▶ Less similar to chimpanzees
  - ▶ But also less similar to Australopiths (3 mya)
- Is this surprising?
  - ▶ \* Radiation and contraction
  - \* Changing conditions
    - \* Evolution is not goal-oriented

- Very early hominins (6 mya) had facial and dental features that were similar to later hominins (2 mya)
  - Less similar to chimpanzees
  - ▶ But also less similar to Australopiths (3 mya)
- Is this surprising?
  - \* Radiation and contraction
  - \* Changing conditions
    - \* Evolution is not goal-oriented



Figure 10.4

 Hominins had a large number of speciation and extinction events

 Hominins had a large number of speciation and extinction events

**\*** 


- ► Hominins had a large number of speciation and extinction events
  - ▶ \* Radiation and contraction

- Hominins had a large number of speciation and extinction events
  - ▶ \* Radiation and contraction
- ► The tree is not well understood, despite intensive study

- Hominins had a large number of speciation and extinction events
  - ▶ \* Radiation and contraction
- ▶ The tree is not well understood, despite intensive study

- Hominins had a large number of speciation and extinction events
  - ▶ \* Radiation and contraction
- ▶ The tree is not well understood, despite intensive study
  - ► \* Changing environments and convergent evolution

- Hominins had a large number of speciation and extinction events
  - ▶ \* Radiation and contraction
- ▶ The tree is not well understood, despite intensive study
  - ► \* Changing environments and convergent evolution



### Outline

#### Hominins

Upright posture Complex foraging

### Sociality

Tool making

Tools and adaptation

Humans

 Complex foraging may have promoted co-operation between females and males, since primate child care is not well suited to a hunting life style

- Complex foraging may have promoted co-operation between females and males, since primate child care is not well suited to a hunting life style
- ► It may have promoted co-operation between people with different skills, since they might have access to food at different times

- Complex foraging may have promoted co-operation between females and males, since primate child care is not well suited to a hunting life style
- It may have promoted co-operation between people with different skills, since they might have access to food at different times
- ► It may have promoted co-operation among hunters, since hunting success is highly variable

- Complex foraging may have promoted co-operation between females and males, since primate child care is not well suited to a hunting life style
- It may have promoted co-operation between people with different skills, since they might have access to food at different times
- It may have promoted co-operation among hunters, since hunting success is highly variable
- ▶ It may have promoted co-operation in teaching and learning

- Complex foraging may have promoted co-operation between females and males, since primate child care is not well suited to a hunting life style
- It may have promoted co-operation between people with different skills, since they might have access to food at different times
- It may have promoted co-operation among hunters, since hunting success is highly variable
- ▶ It may have promoted co-operation in teaching and learning

# Complex foraging and thinking

► Complex foraging favors large brains that can learn a lot

- ► Complex foraging favors large brains that can learn a lot
- ► It also favors a long learning period

- ► Complex foraging favors large brains that can learn a lot
- It also favors a long learning period
  - ► Sensitivity vs. crystallization

- ► Complex foraging favors large brains that can learn a lot
- It also favors a long learning period
  - Sensitivity vs. crystallization
- ▶ It also favors communication

- Complex foraging favors large brains that can learn a lot
- It also favors a long learning period
  - Sensitivity vs. crystallization
- It also favors communication

► How might complex foraging affect child care and sexual dimorphism?

► How might complex foraging affect child care and sexual dimorphism?

\*

- ► How might complex foraging affect child care and sexual dimorphism?
  - \* If males and females co-operate, then child care might be more equal

- How might complex foraging affect child care and sexual dimorphism?
  - ▶ \* If males and females co-operate, then child care might be more equal
    - \_ ×

- ► How might complex foraging affect child care and sexual dimorphism?
  - \* If males and females co-operate, then child care might be more equal
  - \* If child care is more equal, we expect sexual dimorphism to be less

- ► How might complex foraging affect child care and sexual dimorphism?
  - \* If males and females co-operate, then child care might be more equal
  - \* If child care is more equal, we expect sexual dimorphism to be less

► As behaviour becomes more social, a wide variety of other adaptations may become available

