UNIT 4: Structured populations

Outline

Introduction

- Up until now we've tracked populations with a single state variable (population size or population density)

Introduction

- Up until now we've tracked populations with a single state variable (population size or population density)
- Poll: What assumption are we making?

Introduction

- Up until now we've tracked populations with a single state variable (population size or population density)
- Poll: What assumption are we making?
  - *

Introduction

- Up until now we've tracked populations with a single state variable (population size or population density)
- Poll: What assumption are we making?
  - * All individuals are similar enough to be counted together

Introduction

- Up until now we've tracked populations with a single state variable (population size or population density)
- Poll: What assumption are we making?
  - * All individuals are similar enough to be counted together
    - *

Introduction

- Up until now we've tracked populations with a single state variable (population size or population density)
- Poll: What assumption are we making?
  - * All individuals are similar enough to be counted together
    - * Always (continuous time)

Introduction

- Up until now we've tracked populations with a single state variable (population size or population density)
- Poll: What assumption are we making?
  - * All individuals are similar enough to be counted together
    - * Always (continuous time)
    - *

Introduction

- Up until now we've tracked populations with a single state variable (population size or population density)
- Poll: What assumption are we making?
  - * All individuals are similar enough to be counted together
    - * Always (continuous time)
    - * At census time (discrete time)

Introduction

- Up until now we've tracked populations with a single state variable (population size or population density)
- Poll: What assumption are we making?
  - * All individuals are similar enough to be counted together
    - * Always (continuous time)
    - * At census time (discrete time)
- What are some organisms for which this seems like a good approximation?

Introduction

- Up until now we've tracked populations with a single state variable (population size or population density)
- Poll: What assumption are we making?
  - * All individuals are similar enough to be counted together
    - * Always (continuous time)
    - * At census time (discrete time)
- What are some organisms for which this seems like a good approximation?
  - *

Introduction

- Up until now we've tracked populations with a single state variable (population size or population density)
- Poll: What assumption are we making?
  - * All individuals are similar enough to be counted together
    - * Always (continuous time)
    - * At census time (discrete time)
- What are some organisms for which this seems like a good approximation?
  - * Dandelions, bacteria, insects

Introduction

- Up until now we've tracked populations with a single state variable (population size or population density)
- Poll: What assumption are we making?
  - * All individuals are similar enough to be counted together
    - * Always (continuous time)
    - * At census time (discrete time)
- What are some organisms for which this seems like a good approximation?
  - * Dandelions, bacteria, insects
- What are some organisms that don't work so well?

Introduction

- Up until now we've tracked populations with a single state variable (population size or population density)
- Poll: What assumption are we making?
  - * All individuals are similar enough to be counted together
    - * Always (continuous time)
    - * At census time (discrete time)
- What are some organisms for which this seems like a good approximation?
  - * Dandelions, bacteria, insects
- What are some organisms that don't work so well?
  - *

Introduction

- Up until now we've tracked populations with a single state variable (population size or population density)
- Poll: What assumption are we making?
  - * All individuals are similar enough to be counted together
    - * Always (continuous time)
    - * At census time (discrete time)
- What are some organisms for which this seems like a good approximation?
  - * Dandelions, bacteria, insects
- What are some organisms that don't work so well?
  - * Trees, people, codfish

Introduction

- Up until now we've tracked populations with a single state variable (population size or population density)
- Poll: What assumption are we making?
  - * All individuals are similar enough to be counted together
    - * Always (continuous time)
    - * At census time (discrete time)
- What are some organisms for which this seems like a good approximation?
  - * Dandelions, bacteria, insects
- What are some organisms that don't work so well?
  - * Trees, people, codfish

Structured populations

- If we think age or size is important to understanding a population, we might model it as an **structured** population

Structured populations

- If we think age or size is important to understanding a population, we might model it as an **structured** population
- Instead of just keeping track of the total number of individuals in our population . . .

Structured populations

- If we think age or size is important to understanding a population, we might model it as an **structured** population
- Instead of just keeping track of the total number of individuals in our population . . .
  - Keeping track of how many individuals of each age

Structured populations

- If we think age or size is important to understanding a population, we might model it as an **structured** population
- Instead of just keeping track of the total number of individuals in our population . . .
  - Keeping track of how many individuals of each age
    - or size

Structured populations

- If we think age or size is important to understanding a population, we might model it as an **structured** population
- Instead of just keeping track of the total number of individuals in our population . . .
  - Keeping track of how many individuals of each age
    - or size
    - or developmental stage

Structured populations

- If we think age or size is important to understanding a population, we might model it as an **structured** population
- Instead of just keeping track of the total number of individuals in our population ...
  - Keeping track of how many individuals of each age
    - or size
    - or developmental stage

Outline

Example: biennial dandelions

- Imagine a population of dandelions

Example: biennial dandelions

- Imagine a population of dandelions
  - Adults produce 80 seeds each year

Example: biennial dandelions

- Imagine a population of dandelions
  - Adults produce 80 seeds each year
  - 1% of seeds survive to become adults

Example: biennial dandelions

- Imagine a population of dandelions
  - Adults produce 80 seeds each year
  - 1% of seeds survive to become adults
  - 50% of first-year adults survive to reproduce again

Example: biennial dandelions

- Imagine a population of dandelions
  - Adults produce 80 seeds each year
  - 1% of seeds survive to become adults
  - 50% of first-year adults survive to reproduce again
  - Second-year adults never survive

Example: biennial dandelions

- Imagine a population of dandelions
  - Adults produce 80 seeds each year
  - 1% of seeds survive to become adults
  - 50% of first-year adults survive to reproduce again
  - Second-year adults never survive
- Will this population increase or decrease through time?

Example: biennial dandelions

- Imagine a population of dandelions
  - Adults produce 80 seeds each year
  - 1% of seeds survive to become adults
  - 50% of first-year adults survive to reproduce again
  - Second-year adults never survive
- Will this population increase or decrease through time?

How to study this population

- Choose a census time

How to study this population

- Choose a census time
  - Before reproduction or after

How to study this population

- Choose a census time
  - Before reproduction or after
  - Since we have complete cycle information, either one should work

How to study this population

- Choose a census time
  - Before reproduction or after
  - Since we have complete cycle information, either one should work
- Figure out how to predict the population at the next census

How to study this population

- Choose a census time
  - Before reproduction or after
  - Since we have complete cycle information, either one should work
- Figure out how to predict the population at the next census

Census choices

- Before reproduction

Census choices

- Before reproduction
  - All individuals are adults

Census choices

- Before reproduction
  - All individuals are adults
  - We want to know how many adults we will see next year

Census choices

- Before reproduction
  - All individuals are adults
  - We want to know how many adults we will see next year
- After reproduction

Census choices

- Before reproduction
  - All individuals are adults
  - We want to know how many adults we will see next year
- After reproduction
  - Seeds, one-year-olds and two-year-olds

Census choices

- Before reproduction
  - All individuals are adults
  - We want to know how many adults we will see next year
- After reproduction
  - Seeds, one-year-olds and two-year-olds
  - Two-year-olds have already produced their seeds; once these seeds are counted, the two-year-olds can be ignored, since they will not reproduce or survive again

Census choices

- Before reproduction
  - All individuals are adults
  - We want to know how many adults we will see next year
- After reproduction
  - Seeds, one-year-olds and two-year-olds
  - Two-year-olds have already produced their seeds; once these seeds are counted, the two-year-olds can be ignored, since they will not reproduce or survive again

Example: biennial dandelions (repeat)

- Imagine a population of dandelions

Example: biennial dandelions (repeat)

- Imagine a population of dandelions
  - Adults produce 80 seeds each year

Example: biennial dandelions (repeat)

- Imagine a population of dandelions
  - Adults produce 80 seeds each year
  - 1% of seeds survive to become adults

Example: biennial dandelions (repeat)

- Imagine a population of dandelions
  - Adults produce 80 seeds each year
  - 1% of seeds survive to become adults
  - 50% of first-year adults survive to reproduce again

Example: biennial dandelions (repeat)

- Imagine a population of dandelions
  - Adults produce 80 seeds each year
  - 1% of seeds survive to become adults
  - 50% of first-year adults survive to reproduce again
  - Second-year adults never survive

Example: biennial dandelions (repeat)

- Imagine a population of dandelions
  - Adults produce 80 seeds each year
  - 1% of seeds survive to become adults
  - 50% of first-year adults survive to reproduce again
  - Second-year adults never survive
- Will this population increase or decrease through time?

Example: biennial dandelions (repeat)

- Imagine a population of dandelions
  - Adults produce 80 seeds each year
  - 1% of seeds survive to become adults
  - 50% of first-year adults survive to reproduce again
  - Second-year adults never survive
- Will this population increase or decrease through time?

What determines λ?

- If we have 20 adults before reproduction, how many do we expect to see next time?

What determines λ?

- If we have 20 adults before reproduction, how many do we expect to see next time?
- $\lambda = p + f$ is the total number of individuals per individual after one time step

What determines λ?

- If we have 20 adults before reproduction, how many do we expect to see next time?
- $\lambda = p + f$ is the total number of individuals per individual after one time step
- Poll: What is f in this example?

What determines λ?

- If we have 20 adults before reproduction, how many do we expect to see next time?
- $\lambda = p + f$ is the total number of individuals per individual after one time step
- Poll: What is f in this example?
  - *

What determines λ?

- If we have 20 adults before reproduction, how many do we expect to see next time?
- $\lambda = p + f$ is the total number of individuals per individual after one time step
- Poll: What is f in this example?
  - * 0.8

What determines λ?

- If we have 20 adults before reproduction, how many do we expect to see next time?
- $\lambda = p + f$ is the total number of individuals per individual after one time step
- Poll: What is f in this example?
  - * 0.8
- Poll: What is p in this example?

What determines λ?

- If we have 20 adults before reproduction, how many do we expect to see next time?
- $\lambda = p + f$ is the total number of individuals per individual after one time step
- Poll: What is f in this example?
  - * 0.8
- Poll: What is p in this example?
  - *

What determines λ?

- If we have 20 adults before reproduction, how many do we expect to see next time?
- $\lambda = p + f$ is the total number of individuals per individual after one time step
- Poll: What is f in this example?
  - * 0.8
- Poll: What is p in this example?
  - * 0.5 for 1-year-olds and 0 for 2-year-olds.

What determines λ?

- If we have 20 adults before reproduction, how many do we expect to see next time?
- $\lambda = p + f$ is the total number of individuals per individual after one time step
- Poll: What is f in this example?
  - * 0.8
- Poll: What is p in this example?
  - * 0.5 for 1-year-olds and 0 for 2-year-olds.
  - *

What determines λ?

- If we have 20 adults before reproduction, how many do we expect to see next time?
- $\lambda = p + f$ is the total number of individuals per individual after one time step
- Poll: What is f in this example?
  - * 0.8
- Poll: What is p in this example?
  - * 0.5 for 1-year-olds and 0 for 2-year-olds.
  - * We can't take an average, because we don't know the population structure

What determines λ?

- If we have 20 adults before reproduction, how many do we expect to see next time?
- $\lambda = p + f$ is the total number of individuals per individual after one time step
- Poll: What is f in this example?
  - * 0.8
- Poll: What is p in this example?
  - * 0.5 for 1-year-olds and 0 for 2-year-olds.
  - * We can't take an average, because we don't know the population structure

What determines $\mathcal{R}$?

- $\mathcal{R}$ is the average total number of offspring produced by an individual over their lifespan

What determines $\mathcal{R}$?

- $\mathcal{R}$ is the average total number of offspring produced by an individual over their lifespan
- Can start at any stage, but need to close the loop

What determines $\mathcal{R}$?

- $\mathcal{R}$ is the average total number of offspring produced by an individual over their lifespan
- Can start at any stage, but need to close the loop
- Poll: What is the reproductive number?

What determines $\mathcal{R}$?

- $\mathcal{R}$ is the average total number of offspring produced by an individual over their lifespan
- Can start at any stage, but need to close the loop
- Poll: What is the reproductive number?
- *

What determines $\mathcal{R}$?

- $\mathcal{R}$ is the average total number of offspring produced by an individual over their lifespan
- Can start at any stage, but need to close the loop
- Poll: What is the reproductive number?
- * If you become an adult you produce (on average)

What determines $\mathcal{R}$?

- $\mathcal{R}$ is the average total number of offspring produced by an individual over their lifespan
- Can start at any stage, but need to close the loop
- Poll: What is the reproductive number?
- * If you become an adult you produce (on average)
  - *Blackboard!*

What determines $\mathcal{R}$?

- $\mathcal{R}$ is the average total number of offspring produced by an individual over their lifespan
- Can start at any stage, but need to close the loop
- Poll: What is the reproductive number?
- * If you become an adult you produce (on average)
  - *Blackboard!*
  - *

What determines $\mathcal{R}$?

- $\mathcal{R}$ is the average total number of offspring produced by an individual over their lifespan
- Can start at any stage, but need to close the loop
- Poll: What is the reproductive number?
- * If you become an adult you produce (on average)
  - *Blackboard!*
  - * 0.8 adults your first year

What determines $\mathcal{R}$?

- $\mathcal{R}$ is the average total number of offspring produced by an individual over their lifespan
- Can start at any stage, but need to close the loop
- Poll: What is the reproductive number?
- * If you become an adult you produce (on average)
  - *Blackboard!*
  - * 0.8 adults your first year
  - *

What determines $\mathcal{R}$?

- $\mathcal{R}$ is the average total number of offspring produced by an individual over their lifespan
- Can start at any stage, but need to close the loop
- Poll: What is the reproductive number?
- * If you become an adult you produce (on average)
  - *Blackboard!*
  - * 0.8 adults your first year
  - * 0.4 adults your second year

What determines $\mathcal{R}$?

