## Quantum Theory, Groups and Representations

January 31, 2025

## 1 Representation theory

**Definition 1.1.** A representation  $(\pi, V)$  of a group G over a vector space V is an application  $\pi : G \to GL(V)$  such that  $\forall g_1, g_2 \in G, \ \pi(g_1 \cdot g_2) = \pi(g_1) \pi(g_2)$ .

- A representation (π, V) is said irreducible if there is no proper subset W ⊂ V such that π<sub>W</sub>:
   G → GL(W) is a representation.
   Another way to express that is that the only invariant<sup>1</sup> subvector spaces of the representation are {0} and V.
- Otherwise,  $(\pi, V)$  is said reducible.

**Definition 1.2.** A unitary representation  $(\pi, V)$ , where V is a  $\mathbb{K}$ -EV equiped with an inner product  $\langle , \rangle : V \times V \to \mathbb{K}$ , is a representation which preserves the inner product such that:

$$\forall q \in G, \ \forall v, w \in V, \ \langle v, w \rangle = \langle \pi(q)v, \pi(q)w \rangle.$$

If V is finite dimensionnal (of dimension n), we can treat the representation as an element of  $\mathcal{M}_{n\times n}(\mathbb{K})$ , and for a unitary transformation,  $\pi: G \to U(n)$ .

**Definition 1.3.** (Direct sum representation). Given representations  $\pi_1$  and  $\pi_2$  of dimensions  $n_1$  and  $n_2$ , there is a representation of dimension  $n_1 + n_2$  called the direct sum of the two representations, denoted  $\pi_1 \oplus \pi_2$ . This representation is given by the homomorphism:

$$(\pi_1 \oplus \pi_2) : g \in G \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} \pi_1(g) & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \pi_2(g) \end{pmatrix}.$$

**Theorem 1.1.** A unitary transformation  $\pi$  over a finite dimensionnal vector space V can be written as  $\oplus \pi_i$ , where  $\{\pi_i\}$  is a set of irreducible representations.

*Proof.* If  $(\pi, V)$  is irreducible, then it's obvious.

Otherwise, there exists  $W \subset V$  such that  $(\pi_W, W)$  is a representation.

Since  $V = W \oplus W^{\perp}$ , we want to check if  $(\pi_{W^{\perp}}, W^{\perp})$  is a representation.

• Let  $w \in W$  and  $v \in W^{\perp}$ , then obviously  $v \perp w \Leftrightarrow \langle v, w \rangle = \langle \pi(g)v, \pi(g)w \rangle = 0$ . Since  $(\pi_W, W)$  is a representation,  $\pi(g)w \in W$  and thus  $\forall g \in G, \ \pi(g)v \perp W$ . This means that  $\forall g \in G, \ \forall v \in W^{\perp}, \ \pi(g)v \in W^{\perp}$ . Thus,  $\pi_{W^{\perp}}, W^{\perp}$  is a representation.(It respects the group product since  $(\pi, V)$  is a representation.)

Thus:

$$(\pi, V) = (\pi_W, W) \oplus (\pi_{W^{\perp}}, W^{\perp}).$$

We can then repeat this process until each subrepresentation is irreducible.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>A subspace  $V' \subseteq V$  is called invariant if  $\pi(g)V' \subseteq V'$  for all  $g \in G$ .

**Theorem 1.2.** Schur's Lemna: If a **complex** representation  $(\pi, V)$  is irreducible, then the only application  $M: V \to V$  commuting with all the  $\pi(g)$  is  $M = \lambda \mathbb{I}$ ,  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ .

*Proof.* Let's assume that  $(\pi, V)$  is an irreducible representation and that  $\forall g \in G, [M, \pi(g)] = 0$ . Since V is a  $\mathbb{C}$ -vector space, the equation:

$$\det(M - \lambda \mathbb{I}) = 0$$

has n roots (counting the multiplicity) and thus we can find all the eigenspaces:

$$U_{\lambda} = \{ v \in V | Mv = \lambda v \} = \ker (M - \lambda \mathbb{I}) \neq \emptyset.$$

Since  $\pi$  and M commute,  $v \in \ker(M - \lambda \mathbb{I}) \implies \forall g \in G \ \pi(g)v \in \ker(M - \lambda \mathbb{I})$ . As a consequence,  $(\pi, \ker(M - \lambda \mathbb{I}))$  is a representation of G since  $\ker(M - \lambda \mathbb{I})$  is stable under the action of  $\pi$ . Since we supposed that  $(\pi, V)$  is irreducible then either:

- $U_{\lambda} = \ker (M \lambda \mathbb{I}) = \emptyset$ , but it's not possible since  $U_{\lambda}$  is an eignespace.
- $U_{\lambda} = \ker (M \lambda \mathbb{I}) = V \Leftrightarrow \forall v \in V, (M \lambda \mathbb{I})v = 0$ , which implies that  $M = \lambda \mathbb{I}$ .

**Theorem 1.3.** If G is an abelian group, then all of its irreducible representations are one dimensionnal.

*Proof.* Consider an abelian (=commutative) group G and  $g \in G$ . Any representation  $(\pi, V)$  of G will satisfy:

$$\forall h \in G, \ \pi(g)\pi(h) = \pi(h)\pi(g).$$

and if  $\pi$  is irreducible, by using Schur's Lemna,  $\pi(h) = \lambda \mathbb{I} \simeq \lambda$  for  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ .

