Supplementary Materials for PAPER: MoleMCL: A Multi-level Contrastive Learning Framework for Molecular Pre-training

1 Related Works

1.1 Pre-training on Molecules

Inspired by the success of NLP, Molecular Pre-trained Models (MPMs) have been introduced to learn general molecular representation. Existing pre-training strategies can be broadly categorized into supervised pre-training and self-supervised pre-training. The study by Hu et al. (2019) proposed a graph-level supervised task, which, however, is constrained by the limited number of molecular labels and exhibits negative transfer in downstream tasks. In comparison, self-supervised pre-training appears more suitable for molecules. Initially, researchers adopted sequence-based pre-training approaches for string-based molecular data, such as SMILES transformer (Honda et al., 2019), ChemBERTa (Chithrananda et al., 2020), and MolGPT (Bagal et al., 2021). Given that molecules can be represented as 2D graphs in which each node and edge can possess associated features, several models have emerged that leverage graph topology for molecular representation learning. As a pioneering effort, Hu et al. (2019) introduced strategies of attribute masking and predicting contextual subgraphs. With the incorporation of contrastive learning, GraphCL (You et al., 2020) and its variants(Fang et al., 2022; Pinheiro et al., 2022; Sun et al., 2021; Suresh et al., 2021; Wang et al., 2021, 2022; Xu et al., 2021) aim to learn molecular representations by drawing augmented molecules closer to their anchor counterparts. Meanwhile, InfoGraph (Sun et al., 2019) and MVGRL (Hassani and Khasahmadi, 2020) employ contrastive learning by maximizing mutual information. In the latest work, Mole-BERT (Xia et al., 2022b) introduced a novel atomic encoding method, achieving optimal performance through node-level masking and graph-level contrastive tasks. The 3D geometric structure of a molecule can encode conformational information, from which many molecular properties can be inferred. Zaidi et al. (2022) introduced noise into atomic coordinates in 3D ensembles to learn molecular force fields. Based on the 2D graph structure of molecules, our proposed framework employs multi-level contrastive learning, capturing both structural information and semantic features of molecules, unveiling a new avenue for molecular modeling.

1.2 Graph Contrastive Learning

Based on the contrastive granularity, we can categorize graph contrastive learning into two classes: cross-level contrast and instance discrimination. The former contrasts between the

Table 1: The performance with various α on 8 MoleculeNet datasets.

$\overline{\text{trade-off hyperparameter } \alpha}$	0.3	0.5	0.7
MoleMCL	70.5	73.1	71.1

Table 2: The performance with various gradient weight μ on 8 MoleculeNet datasets.

gradient weight μ	2	4	6	8	10
MoleMCL	70.0	71.8	73.1	70.7	71.6

representations of a graph and its substructures of different granularities. In InfoGraph (Sun et al., 2019), substructures are nodes, edges, and triangles, while in GCC (Qiu et al., 2020), they are sampled subgraphs. A follow-up study, MVGRL (Hassani and Khasahmadi, 2020), performs node diffusion to generate an augmented molecular graph and contrasts the atom representations of one view with the molecular representations of another. The latter class constructs positive samples for contrastive learning by augmenting graph data. Early works like GRACE (Zhou et al., 2020) and GraphCL (You et al., 2020) introduced random perturbations to the graph structure to construct contrastive views. However, it's pointed out that for structurally sensitive graph data like molecules, minor perturbations might lead to significant property alterations. Simultaneously, to overcome the manual trial-and-error limitation of GraphCL, JOAO (You et al., 2020) employs a unified dual-level optimization framework to automatically select augmentations for specific graph data, with other similar adaptive augmentation methods being GCA (Zhu et al., 2021) and AD-GCL (Suresh et al., 2021). MoCL (Sun et al., 2021), aiming to preserve the semantic value of molecular graphs, incorporates expensive domain knowledge. Moreover, there are feature-based approaches. GASSL (Yang et al., 2021) introduces perturbations on init feature and hidden layer, while SimGRACE (Xia et al., 2022a) applies Gaussian noise perturbations to the GNN encoder parameters. However, in our experiments, we found that SimGRACE exhibits severe negative transfer on molecular data, indicating that merely adding noise doesn't guarantee consistent effectiveness. Therefore, building upon SimGRACE, we propose a more scientific augmentation method by perturbing parameters using gradient compensation.

2 The Influence of the hyperparameters

In the context of two given hyperparameters, namely the Trade-off Hyperparameter (α) and the Gradient Weight (μ), we conducted systematic performance experiments.

 α is used to adjust the weighting of the impact between the node-level reconstruction task and the graph-level contrastive learning task in the MaskGCL module. It can be observed from the table 1 that when α increases from 0.3 to 0.5, the performance of the MoleMCL model significantly improves. However, as α further increases to 0.7, the performance experiences a decline. Therefore, selecting an appropriate α value is crucial, requiring a balance between the two tasks.

 μ controls the magnitude of parameter perturbation, where a larger μ corresponds to a

Query Molecule	MaskGCL	ato	~	oto	946
Query Molecule		0.74	0.51	0.43	0.39
	MaskGCL + SimGrace		-576 074	8,	0.40
		0.82	0.74	0.39	0.49
	MoleMCL			att	050
		0.91	0.82	0.74	0.46

Figure 1: The query molecule alongside the 4 closest molecules with the extracted representations. The Tanimoto similarity scores, displayed below each molecule, quantify the chemical resemblance to the query molecule.

greater influence of gradients on parameter perturbation. The μ hyperparameter in Table 2 regulates the impact of gradients on parameter perturbation, with larger μ values leading to more significant perturbation effects. As μ increases from 2 to 6, the MoleMCL model's performance shows an upward trend, followed by a slight decline after reaching 8. This suggests that a moderate μ value contributes to enhancing model performance, but beyond a certain threshold, increasing μ may lead to a decrease in model performance.

