

IB16S

Introductory Bioinformatics

12-16 December 2016

(Second 2016 run of this Course)

Basic Bioinformatics Sessions

Practical 6: Multiple Sequence Alignment

Multiple Sequence Alignment

Here we will look at some software tools to align some protein sequences. Before we can do that, we need some sequences to align. I propose we try all the human **homeobox** domains from the well annotated section of **UniprotKB**. Getting the sequences is a trifle clumsy, so concentrate now! There used to be a much easier way, but that was made redundant by foolish people intent on making the future ever more tricky!!

So, begin by going to the home of Uniprot:

http://www.uniprot.org/

Choose the option of the Search button.

First specify that you are only interested in Human proteins. To do this, set the first field to Organism [OS] and

Reviewed

Function Length rang ∨ Human [9606]

∨ DNA binding

Any assertion method

Homeobox

Term to Human [9606].

Set the second field selector to **Reviewed** and the corresponding **Term** to **Yes** (that is, choose to find only **SwissProt** entries).


Click on the button to request a further field selection option. Set the new field to

Function. Set the type of Function to DNA binding. Set the Term selection to Homeobox.

AND Y


From previous investigations, you should be aware that a **Homeobox** domain is **generally 60** amino acids in length. To avoid partial and/or really weird **Homeobox** proteins, set the **Length** range settings to recognise only **homeobox**s between **50** and **70** amino acids long.

Leave the Evidence box as Any assertion method, one does not wish to be too fussy! Address the uthority to get the search going.



A fine miscellany of sequences will assemble upon you screen. Most seem to declare themselves in possession of a **Homeobox** or two (including **PAX6_HUMAN**), so I suggest a declaration of success.

Basic Bioinformatics. 1 of 21 12:56:34 AM



NKX25 HUMAN 324 AA. Reviewed; P52952; A8K3K0; B4DNB6; E9PBU6; 01-OCT-1996, integrated into UniProtKB/Swiss-Prot 01-OCT-1996, sequence version 1. 30-NOV-2016, entry version 177.
RecName: Full=Homeobox protein Nkx-2.5; AltName: Full=Cardiac-specific homeobox; AltName: Full=Homeobox protein CSX; AltName: Full=Homeobox protein NK-2 homolog E; Name=NKX2-5; Synonyms=CSX, NKX2.5, NKX2E; Homo sapiens (Human). Eukaryota; Metazoa; Chordata; Craniata; Vertebrata; Euteleostomi; Mammalia; Eutheria; Euarchontoglires; Primates; Haplorrhini; Catarrhini; Hominidae; Homo. NCBI_TaxID=9606; NUCLEOTIDE SEQUENCE [MRNA] (ISOFORM 1). TISSUE=Heart; PubMed=8900537; Turbay D., Wechsler S.B., Blanchard K.M., Izumo S.; "Molecular cloning, chromosomal mapping, and characterization of the human cardiac-specific homeobox gene hCsx."; Mol. Med. 2:86-96(1996)

Take a swift look at the file you have just created. Your neat list of **Human Homeobox** sequences will have transformed into a flood of **many SwissProt** format **UniProtKB** entries. Ugly, but what is required.

Search (Control F) for the term DNA BIND.

It should occur many times (at least once per sequence) in the Feature Tables and most often refer to a **Homeobox** region.

In the **DNA_BIND** Feature Table entries, the position of the **Homeobox**s are recorded and will be used by the next program to isolate the sequence of the **Homeobox**s.

ı	FT	CHAIN	1	374	Pre-B-cell leukemia transcription factor
	FT	·	-	· · ·	4.
	FT				/FTId=PRO 0000049241.
	FT	DNA BIND	210	272	Homeobox; TALE-type.
	FT	DNA_BIND	210	212	{ECO:0000255 PROSITE-ProRule:PRU00108}.
	FT	VARIANT	169	169	V -> I (in dbSNP:rs8108180).
	FT	VARIANI	109	103	/FTId=VAR 059355.
	FT	VARIANT	177	177	M -> V (in dbSNP:rs8108981).
	FT	AULTUNI	1//	1//	/FTId=VAR 059356.
	FT	VARIANT	283	283	T -> M (in a colorectal cancer sample;
	FT	VARIANI	203	203	somatic mutation; dbSNP:rs376647012).
	FT				{ECO:0000269 PubMed:16959974}.
	FT				/FTId=VAR 036439.
		CONFLICT	368	368	I -> T (in Ref. 1; BAG53471).
-	FT	CONFLICT	368	368	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	FT		274 22	40054 357	{ECO:0000305}.
1	SQ	-			; B9CE8BE93D0B7ABC CRC64;
F					MAIT DQSLDEAQAR KHALNCHRMK PALFSVLCEI
			-	-	MLLA EGVCRPEKRG RGGAVARAGT ATPGGCPNDN
					QACR EFTTHVTNLL QEQSRMRPVS PKEIERMVGA
					MADA RRKRRNFSKQ ATEVLNEYFY SHLNNPYPSE
					RYKK NVGKFQEEAT IYTGKTAVDT TEVGVPGNHA
				SAG DAFLTL	RTLA SLQPPPGGGC LQSQAQGSWQ GATPQPATAS
		PAGDPGSINS	STSN		
	//				

Now to extract from the whole protein sequences you have saved in a file, the sequences of just the **Homeobox** domains. One way of doing this (possibly not the best), is to use an **EMBOSS** package program called **extractfeat**. This can be found in many places, including the Bioinformatics server at **Wageningen** in the Netherlands. Go to:

http://emboss.bioinformatics.nl/

EDIT
aligncopy
aligncopypair
biosed
codcopy
cutseq
degapseq
descseq
entret
extractalign
extractfeat

Find the program extractfeat (in the EDIT section), and set it going.

