Quantum Theory, Groups and Representations

February 6, 2025

1 Representation theory

Definition 1.1. A representation (π, V) of a group G over a vector space V is an application $\pi : G \to GL(V)$ such that $\forall g_1, g_2 \in G, \ \pi(g_1 \cdot g_2) = \pi(g_1) \pi(g_2)$.

- A representation (π, V) is said irreducible if there is no proper subset W ⊂ V such that π_W:
 G → GL(W) is a representation.
 Another way to express that is that the only invariant¹ subvector spaces of the representation are {0} and V.
- Otherwise, (π, V) is said reducible.

Definition 1.2. A unitary representation (π, V) , where V is a \mathbb{K} -EV equiped with an inner product $\langle , \rangle : V \times V \to \mathbb{K}$, is a representation which preserves the inner product such that:

$$\forall q \in G, \ \forall v, w \in V, \ \langle v, w \rangle = \langle \pi(q)v, \pi(q)w \rangle.$$

If V is finite dimensionnal (of dimension n), we can treat the representation as an element of $\mathcal{M}_{n\times n}(\mathbb{K})$, and for a unitary transformation, $\pi: G \to U(n)$.

Definition 1.3. (Direct sum representation). Given representations π_1 and π_2 of dimensions n_1 and n_2 , there is a representation of dimension $n_1 + n_2$ called the direct sum of the two representations, denoted $\pi_1 \oplus \pi_2$. This representation is given by the homomorphism:

$$(\pi_1 \oplus \pi_2) : g \in G \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} \pi_1(g) & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \pi_2(g) \end{pmatrix}.$$

Theorem 1.1. A unitary transformation π over a finite dimensionnal vector space V can be written as $\oplus \pi_i$, where $\{\pi_i\}$ is a set of irreducible representations.

Proof. If (π, V) is irreducible, then it's obvious.

Otherwise, there exists $W \subset V$ such that (π_W, W) is a representation.

Since $V = W \oplus W^{\perp}$, we want to check if $(\pi_{W^{\perp}}, W^{\perp})$ is a representation.

• Let $w \in W$ and $v \in W^{\perp}$, then obviously $v \perp w \Leftrightarrow \langle v, w \rangle = \langle \pi(g)v, \pi(g)w \rangle = 0$. Since (π_W, W) is a representation, $\pi(g)w \in W$ and thus $\forall g \in G, \pi(g)v \perp W$. This means that $\forall g \in G, \forall v \in W^{\perp}, \pi(g)v \in W^{\perp}$. Thus, $\pi_{W^{\perp}}, W^{\perp}$ is a representation.(It respects the group product since (π, V) is a representation.)

Thus:

$$(\pi, V) = (\pi_W, W) \oplus (\pi_{W^{\perp}}, W^{\perp}).$$

We can then repeat this process until each subrepresentation is irreducible.

¹A subspace $V' \subseteq V$ is called invariant if $\pi(g)V' \subseteq V'$ for all $g \in G$.

Theorem 1.2. Schur's Lemna: If a **complex** representation (π, V) is irreducible, then the only application $M: V \to V$ commuting with all the $\pi(g)$ is $M = \lambda \mathbb{I}$, $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$.

Proof. Let's assume that (π, V) is an irreducible representation and that $\forall g \in G, [M, \pi(g)] = 0$. Since V is a \mathbb{C} -vector space, the equation:

$$\det(M - \lambda \mathbb{I}) = 0$$

has n roots (counting the multiplicity) and thus we can find all the eigenspaces:

$$U_{\lambda} = \{ v \in V | Mv = \lambda v \} = \ker (M - \lambda \mathbb{I}) \neq \emptyset.$$

Since π and M commute, $v \in \ker(M - \lambda \mathbb{I}) \implies \forall g \in G \ \pi(g)v \in \ker(M - \lambda \mathbb{I})$. As a consequence, $(\pi, \ker(M - \lambda \mathbb{I}))$ is a representation of G since $\ker(M - \lambda \mathbb{I})$ is stable under the action of π . Since we supposed that (π, V) is irreducible then either:

- $U_{\lambda} = \ker (M \lambda \mathbb{I}) = \emptyset$, but it's not possible since U_{λ} is an eignespace.
- $U_{\lambda} = \ker (M \lambda \mathbb{I}) = V \Leftrightarrow \forall v \in V, (M \lambda \mathbb{I})v = 0$, which implies that $M = \lambda \mathbb{I}$.