- ► As behaviour becomes more social, a wide variety of other adaptations may become available
  - Mostly related to thinking and communication

- ► As behaviour becomes more social, a wide variety of other adaptations may become available
  - Mostly related to thinking and communication
- ► Leading to more opportunities for looping:

- ► As behaviour becomes more social, a wide variety of other adaptations may become available
  - Mostly related to thinking and communication
- ▶ Leading to more opportunities for looping:

- ► As behaviour becomes more social, a wide variety of other adaptations may become available
  - Mostly related to thinking and communication
- ▶ Leading to more opportunities for looping:
  - \* Bigger brains may facilitate more food-gathering and survival strategies

- ► As behaviour becomes more social, a wide variety of other adaptations may become available
  - Mostly related to thinking and communication
- ▶ Leading to more opportunities for looping:
  - \* Bigger brains may facilitate more food-gathering and survival strategies
  - \_ ×

- ► As behaviour becomes more social, a wide variety of other adaptations may become available
  - Mostly related to thinking and communication
- ▶ Leading to more opportunities for looping:
  - \* Bigger brains may facilitate more food-gathering and survival strategies
  - ▶ \* Communication may favor co-operation

- ► As behaviour becomes more social, a wide variety of other adaptations may become available
  - Mostly related to thinking and communication
- ▶ Leading to more opportunities for looping:
  - \* Bigger brains may facilitate more food-gathering and survival strategies
  - ▶ \* Communication may favor co-operation

► What kind of clues might be available?

What kind of clues might be available?

**▶** \*

- What kind of clues might be available?
  - ▶ \* Sexual dimorphism

- What kind of clues might be available?
  - \* Sexual dimorphism
  - **▶** ≯

- ▶ What kind of clues might be available?
  - \* Sexual dimorphism
  - ▶ \* Physical structures consistent with vocal communication

- ▶ What kind of clues might be available?
  - \* Sexual dimorphism
  - ▶ \* Physical structures consistent with vocal communication
  - \*

- ▶ What kind of clues might be available?
  - \* Sexual dimorphism
  - ▶ \* Physical structures consistent with vocal communication
  - ▶ \* Dental enamel

- ▶ What kind of clues might be available?
  - \* Sexual dimorphism
  - ▶ \* Physical structures consistent with vocal communication
  - ▶ \* Dental enamel

► The extent of sexual dimorphism tells us at least something about social structures

► The extent of sexual dimorphism tells us at least something about social structures

**▶** 3

- The extent of sexual dimorphism tells us at least something about social structures
  - ► \* Large amounts of sexual dimorphism probably mean less sociality and co-operation

- The extent of sexual dimorphism tells us at least something about social structures
  - ► \* Large amounts of sexual dimorphism probably mean less sociality and co-operation
  - **\***

- The extent of sexual dimorphism tells us at least something about social structures
  - ► \* Large amounts of sexual dimorphism probably mean less sociality and co-operation
  - ▶ \* At least among adult males

- The extent of sexual dimorphism tells us at least something about social structures
  - \* Large amounts of sexual dimorphism probably mean less sociality and co-operation
  - ▶ \* At least among adult males
- ▶ How do we know whose bones are male and female?

- The extent of sexual dimorphism tells us at least something about social structures
  - \* Large amounts of sexual dimorphism probably mean less sociality and co-operation
  - ▶ \* At least among adult males
- ▶ How do we know whose bones are male and female?

- The extent of sexual dimorphism tells us at least something about social structures
  - \* Large amounts of sexual dimorphism probably mean less sociality and co-operation
  - ▶ \* At least among adult males
- How do we know whose bones are male and female?
  - ▶ \* Pelvises (hip bones) are very different in all of our ancestors

- The extent of sexual dimorphism tells us at least something about social structures
  - \* Large amounts of sexual dimorphism probably mean less sociality and co-operation
  - ▶ \* At least among adult males
- How do we know whose bones are male and female?
  - \* Pelvises (hip bones) are very different in all of our ancestors
  - **\***

- The extent of sexual dimorphism tells us at least something about social structures
  - \* Large amounts of sexual dimorphism probably mean less sociality and co-operation
  - ▶ \* At least among adult males
- How do we know whose bones are male and female?
  - \* Pelvises (hip bones) are very different in all of our ancestors
  - ▶ \* Because childbirth

- The extent of sexual dimorphism tells us at least something about social structures
  - \* Large amounts of sexual dimorphism probably mean less sociality and co-operation
  - ▶ \* At least among adult males
- How do we know whose bones are male and female?
  - \* Pelvises (hip bones) are very different in all of our ancestors
  - ▶ \* Because childbirth
- ▶ How do we know whose teeth are male and female?