- $\mathcal{R}$ is the average total number of offspring produced by an individual over their lifespan
- Can start at any stage, but need to close the loop
- Poll: What is the reproductive number?
- * If you become an adult you produce (on average)
  - *Blackboard!*
  - * 0.8 adults your first year
  - * 0.4 adults your second year
- *

What determines $\mathcal{R}$?

- $\mathcal{R}$ is the average total number of offspring produced by an individual over their lifespan
- Can start at any stage, but need to close the loop
- Poll: What is the reproductive number?
- * If you become an adult you produce (on average)
  - *Blackboard!*
  - * 0.8 adults your first year
  - * 0.4 adults your second year
- * $\mathcal{R} = 1.2$

What determines $\mathcal{R}$?

- $\mathcal{R}$ is the average total number of offspring produced by an individual over their lifespan
- Can start at any stage, but need to close the loop
- Poll: What is the reproductive number?
- * If you become an adult you produce (on average)
  - *Blackboard!*
  - * 0.8 adults your first year
  - * 0.4 adults your second year
- * $\mathcal{R} = 1.2$

What does $\mathcal{R}$ tell us about λ?

- *

What does $\mathcal{R}$ tell us about λ?

- * Population increases when $\mathcal{R} > 1$, so $\lambda > 1$ exactly when $\mathcal{R} > 1$

What does $\mathcal{R}$ tell us about λ?

- * Population increases when $\mathcal{R} > 1$, so $\lambda > 1$ exactly when $\mathcal{R} > 1$
- If $\mathcal{R} = 1.2$, then λ

What does $\mathcal{R}$ tell us about λ?

- * Population increases when $\mathcal{R} > 1$, so $\lambda > 1$ exactly when $\mathcal{R} > 1$
- If $\mathcal{R} = 1.2$, then λ
  - *

What does $\mathcal{R}$ tell us about λ?

- * Population increases when $\mathcal{R} > 1$, so $\lambda > 1$ exactly when $\mathcal{R} > 1$
- If $\mathcal{R} = 1.2$, then λ
  - * > 1 – the population is increasing

What does $\mathcal{R}$ tell us about λ?

- * Population increases when $\mathcal{R} > 1$, so $\lambda > 1$ exactly when $\mathcal{R} > 1$
- If $\mathcal{R} = 1.2$, then λ
  - * > 1 – the population is increasing
  - *

What does $\mathcal{R}$ tell us about λ?

- * Population increases when $\mathcal{R} > 1$, so $\lambda > 1$ exactly when $\mathcal{R} > 1$
- If $\mathcal{R} = 1.2$, then λ
  - * > 1 – the population is increasing
  - * < 1.2 – the life cycle takes more than 1 year, so it should take more than one year for the population to increase 1.2 times

What does $\mathcal{R}$ tell us about λ?

- * Population increases when $\mathcal{R} > 1$, so $\lambda > 1$ exactly when $\mathcal{R} > 1$
- If $\mathcal{R} = 1.2$, then λ
  - * > 1 – the population is increasing
  - * < 1.2 – the life cycle takes more than 1 year, so it should take more than one year for the population to increase 1.2 times

Outline

Modeling approach

- In this unit, we will construct *simple* models of structured populations



Modeling approach

- In this unit, we will construct *simple* models of structured populations
  - To explore how structure might affect population dynamics



Modeling approach

- In this unit, we will construct *simple* models of structured populations
  - To explore how structure might affect population dynamics
  - To investigate how to interpret structured data



Modeling approach

- In this unit, we will construct *simple* models of structured populations
  - To explore how structure might affect population dynamics
  - To investigate how to interpret structured data



Regulation

- *Simple* population models with regulation can have extremely complicated dynamics

Regulation

- *Simple* population models with regulation can have extremely complicated dynamics
- *Structured* population models with regulation can have insanely complicated dynamics

Regulation

- *Simple* population models with regulation can have extremely complicated dynamics
- *Structured* population models with regulation can have insanely complicated dynamics
- Here we will focus on understanding structured population models *without regulation*:

Regulation

- *Simple* population models with regulation can have extremely complicated dynamics
- *Structured* population models with regulation can have insanely complicated dynamics
- Here we will focus on understanding structured population models *without regulation*:
    - *

Regulation

- *Simple* population models with regulation can have extremely complicated dynamics
- *Structured* population models with regulation can have insanely complicated dynamics
- Here we will focus on understanding structured population models *without regulation*:
  - * Individuals behave independently, or (equivalently)

Regulation

- *Simple* population models with regulation can have extremely complicated dynamics
- *Structured* population models with regulation can have insanely complicated dynamics
- Here we will focus on understanding structured population models *without regulation*:
  - * Individuals behave independently, or (equivalently)
  - *

Regulation

- *Simple* population models with regulation can have extremely complicated dynamics
- *Structured* population models with regulation can have insanely complicated dynamics
- Here we will focus on understanding structured population models *without regulation*:
  - * Individuals behave independently, or (equivalently)
  - * Average per capita rates do not depend on population size

Regulation

- *Simple* population models with regulation can have extremely complicated dynamics
- *Structured* population models with regulation can have insanely complicated dynamics
- Here we will focus on understanding structured population models *without regulation*:
  - * Individuals behave independently, or (equivalently)
  - * Average per capita rates do not depend on population size

Complexity



Age-structured models

- The most common approach is to structure by age

Age-structured models

- The most common approach is to structure by age
- In age-structured models we model how many individuals there are in each "age class"

Age-structured models

- The most common approach is to structure by age
- In age-structured models we model how many individuals there are in each "age class"
  - Typically, we use age classes of one year

Age-structured models

- The most common approach is to structure by age
- In age-structured models we model how many individuals there are in each "age class"
  - Typically, we use age classes of one year
  - Example: salmon live in the ocean for roughly a fixed number of years; if we know how old a salmon is, that strongly affects how likely it is to reproduce

Age-structured models

- The most common approach is to structure by age
- In age-structured models we model how many individuals there are in each "age class"
  - Typically, we use age classes of one year
  - Example: salmon live in the ocean for roughly a fixed number of years; if we know how old a salmon is, that strongly affects how likely it is to reproduce

Stage-structured models

- In stage-structured models, we model how many individuals there are in different stages

Stage-structured models

- In stage-structured models, we model how many individuals there are in different stages
  - Ie., newborns, juveniles, adults

Stage-structured models

- In stage-structured models, we model how many individuals there are in different stages
  - Ie., newborns, juveniles, adults
  - More flexible than an age-structured model

Stage-structured models

- In stage-structured models, we model how many individuals there are in different stages
  - Ie., newborns, juveniles, adults
  - More flexible than an age-structured model
  - Example: forest trees may survive on very little light for a long time before they have the opportunity to recruit to the sapling stage

Stage-structured models

- In stage-structured models, we model how many individuals there are in different stages
    - Ie., newborns, juveniles, adults
    - More flexible than an age-structured model
    - Example: forest trees may survive on very little light for a long time before they have the opportunity to recruit to the sapling stage

Discrete vs. continuous time in unstructured models

- continuous-time models are structurally simpler (and smoother)

Discrete vs. continuous time in unstructured models

- continuous-time models are structurally simpler (and smoother)
- discrete-time models only need to assume everyone's the same sometimes

Discrete vs. continuous time in unstructured models

- continuous-time models are structurally simpler (and smoother)
- discrete-time models only need to assume everyone's the same sometimes
  - *

Discrete vs. continuous time in unstructured models

- continuous-time models are structurally simpler (and smoother)
- discrete-time models only need to assume everyone's the same sometimes
  - * At the census time

Discrete vs. continuous time in unstructured models

- continuous-time models are structurally simpler (and smoother)
- discrete-time models only need to assume everyone's the same sometimes
  - * At the census time
  - *

Discrete vs. continuous time in unstructured models

- continuous-time models are structurally simpler (and smoother)
- discrete-time models only need to assume everyone's the same sometimes
  - * At the census time
  - * More realistic

Discrete vs. continuous time in unstructured models

- continuous-time models are structurally simpler (and smoother)
- discrete-time models only need to assume everyone's the same sometimes
  - * At the census time
  - * More realistic

. . . in structured models

- We no longer assume everyone is the same (we keep track of age or size)

...in structured models

- We no longer assume everyone is the same (we keep track of age or size)
- Poll: So it should be mostly about reproduction

. . . in structured models

- We no longer assume everyone is the same (we keep track of age or size)
- Poll: So it should be mostly about reproduction
  - *

. . . in structured models

- We no longer assume everyone is the same (we keep track of age or size)
- Poll: So it should be mostly about reproduction
  - * Populations with continuous reproduction (e.g. bacteria), may be better suited to continuous-time models

. . . in structured models

- We no longer assume everyone is the same (we keep track of age or size)
- Poll: So it should be mostly about reproduction
  - * Populations with continuous reproduction (e.g. bacteria), may be better suited to continuous-time models
  - *

. . . in structured models

- We no longer assume everyone is the same (we keep track of age or size)
- Poll: So it should be mostly about reproduction
  - * Populations with continuous reproduction (e.g. bacteria), may be better suited to continuous-time models
  - * Populations with **synchronous** reproduction (e.g., moths) may be better suited to discrete-time models

. . . in structured models

- We no longer assume everyone is the same (we keep track of age or size)
- Poll: So it should be mostly about reproduction
  - * Populations with continuous reproduction (e.g. bacteria), may be better suited to continuous-time models
  - * Populations with **synchronous** reproduction (e.g., moths) may be better suited to discrete-time models
- Continuous time with structure gives people headaches

. . . in structured models

- We no longer assume everyone is the same (we keep track of age or size)
- Poll: So it should be mostly about reproduction
  - * Populations with continuous reproduction (e.g. bacteria), may be better suited to continuous-time models
  - * Populations with **synchronous** reproduction (e.g., moths) may be better suited to discrete-time models
- Continuous time with structure gives people headaches
  - So we won't do it here, even though it may be better for many applications

. . . in structured models

- We no longer assume everyone is the same (we keep track of age or size)
- Poll: So it should be mostly about reproduction
  - * Populations with continuous reproduction (e.g. bacteria), may be better suited to continuous-time models
  - * Populations with **synchronous** reproduction (e.g., moths) may be better suited to discrete-time models
- Continuous time with structure gives people headaches
  - So we won't do it here, even though it may be better for many applications

Outline

Constructing a model

- This section will focus on **linear, discrete-time, age-structured** models

Constructing a model

- This section will focus on **linear, discrete-time, age-structured** models
- State variables: how many individuals of each age at any given time

Constructing a model

- This section will focus on **linear, discrete-time, age-structured** models
- State variables: how many individuals of each age at any given time
- Parameters: p and f *for each age that we are modeling*

Constructing a model

- This section will focus on **linear, discrete-time, age-structured** models
- State variables: how many individuals of each age at any given time
- Parameters: p and f *for each age that we are modeling*

When to count

- We will choose a census time that is appropriate for our study

When to count

- We will choose a census time that is appropriate for our study
  - Before reproduction, to have the fewest number of individuals

When to count

- We will choose a census time that is appropriate for our study
  - Before reproduction, to have the fewest number of individuals
  - After reproduction, to have the most information about the population processes

When to count

- We will choose a census time that is appropriate for our study
  - Before reproduction, to have the fewest number of individuals
  - After reproduction, to have the most information about the population processes
  - Some other time, for convenience in counting

When to count

- We will choose a census time that is appropriate for our study
  - Before reproduction, to have the fewest number of individuals
  - After reproduction, to have the most information about the population processes
  - Some other time, for convenience in counting
    - *

When to count

- We will choose a census time that is appropriate for our study
  - Before reproduction, to have the fewest number of individuals
  - After reproduction, to have the most information about the population processes
  - Some other time, for convenience in counting
    - * A time when individuals gather together

When to count

- We will choose a census time that is appropriate for our study
  - Before reproduction, to have the fewest number of individuals
  - After reproduction, to have the most information about the population processes
  - Some other time, for convenience in counting
    - * A time when individuals gather together
    - *

When to count

- We will choose a census time that is appropriate for our study
  - Before reproduction, to have the fewest number of individuals
  - After reproduction, to have the most information about the population processes
  - Some other time, for convenience in counting
    - * A time when individuals gather together
    - * A time when they are easy to find (insect pupae)

When to count

- We will choose a census time that is appropriate for our study
  - Before reproduction, to have the fewest number of individuals
  - After reproduction, to have the most information about the population processes
  - Some other time, for convenience in counting
    - * A time when individuals gather together
    - * A time when they are easy to find (insect pupae)

The conceptual model

- Once we choose a census time, we imagine we know the population for each age x after time step T.

The conceptual model

- Once we choose a census time, we imagine we know the population for each age x after time step T.
    - We call these values $N_x(T)$

The conceptual model

- Once we choose a census time, we imagine we know the population for each age x after time step T.
  - We call these values $N_x(T)$
- Now we want to calculate the expected number of individuals in each age class at the next time step

The conceptual model

- Once we choose a census time, we imagine we know the population for each age x after time step T.
  - We call these values $N_x(T)$
- Now we want to calculate the expected number of individuals in each age class at the next time step
  - We call these values $N_x(T+1)$

The conceptual model

- Once we choose a census time, we imagine we know the population for each age x after time step T.
  - We call these values $N_x(T)$
- Now we want to calculate the expected number of individuals in each age class at the next time step
  - We call these values $N_x(T+1)$
- Poll: What do we need to know?

