

# IB17F

# Introductory Bioinformatics

08-12 May 2017

(First 2017 run of this Course)

# Basic Bioinformatics Sessions


Practical 1: Databases and Tools

# **Investigating the gene(s) associated with Aniridia**

As a starting point for this exercise, imagine you have a vital interest in discovering and investigating the main human gene responsible for the terrible disease of the eye, **Aniridia**. There are many ways (including **google**!) you could discover what this gene might be. I choose to delve into the vast seas of knowledge so generously proffered by the **The National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI)**.


So, begin by going to the **Home Page** of the **The National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI)** ("http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/").

You will arrive at a page offering access to the many **NCBI** resources available to you. Currently, you only require to search for genes, specifically those that relate to **Aniridia**, so first set the database selection field of the **Search** facility at the top of your page to **Gene**, set the **Search** field to **Aniridia** and click on the **Search** button.




A fine list of genes will emerge, including those sought. However, our interest is specific to Human, so the search should really be organism specific. To do this, one needs to execute an **Advanced** search. So, click on the **Advanced** button of the **Search** tool.

Now you can specify the precise field(s) of the annotation you wish to interrogate. In this case, set the **Disease/Phenotype** field to **Aniridia** and the **Organism** field to **Human**. As the two conditions are linked by **AND**, both must be true for any gene to be listed.



Click on the pretty red Search button.



Just a few genes survive. All should really be examined, but this is just an exercise, so trust me ... it is **PAX6** that is the most interesting gene, in this context. This is the one to follow up by clicking on the link to its details.

From the **Summary** section one can conclude (sticking to the features that pertain to this exercise) that:

- there are two major domains, a paired domain and a homeobox, both of which bind DNA
- the gene regulates transcription (is a transcription factor)
- there is more than one protein isoform, and thus more than one transcript variant.

Summary

This gene encodes a homeobox and paired domain-containing protein that binds DNA and functions as a regulator of transcription. Activity of this protein is key in the development of neural tissues, particularly the eye. This gene is regulated by multiple enhancers located up to hundreds of kilobases distant from this locus. Mutations in this gene or in the enhancer regions can cause ocular disorders such as aniridia and Peter's anomaly. Use of alternate promoters and alternative splicing result in multiple transcript variants encoding different isoforms. [provided by RefSeq, Jul 2015]

From the **Genomic context** section it can be seen that:

- PAX6 is situated on Chromosome 11, band p13
- PAX6 is on the complementary strand relative to that chosen by Map Viewer to represent Chromosome 11
- ELP4 (another gene in the list of human genes associated with Aniridia) is exceedingly close, on the opposite strand to PAX6. This might be worthy of a glance, at a later time?

•	Location: 11p13	i	See PAX	6 in <u>Geno</u>	me Data Viewer Epigenomics Map Viewer
	Exon count: 17				
7	Annotation release	Status	Assembly	Chr	Location
t	108	current	GRCh38.p7 (GCF_000001405.33)	11	NC_000011.10 (3178479231817961, complement)
	<u>105</u>	previous assembly	GRCh37.p13 (GCF_000001405.25)	11	NC_000011.9 (3180634031839509, complement)
1		[31369829 <b>]</b>	Chromosome 11 - NC	_000011.1	<b>0</b> [31995797 ▶
ו		DNAJC24 IMMP1L	ELP4 DKFZp	686K1684 PAX6 ←	L0C107984420

- There are 17 exons for PAX6. Jolly good, but I really wanted to know how many transcripts there were according to the NCBI? That is, how many different ways it is thought that nature spliced the 17 exons together. I would also like to discover how many distinct isoforms the NCBI imagines to result from however many transcripts. I proceed with impatience!

Click either the Genome Data Viewer or the MapViewer link. Both offer you essentially the same story, the choice really is cosmetic. Do you like your genomes vertical or horizontal. I am a horizontal man myself, so I prefer the Genome Data Viewer. The data is from the Map Viewer Genome Database, whichever choice you make.

I reproduce both views here. The **Genome Data Viewer** picture is included in the **PAX6** gene page for free, so maybe the **MapViewer link** is the best one for you to choose? Or both, of course! First consider the marginally clearer and simpler **Genome Data Viewer** picture.



So, if I tell you the region displayed is the entire **PAX6** region of **Chromosome 11** and the green lines labelled on the right as something beginning with **NM**\_ represent the different transcripts, can you now say how many transcripts there are according to this view? In passing, the blobs along each line represent the exons. Dark blobs are coding exons. Light blobs represent the exons that form the 3'/5' **UTR** regions of each transcript. The Introns are the pale green lines joining the blobs together.