- The extent of sexual dimorphism tells us at least something about social structures
  - \* Large amounts of sexual dimorphism probably mean less sociality and co-operation
  - ▶ \* At least among adult males
- ▶ How do we know whose bones are male and female?
  - \* Pelvises (hip bones) are very different in all of our ancestors
  - ▶ \* Because childbirth
- ▶ How do we know whose teeth are male and female?
  - **k**

- The extent of sexual dimorphism tells us at least something about social structures
  - \* Large amounts of sexual dimorphism probably mean less sociality and co-operation
  - ▶ \* At least among adult males
- ▶ How do we know whose bones are male and female?
  - \* Pelvises (hip bones) are very different in all of our ancestors
  - ▶ \* Because childbirth
- ▶ How do we know whose teeth are male and female?
  - ▶ \* We don't, usually

- The extent of sexual dimorphism tells us at least something about social structures
  - \* Large amounts of sexual dimorphism probably mean less sociality and co-operation
  - \* At least among adult males
- How do we know whose bones are male and female?
  - \* Pelvises (hip bones) are very different in all of our ancestors
  - ▶ \* Because childbirth
- ▶ How do we know whose teeth are male and female?
  - ▶ \* We don't, usually
  - \*

- The extent of sexual dimorphism tells us at least something about social structures
  - \* Large amounts of sexual dimorphism probably mean less sociality and co-operation
  - ▶ \* At least among adult males
- ▶ How do we know whose bones are male and female?
  - \* Pelvises (hip bones) are very different in all of our ancestors
  - ▶ \* Because childbirth
- How do we know whose teeth are male and female?
  - ▶ \* We don't, usually
  - ▶ \* Bimodality can tell us about dimorphism anyway


- The extent of sexual dimorphism tells us at least something about social structures
  - \* Large amounts of sexual dimorphism probably mean less sociality and co-operation
  - ▶ \* At least among adult males
- ▶ How do we know whose bones are male and female?
  - \* Pelvises (hip bones) are very different in all of our ancestors
  - ▶ \* Because childbirth
- How do we know whose teeth are male and female?
  - ▶ \* We don't, usually
  - ▶ \* Bimodality can tell us about dimorphism anyway


► Bimodality means having two peaks in a distribution


- Bimodality means having two peaks in a distribution
  - ► For example, a modern human height distribution would have a peak for men, and a peak for women

- ▶ Bimodality means having two peaks in a distribution
  - ► For example, a modern human height distribution would have a peak for men, and a peak for women
- ► If traits are strongly dimorphic, we should be able to tell by sampling, even if we don't know which teeth come from men and which from women

- Bimodality means having two peaks in a distribution
  - ► For example, a modern human height distribution would have a peak for men, and a peak for women
- ▶ If traits are strongly dimorphic, we should be able to tell by sampling, even if we don't know which teeth come from men and which from women







▶ Why do human children develop *so* slowly?

▶ Why do human children develop so slowly?

- ▶ Why do human children develop *so* slowly?
  - ▶ \* Presumably related to elaborate sociality

- Why do human children develop so slowly?
  - ▶ \* Presumably related to elaborate sociality
- We are therefore very interested in how long it took our ancestors to mature

- Why do human children develop so slowly?
  - ▶ \* Presumably related to elaborate sociality
- We are therefore very interested in how long it took our ancestors to mature
- ► Some clues are available

- Why do human children develop so slowly?
  - ▶ \* Presumably related to elaborate sociality
- We are therefore very interested in how long it took our ancestors to mature
- Some clues are available
  - Dental enamel