The conceptual model

- Once we choose a census time, we imagine we know the population for each age x after time step T.
  - We call these values $N_x(T)$
- Now we want to calculate the expected number of individuals in each age class at the next time step
  - We call these values $N_x(T+1)$
- Poll: What do we need to know?
  - *

The conceptual model

- Once we choose a census time, we imagine we know the population for each age x after time step T.
  - We call these values $N_x(T)$
- Now we want to calculate the expected number of individuals in each age class at the next time step
  - We call these values $N_x(T+1)$
- Poll: What do we need to know?
  - * The survival probability of each age group: p_x

The conceptual model

- Once we choose a census time, we imagine we know the population for each age x after time step T.
  - We call these values $N_x(T)$
- Now we want to calculate the expected number of individuals in each age class at the next time step
  - We call these values $N_x(T+1)$
- Poll: What do we need to know?
  - * The survival probability of each age group: p_x
  - *

The conceptual model

- Once we choose a census time, we imagine we know the population for each age x after time step T.
  - We call these values $N_x(T)$
- Now we want to calculate the expected number of individuals in each age class at the next time step
  - We call these values $N_x(T+1)$
- Poll: What do we need to know?
  - * The survival probability of each age group: p_x
  - * The average fecundity of each age group: f_x

The conceptual model

- Once we choose a census time, we imagine we know the population for each age x after time step T.
    - We call these values $N_x(T)$
- Now we want to calculate the expected number of individuals in each age class at the next time step
    - We call these values $N_x(T+1)$
- Poll: What do we need to know?
    - * The survival probability of each age group: p_x
    - * The average fecundity of each age group: f_x

Closing the loop

- f_x and p_x must close the loop back to the census time, so we can use them to simulate our model:

Closing the loop

- f_x and p_x must close the loop back to the census time, so we can use them to simulate our model:
  - f_x has units [new indiv (at census time)]/[age x indiv (at census time)]

Closing the loop

- f_x and p_x must close the loop back to the census time, so we can use them to simulate our model:
  - f_x has units [new indiv (at census time)]/[age x indiv (at census time)]
  - p_x has units [age $x+1$ indiv (at census time)]/[age x indiv (at census time)]

Closing the loop

- f_x and p_x must close the loop back to the census time, so we can use them to simulate our model:
  - f_x has units [new indiv (at census time)]/[age x indiv (at census time)]
  - p_x has units [age $x+1$ indiv (at census time)]/[age x indiv (at census time)]
- *Diagram*

Closing the loop

- f_x and p_x must close the loop back to the census time, so we can use them to simulate our model:
  - f_x has units [new indiv (at census time)]/[age x indiv (at census time)]
  - p_x has units [age $x+1$ indiv (at census time)]/[age x indiv (at census time)]
- *Diagram*

The structured model



Outline

Short-term dynamics

- This model's short-term dynamics will depend on parameters ...

Short-term dynamics

- This model's short-term dynamics will depend on parameters . . .
  - It is more likely to go up if fecundities and survival probabilities are high

Short-term dynamics

- This model's short-term dynamics will depend on parameters . . .
  - It is more likely to go up if fecundities and survival probabilities are high
- . . . and starting conditions

Short-term dynamics

- This model's short-term dynamics will depend on parameters . . .
  - It is more likely to go up if fecundities and survival probabilities are high
- . . . and starting conditions
  - If we start with mostly very old or very young individuals, it might go down; with lots of reproductive adults it might go up

Short-term dynamics

- This model's short-term dynamics will depend on parameters . . .
  - It is more likely to go up if fecundities and survival probabilities are high
- . . . and starting conditions
  - If we start with mostly very old or very young individuals, it might go down; with lots of reproductive adults it might go up

Long-term dynamics

- If a population follows a model like this, it will tend to reach

Long-term dynamics

- If a population follows a model like this, it will tend to reach
  - a **stable age distribution**:

Long-term dynamics

- If a population follows a model like this, it will tend to reach
  - a **stable age distribution**:
    - the *proportion* of individuals in each age class is constant

Long-term dynamics

- If a population follows a model like this, it will tend to reach
  - a **stable age distribution**:
    - the *proportion* of individuals in each age class is constant
  - a stable value of λ

Long-term dynamics

- If a population follows a model like this, it will tend to reach
  - a **stable age distribution**:
    - the *proportion* of individuals in each age class is constant
  - a stable value of λ
    - if the proportions are constant, then we can average over f_x and p_x, and the system will behave like our simple model

Long-term dynamics

- If a population follows a model like this, it will tend to reach
  - a **stable age distribution**:
    - the *proportion* of individuals in each age class is constant
  - a stable value of λ
    - if the proportions are constant, then we can average over f_x and p_x, and the system will behave like our simple model
- Poll: What are the long-term dynamics of such a system?

Long-term dynamics

- If a population follows a model like this, it will tend to reach
  - a **stable age distribution**:
    - the *proportion* of individuals in each age class is constant
  - a stable value of λ
    - if the proportions are constant, then we can average over f_x and p_x, and the system will behave like our simple model
- Poll: What are the long-term dynamics of such a system?
  - *

Long-term dynamics

- If a population follows a model like this, it will tend to reach
  - a **stable age distribution**:
    - the *proportion* of individuals in each age class is constant
  - a stable value of λ
    - if the proportions are constant, then we can average over f_x and p_x, and the system will behave like our simple model
- Poll: What are the long-term dynamics of such a system?
  - * Exponential growth or exponential decline

Long-term dynamics

- If a population follows a model like this, it will tend to reach
  - a **stable age distribution**:
    - the *proportion* of individuals in each age class is constant
  - a stable value of λ
    - if the proportions are constant, then we can average over f_x and p_x, and the system will behave like our simple model
- Poll: What are the long-term dynamics of such a system?
  - * Exponential growth or exponential decline
- Spreadsheet

Long-term dynamics

- If a population follows a model like this, it will tend to reach
  - a **stable age distribution**:
    - the *proportion* of individuals in each age class is constant
  - a stable value of λ
    - if the proportions are constant, then we can average over f_x and p_x, and the system will behave like our simple model
- Poll: What are the long-term dynamics of such a system?
  - * Exponential growth or exponential decline
- Spreadsheet

Exception

- Populations with **independent cohorts** do not tend to reach a stable age distribution

Exception

- Populations with **independent cohorts** do not tend to reach a stable age distribution
  - A **cohort** is a group that enters the population at the same time

Exception

- Populations with **independent cohorts** do not tend to reach a stable age distribution
  - A **cohort** is a group that enters the population at the same time
  - We say my cohort and your cohort interact if my children might be in the same cohort as your children

Exception

- Populations with **independent cohorts** do not tend to reach a stable age distribution
  - A **cohort** is a group that enters the population at the same time
  - We say my cohort and your cohort interact if my children might be in the same cohort as your children
  - or my grandchildren might be in the same cohort as your great-grandchlidren

Exception

- Populations with **independent cohorts** do not tend to reach a stable age distribution
  - A **cohort** is a group that enters the population at the same time
  - We say my cohort and your cohort interact if my children might be in the same cohort as your children
  - or my grandchildren might be in the same cohort as your great-grandchlidren
  - ...

Exception

- Populations with **independent cohorts** do not tend to reach a stable age distribution
  - A **cohort** is a group that enters the population at the same time
  - We say my cohort and your cohort interact if my children might be in the same cohort as your children
  - or my grandchildren might be in the same cohort as your great-grandchlidren
  - ...
- As long as all cohorts interact (none are independent), then the unregulated model leads to a stable age distribution (SAD)

Exception

- Populations with **independent cohorts** do not tend to reach a stable age distribution
  - A **cohort** is a group that enters the population at the same time
  - We say my cohort and your cohort interact if my children might be in the same cohort as your children
  - or my grandchildren might be in the same cohort as your great-grandchlidren
  - ...
- As long as all cohorts interact (none are independent), then the unregulated model leads to a stable age distribution (SAD)

Independent cohorts

- Some populations might have independent cohorts:

Independent cohorts

- Some populations might have independent cohorts:
  - If salmon reproduce *exactly* every four years, then:

Independent cohorts

- Some populations might have independent cohorts:
  - If salmon reproduce *exactly* every four years, then:
    - the 2015 cohort would have offspring in 2019, 2023, 2027, 2031, ...

Independent cohorts

- Some populations might have independent cohorts:
  - If salmon reproduce *exactly* every four years, then:
    - the 2015 cohort would have offspring in 2019, 2023, 2027, 2031, . . .
    - the 2016 cohort would have offspring in 2020, 2024, 2028, 2032, . . .

Independent cohorts

- Some populations might have independent cohorts:
  - If salmon reproduce *exactly* every four years, then:
    - the 2015 cohort would have offspring in 2019, 2023, 2027, 2031, . . .
    - the 2016 cohort would have offspring in 2020, 2024, 2028, 2032, . . .
    - in theory, they could remain independent – distribution would not converge

Independent cohorts

- Some populations might have independent cohorts:
  - If salmon reproduce *exactly* every four years, then:
    - the 2015 cohort would have offspring in 2019, 2023, 2027, 2031, ...
    - the 2016 cohort would have offspring in 2020, 2024, 2028, 2032, ...
    - in theory, they could remain independent – distribution would not converge
- Examples could include 17-year locusts, century plants, ...

Independent cohorts

- Some populations might have independent cohorts:
  - If salmon reproduce *exactly* every four years, then:
    - the 2015 cohort would have offspring in 2019, 2023, 2027, 2031, . . .
    - the 2016 cohort would have offspring in 2020, 2024, 2028, 2032, . . .
    - in theory, they could remain independent – distribution would not converge
- Examples could include 17-year locusts, century plants, . . .

Outline

Life tables

- People often study structured models using **life tables**

Life tables

- People often study structured models using **life tables**
- A life table is made *from the perspective of a particular census time*

Life tables

- People often study structured models using **life tables**
- A life table is made *from the perspective of a particular census time*
- It contains the information necessary to project to the next census:

Life tables

- People often study structured models using **life tables**
- A life table is made *from the perspective of a particular census time*
- It contains the information necessary to project to the next census:
  - How many survivors do we expect at the next census for each individual we see at this census? (p_x in our model)

Life tables

- People often study structured models using **life tables**
- A life table is made *from the perspective of a particular census time*
- It contains the information necessary to project to the next census:
  - How many survivors do we expect at the next census for each individual we see at this census? (p_x in our model)
  - How many offspring do we expect at the next census for each individual we see at this census? (f_x in our model)

Life tables

- People often study structured models using **life tables**
- A life table is made *from the perspective of a particular census time*
- It contains the information necessary to project to the next census:
  - How many survivors do we expect at the next census for each individual we see at this census? (p_x in our model)
  - How many offspring do we expect at the next census for each individual we see at this census? (f_x in our model)

Cumulative survivorship

- The first key to understanding how much each organism will contribute to the population is **survivorship**

Cumulative survivorship

- The first key to understanding how much each organism will contribute to the population is **survivorship**
- In the field, we estimate the probability of survival from age x to age $x + 1$: p_x

Cumulative survivorship

- The first key to understanding how much each organism will contribute to the population is **survivorship**
- In the field, we estimate the probability of survival from age x to age $x + 1$: p_x
  - This is the probability you will be *counted* at age $x + 1$, given that you were *counted* at age x.

Cumulative survivorship

- The first key to understanding how much each organism will contribute to the population is **survivorship**
- In the field, we estimate the probability of survival from age x to age $x+1$: p_x
  - This is the probability you will be *counted* at age $x+1$, given that you were *counted* at age x.
- To understand how individuals contribute to the population, we are also interested in the overall probability that individuals survive to age x: ℓ_x.

Cumulative survivorship

- The first key to understanding how much each organism will contribute to the population is **survivorship**
- In the field, we estimate the probability of survival from age x to age $x+1$: p_x
  - This is the probability you will be *counted* at age $x+1$, given that you were *counted* at age x.
- To understand how individuals contribute to the population, we are also interested in the overall probability that individuals survive to age x: ℓ_x.
  - *

Cumulative survivorship

- The first key to understanding how much each organism will contribute to the population is **survivorship**
- In the field, we estimate the probability of survival from age x to age $x + 1$: p_x
  - This is the probability you will be *counted* at age $x + 1$, given that you were *counted* at age x.
- To understand how individuals contribute to the population, we are also interested in the overall probability that individuals survive to age x: ℓ_x.
  - * $\ell_x = p_1 \times \dots p_{x-1}$

Cumulative survivorship

- The first key to understanding how much each organism will contribute to the population is **survivorship**
- In the field, we estimate the probability of survival from age x to age $x+1$: p_x
  - This is the probability you will be *counted* at age $x+1$, given that you were *counted* at age x.
- To understand how individuals contribute to the population, we are also interested in the overall probability that individuals survive to age x: ℓ_x.
  - * $\ell_x = p_1 \times \ldots p_{x-1}$
  - *

Cumulative survivorship

- The first key to understanding how much each organism will contribute to the population is **survivorship**
- In the field, we estimate the probability of survival from age x to age $x+1$: p_x
  - This is the probability you will be *counted* at age $x+1$, given that you were *counted* at age x.
- To understand how individuals contribute to the population, we are also interested in the overall probability that individuals survive to age x: ℓ_x.
  - * $\ell_x = p_1 \times \ldots p_{x-1}$
  - * ℓ_x measures the probability that an individual survives to be counted at age x, given that it is ever counted at all (ie., it survives to its first census)

Cumulative survivorship

- The first key to understanding how much each organism will contribute to the population is **survivorship**
- In the field, we estimate the probability of survival from age x to age $x+1$: p_x
  - This is the probability you will be *counted* at age $x+1$, given that you were *counted* at age x.
- To understand how individuals contribute to the population, we are also interested in the overall probability that individuals survive to age x: ℓ_x.
  - * $\ell_x = p_1 \times \ldots p_{x-1}$
  - * ℓ_x measures the probability that an individual survives to be counted at age x, given that it is ever counted at all (ie., it survives to its first census)

Calculating $\mathcal{R}$

- We calculate $\mathcal{R}$ by figuring out the estimated contribution at each age group, *per individual who was ever counted*

Calculating $\mathcal{R}$

- We calculate $\mathcal{R}$ by figuring out the estimated contribution at each age group, *per individual who was ever counted*
  - We figure out expected contribution given you were ever counted by multiplying:

Calculating $\mathcal{R}$

- We calculate $\mathcal{R}$ by figuring out the estimated contribution at each age group, *per individual who was ever counted*
  - We figure out expected contribution given you were ever counted by multiplying:
  - *

Calculating $\mathcal{R}$

- We calculate $\mathcal{R}$ by figuring out the estimated contribution at each age group, *per individual who was ever counted*
  - We figure out expected contribution given you were ever counted by multiplying:
  - * $f_x \times \ell_x$

Calculating $\mathcal{R}$

- We calculate $\mathcal{R}$ by figuring out the estimated contribution at each age group, *per individual who was ever counted*
  - We figure out expected contribution given you were ever counted by multiplying:
  - * $f_x \times \ell_x$

Outline

Dandelion example

Example: biennial dandelions (repeat)

- Adults produce 80 seeds each

Example: biennial dandelions (repeat)

- Adults produce 80 seeds each
- 1% of seeds survive to become adults

Example: biennial dandelions (repeat)

- Adults produce 80 seeds each
- 1% of seeds survive to become adults
- 50% of first-year adults survive to reproduce again

Example: biennial dandelions (repeat)

- Adults produce 80 seeds each
- 1% of seeds survive to become adults
- 50% of first-year adults survive to reproduce again
- Second-year adults never survive

Example: biennial dandelions (repeat)

- Adults produce 80 seeds each
- 1% of seeds survive to become adults
- 50% of first-year adults survive to reproduce again
- Second-year adults never survive
- What does the life table look like?