The prediction of the transcripts shown here are based on database searches of all Human mRNA sequences stored in **RefSeq** against this region of the genome. The theory is that every human mRNA sequence must match (nearly)

perfectly somewhere in the human genome. Where it matches, there must be the genomic DNA from which the mRNA was transcribed. How charmingly simple!

To differentiate between coding and non-coding exons of a transcript, why not compare all human proteins with the genome (after suitable translation to amino acid codes in all six reading frames). They too must match near perfectly somewhere, identifying the CoDing Sequence (CDS) of each transcript. Transcript fully located. Job done! Of course, it does not always work so very neatly, but we need not admit that for the moment at least.

Comparing proteins with the genome is clumsy, compute intensive, slow. For major organisms (currently just Human and Mouse), specially comprehensive databases of extremely reliable **DNA Coding Sequences** have been constructed. Searching with these databases enables much more efficient searching for coding exons and so is very much preferred.

And so to the **Map Viewer** version of exactly the same region of **Chromosome 11**.



OK, times up, how many transcripts are predicted for **PAX6** by **MapViewer**?

11 being the correct answer. Obviously? Exactly as suggested by the Genome Data Viewer! True, but this is not always the case. The transcript count (and much else) depends on the version of the data used to build the views... Very recently, these two viewers displayed the interpretation of different data versions. Mapviewer being slightly behind the times. When this was the case, the transcript count depended upon which viewer was chosen. This vitally illustrates that many of the "facts" presented by these services are but predictions that will vary as more/better data become available. Pretty good predictions, but nevertheless, *predictions*!

In passing, the reason that there used to be a difference in transcript counts between the two viewers was that MapViewer used an older version of RefSeq than the Genome Data Viewer. The older RefSeq included some extra mRNA sequences of less certainty than the ones you see represented above. Clearly, the evidence for these extra mRNA sequences was proved insufficient and they were removed in the newer RefSeq. Where they exist, such less certain RefSeq mRNA sequences can be recognised easily as their labels (Accession Codes) which begin with XM rather than NM. I make a point of mentioning this as the inclusion of data of varying credibility, in databases such as **RefSeq**, is very common. Usually, the difference in confidence is that between database entries that are only detected by computer programs (questionable) and those that have been properly investigated by human experimenters (less questionable).

Even without database version variation, seemingly trivial inquiries such as "how many transcripts are there?" can still yield conflicting answers depending upon where the question is asked. Move back to the page describing the PAX6 gene. In the familiar graphic at the top of the Genome regions, transcripts and products section you will find routes to corresponding information from the Ensembl Genome Database Hover over the PAX6 (also known as ESNG0000007372, by Ensembl and close friends) green line in the bottom half of the picture. You will be rewarded by cheery

gray box full of links to **Ensembl** and other exciting places.

	Gene: ENSG0000007372
	Title: PAX6
V	Location: complement(31,784,77931,818,062)
y	Length: 33,284
9	[Qualifiers]
۱ ۱	gene_biotype: protein_coding
r	gene_id: ENSG0000007372
- 1	gene_name: PAX6
1	gene_source: ensembl_havana
1	gene_version: 21
٠. ا	havana_gene: OTTHUMG00000041447
•	havana_gene_version: 22
e	Merged features: 139
_	
v	Links & Tools
y	View ENSEMBL: ENSG00000007372
	BLAST Genomic: NC 000011.10 (31,784,77931,818,062)
	FASTA View: NC_000011.10 (31,784,77931,818,062)
	GenBank View: NC_000011.10 (31,784,77931,818,062)
	1 11 1 XV ENICENDE A

Use the link labelled View ENSEMBL:. A view of the region of Chromosome 11 similar to those you have already considered will leap forth. As before, the exons for each transcript are represented by blobs (filled for coding, empty for UTR regions). Introns are represented by wiggly lines joining the blobs. The colour scheme we might consider later. For now, notice is that there are considerably more than 20 transcripts represented here! 82! to be precise, as it says near the top of the page in tiny letters. For a clearer view, click on the Show transcript table link.

Indeed 82. But see that not all are protein coding. One reason for the difference in transcript count is due to the fact that Ensembl includes non-coding transcripts, whereas the NCBI list only transcripts that code for proteins.