- Why do human children develop so slowly?
  - ▶ \* Presumably related to elaborate sociality
- We are therefore very interested in how long it took our ancestors to mature
- Some clues are available
  - Dental enamel
  - ► Molar development

- Why do human children develop so slowly?
  - ▶ \* Presumably related to elaborate sociality
- We are therefore very interested in how long it took our ancestors to mature
- Some clues are available
  - Dental enamel
  - ► Molar development
- ► But it's a hard problem

- Why do human children develop so slowly?
  - ▶ \* Presumably related to elaborate sociality
- We are therefore very interested in how long it took our ancestors to mature
- Some clues are available
  - Dental enamel
  - Molar development
- ▶ But it's a hard problem



#### Outline

#### **Hominins**

Upright posture Complex foraging

#### Sociality

Tool making
Tools and adaptation

Humans

► Several species can make tools, but only people make tools that can project lectures directly from a computer onto a screen

- Several species can make tools, but only people make tools that can project lectures directly from a computer onto a screen
  - ▶ Which is awesome

- Several species can make tools, but only people make tools that can project lectures directly from a computer onto a screen
  - ▶ Which is awesome
- ► More broadly, hominins make far more sophisticated tools than non-hominins do

- Several species can make tools, but only people make tools that can project lectures directly from a computer onto a screen
  - ▶ Which is awesome
- More broadly, hominins make far more sophisticated tools than non-hominins do

► What other animals make tools?

- ▶ What other animals make tools?
- ► Not counting programmed behaviours (spiky nests, ant traps)

- ▶ What other animals make tools?
- Not counting programmed behaviours (spiky nests, ant traps)
  - **▶** '

- ▶ What other animals make tools?
- Not counting programmed behaviours (spiky nests, ant traps)
  - \* Chimpanzees, orangutans

- ▶ What other animals make tools?
- Not counting programmed behaviours (spiky nests, ant traps)
  - \* Chimpanzees, orangutans
  - \*

- What other animals make tools?
- Not counting programmed behaviours (spiky nests, ant traps)
  - \* Chimpanzees, orangutans
  - ▶ \* Elephants

- ▶ What other animals make tools?
- Not counting programmed behaviours (spiky nests, ant traps)
  - \* Chimpanzees, orangutans
  - \* Elephants
  - **\***

- ▶ What other animals make tools?
- Not counting programmed behaviours (spiky nests, ant traps)
  - \* Chimpanzees, orangutans
  - \* Elephants
  - ▶ \* Crows!

# Other species

- ▶ What other animals make tools?
- ▶ Not counting programmed behaviours (spiky nests, ant traps)
  - \* Chimpanzees, orangutans
  - \* Elephants
  - ▶ \* Crows!

► Tool making is likely an important part of the "loop" that provided many opportunities for new adaptations along the hominin tree

- ► Tool making is likely an important part of the "loop" that provided many opportunities for new adaptations along the hominin tree
- ► Others include:

► Tool making is likely an important part of the "loop" that provided many opportunities for new adaptations along the hominin tree

Others include:

**▶** ³

- ► Tool making is likely an important part of the "loop" that provided many opportunities for new adaptations along the hominin tree
- Others include:
  - \* Complex foraging

- ► Tool making is likely an important part of the "loop" that provided many opportunities for new adaptations along the hominin tree
- Others include:
  - \* Complex foraging
  - \*

- Tool making is likely an important part of the "loop" that provided many opportunities for new adaptations along the hominin tree
- Others include:
  - \* Complex foraging
  - ▶ \* Sociality

- Tool making is likely an important part of the "loop" that provided many opportunities for new adaptations along the hominin tree
- Others include:
  - \* Complex foraging
  - \* Sociality
  - \*

- Tool making is likely an important part of the "loop" that provided many opportunities for new adaptations along the hominin tree
- Others include:
  - \* Complex foraging
  - \* Sociality
  - \* Communication

- Tool making is likely an important part of the "loop" that provided many opportunities for new adaptations along the hominin tree
- Others include:
  - \* Complex foraging
  - \* Sociality
  - \* Communication
- ► All these things probably interacted with and encouraged each other along the way