Example: biennial dandelions (repeat)

- Adults produce 80 seeds each
- 1% of seeds survive to become adults
- 50% of first-year adults survive to reproduce again
- Second-year adults never survive
- What does the life table look like?

Dandelion life table

x	f_x	p_x	ℓ_x	$\ell_x f_x$
1				
2				
R				

Dandelion life table (repeat)

x	f_x	p_x	ℓ_x	$\ell_x f_x$
1	0.8	0.5		
2	0.8	0		
R				

Dandelion life table

x	f_x	p_x	ℓ_x	$\ell_x f_x$
1	0.8	0.5	1.000	0.800
2	0.8	0	0.500	0.400
R				1.200

Dandelion dynamics



Dandelion population dynamics



Dandelion age dynamics



Dandelion dynamics





Squirrel example

Gray squirrel population example

x	f_x	p_x	ℓ_x	$\ell_x f_x$
1	0	0.25		
2	1.28	0.46		
3	2.28	0.77		
4	2.28	0.65		
5	2.28	0.67		
6	2.28	0.64		
7	2.28	0.88		
8	2.28	0.0		
R				

Squirrel observations

- Poll: Do you notice anything strange about the squirrel life table?

Squirrel observations

- Poll: Do you notice anything strange about the squirrel life table?
  - *

Squirrel observations

- Poll: Do you notice anything strange about the squirrel life table?
  - * Older age groups seem to be grouped for fecundity.

Squirrel observations

- Poll: Do you notice anything strange about the squirrel life table?
  - * Older age groups seem to be grouped for fecundity.
  - *

Squirrel observations

- Poll: Do you notice anything strange about the squirrel life table?
  - * Older age groups seem to be grouped for fecundity.
  - * Strange pattern in survivorship; do we really believe nobody survives past the last year?

Squirrel observations

- Poll: Do you notice anything strange about the squirrel life table?
  - * Older age groups seem to be grouped for fecundity.
  - * Strange pattern in survivorship; do we really believe nobody survives past the last year?
  - *

Squirrel observations

- Poll: Do you notice anything strange about the squirrel life table?
  - * Older age groups seem to be grouped for fecundity.
  - * Strange pattern in survivorship; do we really believe nobody survives past the last year?
  - * Might be better to use a model where they keep track of 1 year, 2 year, and "adult" – not much harder.

Squirrel observations

- Poll: Do you notice anything strange about the squirrel life table?
  - * Older age groups seem to be grouped for fecundity.
  - * Strange pattern in survivorship; do we really believe nobody survives past the last year?
  - * Might be better to use a model where they keep track of 1 year, 2 year, and “adult” – not much harder.

Gray squirrel population example

x	f_x	p_x	ℓ_x	$\ell_x f_x$
1	0	0.25	1.000	0.000
2	1.28	0.46	0.250	0.320
3	2.28	0.77	0.115	0.262
4	2.28	0.65	0.089	0.202
5	2.28	0.67	0.058	0.131
6	2.28	0.64	0.039	0.088
7	2.28	0.88	0.025	0.056
8	2.28	0.0	0.022	0.050
R				1.109

Gray squirrel dynamics



Gray squirrel population dynamics



Gray squirrel age dynamics



Gray squirrel dynamics



The structured model (repeat)



Salmon example

- What happens when a population has independent cohorts?

Salmon example

- What happens when a population has independent cohorts?
  - Does not necessarily converge to a SAD

Salmon example

- What happens when a population has independent cohorts?
  - Does not necessarily converge to a SAD

Salmon example

x	f_x	p_x	ℓ_x	$\ell_x f_x$
1	0	0.1		
2	0	0.5		
3	0	0.6		
4	50	0		
R				

Salmon example

x	f_x	p_x	ℓ_x	$\ell_x f_x$
1	0	0.1	1.000	0.000
2	0	0.5	0.100	0.000
3	0	0.6	0.050	0.000
4	50	0	0.030	1.500
R				1.500

Salmon example

Salmon dynamics



Salmon population dynamics



Salmon age dynamics



Salmon dynamics





Outline

f_x vs. m_x

- Here we focus on f_x – the number of offspring seen at the next census (next year) per organism of age x seen at this census

f_x vs. m_x

- Here we focus on f_x – the number of offspring seen at the next census (next year) per organism of age x seen at this census
- An alternative perspective is m_x: the total number of offspring per reproducing individual of age x

f_x vs. m_x

- Here we focus on f_x – the number of offspring seen at the next census (next year) per organism of age x seen at this census
- An alternative perspective is m_x: the total number of offspring per reproducing individual of age x
- Poll: How would I calculate one from the other?

f_x vs. m_x

- Here we focus on f_x – the number of offspring seen at the next census (next year) per organism of age x seen at this census
- An alternative perspective is m_x: the total number of offspring per reproducing individual of age x
- Poll: How would I calculate one from the other?
  - *

f_x vs. m_x

- Here we focus on f_x – the number of offspring seen at the next census (next year) per organism of age x seen at this census
- An alternative perspective is m_x: the total number of offspring per reproducing individual of age x
- Poll: How would I calculate one from the other?
  - * To get f_x we multiply m_x by one or more survival terms, depending on when the census is

f_x vs. m_x

- Here we focus on f_x – the number of offspring seen at the next census (next year) per organism of age x seen at this census
- An alternative perspective is m_x: the total number of offspring per reproducing individual of age x
- Poll: How would I calculate one from the other?
  - * To get f_x we multiply m_x by one or more survival terms, depending on when the census is
  - *

f_x vs. m_x

- Here we focus on f_x – the number of offspring seen at the next census (next year) per organism of age x seen at this census
- An alternative perspective is m_x: the total number of offspring per reproducing individual of age x
- Poll: How would I calculate one from the other?
  - * To get f_x we multiply m_x by one or more survival terms, depending on when the census is
  - * f_x needs to close the loop from one census to the next

f_x vs. m_x

- Here we focus on f_x – the number of offspring seen at the next census (next year) per organism of age x seen at this census
- An alternative perspective is m_x: the total number of offspring per reproducing individual of age x
- Poll: How would I calculate one from the other?
  - * To get f_x we multiply m_x by one or more survival terms, depending on when the census is
  - * f_x needs to close the loop from one census to the next

When do we start counting?

- Is the first age class called 0, or 1?

When do we start counting?

- Is the first age class called 0, or 1?
  - In this course, we will start from age class 1

When do we start counting?

- Is the first age class called 0, or 1?
  - In this course, we will start from age class 1
  - If we count right *after* reproduction, this means we are calling newborns age class 1. Don't get confused.

When do we start counting?

- Is the first age class called 0, or 1?
  - In this course, we will start from age class 1
  - If we count right *after* reproduction, this means we are calling newborns age class 1. Don't get confused.

Example: biennial dandelions (repeat)

- Adults produce 80 seeds each (m_x)

Example: biennial dandelions (repeat)

- Adults produce 80 seeds each (m_x)
- 1% of seeds survive to become adults

Example: biennial dandelions (repeat)

- Adults produce 80 seeds each (m_x)
- 1% of seeds survive to become adults
- 50% of first-year adults survive to reproduce again

Example: biennial dandelions (repeat)

- Adults produce 80 seeds each (m_x)
- 1% of seeds survive to become adults
- 50% of first-year adults survive to reproduce again
- Second-year adults never survive

Example: biennial dandelions (repeat)

- Adults produce 80 seeds each (m_x)
- 1% of seeds survive to become adults
- 50% of first-year adults survive to reproduce again
- Second-year adults never survive
- What does the life table look like?

Example: biennial dandelions (repeat)

- Adults produce 80 seeds each (m_x)
- 1% of seeds survive to become adults
- 50% of first-year adults survive to reproduce again
- Second-year adults never survive
- What does the life table look like?

Dandelion life table

x	f_x	p_x	ℓ_x	$\ell_x f_x$
1	0.8	0.5	1.000	0.800
2	0.8	0	0.500	0.400
R				1.200

Counting after reproduction

x	f_x	p_x	ℓ_x	$\ell_x f_x$
1	0.8	0.01	1.000	0.800
2	40	0	0.010	0.400
R				1.200

There are two different approaches

Calculating $\mathcal{R}$

- The reproductive number $\mathcal{R}$ gives the average lifetime reproduction of an individual, and is a valuable summary of the information in the life table

Calculating $\mathcal{R}$

- The reproductive number $\mathcal{R}$ gives the average lifetime reproduction of an individual, and is a valuable summary of the information in the life table
  - $\mathcal{R} = \sum_x \ell_x f_x$

Calculating $\mathcal{R}$

- The reproductive number $\mathcal{R}$ gives the average lifetime reproduction of an individual, and is a valuable summary of the information in the life table
  - $\mathcal{R} = \sum_x \ell_x f_x$
  - If $\mathcal{R} > 1$ in the long (or medium) term, the population will increase

Calculating $\mathcal{R}$

- The reproductive number $\mathcal{R}$ gives the average lifetime reproduction of an individual, and is a valuable summary of the information in the life table
  - $\mathcal{R} = \sum_x \ell_x f_x$
  - If $\mathcal{R} > 1$ in the long (or medium) term, the population will increase
  - If $\mathcal{R}$ is persistently < 1, the population is in trouble

Calculating $\mathcal{R}$

- The reproductive number $\mathcal{R}$ gives the average lifetime reproduction of an individual, and is a valuable summary of the information in the life table
  - $\mathcal{R} = \sum_x \ell_x f_x$
  - If $\mathcal{R} > 1$ in the long (or medium) term, the population will increase
  - If $\mathcal{R}$ is persistently < 1, the population is in trouble
- We can ask (for example):

Calculating $\mathcal{R}$

- The reproductive number $\mathcal{R}$ gives the average lifetime reproduction of an individual, and is a valuable summary of the information in the life table
  - $\mathcal{R} = \sum_x \ell_x f_x$
  - If $\mathcal{R} > 1$ in the long (or medium) term, the population will increase
  - If $\mathcal{R}$ is persistently < 1, the population is in trouble
- We can ask (for example):
  - Which ages have a large *contribution* to $\mathcal{R}$?

Calculating $\mathcal{R}$

- The reproductive number $\mathcal{R}$ gives the average lifetime reproduction of an individual, and is a valuable summary of the information in the life table
  - $\mathcal{R} = \sum_x \ell_x f_x$
  - If $\mathcal{R} > 1$ in the long (or medium) term, the population will increase
  - If $\mathcal{R}$ is persistently < 1, the population is in trouble
- We can ask (for example):
  - Which ages have a large *contribution* to $\mathcal{R}$?
  - Poll: Which values of p_x and f_x is $\mathcal{R}$ sensitive to?

Calculating $\mathcal{R}$

- The reproductive number $\mathcal{R}$ gives the average lifetime reproduction of an individual, and is a valuable summary of the information in the life table
  - $\mathcal{R} = \sum_x \ell_x f_x$
  - If $\mathcal{R} > 1$ in the long (or medium) term, the population will increase
  - If $\mathcal{R}$ is persistently < 1, the population is in trouble
- We can ask (for example):
  - Which ages have a large *contribution* to $\mathcal{R}$?
  - Poll: Which values of p_x and f_x is $\mathcal{R}$ sensitive to?
    - *

Calculating $\mathcal{R}$

- The reproductive number $\mathcal{R}$ gives the average lifetime reproduction of an individual, and is a valuable summary of the information in the life table
  - $\mathcal{R} = \sum_x \ell_x f_x$
  - If $\mathcal{R} > 1$ in the long (or medium) term, the population will increase
  - If $\mathcal{R}$ is persistently < 1, the population is in trouble
- We can ask (for example):
  - Which ages have a large *contribution* to $\mathcal{R}$?
  - Poll: Which values of p_x and f_x is $\mathcal{R}$ sensitive to?
    - * The *p*s for young individuals affect all the ℓs.

