

# Solved Exercises

## Optimization and Operations Research



Jordi Villà-Freixa

Last update: April 18, 2023

## Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Non-linear optimization</b>	<b>2</b>
1.1	Karush-Kuhn-Tucker theorem . . . . .	2

---

\*e.mail: [jordi.villa@uvic.cat](mailto:jordi.villa@uvic.cat)

# 1 Non-linear optimization

## 1.1 Karush-Kuhn-Tucker theorem

**Exercise 1** — Maximize  $f(x, y) = xy$  subject to  $100 \geq x + y$  and  $x \leq 40$

**Solution (Exercise 1)** — The Karush Kuhn Tucker (KKT) conditions for optimality are a set of necessary conditions for a solution to be optimal in a mathematical optimization problem. They are necessary and sufficient conditions for a local minimum in nonlinear programming problems. The KKT conditions consist of the following elements:

For an optimization problem in its standard form:

$$\begin{aligned} & \min f(x) \\ \text{subject to} \quad & g_i(x) - b_i \geq 0 \quad i = 1, \dots, k \\ & g_i(x) - b_i = 0 \quad i = k + 1, \dots, m \end{aligned}$$

There are 4 KKT conditions for optimal primal ( $x^*$ ) and dual ( $\lambda$ ) variables. If  $x^*$  denotes optimal values:

(A) Primal feasibility: all constraints must be satisfied:  $g_i(x^*) - b_i$  is feasible. Applies to both equality and non-equality constraints.

(B) Gradient condition or No feasible descent: No possible improvement at the solution:

$$\nabla f(x^*) - \sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i^* \nabla g_i(x^*) = 0$$

(C) Complementarity slackness:

$$\lambda_i^* (g_i(x^*) - b_i) = 0$$

(D) Dual feasibility:  $\lambda_i^* \geq 0$

The last two conditions (3 and 4) are only required with inequality constraints and enforce a positive Lagrange multiplier when the constraint is active ( $=0$ ) and a zero Lagrange multiplier when the constraint is inactive ( $>0$ ).

to solve our problem, first we will put it in its standard form:

$$\begin{aligned} \min f(x, y) &= -xy \\ \text{subject to} \quad & -x - y + 100 \geq 0 \\ & -x - 40 \geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

We will go through the different conditions:

(A) Primal feasibility:  $g_i(x^*) - b_i$  is feasible.

$$-x^* - y^* + 100 = 0$$

$$-x^* - 40 = 0$$

(B) Gradient condition or No feasible descent:

$$\begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x} \\ \frac{\partial f}{\partial y} \end{pmatrix} - \lambda_1 \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial g_1}{\partial x} \\ \frac{\partial g_1}{\partial y} \end{pmatrix} - \lambda_2 \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial g_2}{\partial x} \\ \frac{\partial g_2}{\partial y} \end{pmatrix} = 0$$

which, in this example, resolves into:

$$\begin{cases} -y + \lambda_1 + \lambda_2 = 0 \\ -x - \lambda_1 = 0 \end{cases}$$

(C) Complementarity slackness:

$$\lambda_1^*(-x^* - y^* + 100) = 0$$

$$\lambda_2^*(-x^* - 40) = 0$$

(D) Dual feasibility:  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \geq 0$

We can put the resulting 5 expressions for conditions 1 and 2 into matrix form:

$$\begin{pmatrix} -1 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 1 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 & -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \\ \lambda_1 \\ \lambda_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -100 \\ 40 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$