Practical 4: Multiple Sequence Alignment	Monday 5 December 201
1 1 5	Input section
	Select an input sequence. Use one of the following three fields:
Use the Choose File button to upload the SwissProt	1. To access a sequence from a database, enter the USA here:
format sequences from UniProtKB that you saved in	2. To upload a sequence from your local computer, select it here: Browse human_homeobox_proteins.en
the file:	
human_homeobox_proteins.emb.	
	3. To enter the sequence data manually, type here:
	Additional section
Set Type of feature to extract field to DNA BIND	Amount of sequence before feature to extract
(Make sure you remove the "*").	Allouited Sequence before reactive to extract
(ividite suite you remove the).	Amount of sequence after feature to extract
	Source of feature to display *
	Source of reactive to display
	Type of feature to extract DNA_BIND
	Sense of feature to extract
Set Value of feature tags to extract to Homeobox*	(default is 0 - any sense, 1 - forward sense, -1 - reverse sense)
(Make sure you append the "*" to ensure hits with,	Minimum score of feature to extract 0.0
for example "homeoboxes").	
	Maximum score of feature to extract 0.0
	Tag of feature to extract *
	Value of feature tags to extract Homeobox*
	Output section
Sat the Output gaggeones formed to Swigs Duct	
Set the Output sequence format to SwissProt	Output introns etc. as one sequence? No -
(Fasta would do, but SwissProt retains more	Append type of feature to output sequence name? No ▼
annotation).	
	Feature tag names to add to the description
	Output sequence format SwissProt +
	Run section
	Email address:
Click on the Run extractfeat button to start extractfeat	If you are submitting a long job and would like to be informed by email when it finishes, enter your email
going. Many sequences of 60 amino acids (or so) in	address here.
length will leap into view.	Run extractfeat Reset
OUTPUT FILE outseq	
ID NKX25 HUMAN 138 197 Reviewed; 60 AA.	(Harabay patric W. 2 harder 5)
DE [DNA_contact] Homeobox protein Nkx-2.5 (Cardiac-specific homeobox) (Homeobox protein CSX) SQ SEQUENCE 60 AA; 7514 MW; 16EE5640071E5E8A CRC64; RRKPRVLFSQ AQVYELERRF KQORYLSAPE RDQLASVLKL TSTQVKTWFQ NRRYKCKRQR	(Homeobox protein MA-2 nomolog E)
// ID PAX6 HUMAN 210 269 Reviewed; 60 AA.	
DE [DNA contact] Paired box protein Pax-6 (Aniridia type II protein) (Oculorhombin) SQ SEQUENCE 60 AA; 7447 MW; 075C194DB9F33ED9 CRC64;	
LQRNRTSFTQ EQIEALEKEF ERTHYPDVFA RERLAAKIDL PEARIQVWFS NRRAKWRREE	
ID PITX2 HUMAN 85 144 Reviewed; 60 AA. DE [DNA_contact] Pituitary homeobox 2 (ALL1-responsive protein ARP1) (Homeobox protein PITX2)	(Paired-like homeodomain transcription factor 2) (RIEG bicoid-related homeobox transcription factor) (Solurshin)
SQ SEQUENCE 60 AA; 7622 MW; 49CF61CFC17E1E0E CRC64; QRRQRTHFTS QQLQELEATF QRNRYPDMST REEIAVWTNL TEARVRVWFK NRRAKWRKRE	
// ID NKX31_HUMAN_124_183 Reviewed; 60 AA.	
DE [DNA_contact] Homeobox protein Nkx-3.1 (Homeobox protein Nk-3 homolog A) SO SEQUENCE 60 AA; 7339 MW; F665B481E2E574BB CRC64; OKRSRAAFSH TOYIELERKF SHOKYLSAPE RAHLAKNIKI TETOVKIWFO NRRYKTKRKQ	

Right click the button and select Save Link as... Do whatever it takes to save all your Homeobox domains into a file residing on your Desktop called:

homeobox_human.emb

HXA1_HUMAN_229_288 Reviewed; 60 AA.
[DNA_contact] Homeobox protein Hox-11 (Homeobox protein Hox-1F)
SEQUENCE 60 AA; 7365 MW; 53E2BC59B06F544E CRC64;
PNAVRTNFTT KQLTELEKEF HFNKYLTRAR RVEIAASLQL NETQVKIWFQ NRRMKQKKRE

Finally, we have some sequences with which to investigate the multiple sequence alignment programs.

Take a look at the file you have created. You should have many human **homeobox** domains in **SwissProt** format, looking rather as they did in your browser window. Happily **ClustalX**, the first multiple alignment program to be investigated, accepts multiple sequence **SwissProt** format files as input.


ClustalX is a part of the mostly widely known family of Multiple Sequence Alignments (MSA) programs, originating in the 1980s. Until relatively recently, it was the only real option. ClustalX still has merit, although it lacks some of the sophistication of more recent programs. ClustalX runs on effectively all workstations and has a nice Graphical User Interface (GUI). A good place for us to start. It is installed on your workstations.

Start up the program ClustalX¹. The ClustalX Graphical User Interface (GUI) will regally mount your screen.

Select Load Sequences from the File pull down menu and load your file of homeobox domains.

The sequences will arrange themselves colourfully. Many of the **homeoboxes** are similar enough to look convincing even before alignment. Note the "Manhattan skyline" under the sequences indicating the varying degrees of conservation.

Font size from the minute default setting designed for Hawks and Eagles, to something more comfortable. 24 works tolerably well for me.



NKX25 HUMAN 138 PITXZ HUMAN 85 NKX31_HUMAN_124_183 HXA1_HUMAN_229_288 PO5F1_HUMAN_230 SATB1_HUMAN_645 SIX1 HUMAN 124 183 FHX3 HUMAN 2145 2204 FHX3_HUMAN_2242 ZFHX3_HUMAN_2641_ ZFHX3_HUMAN_2944_ PAX3_HUMAN_219 CRX HUMAN 39 MSX1_HUMAN_172 SIX3_HUMAN_206 HHEX HUMAN 13 PDX1 HUMAN 146 205 PIT1 HUMAN 214 273 HXD13 HUMAN 276 335 ISL1 HUMAN 181 240 OTX1 HUMAN 38 LHX1_HUMAN_180_23 HOMEZ_HUMAN_55_11 HOMEZ_HUMAN_ HOMEZ HUMAN 451 MEIS2 HUMAN 276 MSX2 HUMAN 142 PHX2B HUMAN 98 ADNP_HUMAN_754_814 ALX1_HUMAN_132_191 ALX3_HUMAN_153_212 ALX4_HUMAN_ ANHX_HUMAN_ ARGFX HUMAN 78 ARX HUMAN 328 BARH1_HUMAN_178 BARX2_HUMAN_133 BARH2 HUMAN 232 291 BARX1_HUMAN_142 BSH_HUMAN_110 CERS2_HUMAN_67 CDX4_HUMAN_173 CERSS HUMAN 66 CDX1_HUMAN_154_213 1 10 20 30 40 50 60

From the Alignment pull down menu, go to the Alignment parameters menu and select Pairwise Alignment Parameters. Just for a moment, change the setting from Slow-Accurate to Fast-Approximate. Bring the corresponding parameters into view by clicking on Fast/Approx Pairwise Parameters tab².

Hopefully, we will have discussed the way **ClustalX** (and similar multiple alignment tools) work. Intuitively, it should not make a lot of difference how the initial pairwise comparison stage is conducted. However, it very often does.

Specifically for this set of proteins, as well as generally, **ClustalX** will give a noticeably better alignment if the initial pairwise alignment stage is done carefully. Accordingly, reverse your whimsical setting change by moving back from **Fast-Approximate** to **Slow-Accurate**.

1 Of course, you could run **Clustal** from websites all over the world if you wished. Specifically, it is available at the Bioinformatics server at **Wageningen**. Try it if you have time. You get the same results but will, sadly, lose the pretty interface.

http://www.bioinformatics.nl/tools/clustalw.html

The EBI no longer offer basic Clustal any longer.

2 The **Fast-Approximate** algorithm is essential that which the database searching program **fasta** employs. Assuming we have discussed how **fasta** (or **blast**) works, it should require little further explanation here.