Calculating $\mathcal{R}$

- The reproductive number $\mathcal{R}$ gives the average lifetime reproduction of an individual, and is a valuable summary of the information in the life table
  - $\mathcal{R} = \sum_x \ell_x f_x$
  - If $\mathcal{R} > 1$ in the long (or medium) term, the population will increase
  - If $\mathcal{R}$ is persistently < 1, the population is in trouble
- We can ask (for example):
  - Which ages have a large *contribution* to $\mathcal{R}$?
  - Poll: Which values of p_x and f_x is $\mathcal{R}$ sensitive to?
    - * The *p*s for young individuals affect all the ℓs.

The effect of old individuals

- Estimating the effects of old individuals on a population can be difficult, because both f and ℓ can be extreme

The effect of old individuals

- Estimating the effects of old individuals on a population can be difficult, because both f and ℓ can be extreme
  - The contribution of an age class to $\mathcal{R}$ is $\ell_x f_x$

The effect of old individuals

- Estimating the effects of old individuals on a population can be difficult, because both f and ℓ can be extreme
  - The contribution of an age class to $\mathcal{R}$ is $\ell_x f_x$
  - Poll: Extreme how?

The effect of old individuals

- Estimating the effects of old individuals on a population can be difficult, because both f and ℓ can be extreme
  - The contribution of an age class to $\mathcal{R}$ is $\ell_x f_x$
  - Poll: Extreme how?
    - *

The effect of old individuals

- Estimating the effects of old individuals on a population can be difficult, because both f and ℓ can be extreme
  - The contribution of an age class to $\mathcal{R}$ is $\ell_x f_x$
  - Poll: Extreme how?
    - * In most populations ℓ can be very small for large x

The effect of old individuals

- Estimating the effects of old individuals on a population can be difficult, because both f and ℓ can be extreme
  - The contribution of an age class to $\mathcal{R}$ is $\ell_x f_x$
  - Poll: Extreme how?
    - * In most populations ℓ can be very small for large x
    - *

The effect of old individuals

- Estimating the effects of old individuals on a population can be difficult, because both f and ℓ can be extreme
  - The contribution of an age class to $\mathcal{R}$ is $\ell_x f_x$
  - Poll: Extreme how?
    - * In most populations ℓ can be very small for large x
    - * In many populations, f can be very large for large x

The effect of old individuals

- Estimating the effects of old individuals on a population can be difficult, because both f and ℓ can be extreme
  - The contribution of an age class to $\mathcal{R}$ is $\ell_x f_x$
  - Poll: Extreme how?
    - * In most populations ℓ can be very small for large x
    - * In many populations, f can be very large for large x
- Reproductive potential of old individuals *may* or *may not* be important

The effect of old individuals

- Estimating the effects of old individuals on a population can be difficult, because both f and ℓ can be extreme
  - The contribution of an age class to $\mathcal{R}$ is $\ell_x f_x$
  - Poll: Extreme how?
    - * In most populations ℓ can be very small for large x
    - * In many populations, f can be very large for large x
- Reproductive potential of old individuals *may* or *may not* be important
  - *

The effect of old individuals

- Estimating the effects of old individuals on a population can be difficult, because both f and ℓ can be extreme
  - The contribution of an age class to $\mathcal{R}$ is $\ell_x f_x$
  - Poll: Extreme how?
    - * In most populations ℓ can be very small for large x
    - * In many populations, f can be very large for large x
- Reproductive potential of old individuals *may* or *may not* be important
  - * In many tree populations, most individuals don't survive to get huge, but the huge trees may have most of the total reproduction

The effect of old individuals

- Estimating the effects of old individuals on a population can be difficult, because both f and ℓ can be extreme
  - The contribution of an age class to $\mathcal{R}$ is $\ell_x f_x$
  - Poll: Extreme how?
    - * In most populations ℓ can be very small for large x
    - * In many populations, f can be very large for large x
- Reproductive potential of old individuals *may* or *may not* be important
  - * In many tree populations, most individuals don't survive to get huge, but the huge trees may have most of the total reproduction
  - *

The effect of old individuals

- Estimating the effects of old individuals on a population can be difficult, because both f and ℓ can be extreme
  - The contribution of an age class to $\mathcal{R}$ is $\ell_x f_x$
  - Poll: Extreme how?
    - * In most populations ℓ can be very small for large x
    - * In many populations, f can be very large for large x
- Reproductive potential of old individuals *may* or *may not* be important
  - * In many tree populations, most individuals don't survive to get huge, but the huge trees may have most of the total reproduction
  - * In many bird populations, old birds produce well, but not enough to outweigh the low probability of surviving to get old.

The effect of old individuals

- Estimating the effects of old individuals on a population can be difficult, because both f and ℓ can be extreme
  - The contribution of an age class to $\mathcal{R}$ is $\ell_x f_x$
  - Poll: Extreme how?
    - * In most populations ℓ can be very small for large x
    - * In many populations, f can be very large for large x
- Reproductive potential of old individuals *may* or *may not* be important
  - * In many tree populations, most individuals don't survive to get huge, but the huge trees may have most of the total reproduction
  - * In many bird populations, old birds produce well, but not enough to outweigh the low probability of surviving to get old.

Old individuals

Outline

Measuring growth rates

- In a constant population, each age class replaces itself:

Measuring growth rates

- In a constant population, each age class replaces itself:
  - $\mathcal{R} = \sum_x \ell_x f_x = 1$

Measuring growth rates

- In a constant population, each age class replaces itself:
  - $\mathcal{R} = \sum_x \ell_x f_x = 1$
- In an exponentially changing population, each year's **cohort** is a factor of λ bigger (or smaller) than the previous one

Measuring growth rates

- In a constant population, each age class replaces itself:
  - $\mathcal{R} = \sum_x \ell_x f_x = 1$
- In an exponentially changing population, each year's **cohort** is a factor of λ bigger (or smaller) than the previous one
  - λ is the finite rate of increase, like before

Measuring growth rates

- In a constant population, each age class replaces itself:
  - $\mathcal{R} = \sum_x \ell_x f_x = 1$
- In an exponentially changing population, each year's **cohort** is a factor of λ bigger (or smaller) than the previous one
  - λ is the finite rate of increase, like before
- Looking back in time, the cohort x years ago is λ^{-x} as large as the current one

Measuring growth rates

- In a constant population, each age class replaces itself:
  - $\mathcal{R} = \sum_x \ell_x f_x = 1$
- In an exponentially changing population, each year's **cohort** is a factor of λ bigger (or smaller) than the previous one
  - λ is the finite rate of increase, like before
- Looking back in time, the cohort x years ago is λ^{-x} as large as the current one
- The existing cohorts need to make the next one:

Measuring growth rates

- In a constant population, each age class replaces itself:
  - $\mathcal{R} = \sum_x \ell_x f_x = 1$
- In an exponentially changing population, each year's **cohort** is a factor of λ bigger (or smaller) than the previous one
  - λ is the finite rate of increase, like before
- Looking back in time, the cohort x years ago is λ^{-x} as large as the current one
- The existing cohorts need to make the next one:
  - $\sum_x \ell_x f_x \lambda^{-x} = 1$

Measuring growth rates

- In a constant population, each age class replaces itself:
  - $\mathcal{R} = \sum_x \ell_x f_x = 1$
- In an exponentially changing population, each year's **cohort** is a factor of λ bigger (or smaller) than the previous one
  - λ is the finite rate of increase, like before
- Looking back in time, the cohort x years ago is λ^{-x} as large as the current one
- The existing cohorts need to make the next one:
  - $\sum_x \ell_x f_x \lambda^{-x} = 1$

The Euler equation

- If the life table doesn't change, then λ is given by $\sum_x \ell_x f_x \lambda^{-x} = 1$

The Euler equation

- If the life table doesn't change, then λ is given by $\sum_x \ell_x f_x \lambda^{-x} = 1$
- We basically ask, if the population has the structure we would expect from growing at rate λ, would it continue to grow at rate λ.

The Euler equation

- If the life table doesn't change, then λ is given by $\sum_x \ell_x f_x \lambda^{-x} = 1$
- We basically ask, if the population has the structure we would expect from growing at rate λ, would it continue to grow at rate λ.
- On the left-side each cohort started as λ times smaller than the one after it

The Euler equation

- If the life table doesn't change, then λ is given by $\sum_x \ell_x f_x \lambda^{-x} = 1$
- We basically ask, if the population has the structure we would expect from growing at rate λ, would it continue to grow at rate λ.
- On the left-side each cohort started as λ times smaller than the one after it
  - Then got multiplied by ℓ_x.

The Euler equation

- If the life table doesn't change, then λ is given by $\sum_x \ell_x f_x \lambda^{-x} = 1$
- We basically ask, if the population has the structure we would expect from growing at rate λ, would it continue to grow at rate λ.
- On the left-side each cohort started as λ times smaller than the one after it
  - Then got multiplied by ℓ_x.
- Under this assumption, is the next generation λ times bigger again?

The Euler equation

- If the life table doesn't change, then λ is given by $\sum_x \ell_x f_x \lambda^{-x} = 1$
- We basically ask, if the population has the structure we would expect from growing at rate λ, would it continue to grow at rate λ.
- On the left-side each cohort started as λ times smaller than the one after it
  - Then got multiplied by ℓ_x.
- Under this assumption, is the next generation λ times bigger again?
- Example from spreadsheet

The Euler equation

- If the life table doesn't change, then λ is given by $\sum_x \ell_x f_x \lambda^{-x} = 1$
- We basically ask, if the population has the structure we would expect from growing at rate λ, would it continue to grow at rate λ.
- On the left-side each cohort started as λ times smaller than the one after it
  - Then got multiplied by ℓ_x.
- Under this assumption, is the next generation λ times bigger again?
- Example from spreadsheet

λ and $\mathcal{R}$

- If the life table doesn't change, then λ is given by $\sum_x \ell_x f_x \lambda^{-x} = 1$

λ and $\mathcal{R}$

- If the life table doesn't change, then λ is given by $\sum_x \ell_x f_x \lambda^{-x} = 1$
  - What's the relationship between λ and $\mathcal{R}$?

λ and $\mathcal{R}$

- If the life table doesn't change, then λ is given by $\sum_x \ell_x f_x \lambda^{-x} = 1$
  - What's the relationship between λ and $\mathcal{R}$?

- When $\lambda = 1$, the left hand side is just $\mathcal{R}$.

λ and $\mathcal{R}$

- If the life table doesn't change, then λ is given by $\sum_x \ell_x f_x \lambda^{-x} = 1$
  - What's the relationship between λ and $\mathcal{R}$?

- When $\lambda = 1$, the left hand side is just $\mathcal{R}$.
  - If $\mathcal{R} > 1$, the population more than replaces itself when $\lambda = 1$. We must make $\lambda > 1$ to decrease LHS and balance.

λ and $\mathcal{R}$

- If the life table doesn't change, then λ is given by $\sum_x \ell_x f_x \lambda^{-x} = 1$
  - What's the relationship between λ and $\mathcal{R}$?

- When $\lambda = 1$, the left hand side is just $\mathcal{R}$.
  - If $\mathcal{R} > 1$, the population more than replaces itself when $\lambda = 1$. We must make $\lambda > 1$ to decrease LHS and balance.
  - If $\mathcal{R} < 1$, the population fails to replace itself when $\lambda = 1$. We must make $\lambda < 1$ to increase LHS and balance.

λ and $\mathcal{R}$

- If the life table doesn't change, then λ is given by $\sum_x \ell_x f_x \lambda^{-x} = 1$
  - What's the relationship between λ and $\mathcal{R}$?
- When $\lambda = 1$, the left hand side is just $\mathcal{R}$.
  - If $\mathcal{R} > 1$, the population more than replaces itself when $\lambda = 1$. We must make $\lambda > 1$ to decrease LHS and balance.
  - If $\mathcal{R} < 1$, the population fails to replace itself when $\lambda = 1$. We must make $\lambda < 1$ to increase LHS and balance.
- So $\mathcal{R}$ and λ tell the same story about whether the population is increasing

λ and $\mathcal{R}$

- If the life table doesn't change, then λ is given by $\sum_x \ell_x f_x \lambda^{-x} = 1$
  - What's the relationship between λ and $\mathcal{R}$?

- When $\lambda = 1$, the left hand side is just $\mathcal{R}$.
  - If $\mathcal{R} > 1$, the population more than replaces itself when $\lambda = 1$. We must make $\lambda > 1$ to decrease LHS and balance.
  - If $\mathcal{R} < 1$, the population fails to replace itself when $\lambda = 1$. We must make $\lambda < 1$ to increase LHS and balance.

- So $\mathcal{R}$ and λ tell the same story about whether the population is increasing

Time scales

- λ gives the number of individuals per individual *every year*

Time scales

- λ gives the number of individuals per individual *every year*
- $\mathcal{R}$ gives the number of individuals per individual *over a lifetime*

Time scales

- λ gives the number of individuals per individual *every year*
- $\mathcal{R}$ gives the number of individuals per individual *over a lifetime*
- Poll: What relationship do we expect for an annual population (individuals die every year)?

Time scales

- λ gives the number of individuals per individual *every year*
- $\mathcal{R}$ gives the number of individuals per individual *over a lifetime*
- Poll: What relationship do we expect for an annual population (individuals die every year)?
  - *

Time scales

- λ gives the number of individuals per individual *every year*
- $\mathcal{R}$ gives the number of individuals per individual *over a lifetime*
- Poll: What relationship do we expect for an annual population (individuals die every year)?
  - * $\mathcal{R} = \lambda$; each organism observed reproduces $\mathcal{R}$ offspring on average, all in one time step

Time scales

- λ gives the number of individuals per individual *every year*
- $\mathcal{R}$ gives the number of individuals per individual *over a lifetime*
- Poll: What relationship do we expect for an annual population (individuals die every year)?
  - * $\mathcal{R} = \lambda$; each organism observed reproduces $\mathcal{R}$ offspring on average, all in one time step
- Poll: For a long-lived population?