- Tool making is likely an important part of the "loop" that provided many opportunities for new adaptations along the hominin tree
- Others include:
  - \* Complex foraging
  - \* Sociality
  - \* Communication
- ► All these things probably interacted with and encouraged each other along the way

▶ We find *amazing* stone tools from 2-3.5 mya

- ▶ We find *amazing* stone tools from 2-3.5 mya
  - ► Oldowan tools

- ▶ We find *amazing* stone tools from 2-3.5 mya
  - Oldowan tools
- ▶ It's hard to know who made them and used them

- ▶ We find *amazing* stone tools from 2-3.5 mya
  - Oldowan tools
- ▶ It's hard to know who made them and used them
  - **▶** \*

- ▶ We find *amazing* stone tools from 2-3.5 mya
  - Oldowan tools
- ▶ It's hard to know who made them and used them
  - \* Stone keeps better than bone

- ▶ We find *amazing* stone tools from 2-3.5 mya
  - Oldowan tools
- ▶ It's hard to know who made them and used them
  - \* Stone keeps better than bone
- ▶ It's hard to figure out how they were used

- ▶ We find *amazing* stone tools from 2-3.5 mya
  - Oldowan tools
- ▶ It's hard to know who made them and used them
  - ▶ \* Stone keeps better than bone
- It's hard to figure out how they were used

 Scientists have practiced making and using tools similar to the Oldowan tools

- ► Scientists have practiced *making* and *using* tools similar to the Oldowan tools
- ► Surprising conclusions:

- Scientists have practiced making and using tools similar to the Oldowan tools
- Surprising conclusions:
  - Cores are made by striking off flakes

- Scientists have practiced making and using tools similar to the Oldowan tools
- Surprising conclusions:
  - Cores are made by striking off flakes
    - ► Flakes are probably more useful than cores, maybe the cores are just leftovers

- Scientists have practiced making and using tools similar to the Oldowan tools
- Surprising conclusions:
  - Cores are made by striking off flakes
    - Flakes are probably more useful than cores, maybe the cores are just leftovers
  - ► Spheroids may be discarded hammers

- Scientists have practiced making and using tools similar to the Oldowan tools
- Surprising conclusions:
  - Cores are made by striking off flakes
    - Flakes are probably more useful than cores, maybe the cores are just leftovers
  - Spheroids may be discarded hammers
  - ► Tool makers were mostly right-handed

- Scientists have practiced making and using tools similar to the Oldowan tools
- Surprising conclusions:
  - Cores are made by striking off flakes
    - Flakes are probably more useful than cores, maybe the cores are just leftovers
  - Spheroids may be discarded hammers
  - ▶ Tool makers were mostly right-handed

### Outline

#### **Hominins**

Upright posture Complex foraging

### Sociality

Tool making
Tools and adaptation

Humans

► Tools opened up new strategies that likely favored co-operation, communication and culture:

- ► Tools opened up new strategies that likely favored co-operation, communication and culture:
  - Hunting and scavenging with weapons

- ► Tools opened up new strategies that likely favored co-operation, communication and culture:
  - Hunting and scavenging with weapons
  - Advanced foraging

- ► Tools opened up new strategies that likely favored co-operation, communication and culture:
  - Hunting and scavenging with weapons
  - Advanced foraging

► Scientists lived with, and attempted to learn from, remaining forage-based societies

- Scientists lived with, and attempted to learn from, remaining forage-based societies
  - ► Skills are very detailed, and take a long time to develop

- Scientists lived with, and attempted to learn from, remaining forage-based societies
  - ▶ Skills are very detailed, and take a long time to develop
  - Possible support for looping with culture and language

- Scientists lived with, and attempted to learn from, remaining forage-based societies
  - Skills are very detailed, and take a long time to develop
  - Possible support for looping with culture and language
- ▶ What can we learn from modern humans about our ancestors?