Theorem 1.3. If G is an abelian group, then all of its irreducible representations are one dimensionnal.

Proof. Consider an abelian (=commutative) group G and $g \in G$. Any representation (π, V) of G will satisfy:

$$\forall h \in G, \ \pi(g)\pi(h) = \pi(h)\pi(g).$$

and if π is irreducible, by using Schur's Lemna, $\pi(h) = \lambda \mathbb{I} \simeq \lambda$ for $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$.

2 Lie Algebra

The main idea is that a representation π is homomorphic and this proporty allows to charachterize π only in term of its derivative π' . π' is a linear map from the tangent space to G at the identity to the tangent space of U(n) at the identity. The tangent space to G at the identity will carry some extra structure coming from the group law and this vector space with this structure will be called the Lie algebra of G, while π' will be an example of a Lie algebra representation.

Definition 2.1 (Lie Group). A Lie group G is a differentiable manifold equiped with a group law.

Definition 2.2 (Lie Algebra). The Lie Algebra of a Lie group G is the tangent space of G at the identity.

Definition 2.3 (Lie Algebra of a matrix group G). For G a Lie group of $n \times n$ invertible matrices, the Lie algebra of G (written Lie(G) or \mathfrak{g}) is the space X of $n \times n$ matrices such that $e^{tX} \in G$ for $t \in \mathbb{R}$.

We have defined the exponential of the matrices throug its power serie

$$e^{A} = \mathbf{1} + A + \frac{1}{2}A^{2} + \dots + \frac{1}{n!}A^{n} + \dots$$
 (2.1)

which can be shown to converge for any matrix A.

Proof. We define the matrix absolute value² of $A = (a_{ij})$ to be

$$|A| = \sqrt{\sum_{i,j} |a_{ij}|^2}.$$

For an $n \times n$ real matrix A the absolute value |A| is the distance from the origin O in \mathbb{R}^{n^2} of the point

$$(a_{11}, a_{12}, \ldots, a_{1n}, a_{21}, a_{22}, \ldots, a_{2n}, \ldots, a_{n1}, \ldots, a_{nn}).$$

If A has complex entries, and if we interpret each copy of \mathbb{C} as \mathbb{R}^2 , then |A| is the distance from O of the corresponding point in $\mathbb{R}^{(2n)^2}$. Similarly, if A has quaternion entries, then |A| is the distance from O of the corresponding point in $\mathbb{R}^{(4n)^2}$.

In all cases, |A - B| is the distance between the matrices A and B, and we say that a sequence A_1, A_2, A_3, \ldots of $n \times n$ matrices has $limit\ A$ if, for each $\varepsilon > 0$, there is an integer M such that

$$m > M \implies |A_m - A| < \varepsilon.$$

The key property of the matrix absolute value is the following inequality, a consequence of the triangle inequality (which holds in the plane and hence in any \mathbb{R}^k) and the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality (For all $u, v \in E$ a vector space with a scalar product, we have $: |\langle u, v \rangle|^2 \le \langle u, u \rangle \langle v, v \rangle$).

Submultiplicative property. For any two real $n \times n$ matrices A and B,

$$|AB| \le |A||B|$$
.

