#### Lecture 19: Genome Rearrangement

#### **BCB 5300 Algorithms in Computational Biology**

Fall 2019

Tae-Hyuk (Ted) Ahn

Department of Computer Science Program of Bioinformatics and Computational Biology Saint Louis University



#### Outline

Learn about Genome Rearrangement



#### **Greedy Algorithm**

#### SimpleReversalSort( $\pi$ )

```
1 for i \leftarrow 1 to n-1
2 j \leftarrow \text{position of element } i \text{ in } \pi \text{ (i.e., } \pi_j = i)
3 if j \neq i
    \pi \leftarrow \pi \ \rho(i,j)
         output \pi
      if \pi is the identity permutation
        return
```

#### **Analyzing SimpleReversalSort**

• SimpleReversalSort does not guarantee the smallest number of reversals and takes five steps on  $\pi$  = 6 1 2 3 4 5 :

- Step 1: 1 6 2 3 4 5
- Step 2: 1 2 6 3 4 5
- Step 3: 1 2 3 6 4 5
- Step 4: 1 2 3 4 6 5
- Step 5: 1 2 3 4 5 6

## **Analyzing SimpleReversalSort**

But it can be sorted in two steps:

$$\pi = 612345$$

- Step 1: 5 4 3 2 1 6
- Step 2: 1 2 3 4 5 6
- So, SimpleReversalSort( $\pi$ ) is not optimal

Optimal algorithms are unknown for many problems; approximation algorithms are used

# Adjacencies

$$\pi = \pi_1 \pi_2 \pi_3 \dots \pi_{n-1} \pi_n$$

• A pair of elements  $\pi_i$  and  $\pi_{i+1}$  are adjacent if

$$\pi_{i+1} = \pi_i \pm 1$$

• For example:

$$\pi$$
 = 1 9 3 4 7 8 2 6 5

• (3, 4) or (7, 8) and (6,5) are adjacent pairs

#### Breakpoints

There is a breakpoint between any adjacent elements that are non-consecutive:

$$\pi = 1 \ 9 \ 3 \ 4 \ 7 \ 8 \ 2 \ 6 \ 5$$

- Pairs (1,9), (9,3), (4,7), (8,2) and (2,5) form breakpoints of permutation  $\pi$
- $b(\pi)$  # breakpoints in permutation  $\pi$

#### Adjacencies & Breakpoints

- An adjacency a pair of adjacent elements that are consecutive
- A breakpoint a pair of adjacent elements that are not consecutive

$$\pi = 5 \ 6 \ 2 \ 1 \ 3 \ 4 \longrightarrow \text{Extend } \pi \text{ with } \pi_0 = 0 \text{ and } \pi_7 = 7$$

$$\text{adjacencies}$$

$$0 \atop \downarrow 5 \atop \downarrow 6 \atop \downarrow 1 \atop \downarrow$$

#### **Extending Permutations**

• We put two elements  $\pi_0 = 0$  and  $\pi_{n+1} = n+1$  at the ends of  $\pi$ 

$$\pi = 1 \ | 9 \ | 3 \ | 4 \ | 7 \ | 8 \ | 2 \ | 6 \ | 5$$
Extending with 0 and 10

 $\pi = 0 \ | 1 \ | 9 \ | 3 \ | 4 \ | 7 \ | 8 \ | 2 \ | 6 \ | 5 \ | 10$ 

A new breakpoint was created after extending

A permutation of n may have up to (n+1) breakpoints

#### Reversal Distance and Breakpoints

- Breakpoints are the bottlenecks for sorting by reversals.
- Each reversal eliminates at most 2 breakpoints.

$$\pi = 2 \ 3 \ 1 \ 4 \ 6 \ 5$$
 $0 \ 2 \ 3 \ 1 \ 4 \ 6 \ 5 \ 7$ 
 $b(\pi) = 5$ 
 $0 \ 1 \ 3 \ 2 \ 4 \ 6 \ 5 \ 7$ 
 $b(\pi) = 4$ 
 $0 \ 1 \ 2 \ 3 \ 4 \ 6 \ 5 \ 7$ 
 $b(\pi) = 2$ 
 $0 \ 1 \ 2 \ 3 \ 4 \ 5 \ 6 \ 7$ 
 $b(\pi) = 0$ 

$$d(\pi) \ge \frac{b(\pi)}{2}$$

#### Sorting By Reversals: A Better Greedy Algorithm

#### $\underline{\mathsf{SimpleReversalSort}(\pi)}$

```
1 for i \leftarrow 1 to n-1
```

- 2  $j \leftarrow \text{position of element } i \text{ in } \pi \text{ (i.e., } \pi_j = i)$
- 3 **if**  $j \neq i$
- 4  $\pi \leftarrow \pi \cdot \rho(i, j)$
- 5 **output**  $\pi$
- 6 if  $\pi$  is the identity permutation
- 7 return

#### BreakPointReversalSort(*π*)

- 1 while  $b(\pi) > 0$
- 2 Among all possible reversals, choose reversal  $\rho$  minimizing  $b(\pi \cdot \rho)$
- 3  $\pi \leftarrow \pi \cdot \rho(i, j)$
- 4 output  $\pi$
- 5 return

Does it always terminate?