Time scales

- λ gives the number of individuals per individual *every year*
- $\mathcal{R}$ gives the number of individuals per individual *over a lifetime*
- Poll: What relationship do we expect for an annual population (individuals die every year)?
  - * $\mathcal{R} = \lambda$; each organism observed reproduces $\mathcal{R}$ offspring on average, all in one time step
- Poll: For a long-lived population?
  - *

Time scales

- λ gives the number of individuals per individual *every year*
- $\mathcal{R}$ gives the number of individuals per individual *over a lifetime*
- Poll: What relationship do we expect for an annual population (individuals die every year)?
  - * $\mathcal{R} = \lambda$; each organism observed reproduces $\mathcal{R}$ offspring on average, all in one time step
- Poll: For a long-lived population?
  - * The $\mathcal{R}$ offspring are produced slowly, so population changes slowly

Time scales

- λ gives the number of individuals per individual *every year*
- $\mathcal{R}$ gives the number of individuals per individual *over a lifetime*
- Poll: What relationship do we expect for an annual population (individuals die every year)?
  - * $\mathcal{R} = \lambda$; each organism observed reproduces $\mathcal{R}$ offspring on average, all in one time step
- Poll: For a long-lived population?
  - * The $\mathcal{R}$ offspring are produced slowly, so population changes slowly
    - *

Time scales

- λ gives the number of individuals per individual *every year*
- $\mathcal{R}$ gives the number of individuals per individual *over a lifetime*
- Poll: What relationship do we expect for an annual population (individuals die every year)?
  - * $\mathcal{R} = \lambda$; each organism observed reproduces $\mathcal{R}$ offspring on average, all in one time step
- Poll: For a long-lived population?
  - * The $\mathcal{R}$ offspring are produced slowly, so population changes slowly
    - * λ should be closer to 1 than $\mathcal{R}$ is.

Time scales

- λ gives the number of individuals per individual *every year*
- $\mathcal{R}$ gives the number of individuals per individual *over a lifetime*
- Poll: What relationship do we expect for an annual population (individuals die every year)?
  - * $\mathcal{R} = \lambda$; each organism observed reproduces $\mathcal{R}$ offspring on average, all in one time step
- Poll: For a long-lived population?
  - * The $\mathcal{R}$ offspring are produced slowly, so population changes slowly
    - * λ should be closer to 1 than $\mathcal{R}$ is.
    - *

Time scales

- λ gives the number of individuals per individual *every year*
- $\mathcal{R}$ gives the number of individuals per individual *over a lifetime*
- Poll: What relationship do we expect for an annual population (individuals die every year)?
  - * $\mathcal{R} = \lambda$; each organism observed reproduces $\mathcal{R}$ offspring on average, all in one time step
- Poll: For a long-lived population?
  - * The $\mathcal{R}$ offspring are produced slowly, so population changes slowly
    - * λ should be closer to 1 than $\mathcal{R}$ is.
    - * But on the same side (same answer about whether population is growing)

Time scales

- λ gives the number of individuals per individual *every year*
- $\mathcal{R}$ gives the number of individuals per individual *over a lifetime*
- Poll: What relationship do we expect for an annual population (individuals die every year)?
  - * $\mathcal{R} = \lambda$; each organism observed reproduces $\mathcal{R}$ offspring on average, all in one time step
- Poll: For a long-lived population?
  - * The $\mathcal{R}$ offspring are produced slowly, so population changes slowly
    - * λ should be closer to 1 than $\mathcal{R}$ is.
    - * But on the same side (same answer about whether population is growing)

Studying population growth

- λ and $\mathcal{R}$ give similar information about your population

Studying population growth

- λ and $\mathcal{R}$ give similar information about your population
- $\mathcal{R}$ is easier to calculate, and more generally useful

Studying population growth

- λ and $\mathcal{R}$ give similar information about your population
- $\mathcal{R}$ is easier to calculate, and more generally useful
- But λ gives the actual rate of growth

Studying population growth

- λ and $\mathcal{R}$ give similar information about your population
- $\mathcal{R}$ is easier to calculate, and more generally useful
- But λ gives the actual rate of growth
  - More useful in cases where we expect the life table to be constant with exponential growth or decline for a long time

Studying population growth

- λ and $\mathcal{R}$ give similar information about your population
- $\mathcal{R}$ is easier to calculate, and more generally useful
- But λ gives the actual rate of growth
  - More useful in cases where we expect the life table to be constant with exponential growth or decline for a long time

Growth and decline

- If we think a particular period of growth or decline is important, we might want to study how factors affect λ

Growth and decline

- If we think a particular period of growth or decline is important, we might want to study how factors affect λ
  - Complicated, but well-developed, theory

Growth and decline

- If we think a particular period of growth or decline is important, we might want to study how factors affect λ
  - Complicated, but well-developed, theory
  - In a growing population, what happens early in life is more important to λ than to $\mathcal{R}$.

Growth and decline

- If we think a particular period of growth or decline is important, we might want to study how factors affect λ
  - Complicated, but well-developed, theory
  - In a growing population, what happens early in life is more important to λ than to $\mathcal{R}$.
  - In a declining population, what happens late in life is more important to λ than to $\mathcal{R}$.

Growth and decline

- If we think a particular period of growth or decline is important, we might want to study how factors affect λ
  - Complicated, but well-developed, theory
  - In a growing population, what happens early in life is more important to λ than to $\mathcal{R}$.
  - In a declining population, what happens late in life is more important to λ than to $\mathcal{R}$.
- Poll: Which is likely to be more important to ecology and evolution?

Growth and decline

- If we think a particular period of growth or decline is important, we might want to study how factors affect λ
  - Complicated, but well-developed, theory
  - In a growing population, what happens early in life is more important to λ than to $\mathcal{R}$.
  - In a declining population, what happens late in life is more important to λ than to $\mathcal{R}$.
- Poll: Which is likely to be more important to ecology and evolution?
  - *

Growth and decline

- If we think a particular period of growth or decline is important, we might want to study how factors affect λ
  - Complicated, but well-developed, theory
  - In a growing population, what happens early in life is more important to λ than to $\mathcal{R}$.
  - In a declining population, what happens late in life is more important to λ than to $\mathcal{R}$.
- Poll: Which is likely to be more important to ecology and evolution?
  - * The two phases (growth and decline) will be roughly balanced

Growth and decline

- If we think a particular period of growth or decline is important, we might want to study how factors affect λ
  - Complicated, but well-developed, theory
  - In a growing population, what happens early in life is more important to λ than to $\mathcal{R}$.
  - In a declining population, what happens late in life is more important to λ than to $\mathcal{R}$.
- Poll: Which is likely to be more important to ecology and evolution?
  - * The two phases (growth and decline) will be roughly balanced
  - *

Growth and decline

- If we think a particular period of growth or decline is important, we might want to study how factors affect λ
  - Complicated, but well-developed, theory
  - In a growing population, what happens early in life is more important to λ than to $\mathcal{R}$.
  - In a declining population, what happens late in life is more important to λ than to $\mathcal{R}$.
- Poll: Which is likely to be more important to ecology and evolution?
  - * The two phases (growth and decline) will be roughly balanced
  - * Because otherwise the population would go to zero or infinity

Growth and decline

- If we think a particular period of growth or decline is important, we might want to study how factors affect λ
  - Complicated, but well-developed, theory
  - In a growing population, what happens early in life is more important to λ than to $\mathcal{R}$.
  - In a declining population, what happens late in life is more important to λ than to $\mathcal{R}$.
- Poll: Which is likely to be more important to ecology and evolution?
  - * The two phases (growth and decline) will be roughly balanced
  - * Because otherwise the population would go to zero or infinity

Outline

Outline

Patterns of survivorship

- Poll: What sort of patterns do you expect to see in p_x?

Patterns of survivorship

- Poll: What sort of patterns do you expect to see in p_x?
  - *

Patterns of survivorship

- Poll: What sort of patterns do you expect to see in p_x?
  - * Younger individuals usually have lower survivorship

Patterns of survivorship

- Poll: What sort of patterns do you expect to see in p_x?
  - * Younger individuals usually have lower survivorship
  - *

Patterns of survivorship

- Poll: What sort of patterns do you expect to see in p_x?
  - * Younger individuals usually have lower survivorship
  - * Older individuals often have lower survivorship

Patterns of survivorship

- Poll: What sort of patterns do you expect to see in p_x?
  - * Younger individuals usually have lower survivorship
  - * Older individuals often have lower survivorship
- What about ℓ_x?

Patterns of survivorship

- Poll: What sort of patterns do you expect to see in p_x?
  - * Younger individuals usually have lower survivorship
  - * Older individuals often have lower survivorship
- What about ℓ_x?
  - *

Patterns of survivorship

- Poll: What sort of patterns do you expect to see in p_x?
  - * Younger individuals usually have lower survivorship
  - * Older individuals often have lower survivorship
- What about ℓ_x?
  - * It goes down

Patterns of survivorship

- Poll: What sort of patterns do you expect to see in p_x?
  - * Younger individuals usually have lower survivorship
  - * Older individuals often have lower survivorship
- What about ℓ_x?
  - * It goes down
  - *

Patterns of survivorship

- Poll: What sort of patterns do you expect to see in p_x?
  - * Younger individuals usually have lower survivorship
  - * Older individuals often have lower survivorship
- What about ℓ_x?
  - * It goes down
  - * But sometimes faster and sometimes slower

Patterns of survivorship

- Poll: What sort of patterns do you expect to see in p_x?
  - * Younger individuals usually have lower survivorship
  - * Older individuals often have lower survivorship
- What about ℓ_x?
  - * It goes down
  - * But sometimes faster and sometimes slower
  - *

Patterns of survivorship

- Poll: What sort of patterns do you expect to see in p_x?
  - * Younger individuals usually have lower survivorship
  - * Older individuals often have lower survivorship
- What about ℓ_x?
  - * It goes down
  - * But sometimes faster and sometimes slower
  - * Best understood on a log scale

Patterns of survivorship

- Poll: What sort of patterns do you expect to see in p_x?
  - * Younger individuals usually have lower survivorship
  - * Older individuals often have lower survivorship
- What about ℓ_x?
  - * It goes down
  - * But sometimes faster and sometimes slower
  - * Best understood on a log scale

Starting off

- Recall: we always start from age *class* 1

Starting off

- Recall: we always start from age *class* 1
  - If we count newborns, we still call them class 1.

Starting off

- Recall: we always start from age *class* 1
  - If we count newborns, we still call them class 1.

- Poll: What is ℓ_1 when we count before reproduction?

Starting off

- Recall: we always start from age *class* 1
  - If we count newborns, we still call them class 1.
- Poll: What is ℓ_1 when we count before reproduction?
  - *

Starting off

- Recall: we always start from age *class* 1
  - If we count newborns, we still call them class 1.
- Poll: What is ℓ_1 when we count before reproduction?
  - * 1

Starting off

- Recall: we always start from age *class* 1
  - If we count newborns, we still call them class 1.
- Poll: What is ℓ_1 when we count before reproduction?
  - * 1
  - *

Starting off

- Recall: we always start from age *class* 1
  - If we count newborns, we still call them class 1.
- Poll: What is ℓ_1 when we count before reproduction?
  - * 1
  - * ℓ_1 is the probability you're counted at age class 1, *given that* you're counted at age class 1.

Starting off

- Recall: we always start from age *class* 1
  - If we count newborns, we still call them class 1.
- Poll: What is ℓ_1 when we count before reproduction?
  - * 1
  - * ℓ_1 is the probability you're counted at age class 1, *given that* you're counted at age class 1.
  - *

Starting off

- Recall: we always start from age *class* 1
  - If we count newborns, we still call them class 1.
- Poll: What is ℓ_1 when we count before reproduction?
  - * 1
  - * ℓ_1 is the probability you're counted at age class 1, *given that* you're counted at age class 1.
  - * We don't count individuals that we don't count

Starting off

- Recall: we always start from age *class* 1
  - If we count newborns, we still call them class 1.
- Poll: What is ℓ_1 when we count before reproduction?
  - * 1
  - * ℓ_1 is the probability you're counted at age class 1, *given that* you're counted at age class 1.
  - * We don't count individuals that we don't count

Constant survivorship



Constant survivorship



Constant survivorship



Constant survivorship



"Types" of survivorship

- There is a history of defining survivorship as:

"Types" of survivorship

- There is a history of defining survivorship as:
  - Type I, II or III depending on whether it increases, stays constant or decreases with age *(don't memorize this, just be aware in case you encounter it later in life)*.