## 2 Lie Algebra

The main idea is that a representation  $\pi$  is homomorphic and this proporty allows to charachterize  $\pi$  only in term of its derivative  $\pi'$ .  $\pi'$  is a linear map from the tangent space to G at the identity to the tangent space of U(n) at the identity. The tangent space to G at the identity will carry some extra structure coming from the group law and this vector space with this structure will be called the Lie algebra of G, while  $\pi'$  will be an example of a Lie algebra representation.

**Definition 2.1** (Lie Group). A Lie group G is a differentiable manifold equiped with a group law.

**Definition 2.2** (Lie Algebra). The Lie Algebra of a Lie group G is the tangent space of G at the identity.

**Definition 2.3** (Lie Algebra of a matrix group G). For G a Lie group of  $n \times n$  invertible matrices, the Lie algebra of G (written Lie(G) or  $\mathfrak{g}$ ) is the space X of  $n \times n$  matrices such that  $e^{tX} \in G$  for  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ .

We have defined the exponential of the matrices throug its power serie

$$e^{A} = \mathbf{1} + A + \frac{1}{2}A^{2} + \dots + \frac{1}{n!}A^{n} + \dots$$
 (2.1)

which can be shown to converge for any matrix A.

*Proof.* We define the matrix absolute value of  $A = (a_{ij})$  to be

$$|A| = \sqrt{\sum_{i,j} |a_{ij}|^2}.$$

For an  $n \times n$  real matrix A the absolute value |A| is the distance from the origin O in  $\mathbb{R}^{n^2}$  of the point

$$(a_{11}, a_{12}, \ldots, a_{1n}, a_{21}, a_{22}, \ldots, a_{2n}, \ldots, a_{n1}, \ldots, a_{nn}).$$

If A has complex entries, and if we interpret each copy of  $\mathbb{C}$  as  $\mathbb{R}^2$ , then |A| is the distance from O of the corresponding point in  $\mathbb{R}^{2n^2}$ . Similarly, if A has quaternion entries, then |A| is the distance from O of the corresponding point in  $\mathbb{R}^{4n^2}$ .

In all cases, |A - B| is the distance between the matrices A and B, and we say that a sequence  $A_1, A_2, A_3, \ldots$  of  $n \times n$  matrices has  $limit\ A$  if, for each  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there is an integer M such that

$$m > M \implies |A_m - A| < \varepsilon.$$

The key property of the matrix absolute value is the following inequality, a consequence of the triangle inequality (which holds in the plane and hence in any  $\mathbb{R}^k$ ) and the CauchySchwarz inequality. Submultiplicative property. For any two real  $n \times n$  matrices A and B,

$$|AB| \le |A||B|$$
.

**Proof.** If  $A = (a_{ij})$  and  $B = (b_{ij})$ , then it follows from the definition of matrix product that

$$|(i, j)$$
-entry of  $AB| = |a_{i1}b_{1j} + a_{i2}b_{2j} + \dots + a_{in}b_{nj}|$   
 $\leq |a_{i1}b_{1j}| + |a_{i2}b_{2j}| + \dots + |a_{in}b_{nj}|$ 

by the triangle inequality

$$= |a_{i1}||b_{1i}| + |a_{i2}||b_{2i}| + \cdots + |a_{in}||b_{ni}|$$

by the multiplicative property of absolute value

$$\leq \sqrt{|a_{i1}|^2 + \dots + |a_{in}|^2} \sqrt{|b_{1j}|^2 + \dots + |b_{nj}|^2}$$

by the CauchySchwarz inequality.

Now, summing the squares of both sides, we get

$$|AB|^{2} = \sum_{i,j} |(i,j)\text{-entry of }AB|^{2}$$

$$\leq \sum_{i,j} (|a_{i1}|^{2} + \dots + |a_{in}|^{2})(|b_{1j}|^{2} + \dots + |b_{nj}|^{2})$$

$$= \sum_{i} (|a_{i1}|^{2} + \dots + |a_{in}|^{2}) \sum_{j} (|b_{1j}|^{2} + \dots + |b_{nj}|^{2})$$

$$= |A|^{2}|B|^{2}, \text{ as required. } \square$$

It follows from the submultiplicative property that  $|A^m| \leq |A|^m$ . Along with the *triangle inequality*  $|A+B| \leq |A| + |B|$ , the submultiplicative property enables us to test convergence of matrix infinite series by comparing them with series of real numbers. In particular, we have:

Convergence of the exponential series. If A is any  $n \times n$  real matrix, then

$$1 + \frac{A}{1!} + \frac{A^2}{2!} + \frac{A^3}{3!} + \dots$$
, where  $1 = n \times n$  identity matrix,

is convergent in  $\mathbb{R}^{n^2}$ .

**Proof.** It suffices to prove that this series is absolutely convergent, that is, to prove the convergence of

$$|1| + \frac{|A|}{1!} + \frac{|A|^2}{2!} + \frac{|A|^3}{3!} + \dots$$

This is a series of positive real numbers, whose terms (except for the first) are less than or equal to the corresponding terms of

$$1 + \frac{|A|}{1!} + \frac{|A|^2}{2!} + \frac{|A|^3}{3!} + \dots$$

by the submultiplicative property. The latter series is the series for the real exponential function  $e^{|A|}$ ; hence the original series is convergent.