Proof. If $A = (a_{ij})$ and $B = (b_{ij})$, then it follows from the definition of matrix product that

$$|(i, j)\text{-entry of }AB| = |a_{i1}b_{1j} + a_{i2}b_{2j} + \dots + a_{in}b_{nj}|$$

 $\leq |a_{i1}b_{1j}| + |a_{i2}b_{2j}| + \dots + |a_{in}b_{nj}|$

by the triangle inequality

$$= |a_{i1}||b_{1j}| + |a_{i2}||b_{2j}| + \dots + |a_{in}||b_{nj}|$$

by the multiplicative property of absolute value

$$\leq \sqrt{|a_{i1}|^2 + \dots + |a_{in}|^2} \sqrt{|b_{1j}|^2 + \dots + |b_{nj}|^2}$$

by the CauchySchwarz inequality.

Now, summing the squares of both sides, we get

$$|AB|^{2} = \sum_{i,j} |(i,j)\text{-entry of } AB|^{2}$$

$$\leq \sum_{i,j} (|a_{i1}|^{2} + \dots + |a_{in}|^{2})(|b_{1j}|^{2} + \dots + |b_{nj}|^{2})$$

$$= \sum_{i} (|a_{i1}|^{2} + \dots + |a_{in}|^{2}) \sum_{j} (|b_{1j}|^{2} + \dots + |b_{nj}|^{2})$$

$$= |A|^{2}|B|^{2}, \text{ as required. } \square$$

²One can show that it is a norm just by identifying the isomorphism between $M_n(\mathbb{K})$ and \mathbb{K}^{n^2} equiped with the canonical norm, and since we are in a finite dimensionnal vector space, all norms are equivalent.

It follows from the submultiplicative property that $|A^m| \leq |A|^m$. Along with the triangle inequality $|A+B| \leq |A| + |B|$, the submultiplicative property enables us to test convergence of matrix infinite series by comparing them with series of real numbers. In particular, we have:

Convergence of the exponential series. If A is any $n \times n$ real matrix, then

$$1 + \frac{A}{1!} + \frac{A^2}{2!} + \frac{A^3}{3!} + \dots$$
, where $1 = n \times n$ identity matrix,

is convergent in \mathbb{R}^{n^2} .

Proof. It suffices to prove that this series is absolutely convergent, that is, to prove the convergence of

$$|1| + \frac{|A|}{1!} + \frac{|A^2|}{2!} + \frac{|A^3|}{3!} + \dots$$

This is a series of positive real numbers, whose terms (except for the first) are less than or equal to the corresponding terms of

$$1 + \frac{|A|}{1!} + \frac{|A|^2}{2!} + \frac{|A|^3}{3!} + \dots$$

by the submultiplicative property. The latter series is the series for the real exponential function $e^{|A|}$; hence the original series is convergent.

Notice that while the group G determines the Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} , the Lie algebra does not determine the group. For example O(n) and SO(n) admit the same tangent space at identity and thus the same Lie algebra, but elements in O(n) not in the component of the identity (ie with determinant -1) cannot be written in the form e^{tX} since then you could make a path of matrixes connecting such element to the identity by shrinking t to zero. Note also that for a given X, different values of t could give the same group element.

Definition 2.4 (Adjoint representation). The adjoint representation (Ad, \mathfrak{g}) is given by the homomorphism

$$Ad: g \in G \to Ad(g) \in GL(\mathfrak{g})$$

where Ad(g) acts on $X \in \mathfrak{g}$ by

$$(Ad(g))(X) = gXg^{-1}$$

To show that this is well defined, one needs to check that $gXg^{-1} \in mathfrakg$ when $X \in mathfrakg$ but it is guarenteed by the identity

 $e^{tgXg^{-1}} = ge^{tX}g^{-1}$

obtained directly from the serie representation of the exponential. The homomorphic property of Ad is also easy to show.

A Lie algebra is not just a real vector space, it comes with an additional structure:

Definition 2.5 (Lie Bracket). The Lie bracket operation on \mathfrak{g} is the bilinear antisymetric map given by the commutator of matrices

$$[\cdot,\cdot]:(X,Y)\in\mathfrak{g}\times\mathfrak{g}\to[X,Y]=XY-YX\in\mathfrak{g}$$

We now have to check that it is well defined, ie that $[X,Y] \in \mathfrak{g}$.