"Types" of survivorship

- There is a history of defining survivorship as:
  - Type I, II or III depending on whether it increases, stays constant or decreases with age *(don't memorize this, just be aware in case you encounter it later in life)*.
  - Real populations tend to be more complicated

“Types” of survivorship

- There is a history of defining survivorship as:
  - Type I, II or III depending on whether it increases, stays constant or decreases with age *(don’t memorize this, just be aware in case you encounter it later in life)*.
  - Real populations tend to be more complicated
- Most common pattern is: high mortality at high and low ages, with less mortality between

"Types" of survivorship

- There is a history of defining survivorship as:
  - Type I, II or III depending on whether it increases, stays constant or decreases with age *(don't memorize this, just be aware in case you encounter it later in life)*.
  - Real populations tend to be more complicated
- Most common pattern is: high mortality at high and low ages, with less mortality between

Changing survivorship



Changing survivorship



Changing survivorship



Outline

Fecundity

- Just as in our simple population growth models, we define fecundity as the expected number of offspring at the next census produced by an individual observed at this census

Fecundity

- Just as in our simple population growth models, we define fecundity as the expected number of offspring at the next census produced by an individual observed at this census
  - Parent must survive from counting to reproduction

Fecundity

- Just as in our simple population growth models, we define fecundity as the expected number of offspring at the next census produced by an individual observed at this census
  - Parent must survive from counting to reproduction
  - Parent must give birth

Fecundity

- Just as in our simple population growth models, we define fecundity as the expected number of offspring at the next census produced by an individual observed at this census
  - Parent must survive from counting to reproduction
  - Parent must give birth
  - Offspring must survive from birth to counting

Fecundity

- Just as in our simple population growth models, we define fecundity as the expected number of offspring at the next census produced by an individual observed at this census
  - Parent must survive from counting to reproduction
  - Parent must give birth
  - Offspring must survive from birth to counting
- Remember to think clearly about gender when necessary

Fecundity

- Just as in our simple population growth models, we define fecundity as the expected number of offspring at the next census produced by an individual observed at this census
  - Parent must survive from counting to reproduction
  - Parent must give birth
  - Offspring must survive from birth to counting
- Remember to think clearly about gender when necessary
  - Are we tracking females, or everyone?

Fecundity

- Just as in our simple population growth models, we define fecundity as the expected number of offspring at the next census produced by an individual observed at this census
  - Parent must survive from counting to reproduction
  - Parent must give birth
  - Offspring must survive from birth to counting
- Remember to think clearly about gender when necessary
  - Are we tracking females, or everyone?

Fecundity patterns

- f_x is the average number of new individuals *counted* next census per individual in age class x *counted* this census

Fecundity patterns

- f_x is the average number of new individuals *counted* next census per individual in age class x *counted* this census
- Fecundity often goes up early in life and then remains constant

Fecundity patterns

- f_x is the average number of new individuals *counted* next census per individual in age class x *counted* this census
- Fecundity often goes up early in life and then remains constant
  - *

Fecundity patterns

- f_x is the average number of new individuals *counted* next census per individual in age class x *counted* this census
- Fecundity often goes up early in life and then remains constant
  - * Most birds, many large mammals

Fecundity patterns

- f_x is the average number of new individuals *counted* next census per individual in age class x *counted* this census
- Fecundity often goes up early in life and then remains constant
  - * Most birds, many large mammals
- It may also go up and then come down

Fecundity patterns

- f_x is the average number of new individuals *counted* next census per individual in age class x *counted* this census
- Fecundity often goes up early in life and then remains constant
  - * Most birds, many large mammals
- It may also go up and then come down
  - *

Fecundity patterns

- f_x is the average number of new individuals *counted* next census per individual in age class x *counted* this census
- Fecundity often goes up early in life and then remains constant
  - * Most birds, many large mammals
- It may also go up and then come down
  - * people

Fecundity patterns

- f_x is the average number of new individuals *counted* next census per individual in age class x *counted* this census
- Fecundity often goes up early in life and then remains constant
  - * Most birds, many large mammals
- It may also go up and then come down
  - * people
- It may also go up and up as organisms get older

Fecundity patterns

- f_x is the average number of new individuals *counted* next census per individual in age class x *counted* this census
- Fecundity often goes up early in life and then remains constant
  - * Most birds, many large mammals
- It may also go up and then come down
  - * people
- It may also go up and up as organisms get older
  - *

Fecundity patterns

- f_x is the average number of new individuals *counted* next census per individual in age class x *counted* this census
- Fecundity often goes up early in life and then remains constant
  - * Most birds, many large mammals
- It may also go up and then come down
  - * people
- It may also go up and up as organisms get older
  - * Many fish, many trees

Fecundity patterns

- f_x is the average number of new individuals *counted* next census per individual in age class x *counted* this census
- Fecundity often goes up early in life and then remains constant
  - * Most birds, many large mammals
- It may also go up and then come down
  - * people
- It may also go up and up as organisms get older
  - * Many fish, many trees

Outline

Age distributions

- http://www.gapminder.org/population/tool/

Age distributions

- http://www.gapminder.org/population/tool/
- https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Population_pyramid

Age distributions

- http://www.gapminder.org/population/tool/
- https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Population_pyramid

Age distributions



Age distributions



Age distributions



Age distributions



Age distributions



Age distributions



Learning from the model

- If a population has constant size (ie., the same number of individuals are born every year), what determines the proportion of individuals in each age class?

Learning from the model

- If a population has constant size (ie., the same number of individuals are born every year), what determines the proportion of individuals in each age class?
  - *

Learning from the model

- If a population has constant size (ie., the same number of individuals are born every year), what determines the proportion of individuals in each age class?
  - * Distribution should be proportional to ℓ_x

Learning from the model

- If a population has constant size (ie., the same number of individuals are born every year), what determines the proportion of individuals in each age class?
  - * Distribution should be proportional to ℓ_x

- What if population is growing?

Learning from the model

- If a population has constant size (ie., the same number of individuals are born every year), what determines the proportion of individuals in each age class?
  - * Distribution should be proportional to ℓ_x

- What if population is growing?
  - *

Learning from the model

- If a population has constant size (ie., the same number of individuals are born every year), what determines the proportion of individuals in each age class?
  - * Distribution should be proportional to ℓ_x
- What if population is growing?
  - * We expect proportionally more individuals in younger age classes

Learning from the model

- If a population has constant size (ie., the same number of individuals are born every year), what determines the proportion of individuals in each age class?
  - * Distribution should be proportional to ℓ_x
- What if population is growing?
  - * We expect proportionally more individuals in younger age classes
    - *

Learning from the model

- If a population has constant size (ie., the same number of individuals are born every year), what determines the proportion of individuals in each age class?
  - * Distribution should be proportional to ℓ_x
- What if population is growing?
  - * We expect proportionally more individuals in younger age classes
    - * Number of births in more recent cohorts is larger

Learning from the model

- If a population has constant size (ie., the same number of individuals are born every year), what determines the proportion of individuals in each age class?
  - * Distribution should be proportional to ℓ_x
- What if population is growing?
  - * We expect proportionally more individuals in younger age classes
    - * Number of births in more recent cohorts is larger

Stable age distribution

- If a population has reached a SAD, and is increasing at rate λ (given by the Euler equation):

Stable age distribution

- If a population has reached a SAD, and is increasing at rate λ (given by the Euler equation):
  - the x year old cohort, born x years ago originally had a size λ^{-x} relative to the current one

Stable age distribution

- If a population has reached a SAD, and is increasing at rate λ (given by the Euler equation):
  - the x year old cohort, born x years ago originally had a size λ^{-x} relative to the current one
  - a proportion ℓ_x of this cohort has survived

Stable age distribution

- If a population has reached a SAD, and is increasing at rate λ (given by the Euler equation):
  - the x year old cohort, born x years ago originally had a size λ^{-x} relative to the current one
  - a proportion ℓ_x of this cohort has survived
  - thus, the relative size of cohort x is $\lambda^{-x}\ell_x$

Stable age distribution

- If a population has reached a SAD, and is increasing at rate λ (given by the Euler equation):
  - the x year old cohort, born x years ago originally had a size λ^{-x} relative to the current one
  - a proportion ℓ_x of this cohort has survived
  - thus, the relative size of cohort x is $\lambda^{-x}\ell_x$
  - SAD depends only on survival distribution ℓ_x and λ.

Stable age distribution

- If a population has reached a SAD, and is increasing at rate λ (given by the Euler equation):
  - the x year old cohort, born x years ago originally had a size λ^{-x} relative to the current one
  - a proportion ℓ_x of this cohort has survived
  - thus, the relative size of cohort x is $\lambda^{-x}\ell_x$
  - SAD depends only on survival distribution ℓ_x and λ.

Patterns

- Populations tend to be bottom-heavy (more individuals at lower age classes)

Patterns

- Populations tend to be bottom-heavy (more individuals at lower age classes)
  - Many individuals born, few survive to older age classes

Patterns

- Populations tend to be bottom-heavy (more individuals at lower age classes)
  - Many individuals born, few survive to older age classes
- If population is growing, this increases the lower classes further

Patterns

- Populations tend to be bottom-heavy (more individuals at lower age classes)
  - Many individuals born, few survive to older age classes
- If population is growing, this increases the lower classes further
  - More individuals born more recently

Patterns

- Populations tend to be bottom-heavy (more individuals at lower age classes)
  - Many individuals born, few survive to older age classes
- If population is growing, this increases the lower classes further
  - More individuals born more recently
- If population is *declining*, this shifts the age distribution in the opposite direction

Patterns

- Populations tend to be bottom-heavy (more individuals at lower age classes)
  - Many individuals born, few survive to older age classes
- If population is growing, this increases the lower classes further
  - More individuals born more recently
- If population is *declining*, this shifts the age distribution in the opposite direction
  - Results can be complicated

Patterns

- Populations tend to be bottom-heavy (more individuals at lower age classes)
  - Many individuals born, few survive to older age classes
- If population is growing, this increases the lower classes further
  - More individuals born more recently
- If population is *declining*, this shifts the age distribution in the opposite direction
  - Results can be complicated
  - Declining populations may be bottom-heavy, top-heavy or just jumbled

Patterns

- Populations tend to be bottom-heavy (more individuals at lower age classes)
  - Many individuals born, few survive to older age classes
- If population is growing, this increases the lower classes further
  - More individuals born more recently
- If population is *declining*, this shifts the age distribution in the opposite direction
  - Results can be complicated
  - Declining populations may be bottom-heavy, top-heavy or just jumbled

University cohorts

- McMaster accepts only first-year students. For any given stage (e.g., end of third year) the same proportion drop out each year

University cohorts

- McMaster accepts only first-year students. For any given stage (e.g., end of third year) the same proportion drop out each year
- What can you say about the relative size of the classes if:

University cohorts

- McMaster accepts only first-year students. For any given stage (e.g., end of third year) the same proportion drop out each year
- What can you say about the relative size of the classes if:
  - The same number of students is admitted each year?

University cohorts

- McMaster accepts only first-year students. For any given stage (e.g., end of third year) the same proportion drop out each year
- What can you say about the relative size of the classes if:
  - The same number of students is admitted each year?
    - *

University cohorts

- McMaster accepts only first-year students. For any given stage (e.g., end of third year) the same proportion drop out each year
- What can you say about the relative size of the classes if:
  - The same number of students is admitted each year?
    - * The lower classes are larger

University cohorts

- McMaster accepts only first-year students. For any given stage (e.g., end of third year) the same proportion drop out each year
- What can you say about the relative size of the classes if:
  - The same number of students is admitted each year?
    - * The lower classes are larger
  - Poll: More students are admitted each year?

University cohorts

- McMaster accepts only first-year students. For any given stage (e.g., end of third year) the same proportion drop out each year
- What can you say about the relative size of the classes if:
  - The same number of students is admitted each year?
    - * The lower classes are larger
  - Poll: More students are admitted each year?
    - *

University cohorts

- McMaster accepts only first-year students. For any given stage (e.g., end of third year) the same proportion drop out each year
- What can you say about the relative size of the classes if:
  - The same number of students is admitted each year?
    - * The lower classes are larger
  - Poll: More students are admitted each year?
    - * The lower classes are larger (even more so)

University cohorts

- McMaster accepts only first-year students. For any given stage (e.g., end of third year) the same proportion drop out each year
- What can you say about the relative size of the classes if:
  - The same number of students is admitted each year?
    - * The lower classes are larger
  - Poll: More students are admitted each year?
    - * The lower classes are larger (even more so)
  - Poll: Fewer students are admitted each year?

University cohorts

- McMaster accepts only first-year students. For any given stage (e.g., end of third year) the same proportion drop out each year
- What can you say about the relative size of the classes if:
  - The same number of students is admitted each year?
    - * The lower classes are larger
  - Poll: More students are admitted each year?
    - * The lower classes are larger (even more so)
  - Poll: Fewer students are admitted each year?
    - *

University cohorts

- McMaster accepts only first-year students. For any given stage (e.g., end of third year) the same proportion drop out each year
- What can you say about the relative size of the classes if:
  - The same number of students is admitted each year?
    - * The lower classes are larger
  - Poll: More students are admitted each year?
    - * The lower classes are larger (even more so)
  - Poll: Fewer students are admitted each year?
    - * Anything could happen (drop outs and size change are operating in different directions)

University cohorts

- McMaster accepts only first-year students. For any given stage (e.g., end of third year) the same proportion drop out each year
- What can you say about the relative size of the classes if:
  - The same number of students is admitted each year?
    - * The lower classes are larger
  - Poll: More students are admitted each year?
    - * The lower classes are larger (even more so)
  - Poll: Fewer students are admitted each year?
    - * Anything could happen (drop outs and size change are operating in different directions)

Changing survivorship (repeat)



Age distributions



Age distributions



Age distributions



The Euler equation (repeat)

- If the life table doesn't change, then λ is given by $\sum_x \ell_x f_x \lambda^{-x} = 1$

The Euler equation (repeat)

- If the life table doesn't change, then λ is given by $\sum_x \ell_x f_x \lambda^{-x} = 1$
- If the population has the structure we would expect from growing at rate λ, would it continue to grow at rate λ?

The Euler equation (repeat)

- If the life table doesn't change, then λ is given by $\sum_x \ell_x f_x \lambda^{-x} = 1$
- If the population has the structure we would expect from growing at rate λ, would it continue to grow at rate λ?
- On the left-side each cohort started as λ times smaller than the one after it

The Euler equation (repeat)

- If the life table doesn't change, then λ is given by $\sum_x \ell_x f_x \lambda^{-x} = 1$
- If the population has the structure we would expect from growing at rate λ, would it continue to grow at rate λ?
- On the left-side each cohort started as λ times smaller than the one after it
  - Then got multiplied by ℓ_x.