- Scientists lived with, and attempted to learn from, remaining forage-based societies
  - Skills are very detailed, and take a long time to develop
  - Possible support for looping with culture and language
- ▶ What can we learn from modern humans about our ancestors?
  - **>** 3

- Scientists lived with, and attempted to learn from, remaining forage-based societies
  - Skills are very detailed, and take a long time to develop
  - Possible support for looping with culture and language
- ▶ What can we learn from modern humans about our ancestors?
  - \* It's complicated

#### Active science

- Scientists lived with, and attempted to learn from, remaining forage-based societies
  - Skills are very detailed, and take a long time to develop
  - Possible support for looping with culture and language
- What can we learn from modern humans about our ancestors?
  - \* It's complicated
  - \*

#### Active science

- Scientists lived with, and attempted to learn from, remaining forage-based societies
  - Skills are very detailed, and take a long time to develop
  - Possible support for looping with culture and language
- ▶ What can we learn from modern humans about our ancestors?
  - \* It's complicated
  - ► \* A lot of evolution has happened since then, and it's hard to figure out which parts are how relevant

#### Active science

- Scientists lived with, and attempted to learn from, remaining forage-based societies
  - Skills are very detailed, and take a long time to develop
  - Possible support for looping with culture and language
- ▶ What can we learn from modern humans about our ancestors?
  - \* It's complicated
  - ► \* A lot of evolution has happened since then, and it's hard to figure out which parts are how relevant

► **Scavenging** is eating meat that is found, or taken from predators

- Scavenging is eating meat that is found, or taken from predators
- ► Evidence of early hominins **butchering** large animals including elephants raises the question of whether they were hunting or scavenging

- Scavenging is eating meat that is found, or taken from predators
- Evidence of early hominins butchering large animals including elephants raises the question of whether they were hunting or scavenging
  - ▶ It's not so easy to kill an elephant

- Scavenging is eating meat that is found, or taken from predators
- Evidence of early hominins butchering large animals including elephants raises the question of whether they were hunting or scavenging
  - ▶ It's not so easy to kill an elephant
  - ► Could they have had techniques or tools we don't know about?

- Scavenging is eating meat that is found, or taken from predators
- Evidence of early hominins butchering large animals including elephants raises the question of whether they were hunting or scavenging
  - It's not so easy to kill an elephant
  - Could they have had techniques or tools we don't know about?

Scavenging and hunting are complementary activities

- Scavenging and hunting are complementary activities
  - ► Most hunters scavenge

- Scavenging and hunting are complementary activities
  - Most hunters scavenge
  - Most mammalian scavengers hunt

- Scavenging and hunting are complementary activities
  - Most hunters scavenge
  - Most mammalian scavengers hunt
- ► Our ancestors probably did both

- Scavenging and hunting are complementary activities
  - Most hunters scavenge
  - Most mammalian scavengers hunt
- Our ancestors probably did both
- Scavenging requires the ability to:

- Scavenging and hunting are complementary activities
  - Most hunters scavenge
  - Most mammalian scavengers hunt
- Our ancestors probably did both
- Scavenging requires the ability to:
  - ► Take kills from other predators, or

- Scavenging and hunting are complementary activities
  - Most hunters scavenge
  - Most mammalian scavengers hunt
- Our ancestors probably did both
- Scavenging requires the ability to:
  - ▶ Take kills from other predators, or
  - Use resources others can't use

- Scavenging and hunting are complementary activities
  - Most hunters scavenge
  - Most mammalian scavengers hunt
- Our ancestors probably did both
- Scavenging requires the ability to:
  - ▶ Take kills from other predators, or
  - Use resources others can't use

► Some tools may have been used as weapons

- ► Some tools may have been used as weapons
  - ► For killing prey, or for fighting off other carnivovers

- Some tools may have been used as weapons
  - ► For killing prey, or for fighting off other carnivovers
  - ► There is no evidence of this

- Some tools may have been used as weapons
  - For killing prey, or for fighting off other carnivovers
  - ▶ There is no evidence of this
- ► Tools could be used to process leftovers

- Some tools may have been used as weapons
  - For killing prey, or for fighting off other carnivovers
  - ▶ There is no evidence of this
- Tools could be used to process leftovers
  - **k**

- Some tools may have been used as weapons
  - For killing prey, or for fighting off other carnivovers
  - ▶ There is no evidence of this
- Tools could be used to process leftovers
  - \* Cracking large bones for marrow, for example