Click on the Slow/Accurate Pairwise Parameters tab for a final look at the default parameters to be used. The Slow-Accurate option is essentially a version of Global Alignment algorithm we will have discussed previously. Hopefully, all the parameter options will therefore be familiar to you.

I will assume both sets of parameters at least seem familiar? If not please ask. The default Slow/Accurate Pairwise Parameters you now have in view are fine. Click the OK button to dismiss the Pairwise Parameters window.

	Monday 5 December	20
8 1	Pairwise Parameters	
	K	
Slow	Accurate	;
Slow	Accurate Pairwise Parameters Fast/Approx Pairwise Parame	eters
	0	_
(ap Opening [0-100]: 10	
(ap Extend [0-100]: 0.1	
Pro	tein Weight Matrix	
	BLOSUM 30 PAM 350	
	Identity matrix User defined	
	Load protein matrix:	
(
DN	A Weight Matrix	
	■ IUB CLUSTALW(1.6) User defined	

Before proceeding, save the homeobox sequences in FASTA format, which will better suit the other MSA programs we will try. Do this by selecting Save sequences as... from the File pull down menu. Deselect CLUSTAL format, select FASTA format.

Change the default file output file name to homeobox human full

Click **OK**. A file called homeobox human full.fasta will be created. Take a look to check it is as you would expect.

	Forma	30
l		CLUSTAL format
		GCG/MSF format
		GDE format
	\checkmark	FASTA format

Output Files							
CLUSTAL format	☐ NBRF/PIR format						
☐ GCG/MSF format	☐ PHYLIP format						
☐ GDE format	☐ NEXUS format						
FASTA format							

Strangely, saving your sequences in FASTA format convinces clustalx that it should now output its alignments in FASTA format. To prevent this, select Output Format Options from the Alignments pull down menu. Deselect FASTA format and select CLUSTAL format. Click OK.

From the Alignment pull down menu, select Do Complete Alignment. Accept the default names for output files and click on the **OK** button. ClustalX will start to think deeply and eventually come up with it view of how the homeobox domains should be aligned.

Note the display at the bottom of the ClustalX window in which pairwise preliminary comparisons of all sequences is monitored. The scores from these comparisons are used to compute the Guide Tree.

Not a bad first try. From an entirely non scientific, cosmetic, viewpoint, the ragged ends offend a trifle, as does the gap just before position 30!

SATB1_HUMAN_645_704	TRP	К	SVEALGI	L <mark>Q</mark> SF				SAQLI	LPKYT	IKE	QNQ <mark>R</mark> YY	LKHHG
SATB2 HUMAN 615 674	PRS						DQEAIHT					VKHHG
ZFHX3 HUMAN 2145 2204	NKRP	TR	TDDOLRV	RQY	-DIN-	NS	SEEQIKED	1ADKS	LPOKV	KHWI	RNTLFK	ERORN
ZFHX4 HUMAN 2084 2143	FKRP	TR	TDDOLKI	RAY	-DIN-	N S I	SEEQIQE	1AEKS	LSOKV	KHWI	RNTLEK	ERORN
PO5F1 HUMAN 230 289	RKRK	S	ENRVRGN	LENL	LQ	-CPK	LQQISH	AQQL	LEKDV	RVW	CNRROK	GKRSS
P5F1B HUMAN 229 288	ARKRKE	TS	ENRVRGN	LENL	LO	-CPK	TLQ-ISH	AOOL	LEKDV	/RVW	CNRROK	GKRSS
PO5F2 HUMAN 210 269							PTPQQISH					
PO2F2 HUMAN 297 356							TSEEILL					
PO2F1 HUMAN 379 438							TSEEITM					
PO2F3 HUMAN 281 340	KRKK											
PO3F2 HUMAN 354 413							SAQEITS					
PO3F3 HUMAN 406 465							SAQEITN					
	KRKK											
PO3F4 HUMAN 278 337							AAQEISS					
PIT1 HUMAN 214 273	KRKR						SSQEIMR					
	KKRK											
PO4F3 HUMAN 274 333							SEKIAA					
PO4F1 HUMAN 356 415							SSEKIAA					
PO6F1 HUMAN 234 293							TGQEITE					
PO6F1_HUMAN_234_293 PO6F2_HUMAN_607_666							SCOEMTE					NTI
HDX HUMAN 3 63							CFQLIL					NILL
HDX HUMAN 435 498							CREKIEA					
PAX6 HUMAN 210 269							DVFARER					
PAX4 HUMAN 170 229	GHRN											
							DIHLRER					
MIXL1_HUMAN_86_145 PROP1 HUMAN 69 128							DIWARES					
GSC2_HUMAN_126_185							DVSTRER					
GSC_HUMAN_160_219							DVGTREO					
PITX2_HUMAN_85_144							DMSTREE					
PITX3_HUMAN_62_121							DMSTREE					
PITX1_HUMAN_89_148							DMSMREE					
OTX1_HUMAN_38_97	QRRE											
OTX2_HUMAN_38_97												
CRX_HUMAN_39_98												
DMBX1_HUMAN_71_I30												
	QRRS											
	QRRS										SNRRAR	RKQA
PHX2B_HUMAN_98_157	QRRI	TΤ	TSAQLKE	LERV	AE	-THY	DIYTREE	LALKII	DITE AR	10 AM	ONRRAK	RKQE
(III))	(1)								111			
	1	10		20		30	40		50		60	70
		1		7		1711						
										. 10	la tr	
	100	L.	La La Cal	1		-	المراجع والم			Ы		40.0


Practical 4: Multiple Sequence Alignment
In reality, these features might be interesting, but here I go for pretty!

POSF1
POSF2
POSF2

So, just to investigate what is possible, select all the **homeobox** sequences that are causing the gap around position 30 by clicking on their names (quite a lot of them I fear). Hold the **Ctrl** key down to allow multiple selection.

All selected, go to the Edit pull down menu and select Cut Sequences. Then select Remove Gap-Only columns from the Edit pull down menu. Nasty gap gone ... along with all scientific credibility, but ... never mind.

You could recompute the alignment from scratch for the reduced sequence set ending up with the same answer. Just for the sake of it, select **Select All Sequences** from the **Edit** pull down menu. Then select **Remove All gaps** from the **Edit** menu and confirm your



intentions. You are now back where you started, but without the sequences that mess up the alignment intolerably!

Save your filtered set of sequences. From the **File** menu select **Save Sequences as...** Choose **FASTA** format only. This time, create a file with the default name:

homeobox_human.fasta

The full original set of sequences was saved in a differently named file, as a precaution. I am convinced the sequences eliminated would not align convincingly with any of the tools we have at hand. Let us lose them! Press the **OK** button.

From the Alignment menu, select Output Format Options and then select CLUSTAL format only.

From the Alignment menu, select Do Complete Alignment. Accept the default names for the output files. This will overwrite your previous efforts, but no matter. More deep thought. Well, I got back to where I was, no gaps



around position 30 but still with ragged ends!