Also, and more importantly, Ensembl and MapViewer use different strategies to predict transcripts (and just about everything else!). Both use database searches in roughly the manner described above and (for the human genome at least) the same basic assemblies of the genome and sequence databases, but clearly Ensembl has less blind faith in the accuracy of the **RefSeq** mRNAs. As you can see, in the **RefSeq** column of the table, the matches with **RefSeq** mRNAs are

Name 🌼	Transcript ID	bp 🖗	Protein 🌼	Biotype	CCDS	UniProt	RefSeq
PAX6-201	ENST00000419022	6922	<u>436aa</u>	Protein coding	<u>CCDS31452</u> @	<u>F1T0F8</u> 윤 <u>P26367</u> 윤	NM 001258462 & NM 001310158 & NM 001310161 & NP 001245391 & NP 001297087 & NP 001297090 &
PAX6-202	ENST00000606377	6860	<u>436aa</u>	Protein coding	CCDS31452@	F1T0F8@ P26367@	NM 001258463 & NM 001310161 & NP 001245392 & NP 001297090 &
PAX6-009	ENST00000379129	2616	<u>436aa</u>	Protein coding	CCDS31452©	F1T0F8@ P26367@	-
PAX6-011	ENST00000379107	2591	436aa	Protein coding	<u>CCDS31452</u>	F1T0F8@ P26367@	-
PAX6-008	ENST00000379132	2574	<u>422aa</u>	Protein coding	<u>CCDS31451</u>	<u>P26367</u> ₽ <u>Q66SS1</u> ₽	NM 001127612@ NP 001121084@
PAX6-003	ENST00000379123	2160	<u>422aa</u>	Protein coding	<u>CCDS31451</u> ₽	<u>P26367</u> & <u>Q66SS1</u> &	NM 000280 @ NM 001258464 @ NP 000271 @ NP 001245393 @
PAX6-001	ENST00000379115	1763	<u>436aa</u>	Protein coding	<u>CCDS31452</u>	<u>F1T0F8</u> @ <u>P26367</u> @	NM 001604@ NP 001595@
PAX6-002	ENST00000241001	1631	<u>422aa</u>	Protein coding	<u>CCDS31451</u>	<u>P26367</u> ₽ <u>Q66SS1</u> ₽	-
PAX6-005	ENST00000379111	1627	<u>422aa</u>	Protein coding	<u>CCDS31451</u>	<u>P26367</u> @ <u>Q66SS1</u> @	NM 001258465 @ NP 001245394 @
PAX6-004	ENST00000379109	2157	<u>422aa</u>	Protein coding	-	<u>P26367</u> @ <u>Q66SS1</u> @	-
PAX6-020	ENST00000525535	677	<u>2aa</u>	Protein coding	-	-	-
PAX6-021	ENST00000524853	574	<u>57aa</u>	Protein coding	-	E9PKM0@	-
PAX6-012	ENST00000423822	567	<u>61aa</u>	Protein coding	-	<u>B1B1I9</u> ₽	-
PAX6-016	ENST00000455099	497	<u>124aa</u>	Protein coding	-	<u>B1B1J0</u> @	-
PAX6-013	ENST00000438681	455	<u>38aa</u>	Protein coding	-	<u>B1B1I8</u> @	-
PAX6-029	ENST00000533156	847	No protein	Processed transcript	-	-	-
PAX6-014	ENST00000471303	782	No protein	Processed transcript	-	-	-
PAX6-027	ENST00000531910	643	No protein	Processed transcript	-	-	-
PAX6-015	ENST00000481563	613	No protein	Processed transcript	-	-	-
PAX6-028	ENST00000530373	572	No protein	Processed transcript	-	-	-
PAX6-025	ENST00000530714	567	No protein	Processed transcript	-	-	-
PAX6-024	ENST00000534353	540	No protein	Processed transcript	-	-	-
PAX6-019	ENST00000533333	6173	No protein	Retained intron	-	-	-
PAX6-006	ENST00000470027	2842	No protein	Retained intron	-	-	-
PAX6-007	ENST00000494377	2460	No protein	Retained intron	-	-	-
PAX6-010	ENST00000464174	979	No protein	Retained intron	-	-	-
PAX6-017	ENST00000474783	702	No protein	Retained intron	-	-	-
PAX6-030	ENST00000532916	627	No protein	Retained intron	-	-	-
PAX6-026	ENST00000534390	578	No protein	Retained intron	-	-	-
PAX6-023	ENST00000532175	524	No protein	Retained intron	-	-	-
PAX6-022	ENST00000527769	487	No protein	Retained intron	-	-	-

taken into consideration. However, they do not appear to be considered individually sufficient to define a transcript. Some **Ensembl** transcripts reference more then one **RefSeq** mRNA. The lesser quality **XM**\_ mRNAs are ignored (possibly because they no longer exist in the version of **RefSeq** used by **Ensembl**?). Not all **11** better quality are used (just **1** ignored). Counting just the protein coding transcripts predicted by **Ensembl**, I see a total of **15**.