Theorem 2.1. If $X, Y \in \mathfrak{g}, [X, Y] = XY - YX \in \mathfrak{g}$.

Proof. Since $X \in \mathfrak{g}$, we have $e^{tX} \in G$ and we can act on $Y \in \mathfrak{g}$ with the adjoint representation

$$Ad(e^{tX})Y = e^{tX}Ye^{-tX} \in \mathfrak{g}$$

As t varies, this gives us a parametrized curve in \mathfrak{g} , whose derivative is also in \mathfrak{g} :

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t} \left(e^{tX} Y e^{-tX} \right) \in \mathfrak{g}.$$

Computing the derivative with the product rule gives:

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t} \left(e^{tX} Y e^{-tX} \right) = X e^{tX} Y e^{-tX} - e^{tX} Y e^{-tX} X$$

and evaluating it a t = 0, we end up with:

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t} \left(e^{tX} Y e^{-tX} \right) |_{t=0} = XY - YX \in \mathfrak{g}.$$

Remark. The relation

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t} \left(e^{tX} Y e^{-tX} \right) \bigg|_{t=0} = [X, Y]$$

used in this proof is continually useful to relate the Lie group and the Lie algebra.

Definition 2.6 (Structure constants). Since \mathfrak{g} is a vector space of dimension n, one can choose a basis X_1, \dots, X_n and use the fact that the Lie bracket can be written as:

$$[X_i, X_j] = \sum_{k=1}^n c_{ijk} X_k.$$

The set of c_{ijk} is the set of structure constants of the algebra, that are antisymetric in $i \leftrightarrows j$ due to the antisymetry of the Lie bracket.

Remark (Structure constants of $\mathfrak{su}(2)$). $\mathfrak{su}(2)$ has a basis X_1, X_2, X_3 satisfying

$$[X_i, X_j] = \sum_{k=1}^{3} \epsilon_{ijk} X_k,$$

where ϵ_{ijk} is the Levi-Cevita antisymetric tensor.

We will now focus ourself on the groups of linear transformations that preserve an inner product: The unitary and orthogonal groups. These groups are subgroups of respectively $GL(n,\mathbb{C})$ and $GL(n,\mathbb{R})$, consisting of elements Ω satisfying:

$$\Omega\Omega^{\dagger,T} = 1 \tag{2.2}$$

2.1 Lie Algebra of the Unitary/Orthogonal Group U(n) and O(n)

Let X be an element of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{u}(n)$ such that $\Omega=e^{tX}$ for some t. The condition 2.2 then becomes:

$$e^{tX}e^{tX^{\dagger}} = 1.$$

Taking the derivative of the last equation and evaluating it at t = 0 gives:

$$X + X^{\dagger} = 0 \Leftrightarrow X = -X^{\dagger}$$
.

Definition 2.7 $(\mathfrak{u}(n))$. The lie Algebra $\mathfrak{u}(n)$ is the set of $n \times n$ skew-adjoint complex matrices.

Definition 2.8 $(\mathfrak{o}(n))$. The Lie Algebra $\mathfrak{o}(n)$ is the set of anti-symmetric $n \times n$ real matrices $X = -X^T \quad \forall X \in \mathfrak{o}(n)$.

Regarding the special orthogonal/unitary group, we can use the following identity:

$$\det e^X = e^{\operatorname{Tr}(X)}.$$

Since the determinant of the matrices of $SU(n) \supset SO(n)$ is 1, it add the conditions of tracelessness for the lie Algebra $\mathfrak{su}(n) \supset \mathfrak{so}(n)$.

2.2 To remember

- The group of linear application $GL(n, \mathbb{K})$ admit $\mathfrak{gl}(n, \mathbb{K}) = M_{n \times n}(\mathbb{K})$ the space of n by n \mathbb{K} matrices as its Lie Algebra.
- The Lie algebra $\mathfrak{sl}(n,\mathbb{K})$ of $SL(n,\mathbb{K})$ the group of inversibe \mathbb{K} -matrices of determinant 1 is the set of traceless \mathbb{K} -matrices.