It is difficult to prove you have exactly the same alignment as previously as the order of the **MSA** will be different. This order being determined by the pairwise comparison stage of the **ClustalX MSA** computation.

The **Prosite** motif database uses **Patterns** to represents protein features (in addition to **HMMs**). The pattern for a **homeobox** is the ever memorable:

 $[LIVMFYG] - [ASLVR] - x(2) - [LIVMSTACN] - x - [LIVM] - \{Y\} - x(2) - \{L\} - [LIV] - [RKNQESTAIY] - [LIVFSTNKH] - W - [FYVC] - x - [NDQTAH] - x(5) - [RKNAIMW]$


Any speculations as to how this might be interpreted? Hint?


This pattern corresponds to positions **36** to **59** in my alignment. See that the "Manhattan Skyline" is encouraging in the parts of this region that matter.

Note that the profile **Tryptophan**, in position **50**, is **very** consistent, but not quite **100%** as suggested by the **Prosite** pattern³. The **W** was even conserved in the sequences that were cosmetically removed.

Position **52** is not conserved ("-x-") according to the **Prosite** pattern. In the alignment segment offered here, it looks like a pretty consistent **Q**. However, the "**Manhattan skyline**" at this position is quite low, suggesting that the sequences in view might not be typical of the whole alignment set. Which, upon checking they are not!

Looking through this alignment, I get the feeling I could design a better, stricter pattern for the region between 36 and 59. Possibly true, but remember the pattern in **Prosite** aims to represent the conservation of **Homeobox** domains in **ALL** organisms. Here we have only sequences from **Human**.





Of course, things are not quite so convincing throughout. If you look at the top and bottom few sequences, you will see that **ClustalX** had its moments of uncertainty.




Note, however, the consistent W in position 50 despite the surrounding crumble.

From the "Manhattan Skyline", you can see the conservation is less than 100%. Less conserved than the F that immediately follows in fact? Look at your alignment, the "Manhattan Skyline" does not seem to reflect reality? The W is very well conserved, although the scoring matrices would regard any deviation from W as serious? I need to find out more about how the Skyline is computed.

Basic Bioinformatics. 7 of 21 12:56:34 AM

Now to show existence of some **msa** program options available on the web. There are many. They are available from a number of server sites. An obvious place to start has to be the **EBI** page dedicated to **MSA**. Go to:

Offered here is a selection of popular, current generation **MSA** tools. Each is accompanied by advice to guide the choice of tool to best fit the circumstances. Each tool is provided with a link to its **Launch** interface. All the **Launch** interfaces are very consistent. Once you have run one of the **MSA** options, you should have no trouble running any of the others.




Here I intend to align again the human **homeboxes** with just one of the tools on offer. Then take a quick look at how the machine generated multiple alignment can be manually edited using **Jalview**, a program that is installed on your workstation and that you might have already used as an alignment viewer when investigating **Pfam** and/or **Jpred**.

Then I will invite you to try a few of the other options for yourself and see that they do not all produce the same alignment! Differences reflect not only the parameters selected, which we will have discussed, but also the particular objectives of the program selected. For example, a multiple protein sequence alignment optimal for investigating conservation of protein structure might well not be identical to one best representing protein evolution.

Used to align the **Homeobox** sequences used in this exercise, I do not expect you will see much difference between the outputs of any of these options. They will all work sufficiently on such a simple data set.

The program whose use I choose to describe carefully, leading on to a short **Jalview** exercise is **MUSCLE**. I choose thus as **MUSCLE** is now the first choice of most of the people with whom I work. Also popular are **Clustal Omega**, **MAFFT** and, for **phylogeny**, **WebPRANK**.



So the plan now is to use MUSCLE⁴ to align again the homeobox sequences previously aligned with ClustalX. MUSCLE works in a way similar to clustalX but it takes rather more care in the generation of the Guide Tree used to control the order of pairwise construction of the final multiple alignment⁵. Particularly for more difficult alignments, MUSCLE should do a better job than ClustalX. The alignment you will generate here will certainly be different. I leave you to judge for yourselves whether it is better.

Start by requesting to \(\) Launch MUSCLE

Use the Browse... button to upload the file containing the FASTA format homeobox sequences, homeobox human.fasta. This file should not included the sequences with a mess around position 30.

STEP 1 - Enter your input sequences
Enter or paste a set of sequences in any supported format:
Or upload a file: Browse homeobox_human.fasta

STEP 2 - Set your Parameters OUTPUT FORMAT: ClustalW The default settings will fulfill the needs of most users and, for that reason, are not visible More options... (Click here, if you want to view or change the default settings.)

Take a look at the Set your Parameters section of the page. I find the claim that "The default settings will fulfill the needs of

most users and, for that reason, are not visible" a little strange? What about the users who are not in the

category "most"? I want control over all the programs that their creators deemed sensible to make available⁶?

The default settings behind the More options... button are not those that affect STEP 2 - Set your Parameters the computation of the MSA. I confess myself confused at the lack of any output format: meaningful options to consider? I was expecting at least the gap open and gap extension penalty options (available elsewhere, including Wageningen), plus a way to change the scoring matrix. I have inquired why things are as they are



(most recently 2016.04.17). No practical issue here, as I intended to suggest the defaults whatever they were. Look at the range of settings for the OUTPUT TREE parameter. none is indeed the thinking persons choice, but ... one or the other (but not both?) of the Guide Trees that MUSCLE will compute can be saved if you wish. You may also set the **OUTPUT ORDER** to aligned or ... aligned?


ClustalW Pearson/FASTA ClustalW (strict) HTML GCG MSF Phylip interleaved Phylip sequential

There are a number of **OUTPUT FORMATS** offered. For a quick glance at your results, both ClustalW or HTML are fine. Here I suggest it would be nice to generate an output that can be downloaded and viewed in Jalview. The default ClustalW or Pearson/FASTA serve for this purpose. As ClustalW looks more like an alignment in the web page, I choose ClustalW⁹.

How do the options for the OUTPUT TREE relate to the output files of ClustalX and the difference between the way that ClustalX and muscle work?_

Comment on how one might choose between the range of options offered for the aligned parameter?

- More available from a variety of websites in addition to the **EBI**, including the Bioinformatics server at **Wageningen**: http://www.bioinformatics.nl/tools/muscle.html
- As discussed, superficially at least, previously. I hope.
- I have asked the EBI about their policy (the same for all the locally provided MSA options). Discussion is ongoing (2016.04.20).
- A useful option if you thought it possible you might want to rerun MUSCLE with different parameter setting for the stages after the Guide Tree(s) are generated. The same possibilities exist for ClustalX. Of course, utterly pointless if it is impossible to control the relevant parameters so I really cannot see the point of any of the **More options** section? I am open to elucidation from all/any sources.
- A widely used **java** alignment editor and viewer.
- But feel free to try the others. HTML is the default at Wageningen. The Phylip formats are the best if you are going to analyse your output further with the phylogeny programs of the PHYLIP package.



PLEASE NOTE: Showing colors on large alignments is slow.