How can we be sure that removing some breakpoints does not introduce others?



## **Strips**

- <u>Strip</u>: an interval between two consecutive breakpoints in a permutation
  - Decreasing strip: strip of elements in decreasing order (e.g. 6 5 and 3 2).
  - Increasing strip: strip of elements in increasing order (e.g. 7 8)

 A single-element strip can be declared either increasing or decreasing. We will choose to declare them as decreasing with exception of the strips with 0 and n+1

## Reducing the Number of Breakpoints

Consider  $\pi = 14657832$ 

0 1 4 6 5 7 8 3 2 9 
$$b(\pi) = 5$$

If permutation  $\pi$  contains at least one decreasing strip, then there exists a reversal  $\rho$  which decreases the number of breakpoints (i.e.  $b(\pi \cdot \rho) < b(\pi)$ ).

Consider 
$$\pi = 14657832$$

- Choose the decreasing strip with the smallest element k in  $\pi$
- Find k-1 in the permutation
- Reverse the segment between k and k-1
- Repeat until there is no decreasing strip

Consider  $\pi = 14657832$ 

0 1 2 3 8 7 5 6 4 9 
$$b(\pi) = 4$$
  
0 1 2 3 4 6 5 7 8 9  $b(\pi) = 2$ 

- Choose the decreasing strip with the smallest element k in  $\pi$
- Find k-1 in the permutation
- Reverse the segment between k and k-1
- Repeat until there is no decreasing strip

Consider  $\pi = 14657832$ 

0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 
$$b(\pi) = 0$$

- Choose the decreasing strip with the smallest element k in  $\pi$
- Find k-1 in the permutation
- Reverse the segment between k and k-1
- Repeat until there is no decreasing strip

Consider p = 14657832

0
 1
 4
 6
 5
 7
 8
 3
 2
 9
 
$$b(\pi) = 5$$

 0
 1
 2
 3
 8
 7
 5
 6
 4
 9
  $b(\pi) = 4$ 

 0
 1
 2
 3
 4
 6
 5
 7
 8
 9
  $b(\pi) = 2$ 

 0
 1
 2
 3
 4
 5
 6
 7
 8
 9
  $b(\pi) = 0$ 

$$d(\pi) = 3$$

Q: Does it work for arbitrary permutation?

$$0 \ 1 \ 2 \ 5 \ 6 \ 7 \ 3 \ 4 \ 8 \ 9 \qquad b(\pi) = 3$$

- If there is no decreasing strip, there may be no reversal r that reduces
  the number of breakpoints (i.e. b(p r) ≥ b(p) for any reversal r).
- By reversing an <u>increasing</u> strip (# of breakpoints remains unchanged), we will create a decreasing strip. Then the number of breakpoints will be reduced in the following step.

$$0 \ 1 \ 2 \ 7 \ 6 \ 5 \ 3 \ 4 \ 8 \ 9 \qquad b(\pi) = 3$$

- If there is no decreasing strip, there may be no reversal r that reduces the number of breakpoints (i.e.  $b(p \cdot r) \ge b(p)$  for any reversal r).
- By reversing an <u>increasing</u> strip (# of breakpoints remains unchanged), we will create a decreasing strip. Then the number of breakpoints will be reduced in the following step.

- If there is no decreasing strip, there may be no reversal r that reduces the number of breakpoints (i.e.  $b(p \cdot r) \ge b(p)$  for any reversal r).
- By reversing an <u>increasing</u> strip (# of breakpoints remains unchanged), we will create a decreasing strip. Then the number of breakpoints will be reduced in the following step.

- If there is no decreasing strip, there may be no reversal r that reduces the number of breakpoints (i.e.  $b(p \cdot r) \ge b(p)$  for any reversal r).
- By reversing an <u>increasing</u> strip (# of breakpoints remains unchanged), we will create a decreasing strip. Then the number of breakpoints will be reduced in the following step.

- If there is no decreasing strip, there may be no reversal r that reduces the number of breakpoints (i.e.  $b(p \cdot r) \ge b(p)$  for any reversal r).
- By reversing an <u>increasing</u> strip (# of breakpoints remains unchanged), we will create a decreasing strip. Then the number of breakpoints will be reduced in the following step.

#### ImprovedBreakpointReversalSort

#### ImprovedBreakpointReversalSort( $\pi$ )

- 1 while  $b(\pi) > 0$
- 2 if  $\pi$  has a decreasing strip
- Among all possible reversals, choose reversal  $\rho$ 
  - that minimizes  $b(\pi \bullet \rho)$

- 4 else
- 5 Choose a reversal  $\rho$  that flips an increasing strip in  $\pi$
- 6  $\pi \leftarrow \pi \cdot \rho$
- 7 output  $\pi$
- 8 return

#### BreakPointReversalSort( $\pi$ )

- 1 while  $b(\pi) > 0$
- 2 Among all possible reversals, choose reversal  $\rho$  minimizing  $b(\pi \cdot \rho)$
- 3  $\pi \leftarrow \pi \cdot \rho(i, j)$
- 4 output  $\pi$
- 5 return