The Euler equation (repeat)

- If the life table doesn't change, then λ is given by $\sum_x \ell_x f_x \lambda^{-x} = 1$
- If the population has the structure we would expect from growing at rate λ, would it continue to grow at rate λ?
- On the left-side each cohort started as λ times smaller than the one after it
  - Then got multiplied by ℓ_x.
- Under this assumption, is the next generation λ times bigger again?

The Euler equation (repeat)

- If the life table doesn't change, then λ is given by $\sum_x \ell_x f_x \lambda^{-x} = 1$
- If the population has the structure we would expect from growing at rate λ, would it continue to grow at rate λ?
- On the left-side each cohort started as λ times smaller than the one after it
  - Then got multiplied by ℓ_x.
- Under this assumption, is the next generation λ times bigger again?

Outline

Forest example

- Forests have obvious population structure



Forest example

- Forests have obvious population structure
- They also seem to remain stable for long periods of time



Forest example

- Forests have obvious population structure
- They also seem to remain stable for long periods of time
- Populations are presumably *regulated* at some time scale



Forest example

- Forests have obvious population structure
- They also seem to remain stable for long periods of time
- Populations are presumably *regulated* at some time scale



Forest size classes

- When we go to an apparently stable forest ecosystem, it seems to be dominated by large trees, not small ones. What up?

Forest size classes

- When we go to an apparently stable forest ecosystem, it seems to be dominated by large trees, not small ones. What up?
- Poll: How is it possible that these systems are dominated by large trees?

Forest size classes

- When we go to an apparently stable forest ecosystem, it seems to be dominated by large trees, not small ones. What up?
- Poll: How is it possible that these systems are dominated by large trees?
  - *

Forest size classes

- When we go to an apparently stable forest ecosystem, it seems to be dominated by large trees, not small ones. What up?
- Poll: How is it possible that these systems are dominated by large trees?
  - * Large trees are larger

Forest size classes

- When we go to an apparently stable forest ecosystem, it seems to be dominated by large trees, not small ones. What up?
- Poll: How is it possible that these systems are dominated by large trees?
  - * Large trees are larger
  - *

Forest size classes

- When we go to an apparently stable forest ecosystem, it seems to be dominated by large trees, not small ones. What up?
- Poll: How is it possible that these systems are dominated by large trees?
  - * Large trees are larger
  - * Population may be declining

Forest size classes

- When we go to an apparently stable forest ecosystem, it seems to be dominated by large trees, not small ones. What up?

- Poll: How is it possible that these systems are dominated by large trees?
  - * Large trees are larger
  - * Population may be declining
  - *

Forest size classes

- When we go to an apparently stable forest ecosystem, it seems to be dominated by large trees, not small ones. What up?
- Poll: How is it possible that these systems are dominated by large trees?
  - * Large trees are larger
  - * Population may be declining
  - * Trees may spend longer in some size classes than in others

Forest size classes

- When we go to an apparently stable forest ecosystem, it seems to be dominated by large trees, not small ones. What up?
- Poll: How is it possible that these systems are dominated by large trees?
  - * Large trees are larger
  - * Population may be declining
  - * Trees may spend longer in some size classes than in others
  - *

Forest size classes

- When we go to an apparently stable forest ecosystem, it seems to be dominated by large trees, not small ones. What up?
- Poll: How is it possible that these systems are dominated by large trees?
  - * Large trees are larger
  - * Population may be declining
  - * Trees may spend longer in some size classes than in others
  - * Life table may not be constant (smaller trees may recruit at certain times and places)

Forest size classes

- When we go to an apparently stable forest ecosystem, it seems to be dominated by large trees, not small ones. What up?
- Poll: How is it possible that these systems are dominated by large trees?
  - * Large trees are larger
  - * Population may be declining
  - * Trees may spend longer in some size classes than in others
  - * Life table may not be constant (smaller trees may recruit at certain times and places)

Outline

Stage structure

- Stage structure works just like age structure, except that what stage you are in is not strictly predicted by how old you are

Stage structure

- Stage structure works just like age structure, except that what stage you are in is not strictly predicted by how old you are
  - Age-structured models need fecundity, and survival probability

Stage structure

- Stage structure works just like age structure, except that what stage you are in is not strictly predicted by how old you are
  - Age-structured models need fecundity, and survival probability
  - *

Stage structure

- Stage structure works just like age structure, except that what stage you are in is not strictly predicted by how old you are
  - Age-structured models need fecundity, and survival probability
  - * In stage-structured models survival is typically broken into:

Stage structure

- Stage structure works just like age structure, except that what stage you are in is not strictly predicted by how old you are
  - Age-structured models need fecundity, and survival probability
  - * In stage-structured models survival is typically broken into:
    - *

Stage structure

- Stage structure works just like age structure, except that what stage you are in is not strictly predicted by how old you are
  - Age-structured models need fecundity, and survival probability
  - * In stage-structured models survival is typically broken into:
    - * Survival into same stage

Stage structure

- Stage structure works just like age structure, except that what stage you are in is not strictly predicted by how old you are
  - Age-structured models need fecundity, and survival probability
  - * In stage-structured models survival is typically broken into:
    - * Survival into same stage
    - *

Stage structure

- Stage structure works just like age structure, except that what stage you are in is not strictly predicted by how old you are
  - Age-structured models need fecundity, and survival probability
  - * In stage-structured models survival is typically broken into:
    - * Survival into same stage
    - * Survival with recruitment (ie., to the next larger class of individuals)

Stage structure

- Stage structure works just like age structure, except that what stage you are in is not strictly predicted by how old you are
  - Age-structured models need fecundity, and survival probability
  - * In stage-structured models survival is typically broken into:
    - * Survival into same stage
    - * Survival with recruitment (ie., to the next larger class of individuals)
  - More complicated models are also possible

Stage structure

- Stage structure works just like age structure, except that what stage you are in is not strictly predicted by how old you are
  - Age-structured models need fecundity, and survival probability
  - * In stage-structured models survival is typically broken into:
    - * Survival into same stage
    - * Survival with recruitment (ie., to the next larger class of individuals)
  - More complicated models are also possible

Advantages

- Stage structured models don't need a maximum age

Advantages

- Stage structured models don't need a maximum age
- Nor one box for every single age class

Advantages

- Stage structured models don't need a maximum age
- Nor one box for every single age class

Unregulated growth

- What happens if you have a constant stage table (no regulation)?

Unregulated growth

- What happens if you have a constant stage table (no regulation)?
  - Fecundity, and survival and recruitment probabilities are constant

Unregulated growth

- What happens if you have a constant stage table (no regulation)?
  - Fecundity, and survival and recruitment probabilities are constant
- Similar to constant life table

Unregulated growth

- What happens if you have a constant stage table (no regulation)?
  - Fecundity, and survival and recruitment probabilities are constant
- Similar to constant life table
  - Can calculate $\mathcal{R}$ and λ (will be consistent with each other)

Unregulated growth

- What happens if you have a constant stage table (no regulation)?
  - Fecundity, and survival and recruitment probabilities are constant

- Similar to constant life table
  - Can calculate $\mathcal{R}$ and λ (will be consistent with each other)
  - Can calculate a stable stage distribution

Unregulated growth

- What happens if you have a constant stage table (no regulation)?
  - Fecundity, and survival and recruitment probabilities are constant
- Similar to constant life table
  - Can calculate $\mathcal{R}$ and λ (will be consistent with each other)
  - Can calculate a stable stage distribution
  - *$\mathcal{R}$ is about the same as in age structured model*

Unregulated growth

- What happens if you have a constant stage table (no regulation)?
  - Fecundity, and survival and recruitment probabilities are constant
- Similar to constant life table
  - Can calculate $\mathcal{R}$ and λ (will be consistent with each other)
  - Can calculate a stable stage distribution
  - *$\mathcal{R}$ is about the same as in age structured model*
- Unregulated growth cannot persist

Unregulated growth

- What happens if you have a constant stage table (no regulation)?
  - Fecundity, and survival and recruitment probabilities are constant
- Similar to constant life table
  - Can calculate $\mathcal{R}$ and λ (will be consistent with each other)
  - Can calculate a stable stage distribution
  - *$\mathcal{R}$ is about the same as in age structured model*
- Unregulated growth cannot persist

Summary

- If the life table remains constant (no regulation or stochasticity):

Summary

- If the life table remains constant (no regulation or stochasticity):
  - Reach a stable age (or stage) distribution

Summary

- If the life table remains constant (no regulation or stochasticity):
  - Reach a stable age (or stage) distribution
  - Grow or decline with a constant λ

Summary

- If the life table remains constant (no regulation or stochasticity):
  - Reach a stable age (or stage) distribution
  - Grow or decline with a constant λ
  - Factors behind age distribution can be understood

Summary

- If the life table remains constant (no regulation or stochasticity):
  - Reach a stable age (or stage) distribution
  - Grow or decline with a constant λ
  - Factors behind age distribution can be understood

Outline

Regulated growth

- Our models up until now have assumed that individuals are independent

Regulated growth

- Our models up until now have assumed that individuals are independent
- In this case, we expect populations to grow (or decline) exponentially

Regulated growth

- Our models up until now have assumed that individuals are independent
- In this case, we expect populations to grow (or decline) exponentially
- We do not expect that the long-term average value of $\mathcal{R}$ or λ will be exactly 1.

Regulated growth

- Our models up until now have assumed that individuals are independent
- In this case, we expect populations to grow (or decline) exponentially
- We do not expect that the long-term average value of $\mathcal{R}$ or λ will be exactly 1.

The value of simple models

- There is a lot of mathematical study of unregulated, age-structured populations, but it should be taken with a grain of salt

The value of simple models

- There is a lot of mathematical study of unregulated, age-structured populations, but it should be taken with a grain of salt
  - *

The value of simple models

- There is a lot of mathematical study of unregulated, age-structured populations, but it should be taken with a grain of salt
  - * We know that real populations are regulated

The value of simple models

- There is a lot of mathematical study of unregulated, age-structured populations, but it should be taken with a grain of salt
  - * We know that real populations are regulated
  - *

The value of simple models

- There is a lot of mathematical study of unregulated, age-structured populations, but it should be taken with a grain of salt
    - * We know that real populations are regulated
    - * Populations can't increase or decrease exponentially for very long

The value of simple models

- There is a lot of mathematical study of unregulated, age-structured populations, but it should be taken with a grain of salt
  - * We know that real populations are regulated
  - * Populations can't increase or decrease exponentially for very long
- Understanding this behaviour is helpful:

The value of simple models

- There is a lot of mathematical study of unregulated, age-structured populations, but it should be taken with a grain of salt
  - * We know that real populations are regulated
  - * Populations can't increase or decrease exponentially for very long
- Understanding this behaviour is helpful:
  - interpreting age structures in real populations

The value of simple models

- There is a lot of mathematical study of unregulated, age-structured populations, but it should be taken with a grain of salt
  - * We know that real populations are regulated
  - * Populations can't increase or decrease exponentially for very long
- Understanding this behaviour is helpful:
  - interpreting age structures in real populations
  - beginning to understand more complicated systems

The value of simple models

- There is a lot of mathematical study of unregulated, age-structured populations, but it should be taken with a grain of salt
  - * We know that real populations are regulated
  - * Populations can't increase or decrease exponentially for very long
- Understanding this behaviour is helpful:
  - interpreting age structures in real populations
  - beginning to understand more complicated systems

Regulation and structure

- We expect real populations to be regulated

Regulation and structure

- We expect real populations to be regulated
- The long-term average value of λ under regulation *could* be exactly 1

Regulation and structure

- We expect real populations to be regulated
- The long-term average value of λ under regulation *could* be exactly 1
- There is also likely to be substantial variation from year to year, due to changing conditions and other random-seeming forces

Regulation and structure

- We expect real populations to be regulated
- The long-term average value of λ under regulation *could* be exactly 1
- There is also likely to be substantial variation from year to year, due to changing conditions and other random-seeming forces

Dynamics

- We expect to see smooth behaviour in many cases

Dynamics

- We expect to see smooth behaviour in many cases
- Cycles and complex behaviour should arise for reasons similar to our unstructured models:

Dynamics

- We expect to see smooth behaviour in many cases
- Cycles and complex behaviour should arise for reasons similar to our unstructured models:
  - Delays in the system

Dynamics

- We expect to see smooth behaviour in many cases
- Cycles and complex behaviour should arise for reasons similar to our unstructured models:
  - Delays in the system
  - Strong population response to density

Dynamics

- We expect to see smooth behaviour in many cases
- Cycles and complex behaviour should arise for reasons similar to our unstructured models:
  - Delays in the system
  - Strong population response to density
- Age distribution will be determined by:

Dynamics

- We expect to see smooth behaviour in many cases
- Cycles and complex behaviour should arise for reasons similar to our unstructured models:
  - Delays in the system
  - Strong population response to density
- Age distribution will be determined by:
  - ℓ_x, and

Dynamics

- We expect to see smooth behaviour in many cases
- Cycles and complex behaviour should arise for reasons similar to our unstructured models:
  - Delays in the system
  - Strong population response to density
- Age distribution will be determined by:
  - ℓ_x, and
  - whether the population has been growing or declining recently

Dynamics

- We expect to see smooth behaviour in many cases
- Cycles and complex behaviour should arise for reasons similar to our unstructured models:
  - Delays in the system
  - Strong population response to density
- Age distribution will be determined by:
  - ℓ_x, and
  - whether the population has been growing or declining recently