We could go on, other sources (not necessarily **Genome Databases**) would count differently again. Perhaps the best answer to the question "How many transcripts are there for the **PAX6** gene" is "**Several**".

Before leaving Ensembl, it would be good to save the genomic sequence of this region for analysis later on.

To do this, first click on the Left hand side of the page.

Ask for **500** base pairs of extra sequence at either end of the **PAX6** gene. That is, set both to **500**.



Deselect all the extra **PAX6** related sequences on offer. You just want the one genomic sequence for the entire **PAX6** region.

Click on the Next > button.



Choose **Text** as the **output format** for the sequence to be saved.

Options for FASTA sequence	
Genomic:	Unmasked v
cDNA:	
Coding sequence:	
Peptide sequence:	
5' UTR:	
3' UTR:	
Exons:	
Introns:	0

In you browser you should now have the genomic region of the PAX6 gene, with 500 base pairs of flanking sequence on either end, in FASTA format.

Do whatever it takes to download this to a file called:

pax6 genomic.fasta

on your **Desktop**. If you end up with a big blank bit at the top of your file, as I did, it might be nice (but not essential) to delete it.

GCGCCTCTCCATCCCGGCGCGCGCCTTCGGGTCTCTCCGATGAAGGGACTCCCTTGGGGA CGGAGGAGGGGACAGGGTGATTACCCAGAGAGGTAGCTGGCCAGCCTAAGGGCAGAGAT TTGGGGCCCTAGTGCCCGAAGGTGCGGAGGAGCGCACTCGGCAAGACTAGTTTCCTGGG ATCGACTCTACGCCATACAGGACGGCGGCCCAGGCTGGACCGGGCCGGGCTAGAGCAGT GCCATGACGTCACGCGGGCCGGGCAGCCAATGAGGACGGCGCTGGCGTGGATATTAAGG AAGTTAGCGCCTGCCTGAGCACCCTCTTTTCTTATCATTGACATTTAAACTCTGGGGCA GTCCTCGCGTAGAACGCGGCTGTCAGATCTGCCACTTCCCCTGCCGAGCGGCGGTGAGA GTGTGGGAACCGGCGCTGCCAGGCTCACCTGCCTCCCGCCCTCCGCTCCCAGGTAACC CCCGGGCTCCGGCCCCGGCTCGGGGCCCGCGGGGCCTCTCCGCTGCCAGCGACT CTGTCCCCAAATCAAAGCCCGCCCCAAGTGGCCCCGGGGCTTGATTTTTGCTTTTAAAA GAGGCATACAAAGATGGAAGCGAGTTACTGAGGGAGGGATAGGAAGGGGGGTGGAGGAG CTAAAGCCACTCGCGACCGCGAAAAATGCAGGAGGTGGGGACGCACTTTGCATCCAGACC TCCTCTGCATCGCAGTTCACGACATCCACGCTTGGGAAAGTCCGTACCCGCCGCCTGGAGG GCTTAAAGACACCCTGCCGCGGGTCGGGCGAGGTGCAGCAGAAGTTTCCCGCGGTTGCAA AGTGCAGATGGCTGGACCGCAACAAGTCTAGAGATGGGGTTCGTTTCTCAGAAAGACG GGAGTACGAAAGAATGCGGCCGACAGAGCTGGGCAGCGCGTAAAGCTCCCAGCGTGTGAT The next question might be "How many protein isoforms might there be for **PAX6**?".

Well, whilst the **Ensembl** transcript list is still in view, glance down the **Protein** column which displays the size of the protein products for each transcript. Clearly insufficient evidence for a serious **isoform** count, but enough to set a lower limit, as the same **isoform** cannot be more than one length! I conclude the **Ensembl** predicts a minimum of **7 isoforms**. Most are either **422** or **436** amino acids long. Some of the others might cause a raised eyebrow or two, especially the one that is **2** amino acids long? But, who are we to question! **At least 7** is the informal **Ensembl** total.

Click your way back to the NCBI PAX6 gene entry. Next I would like to discover the number of protein products (isoforms) that the NCBI predicts. This view makes this simple question clumsy to answer as the protein products of each transcript are reported separately, even when they are identical (as does the equivalent Ensembl view)???