ARX_HUMAN_328_387 ALX1_HUMAN_132_191 ALX3_HUMAN_153_212

```
LHX9_HUMAN_267
                                                                  326
                                                    LHX2 HUMAN 266 325
So click the Show Colors button at the top
                                                    LHX6_HUMAN_219_278
of the page and try to live with the pain of
                                                    LHX8_HUMAN_225_284
ZFHX3_HUMAN_2641_2700
such gross Trans-Atlantic inept spelling in a
                                                    ZFHX4 HUMAN 2560 2619
                                                    ZFHX2_HUMAN_1857_1916
European site!!! Good Grief! They get
                                                    ZFHX2 HUMAN 2065 2124
                                                    ZFHX3 HUMAN 2944 3003
everywhere!!
                                                    ZFHX4_HUMAN_2884_2943
                                                    LMX1A HUMAN 195 254
```

Well, an improvement I suppose? Colours are very useful (even slow ones) in the interpretation of alignments. Various colour schemes are used to clarify the message of alignments. Colouring can indicate shared amino acid properties not immediately evident when the letter representations differ.

```
ALX4 HUMAN 214 273
                                  --KRRNR-TTFTSYQLEELEKVFQKTHYPDVYAREQLAMRTDLTEARVQVWFQNRF
                                  --TTRVR-TVLNEKQLHTLRTCYAANPRPDALMKEQLVEMTGLSPRVIRVWFQNKRCKD
-TTRVR-TVLNEKQLHTLRTCYAANPRPDALMKEQLVEMTGLSPRVIRVWFQNKRCKD
ISL1_HUMAN_181_240
ISL2 HUMAN 191 250
                                  --TKRMR-TSFKHHOLRTMKSYFAINHNPDAKDLKQLAQKTGLTKRVLQVWFQNARAKF
--TKRMR-TSFKHHOLRTMKSYFAINHNPDAKDLKQLAQKTGLTKRVLQVWFQNARAKF
                                  --AKRAR-TSFTAEÖLÖVMÖAOFAQDNNPDAQTLOKLADMTGLSRRVIQVWFONCRARHI
--AKRAR-TSFTADOLOVMQAQFAQDNNPDAQTLOKLAERTGLSRRVIQVWFONCRARHI
                                  -- DKRLR-TTITPEQLEILYQKYLLDSNPTRKMLDHIAHEVGLK
                                                                                            RVVOVWFONTRARE
                                  -- DKRLR-TTITPEQLEILYEKYLLDSNPTRKMLDHIAREVGL
                                                                                             VVQVWFQNTRARE
                                  -- DKRLR-TTILPEQLEILYRWYMQDSNPTRKMLDCISEEVGL
                                                                                             VVOVWFONTRARE
                                   -- ORRYR-TOMSSLOLKTMKACYFAYRTPTMOFCEVLGFETGLPK
                                                                                            RVTOVWFONARAKE
                                  PGQKRFR-TQMTNLQLKVLKSCFNDYRTPTMLECEVLGNDIGLPKRVVQVWFQNARAKE
                                  -- HKRFR-TQMSNLQLKVLKACFSDYRTPTMQECEMLGNEIGLPK
                                                                                            RVVQVWFQNARAH
                                  --PKRPR-TILTTQQRRAFKASFEVSSKPCRKVRETLAAETGLSVRVVQVWFQNQRAKM
--PKRPR-TILTTOQRRAFKASFEVSSKPCRKVRETLAAETGLSVRVVQVWFQNQRAKM
LMX1B_HUMAN_219_278
LHX1_HUMAN_180_239
                                  -- RRGPR-TTIKAKQLETLKAAFAATPKPTRHIREQLAQETGLNMRVIQVWFQNRRSKE
LHX5_HUMAN_180_239
                                  --RRGPR-TTIKAKQLETLKAAFAATPKPTRHIREQLAQETGLNMRVIQVWFQNRRSKE
LHX4 HUMAN 157 216
                                  --AKRPR-TTITAKOLETLKNAYKNSPKPARHVREOLSSETGLDMRVVOVWFONRRAKE
LHX3 HUMAN 157 216
                                  --AKRPR-TTITAKQLETLKSAYNTSPKPARHVREQLSSETGLDMRVVQVWFQNRRAKE
HOMEZ_HUMAN_451_510
ZHX1_HUMAN_777_832
ZHX3_HUMAN_835_894
                                  EVV - -
                                  LGIELF
HOMEZ HUMAN 55 114
                                  TSW ...
ZHX2_HUMAN_263_324
ZHX3_HUMAN_304_363
                                  ISWSPE
                                  ISW-
ZHX1 HUMAN 284 346
                                  VSWTPE
ZEB2_HUMAN_644_703
ZEB1_HUMAN_581_640
                                  SNS--
                                  SV0--
ZHX1 HUMAN 569 630
                                  LKEEKM
ZHX2_HUMAN_530_591
                                  SMEOAV
ZHX3 HUMAN 612 671
                                  AEE-
ZHX2 HUMAN 439 501
                                  RGTVHT
ZHX3 HUMAN 494 553
                                  NLK
ZHX1 HUMAN 464 526
                                  NSKSNQ
HOMEZ HUMAN 355 415
                                  HG0
                                  TGTVKW
ZHX2 HUMAN 628 690
```

--KRRHR-TTFTSLQLEELEKVFQKTHYPDVYVREQLALRTELTEARVQVWFQNRRAKY
--KRRNR-TTFSTFQLEELEKVFQKTHYPDVYAREQLALRTDLTEARVQVWFQNRRAKY

But any decoration available here is far short of what can be achieved with **Jalview**, so click on the **Download Alignment File** button to save you alignment in a file on your **Desktop** called:

```
homeobox_human_muscle.aln
```

Jalview can be easily installed under all commonly used operating systems and run locally. For these exercises, I attempt to use services available freely from the **INTERNET** wherever possible, so let us run **Jalview** from the web here by first going to:

http://www.jalview.org/

and selecting the Launch Jalview Desktop link at the top of the page. And agree with all the many questions you will be asked.

Close down all the example outputs

Jalview sees fit to show you on start

up. From the File pull down menu
choose from File from the Input

Alignment option. Locate and load
the file:


HAA13 JUMAN 322 3817-6
HAC13 HUMAN 382 3421-60
EVX2 JUMAN 188 2421-60
EVX2 JUMAN 188 2421-60
EVX2 JUMAN 188 2421-60
EVX2 JUMAN 188 2421-60
EVX2 JUMAN 186 2451-60
EXX JUMAN 261 3201-60
MAX1 JUMAN 261 3201-

homeobox human muscle.aln

You might need to adjust the file name filter to included **.aln** files.

The default view is a trifle bland. Try a few of the options from the **Colour** pull down menu.

You could try the default colour scheme used by **ClustalX**, for example.



The MUSCLE and massaged ClustalX alignments now look very similar! In the nicely aligned regions at least.


