

Data Mining and Machine Learning in Bioinformatics

Exercise Series 5

Group members (Name, Student ID, E-Mail):

- Baldomero Valdez, Valenzuela, 2905175, baldmer.w@gmail.com
 - Omar Trinidad Gutierrez Mendez, 2850441, omar.vpa@gmail.com
 - Shinho Kang, 2890169, wis.shinho.kang@gmail.com
-

Task 2

```
> # import package nnet to implement multinomial logistic regression
library(nnet)

# 1. Fit a logistic regression model on iris dataset
# Multinomial logistic regression with nnet package
data(iris)

# shuffle the dataset and get training and test dataset
shuffled.iris <- iris[sample(1:nrow(iris)), ]
test.ds <- shuffled.iris[1:30,]
training.ds <- shuffled.iris[31:150,]

formula <- Species ~ Sepal.Length + Sepal.Width + Petal.Length + Petal.Width
multinomial.model <- multinom(formula, training.ds)
print(multinomial.model)

#Call:
#multinom(formula = formula, data = training.ds)
#
#Coefficients:
#           (Intercept) Sepal.Length Sepal.Width Petal.Length Petal.Width
#versicolor    15.78584    -5.753264    -6.333713     12.78611     -2.309163
#virginica     -22.61359    -8.586707   -12.026601     22.15053     13.246169
#
#Residual Deviance: 11.38084
#AIC: 31.38084

e = predict(multinomial.model)

# Binomial logistic regression using 'glm' function
```

```

# for setosa
setosa.ds = shuffled.iris
training.setosa <- setosa.ds[31:150,]
newcol <- data.frame(isSetosa=(training.setosa$Species == 'setosa'))
training.setosa <- cbind(training.setosa, newcol)

formula <- isSetosa ~ Sepal.Length + Sepal.Width + Petal.Length + Petal.Width
model.setosa <- glm(formula, data=training.setosa, family='binomial')
print(model.setosa)
#Call:  glm(formula = formula, family = "binomial", data = training.setosa)
#
#Coefficients:
# (Intercept)  Sepal.Length  Sepal.Width  Petal.Length  Petal.Width
#      8.083      4.034      11.240      -22.097      -4.758
#
#Degrees of Freedom: 119 Total (i.e. Null); 115 Residual
#Null Deviance:      152.8
#Residual Deviance: 2.285e-09  AIC: 10
e = predict(model.setosa, newdata=test.ds, type='response')

# for versicolor
versicolor.ds = shuffled.iris
training.versicolor <- versicolor.ds[31:150,]
newcol <- data.frame(isVersicolor=(training.versicolor$Species == 'versicolor'))
training.versicolor <- cbind(training.versicolor, newcol)

formula <- isVersicolor ~ Sepal.Length + Sepal.Width + Petal.Length + Petal.Width
model.versicolor <- glm(formula, data=training.versicolor, family='binomial')
print(model.versicolor)
#Call:  glm(formula = formula, family = "binomial", data = training.versicolor)
#
#Coefficients:
# (Intercept)  Sepal.Length  Sepal.Width  Petal.Length  Petal.Width
#      7.7785      -0.3307      -2.7866      1.2605      -2.5890
#
#Degrees of Freedom: 119 Total (i.e. Null); 115 Residual
#Null Deviance:      152.8
#Residual Deviance: 115.4  AIC: 125.4
e = predict(model.versicolor, newdata=test.ds, type='response')

# for virginica
virginica.ds = shuffled.iris
training.virginica <- virginica.ds[31:150,]
newcol <- data.frame(isVirginica=(training.virginica$Species == 'virginica'))
training.virginica <- cbind(training.virginica, newcol)

formula <- isVirginica ~ Sepal.Length + Sepal.Width + Petal.Length + Petal.Width
model.virginica <- glm(formula, data=training.virginica, family='binomial')
print(model.virginica)

#Call:  glm(formula = formula, family = "binomial", data = training.virginica)

```

```
#
#Coefficients:
# (Intercept)  Sepal.Length  Sepal.Width  Petal.Length  Petal.Width
#      -38.802         -2.830         -5.672          9.420         15.584
#
#Degrees of Freedom: 119 Total (i.e. Null); 115 Residual
#Null Deviance:      152.8
#Residual Deviance: 11.38      AIC: 21.38
e = predict(model.virginica, newdata=test.ds, type='response')
```

Task 3

```
> par(mfrow=c(4, 3))
labels = names(iris)[-5]
indexes = c(1:4)
for (x in indexes) {
  for (y in indexes) {
    if (x != y) {
      a = training.ds[,x]
      b = training.ds[,y]
      plot(a~b,
           pch = 22,
           bg = c('red', 'green', 'blue')[unclass(iris$Species)],
           xlab = labels[x],
           ylab = labels[y],
           # xlim = c(0,7),
           # ylim = c(0,7)
           )
      model = lm(a~b)
      abline(model, col='brown')
    }
  }
}
```

Because each of the plots show a correlation between the columns we can
 # conclude that one of the predictors can be expressed as a linear combination
 # of the others.

Task 4

```
> # preparing the data for ANOVA analysis, the data is needed in long format

measures <- c(
  3.3, 2.3, 2.5, 1.3, 2, 1.5,      # Stim 1
  1.2, 0.9, 1.5, 1.5, 0.7, 1.8,    # Stim 2
  3.2, 4.0, 2.7, 3, 3.5, 3.3)      # Stim 3
```

```

# stimulation conditions

stim <- factor(c(rep(1,6), rep(2,6), rep(3,6)))

# cell line (A=1, B=2)

cellLine <- c(rep(1,3), rep(2,3), rep(1,3), rep(2,3), rep(1,3), rep(2,3))

# combine the data into a data frame

gene <- data.frame(cbind(measures, stim, cellLine))

boxplot(gene$measures~gene$cellLine*gene$stim)

#tapply(gene$measures, list(stim), mean)
#tapply(gene$measures, list(cellLine), mean)
#tapply(gene$measures, list(stim, cellLine), mean)

fit <- lm(gene$measures~gene$cellLine*gene$stim)
fit

# Coefficients:
# (Intercept)          gene$cellLine          gene$stim
# 3.2000 (1st group avg) -1.4000 (diff 2nd group to 1st) -0.2333 (diff 3rd group
# to 1st)
# Analysis of Variance
# group means are not significantly different
# null hypothesis: there is no difference across the levels of cell line/stim,
# reject if Pr(>F) is highly significant.

# Both hypothesis could not be rejected.

#aov2 <- aov(measures~cellLine+stim+cellLine:stim, data=gene)
#summary(aov2)
anova(fit)

# residual interaction between cellLine and stim
# boxplot(residuals(fit)~cellLine*stim)

# difference between observed values and fitted values

residuals(fit)
#summary(fit)
plot(fit)

```