- Some tools may have been used as weapons
  - For killing prey, or for fighting off other carnivovers
  - ▶ There is no evidence of this
- Tools could be used to process leftovers
  - \* Cracking large bones for marrow, for example

► Tools and knowledge can make a wide range of food sources available

- Tools and knowledge can make a wide range of food sources available
  - Colonial insect resources

- Tools and knowledge can make a wide range of food sources available
  - Colonial insect resources
  - ► Deeply buried plant resources

- ► Tools and knowledge can make a wide range of food sources available
  - Colonial insect resources
  - Deeply buried plant resources
  - ▶ Poisonous things that can be processed

- Tools and knowledge can make a wide range of food sources available
  - Colonial insect resources
  - Deeply buried plant resources
  - Poisonous things that can be processed

#### Outline

#### Hominins

Upright posture Complex foraging

#### Sociality

#### Tool making

Tools and adaptation

#### Humans

► Early humans replaced other hominins starting about 2 mya

- ► Early humans replaced other hominins starting about 2 mya
- Modern humans replaced other humans starting about 0.2 mya

- ► Early humans replaced other hominins starting about 2 mya
- Modern humans replaced other humans starting about 0.2 mya
  - **▶** ×

- ► Early humans replaced other hominins starting about 2 mya
- Modern humans replaced other humans starting about 0.2 mya
  - \* Probably through competition

- ► Early humans replaced other hominins starting about 2 mya
- Modern humans replaced other humans starting about 0.2 mya
  - \* Probably through competition
    - \*

- ► Early humans replaced other hominins starting about 2 mya
- Modern humans replaced other humans starting about 0.2 mya
  - \* Probably through competition
  - ▶ \* But we still don't know all of the reasons

- ► Early humans replaced other hominins starting about 2 mya
- Modern humans replaced other humans starting about 0.2 mya
  - \* Probably through competition
  - ▶ \* But we still don't know all of the reasons
- ► Both early and modern humans evolved in Africa and spread from there

#### Radiation and contraction

- Early humans replaced other hominins starting about 2 mya
- Modern humans replaced other humans starting about 0.2 mya
  - \* Probably through competition
  - ▶ \* But we still don't know all of the reasons
- ► Both early and modern humans evolved in Africa and spread from there

## Early humans

► Acheulean industry lasted almost 1 million years

## Early humans

- Acheulean industry lasted almost 1 million years
- ► It took people longer to move on from Acheulean industry than to move from Acheulean industry to self-driving cars!

## Early humans

- Acheulean industry lasted almost 1 million years
- ▶ It took people longer to move on from Acheulean industry than to move from Acheulean industry to self-driving cars!

► Characterized by small face and teeth

- Characterized by small face and teeth
- ► Less robust skeletal structure

- Characterized by small face and teeth
- Less robust skeletal structure
- ► Evolved in Africa around 200 kya (thousand years ago)

- Characterized by small face and teeth
- Less robust skeletal structure
- ► Evolved in Africa around 200 kya (thousand years ago)
- ► Took over most of the world in the last 50,000 years

- Characterized by small face and teeth
- Less robust skeletal structure
- ► Evolved in Africa around 200 kya (thousand years ago)
- ► Took over most of the world in the last 50,000 years

► Modern humans arose around 200 kya, but took over the world around 50 kya

- ► Modern humans arose around 200 kya, but took over the world around 50 kya
- ► What happened?

- Modern humans arose around 200 kya, but took over the world around 50 kya
- ► What happened?
  - ► A sudden evolutionary change?

- Modern humans arose around 200 kya, but took over the world around 50 kya
- ► What happened?
  - A sudden evolutionary change?
  - Gradual evolutionary change?

- Modern humans arose around 200 kya, but took over the world around 50 kya
- What happened?
  - A sudden evolutionary change?
  - Gradual evolutionary change?

- Modern humans arose around 200 kya, but took over the world around 50 kya
- ► What happened?
  - A sudden evolutionary change?
  - Gradual evolutionary change?
    - ▶ \* Why don't we see evidence?