There are many **Jalview** features that merit investigation. Have a look around if you have time. In particular, **Jalview** will compute simple phylogenetic trees for you employing a number of methods (**Calculate Tree** from the **Calculate** pull down menu). Try it, but be aware this is only sensible if you were very sure of your alignment (and have more meaningfully selected sequences maybe?).

Jalview is made by the same group as produce Jpred (an extremely effective Secondary Structure Prediction system). You could send your alignment for Secondary Structure Prediction via the Web Service pull down menu, if you wished.

A central purpose of **Jalview** is to allow users to edit alignments as well as just to view them. For example, hold down the **Shift** key, click and hold on any amino acid at the edge of a gap, slide left and right and see that you can introduce and/or alter the position of gaps. It is very important to be able to edit alignments generated by even the best of programs. As I hope has been made clear, the alignment algorithms are crude. If you know something about the sequences you are aligning it is very reasonable to suppose you can improve upon the computer's alignments. **Jalview** tries to make this possibility easy. Look through some of the other **Edit** pull down menu options, it does not matter how much you mangle your alignment, you can always make another one.


Finally, take a look at the **Jalview "Manhattan Skyline**" for the highly conserved **W** at position **51**. This seems better quality than **clustalX** managed? I am not sure how one can make further comment without knowing what parameters were used. Is there really an improvement? If so, is it due to the improved algorithm or more appropriate choice of parameters? Impossible to discuss further as the parameters used for **MUSCLE** are not revealed.

In my alignment, the W at position 51 was at position 50, according to clustalx. This slippage to the right is due to MUSCLE introducing an extra gap, inspired by just one sequence at position 8. Is this sensible? No idea ... exactly when it might be good idea to investigate the effect of lighter/heavier gap penalties?



You can also Select and Cut sequences in a way similar to that you employed with clustalx. I could not resist it! removed all the ugly sequences that caused the gaps at the start and finish alignment of the select their names and then SIX6_HUMAN_128_187/1-60 select Cut or Delete from SIX2_HUMAN_124_183/1-60 the **Edit** menu). I achieved gap-free alignment illustrated.

Of course, Jalview does not compute alignments, so once I had removed all the unfortunate proteins, I had to use an Edit option PO2F2_HUMAN_297_356/1-60 to tidy up my meddling. I Remove **Empty** used Columns to get rid of the gap columns at the start of the alignment. The gaps at the end just melted away once the sequences that



supported their presence were removed.

Science is easy! Once you remove the need for honesty that is.

If it could be done slightly more meaningfully, I would suggest you might try some of the other MSA tools offered by the EBI, to investigate the differences in the alignments computed. Any differences might be due to different parameter selection or differences in the algorithms of the tool you select.

For full control, you really need to download the various tools and run them locally. The **EBI** is not the only site that hides significant parameters from their users.

PSI-BLAST

This program is used to find a comprehensive set of relatives of a protein. First, BLAST is used to find closely related proteins. From an alignment of these proteins a general "profile" (a Position Specific Scoring Matrix -PSSM) is computed. A PSSM is very similar in concept and purpose to an HMM profile in that it summarises significant features present in the sequences it represents.

A further search of the protein database is then run using the **PSSM** as a query, and a larger more widely associated group of proteins is found. This larger group is aligned and used to construct another PSSM, and the process is repeated until no more significantly matching new sequences can be detected, or the user tires of the whole process.

PSI-BLAST is integrated into the **Secondary Structure Prediction** system **Jpred**. Whenever Jpred is asked to compute straucture form a single protein sequence, it will use PSI-BLAST to construct an aligned family of pretien sequences to enable an improved prediction. An aligned family of proteins is a much better starting point than any single protein sequence.

Similar ideas are used by the domain database **PFAM** to create large alignments of domain regions.

Here we will use PSI-BLAST directly from the NCBI on the Paired DOMAIN of the PAX6 protein that you saved in a file earlier. It should be possible to detect a large family of PAX domains and to eventually multiply align them generating something like the alignment from the PFAM database.

To investigate **PSI-BLAST** go first to the **NCBI** Home page at:


http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/

Click on the **BLAST** option from the **Popular Resources** menu.

Select from the Web **BLAST** section.

Upload the **PAX6** paired box domain sequence (stored in the file pax domain.fasta) using the appropriate Browse button.

Select **PSI-BLAST** from Program Selection section. Leave all the others options at their default settings, particularly the option to search all the proteins available.



Before you set PSI-BLAST going, click on the Algorithm parameters link and take a look at the PSI/PHI/DELTA BLAST section. Note the option to use a PSSM from a previous run of PSI-BLAST, potentially or a different database (but with the same query sequence). Accept the default that database entries scoring better than an Expect Threshold or **0.005** be offered for inclusion into the **PSSM** of each successive **PSI-BLAST** iteration. Remember the buttons.


S	PSI/PHI/DELTA	BLAST	
e	Upload PSSM Optional	Browse No file selected.	•
1 e	PSI-BLAST Threshold	0.005	0
f	Pseudocount	0	•

What do you suppose the choice of **Pseudocount** might influence?


Elect to **Show results in a new window** and then click on the

BLAST button.

After several moments of deep thought, **PSI-BLAST** will come back with its first set of results, at the top of which is a report that (unsurprisingly) matches have been detected between the query sequence and several domain databases.





For more detail, click on the Conserved Domains graphic.



SMART, Pfam and the NCBI Conserved Domains database hits for a PAX domain are reported. No surprise here.

There is also a **Superfamilies** (derived from **SCOP** as briefly mentioned previously) hit recognising that a **PAX** domain, in common with many other domains, includes **Helix-Turn-Helices**.






Sbict 124

Move down to the **Alignments** section of the results and you will see that many of the top hits match the query exactly.

Note that many of the top hits come from the **GenPept** database (roughly equivalent to the **TrEMBL** section of **UniProtKB**).


How might the inclusion of poor quality and duplicated sequences have been minimised?



Move down far enough and you will see less perfect matches, some of which involve proteins with the extra 14 amino acids of **isoform 5a** of **PAX6_HUMAN**.

Having browsed your results sufficiently, click on the Go button to **Run PSI-Blast iteration 2**. It is at the bottom of the hit list.

Run PSI-Blast iteration 2 with max 500 Go



After a few moments, **PSI-BLAST** will return with the results of searching through the database again using the **PSSM** derived from the hits of the first iteration(ed). This time the top of the list will be predominantly filled with hits that have already been incorporated into the **PSI-BLAST PSSM**. However, look far enough down the list and you will find some new ones, highlighted yellow.