3 More Results of Molecule Retrieval

We present additional molecular retrieval results in Figure 1, where MaskGCL+SimGRACE denotes training with a combination of two contrastive losses. It is noteworthy that the retrieval results of MaskGCL and MaskGCL+SimGRACE are not entirely satisfactory. Although MaskGCL+SimGRACE can identify more similar molecules compared to MaskGCL, it fails to categorize molecules in a chemically meaningful order. Hence, while the combination of methods at the feature level is crucial, it still requires careful design of appropriate enhancement strategies. Our proposed PPGCL, combined with MaskGCL, achieves accurate molecular retrieval. It not only identifies molecules with the highest similarity but also arranges them in a sequence that aligns with practical chemical significance.

References

V. Bagal, R. Aggarwal, P. Vinod, and U. D. Priyakumar. Molgpt: molecular generation using a transformer-decoder model. *Journal of Chemical Information and Modeling*, 62 (9):2064–2076, 2021.

- S. Chithrananda, G. Grand, and B. Ramsundar. Chemberta: large-scale self-supervised pretraining for molecular property prediction. arXiv preprint arXiv:2010.09885, 2020.
- Y. Fang, Q. Zhang, H. Yang, X. Zhuang, S. Deng, W. Zhang, M. Qin, Z. Chen, X. Fan, and H. Chen. Molecular contrastive learning with chemical element knowledge graph. In Proceedings of the AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence, volume 36, pages 3968–3976, 2022.
- K. Hassani and A. H. Khasahmadi. Contrastive multi-view representation learning on graphs. In *International conference on machine learning*, pages 4116–4126. PMLR, 2020.
- S. Honda, S. Shi, and H. R. Ueda. Smiles transformer: Pre-trained molecular fingerprint for low data drug discovery. arXiv preprint arXiv:1911.04738, 2019.
- W. Hu, B. Liu, J. Gomes, M. Zitnik, P. Liang, V. Pande, and J. Leskovec. Strategies for pre-training graph neural networks. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1905.12265*, 2019.
- G. A. Pinheiro, J. L. Da Silva, and M. G. Quiles. Smiclr: Contrastive learning on multiple molecular representations for semisupervised and unsupervised representation learning. *Journal of Chemical Information and Modeling*, 62(17):3948–3960, 2022.
- J. Qiu, Q. Chen, Y. Dong, J. Zhang, H. Yang, M. Ding, K. Wang, and J. Tang. Gcc: Graph contrastive coding for graph neural network pre-training. In *Proceedings of the 26th ACM SIGKDD international conference on knowledge discovery & data mining*, pages 1150–1160, 2020.
- F.-Y. Sun, J. Hoffmann, V. Verma, and J. Tang. Infograph: Unsupervised and semi-supervised graph-level representation learning via mutual information maximization. *arXiv* preprint arXiv:1908.01000, 2019.
- M. Sun, J. Xing, H. Wang, B. Chen, and J. Zhou. Mocl: data-driven molecular fingerprint via knowledge-aware contrastive learning from molecular graph. In *Proceedings of the 27th ACM SIGKDD Conference on Knowledge Discovery & Data Mining*, pages 3585–3594, 2021.
- S. Suresh, P. Li, C. Hao, and J. Neville. Adversarial graph augmentation to improve graph contrastive learning. *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, 34:15920–15933, 2021.
- Y. Wang, Y. Min, E. Shao, and J. Wu. Molecular graph contrastive learning with parameterized explainable augmentations. In 2021 IEEE International Conference on Bioinformatics and Biomedicine (BIBM), pages 1558–1563. IEEE, 2021.
- Y. Wang, R. Magar, C. Liang, and A. Barati Farimani. Improving molecular contrastive learning via faulty negative mitigation and decomposed fragment contrast. *Journal of Chemical Information and Modeling*, 62(11):2713–2725, 2022.

- J. Xia, L. Wu, J. Chen, B. Hu, and S. Z. Li. Simgrace: A simple framework for graph contrastive learning without data augmentation. In *Proceedings of the ACM Web Conference* 2022, pages 1070–1079, 2022a.
- J. Xia, C. Zhao, B. Hu, Z. Gao, C. Tan, Y. Liu, S. Li, and S. Z. Li. Mole-bert: Rethinking pretraining graph neural networks for molecules. In *The Eleventh International Conference on Learning Representations*, 2022b.
- D. Xu, W. Cheng, D. Luo, H. Chen, and X. Zhang. Infogcl: Information-aware graph contrastive learning. *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, 34:30414–30425, 2021.
- L. Yang, L. Zhang, and W. Yang. Graph adversarial self-supervised learning. *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, 34:14887–14899, 2021.
- Y. You, T. Chen, Y. Sui, T. Chen, Z. Wang, and Y. Shen. Graph contrastive learning with augmentations. *Advances in neural information processing systems*, 33:5812–5823, 2020.
- S. Zaidi, M. Schaarschmidt, J. Martens, H. Kim, Y. W. Teh, A. Sanchez-Gonzalez, P. Battaglia, R. Pascanu, and J. Godwin. Pre-training via denoising for molecular property prediction. arXiv preprint arXiv:2206.00133, 2022.
- J. Zhou, G. Cui, S. Hu, Z. Zhang, C. Yang, Z. Liu, L. Wang, C. Li, and M. Sun. Graph neural networks: A review of methods and applications. *AI open*, 1:57–81, 2020.
- Y. Zhu, Y. Xu, F. Yu, Q. Liu, S. Wu, and L. Wang. Graph contrastive learning with adaptive augmentation. In *Proceedings of the Web Conference 2021*, pages 2069–2080, 2021.