- Modern humans arose around 200 kya, but took over the world around 50 kya
- ► What happened?
  - A sudden evolutionary change?
  - Gradual evolutionary change?
    - ▶ \* Why don't we see evidence?
    - \*

- Modern humans arose around 200 kya, but took over the world around 50 kya
- What happened?
  - A sudden evolutionary change?
  - Gradual evolutionary change?
    - ▶ \* Why don't we see evidence?
    - ▶ \* Might be about our brains, and not reflected in fossils

- ► Modern humans arose around 200 kya, but took over the world around 50 kya
- What happened?
  - A sudden evolutionary change?
  - Gradual evolutionary change?
    - ▶ \* Why don't we see evidence?
    - ▶ \* Might be about our brains, and not reflected in fossils
  - ► A sudden cultural change?

- ► Modern humans arose around 200 kya, but took over the world around 50 kya
- What happened?
  - A sudden evolutionary change?
  - Gradual evolutionary change?
    - ▶ \* Why don't we see evidence?
    - ▶ \* Might be about our brains, and not reflected in fossils
  - A sudden cultural change?
  - Gradual cultural change?

- ► Modern humans arose around 200 kya, but took over the world around 50 kya
- What happened?
  - A sudden evolutionary change?
  - Gradual evolutionary change?
    - ▶ \* Why don't we see evidence?
    - ▶ \* Might be about our brains, and not reflected in fossils
  - A sudden cultural change?
  - Gradual cultural change?

► People evolved by the same basic rules as other organisms

▶ People evolved by the same basic rules as other organisms

•

- ▶ People evolved by the same basic rules as other organisms
  - \* Adaptation by natural selection

- ▶ People evolved by the same basic rules as other organisms
  - ▶ \* Adaptation by natural selection
- ► Followed a very different path

- ▶ People evolved by the same basic rules as other organisms
  - ▶ \* Adaptation by natural selection
- ► Followed a very different path
  - **▶** ≯

- ▶ People evolved by the same basic rules as other organisms
  - \* Adaptation by natural selection
- ▶ Followed a very different path
  - \* Strong loops that continually created new adaptive opportunities

- ▶ People evolved by the same basic rules as other organisms
  - \* Adaptation by natural selection
- Followed a very different path
  - \* Strong loops that continually created new adaptive opportunities
- ► There is a lot we can learn about ourselves from biology

- ▶ People evolved by the same basic rules as other organisms
  - \* Adaptation by natural selection
- Followed a very different path
  - \* Strong loops that continually created new adaptive opportunities
- ► There is a lot we can learn about ourselves from biology
  - **▶** ≯

- ▶ People evolved by the same basic rules as other organisms
  - \* Adaptation by natural selection
- Followed a very different path
  - \* Strong loops that continually created new adaptive opportunities
- ▶ There is a lot we can learn about ourselves from biology
  - \* We are affected by all of the same basic processes as other organisms

- ▶ People evolved by the same basic rules as other organisms
  - \* Adaptation by natural selection
- Followed a very different path
  - \* Strong loops that continually created new adaptive opportunities
- ▶ There is a lot we can learn about ourselves from biology
  - \* We are affected by all of the same basic processes as other organisms
- ► And also a lot that we can't learn

- ▶ People evolved by the same basic rules as other organisms
  - \* Adaptation by natural selection
- Followed a very different path
  - \* Strong loops that continually created new adaptive opportunities
- ▶ There is a lot we can learn about ourselves from biology
  - \* We are affected by all of the same basic processes as other organisms
- And also a lot that we can't learn
  - **\***

- ▶ People evolved by the same basic rules as other organisms
  - \* Adaptation by natural selection
- Followed a very different path
  - \* Strong loops that continually created new adaptive opportunities
- ▶ There is a lot we can learn about ourselves from biology
  - \* We are affected by all of the same basic processes as other organisms
- And also a lot that we can't learn
  - ► \* We are also strongly affected by our complex brains (and complex cultures)

- ▶ People evolved by the same basic rules as other organisms
  - \* Adaptation by natural selection
- Followed a very different path
  - \* Strong loops that continually created new adaptive opportunities
- ▶ There is a lot we can learn about ourselves from biology
  - \* We are affected by all of the same basic processes as other organisms
- And also a lot that we can't learn
  - ► \* We are also strongly affected by our complex brains (and complex cultures)