Once more, click on the Go button to Run PSI-Blast iteration 3. That is probably enough! As dear Eddie oft advised, there are typically but three steps to ultimate fulfilment. Recently I took just 8 iterations before there were no more new sequences suggested for inclusion into the PSMM. Today I was not so lucky, I got to iteration 21 before I realised that **PSI-Blast** was playing tricks one me! I was oscillating between two minutely different,

perfectly acceptable solutions! Having vented my spleen in shame filled fashion I accepted iteration 21. I advise that you stop here on "good enough" iteration 3!

sp|P26367|4-130 (127 letters) **RID** 48BS4XGY014 (Expires on 12-05 21:58 pm) Query ID |cl|Query_131813 Database Name Description sp|P26367|4-130 **Description** All non-redundant GenBank CDS Molecule type amino acid translations+PDB+SwissProt+PIR+PRF Query Length 127 excluding environmental samples from WGS projects Graphic Summary and click on the Other reports: ▶ Search Summary [Taxonomy reports] [Distance tree of results] [Multiple alignment]

PSI blast Iteration 21

Next, move to the just above the

Multiple alignment link. You have elected to use the NCBI multiple alignment program Cobalt to align the PAX domain sequences of your final PSI-BLAST iteration.

Gap penalties -11,-1							
-5,-1	-5,-1						
CDD Parameters							
	on						
Blast E-value							
е	on						
' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' '							
ters							
on							
4							
0.8							
Alphabet Regular							
	e ters on 4 0.8						

This can take quite a while. Cobalt might even complain wearily and give up occasionally. If it does, tell it not to be silly!! It will get there eventually. When it is done, click on the **Alignment parameters** link at the top of the results.

Cobalt reports the parameters it used to make the alignment. It is possible to recompute the alignment with different parameters by using the Edit and Resubmit link at the top of the page and then choosing to set Advanced parameters. But, maybe not today?

Recording the parameters chosen for any computation is surely extremely important. How else can published computer generated results be reproducible? Feel free to disagree, but I feel strongly this is a point not sufficiently appreciated by software engineers in this field and often entirely ignored by service providers (e.g. the "we have chosen the best parameter settings for you and feel you do not need to even know what they are" approach for the EBI MSA options).

```
AAB07733
                    ---HSGVNOLGGVEVNGRPLPDS--TROKIVELAHSGARPCDISRILOSHADAKVPVLDSONVSNGCVSKILG----RYY 75
                    DEGHSGVNQLGGVFVNGRPLPDS--TRQKIVELAHSGARPCDISRILQTHDE--VQVLDSEKVSNGCVSKILG----RYY
XP 015229805 29
                    DEGHSGVNQLGGVFVNGRPLPDS - -TRQKIVELAHSGARPCDISRILQTHDE - -VQVLDSEKVSNGCVSKILG - - - RYY
XP_015193792 5
                    ---HSGVNQLGGVFVNGRPLPDS--TRQKIVELAHSGARPCDISRILQTHADAKVQVLDNQNVSNGCVSKILG----RYY
XP 012546782 30
                    G.-HSGVNOLGGVFVGGRPLPDS.-TROKIVELAHSGARPCDISRILO.....VSNGCVSKILG....RYY
XP 003376863 44
                    -LGHTGVNQLGGVFVNGRPLPDS--TRQKIIELAHQGARPCDISRILQ------VSNGCVSKILC----RYY 102
XP_015364286 72
                    G--HSGVNOLGGVFVGGRPLPDS--TROKIVELAHSGARPCDISRILO------
XP 018423443 5
                    ---HSGVNQLGGVFVNGRPLPDS--TRQKIVELAHSGARPCDISRILQSHADAKVQVLDSQNVSNGCVSKILG----RYY 75
                    ---HSGVNQLGGVFVNGRPLPDS--TRQRIVELAHSGARPCDISRILQ------VSNGCVSKILG----RYY 98
CAC80515
               5
                    ---HSGVNOLGGVEVNGRPLPDS--TROKTVELAHSGARPCDTSRTLOTHADAKVOVLDNENVSNGCVSKTLG----RYY
XP 015364293 53
                    G--HSGVNOLGGVFVGGRPLPDS--TROKIVELAHSGARPCDISRILO------VSNGCVSKILG----RYY 116
XP 003777840 5
                    ---HSGVNQLGGVFVNGRPLPDS--TRQKIVELAHSGARPCDISRILQTHADAKVQVLDNQNVSNGCVSKILG----RYY 75
CEH19759
ACD88758
                    G.-HSGVNOLGGVYVNGRPLPDS.-TROKIVELAHSGARPCDISRILO.....VSNGCVSKILG....RYY
XP_014969997 5
                    ---HSGVNQLGGVFVNGRPLPDS--TRQKIVELAHSGARPCDISRILOTHADAKVOVLDNG
                                                                             MVSNGCVSKILG----RYY 75
                    ---HSGVNOI GGVYVNGRPI PDS--TROKTVFI AHSGARPCDTSRTI 0------VSNGCVSKTI G----RYY 89
XP 003246860 72
                    G--HSGVNQLGGVFVGGRPLPDS--TRQKIVELAHSGARPCDISRILQ------VSNGCVSKILG----RYY 129

✓ AAB40616

                    PNGHSGVNQLGGVFVNGRPLPDS - - TRQRIVELAHSGARPCDISRILQ

✓ XP 011722290 5

                    ---HSGVNQLGGVFVNGRPLPDS--TRQKIVELAHSGARPCDISRILQTHADAKVQVLDNQNVSNGCVSKILG----RYY 75
---HSGVNOLGGVFVNGRPLPDT--IROKIVELAHSGARPCDISRILO------VSNGCVSKILG----RYY
XP 015289635 5
                    --- HSGVNQLGGVFVNGRPLPDS--TROKIVELAHSGARPCDISRILQTHADAKVOVLDNQNVSNGCVSKILG----RYY
  XP 003246859 53 G--HSGVNQLGGVFVGGRPLPDS--TRQKIVELAHSGARPCDISRILQ------VSNGCVSKILG----RYY
```

Move past the long list of proteins aligned easiest way is to hide the **Descriptions** view).

At the top of the actual alignment, set Format to Plain Text (.... then hide and **Descriptions** again??), this being the easiest format to understand in a hurry. This might take a while also. I am not sure why? Be patient, it will get therein the end. The alignment will have very ragged ends, but the important region of 120 or so amino acids representing the domain is really impressive. In particular, the isoform 5a insertion is very convincing.

DPJ - 2016.12.05

Model Answers to Ouestions in the Instructions Text.

Notes:

For the most part, these "**Model Answers**" just provide the reactions/solutions I hoped you would work out for yourselves. However, sometime I have tried to offer a bit moer back ground and material for thought? Occasionally, I have rambled off into some rather self indulgent investigations that even I would not want to try and justify as pertenent to the objective of these exercises. I like to keep these meanders, as they help and entertain me, but I wish to warn you to only take regard of them if you are feeling particularly strong and have time to burn. Certainly not a good idea to indulge here during a time constrained course event!

Where things have got extreme, I am going to make two versions of the answer. One starting:

Summary:

Which has the answer with only a reasonably digestible volume of deep thought. Read this one.

The other will start:

Full Answer:

Beware of entering here! I do not hold back. Nothing complicated, but it will be long and full of pedantry.

This makes the Model answers section very big. **BUT**, it is not intended for printing or for reading serially, so I submit, being long and wordy does not matter. Feel free to disagree.

