

# Quantum Theory, Groups and Representations

October 22, 2024

**Definition 0.1.** A representation  $(\pi, V)$  of a group  $G$  over a vector space  $V$  is an application  $\pi : G \rightarrow GL(V)$  such that  $\forall g_1, g_2 \in G, \pi(g_1 \cdot g_2) = \pi(g_1) \pi(g_2)$ .

- A representation  $(\pi, V)$  is said *irreducible* if there is no proper subset  $W \subset V$  such that  $\pi_W : G \rightarrow GL(W)$  is a representation.
- Otherwise,  $(\pi, V)$  is said *reducible*.

**Definition 0.2.** A unitary representation  $(\pi, V)$ , where  $V$  is a  $\mathbb{K}$ -EV equipped with an inner product  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : V \times V \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$ , is a representation which preserves the inner product such that:

$$\forall g \in G, \forall v, w \in V, \langle v, w \rangle = \langle \pi(g)v, \pi(g)w \rangle.$$

If  $V$  is finite dimensionnal (of dimension  $n$ ), we can treat the representation as an element of  $\mathcal{M}_{n \times n}(\mathbb{K})$ , and for a unitary transformation,  $\forall g \in G, \pi(g) \in U(n)$ .

**Definition 0.3.** (Direct sum representation). Given representations  $\pi_1$  and  $\pi_2$  of dimensions  $n_1$  and  $n_2$ , there is a representation of dimension  $n_1 + n_2$  called the direct sum of the two representations, denoted  $\pi_1 \oplus \pi_2$ . This representation is given by the homomorphism:

$$(\pi_1 \oplus \pi_2) : g \in G \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} \pi_1(g) & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \pi_2(g) \end{pmatrix}.$$

**Theorem.** A unitary transformation  $\pi$  over a finite dimensionnal vector space  $V$  can be written as  $\oplus \pi_i$ , where  $\{\pi_i\}$  is a set of irreducible representations.

*Proof.* If  $(\pi, V)$  is irreducible, then it's obvious.

Otherwise, there exists  $W \subset V$  such that  $(\pi_W, W)$  is a representation.

Since  $V = W \oplus W^\perp$ , we want to check if  $(\pi_{W^\perp}, W^\perp)$  is a representation.

- Let  $w \in W$  and  $v \in W^\perp$ , then obviously  $v \perp w \Leftrightarrow \langle v, w \rangle = \langle \pi(g)v, \pi(g)w \rangle = 0$ . Since  $(\pi_W, W)$  is a representation,  $\pi(g)w \in W$  and thus  $\forall g \in G, \pi(g)v \perp W$ . This means that  $\forall g \in G, \forall v \in W^\perp, \pi(g)v \in W^\perp$ . Thus,  $\pi_{W^\perp}, W^\perp$  is a representation. (It respects the group product since  $(\pi, V)$  is a representation.)

Thus:

$$(\pi, V) = (\pi_W, W) \oplus (\pi_{W^\perp}, W^\perp).$$

We can then repeat this process until each subrepresentation is irreducible. □

**Theorem.** Schur's Lemna: If a **complex** representation  $(\pi, V)$  is irreducible, then the only application  $M : V \rightarrow V$  commuting with all the  $\pi(g)$  is  $M = \lambda \mathbb{I}$ ,  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ .

*Proof.* Let's assume that  $(\pi, V)$  is an irreducible representation and that  $\forall g \in G, [M, \pi(g)] = 0$ . Since  $V$  is a  $\mathbb{C}$ -vector space, the equation:

$$\det(M - \lambda \mathbb{I}) = 0$$

has  $n$  roots (counting the multiplicity) and thus we can find all the eigenspaces:

$$U_\lambda = \{v \in V \mid Mv = \lambda v\} = \ker(M - \lambda \mathbb{I}) \neq \emptyset.$$

Since  $\pi$  and  $M$  commute,  $v \in \ker(M - \lambda \mathbb{I}) \implies \forall g \in G \pi(g)v \in \ker(M - \lambda \mathbb{I})$ . As a consequence,  $(\pi, \ker(M - \lambda \mathbb{I}))$  is a representation of  $G$  since  $\ker(M - \lambda \mathbb{I})$  is stable under the action of  $\pi$ . Since we supposed that  $(\pi, V)$  is irreducible then either:

- $U_\lambda = \ker(M - \lambda \mathbb{I}) = \emptyset$ , but it's not possible since  $U_\lambda$  is an eigenspace.
- $U_\lambda = \ker(M - \lambda \mathbb{I}) = V \Leftrightarrow \forall v \in V, (M - \lambda \mathbb{I})v = 0$ , which implies that  $M = \lambda \mathbb{I}$ .

□

**Theorem.** *If  $G$  is an abelian group, then all of its irreducible representations are one dimensionnal.*

*Proof.* Consider an abelian (=commutative) group  $G$  and  $g \in G$ . Any representation  $(\pi, V)$  of  $G$  will satisfy:

$$\forall h \in G, \pi(g)\pi(h) = \pi(h)\pi(g).$$

and if  $\pi$  is irreducible, by using Schur's Lemna,  $\pi(h) = \lambda \mathbb{I} \simeq \lambda$  for  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ .

□