Basic Bioinformatics. 18 of 21 12:56:34 AM

How do the options for the **OUTPUT TREE** relate to the output files of **ClustalX** and the difference between the way that **ClustalX** and **muscle** work?

I leave this question here in the hope that one day I will be able to offer a full and sensible answer. First draft answer below.

Essentially, both **ClustalX** and **MUSCLE** work in two stages. First they create **Guide Tree(s)**. Then they create a multiple alignment by pairwise steps ordered by most refined the **Guide Tree**.

ClustalX just computes one based exclusively on the pairwise comparison of its input sequence set.

MUSCLE will create a **Guide Tree** that is the rough equivalent of that computed by **ClustalX**. Then it will offer to refine this **Guide Tree** from computed draft **MSA**s until a user selected maximum number of iterations is met or no further improvement is possible.

ClustalX saves the Guide Tree it computes by default. MUSCLE offers to save its Guide Tree from its first or second refinement iteration.

The purpose of saving the **Guide Tree(s)** to a file is to enable a rerun of the second phase with new parameter settings without having to first recalculate the **Guide Tree**. Of course, as mentioned previously, utterly pointless if there is no way to change the parameters to allow a guide tree to be used as input? but that is the theory.

More investigation by me and expansion of this answer required. Discussion with EBI current (2016.04.20).

Comment on how one might choose between the range of options offered for the aligned parameter?

I cannot ... beyond suggesting it simply does not make sense? Going by what is offered at **Wageningen**, the choice should be between **aligned** and **input order**. i.e. the order of the original set of sequences to be aligned or the order after they have all been compared with each other and arranged into a **Guide Tree** ... or two.

Currently, the only way of which I am aware to run muscle with full flexibility, is to download it. It is available for **Windows**, **Linux** or **Mac** operating systems but has no pretty **GUI** front end. You have to read the manual carefully and run from the command line.

Basic Bioinformatics. 19 of 21 12:56:34 AM

What do you suppose the choice of **Pseudocount** might influence?

I clicked with confidences upon the link to the help. It opined as illustrated.



I suppose the next step is to read **PMID 19088134**? There is most certainly no elucidation amongst the strangle of words offered here?

The article **Abstract** says:

"Position specific score matrices (PSSMs) are derived from multiple sequence alignments to aid in the recognition of distant protein sequence relationships. The PSI-BLAST protein database search program derives the column scores of its PSSMs with the aid of pseudocounts, added to the observed amino acid counts in a multiple alignment column. In the absence of theory, the number of pseudocounts used has been a completely empirical parameter. This article argues that the minimum description length principle can motivate the choice of this parameter. Specifically, for realistic alignments, the principle supports the practice of using a number of pseudocounts essentially independent of alignment size. However, it also implies that more highly conserved columns should use fewer pseudocounts, increasing the inter-column contrast of the implied PSSMs. A new method for calculating pseudocounts that significantly improves PSI-BLAST's; retrieval accuracy is now employed by default."

The article itself, continues in like vein how about we close our eyes and accept the defaults? I would just wonder why the whole thing does not commence with, at least an attempt, to answer the question in the forefront of my inquiry, which is .. "WHAT, in the current context, IS a pseudocount?". I do not believe it is as tricky as they appear to wish us to believe. I will try again later, when my view of the world is less storm infested.

In the meantime I will take comfort in the claim that:

"A new method for calculating **pseudocounts** that significantly improves **PSI-BLAST**'s; retrieval accuracy is now employed by default."

Jolly good!

2016.12.04: Aha! Wikipedia to the rescues once more. Maybe I will donate again? Wonderful service.

One can forgive the **NCBI** people for not explaining what a **pseudocount** is, as they did not, as I first thought, invent the term. It is an idea/strategy of far wider and general application as wikipedia explains.

My interpretation of this article (feel free to disagree/correct) in the current context is:

PSSMs are computed from the amino acid composition of regions of a protein sequence. There purpose is to identify other protein regions of the same size that might be homologous. If a given amino acid is not represented at all in the region from which the **PSSM** is computed, the probability of any other region including that missing amino acid will be consider to be **0** (i.e. impossible!) even if the rest of the region matches extremely well.

Generally speaking, that would be a nonsense! Solution? Add a tiny bit (a **pseudocount** even) to all amino acid counts that come to **0**. Then "*impossible*" becomes "*extremely unlikely*", which makes a bit more sense. A trifle more poetry than science here, but I think I follow the logic.

A popular way of implementing pseudocounts is due to Pierre-Simon Laplace. A French chap who was pretty famous for having good ideas. His strategy, nattily known as Laplace's Rule of Succession, was to add a psuedocount of 1 to ALL the real counts and so pervert the message of the data uniformly. Nice one Pierre.

I am not entirely sure why, but this all reminds me of one of the many dubious culinary practices of my dear mother (when not in the kitchen, an unsurpassable example of the human female condition!). Towhit, when confronted with a spice or condiment with which she was unfamiliar, she would avoid the unacceptable **zero condition** by adding a swift **pseudocount** (sometimes **two**!) into whatever she was brewing at the time. The principle being that of "just in case" and the avoidance of the horror filled possibilities of "missing an exciting new flavour".

She used to protect the family from any ill effects by assiduously, testing the **psuedocount** side effects upon its most dispensable member ... the youngest son, say? If he still frisked after a given period, she would let loose the potion upon the rest of the family. Happily, I survive! But repeated **pseudocount** experimentations may well explain much of the condition of what remains.

How might the inclusion of poor quality and duplicated sequences have been minimised?

At the top of your output is recorded some details of the conditions under which you database search was undertaken. This is a very important step towards making your results reproducible. Not sufficient I would opine. Surely the database versions and a program of the recorded state would be block one results and a surely the database versions and a program of the recorded state would be block one results and a surely to the database versions and a program of the recorded state which is a surely to the database versions and a program of the recorded state of the rec

 Database Name
 nr

 Description
 All non-redundant GenBank CDS

 translations+PDB+SwissProt+PIR+PRF excluding

 environmental samples from WGS projects

 Program
 BLASTP 2.4.0+ ▶ Citation

complete record of the parameters used by **blast** are required in order to be able to exactly reproduce a search?

But at least the version of **blast** and the databases that were searched are recorded. The collection of databases searched is rather optimistically called "**nr**", for non-redundant. A bit of an exaggeration I would think. Surely **PDB** and **SwissProt** overlap a trifle? But let us not be too picky, in fact, surely a noble attempt to remove duplication between these databases has been made, understandably, imperfectly.

The collection of databases that is **nr** includes "All non-redundant GenBank CDS translations" (aka GenPept) which, like it European broad equivalent TrEMBL, includes some pretty dubious sequences.

I would think that if one wanted to maximise quality and minimise duplication, it would be best to pick just one good quality database. **SwissProt** is the obvious choice. **blast**, in general, and **PSI-BLAST** in particular, allows such a selection.

However, today the objective is not refinement!!! Bloat is good! More the merrier! Never mind the quality, just admire the volume.

DPJ - 2016.12.05