However, it can be done. Click on the NCBI Reference Sequences (RefSeq) link in the Table of contents on the right hand side of the page. Focus on the mRNA and Protein(s) sub-section. Skim down the entries for every transcript (only the 11 in the current release of RefSeq. The "good" NM ones). Check the different isoform names.

```
01 - NM_000280.4 → NP_000271.1 paired box protein Pax-6 isoform a
02 - NM_001127612.1 → NP_001121084.1 paired box protein Pax-6 isoform a
03 - NM_001258462.1 → NP_001245391.1 paired box protein Pax-6 isoform b
04 - NM_001258463.1 → NP_001245392.1 paired box protein Pax-6 isoform b
05 - NM_001258464.1 → NP_001245393.1 paired box protein Pax-6 isoform a
06 - NM_001258465.1 → NP_001245394.1 paired box protein Pax-6 isoform a
07 - NM_001310158.1 → NP_001297087.1 paired box protein Pax-6 isoform b
08 - NM_001310159.1 → NP_001297088.1 paired box protein Pax-6 isoform c
09 - NM_001310160.1 → NP_001297089.1 paired box protein Pax-6 isoform d
10 - NM_001310161.1 → NP_001297090.1 paired box protein Pax-6 isoform d
11 - NM_001604.5 → NP_001595.2
```

I count **4**, imaginatively named **Isoform a**, **Isoform b**, **Isoform c** and **Isoform d**. One associated with each transcript description. Look carefully at the annotations and there is more information. In particular:

**Description** field:

**Isoform b** is also known as **Isoform 5a**. Why this is interesting will become apparent in a page or so.

**Isoform b** is also reported to be longer than **Isoform a**.

#### **Conserved Domains.**

Conserved Domains	(2) summary		E
	smart00351 Location:4 → 128	PAX; Paired Box domain	a
	pfam00046 Location:214 → 266	Homeobox; Homeobox domain	

Both **Isoform a** and **Isoform b** are recorded as having two domains. A **Paired Box Domain** at the beginning, and a **Homoebox Domain** further along.



Both **Paired Box Domains** are primarily indicated by a hit with the relevant entry in the **SMART** database. Both **Homeobox Domains** are supported by matches with **Pfam** database entries. Other domain

databases will almost certainly provide supporting evidence, but reference to just one match is sufficient here.

From the location information, the **Paired Box** of **Isoform a** appears to include an extra **14** amino acids.

UniprotKB offers yet another version of this story. Just for a for a few clicks, let us intrude into the UniProt session of your course.

Protein Activation of this protein Activation of the Protein A



At the very bottom of the current page, you will find a link to UniprotKB. Use it.

Lo! the PAX6 human protein as seen and understood by UniProtKB. Click on the button on the left hand side of the page. UniProtKB declares 3 isoforms! At least, 3 that it is willing to admit to publicly.



isoform used to represent this protein. The sequence(s) of all other isoform(s) are recorded as elements of the annotation.

in America. Note that this is the "canonical This isoform has been chosen as the 'canonical' sequence. All positional information in this entry sequence" for this protein. That is, this is the refers to it. This is also the sequence that appears in the downloadable versions of the entry.

Also we have **Isoform 5a** (or **PAX6-5a**), also known as **isoform b** in America (where it also answers to **Isoform 5a** when pressed). Note that the entry declares the sequence difference to be:

47-47: O → OTHADAKVOVLDNON

Literally:

Isoform 5a (identifier: P26367-2) [UniParc] 🕹 FASTA Also known as: Pax6-5a The sequence of this isoform differs from the canonical sequence as follows: 47-47: Q → QTHADAKVQVLDNQN

"The amino acid at **position 47** is a Q in the canonical sequence. In **isoform 5a** this is replaced by the 15 amino acids QTHADAKVQVLDNQN".

More coherently this amounts to:

"isoform 5a differs from the canonical isoform 1 in that it has an insertion of 14 amino acids after the 47th amino acid of the canonical protein".

It is significant to note that position 47 is right in the middle of the **Paired Box Domain** that occurs in both isoforms. This confirms that which was discovered at the NCBI.

Finally UniProtKB proudly presents the somewhat ephemeral isoform 3 (or Isoform 3 (identifier: P26367-3) PAX6-5A,6\* for those who enjoy formality). But, this one has no known sequence? Not much that Bioinformatics can offer here methinks.

Also known as: Pax6-5A,6\* Sequence is not available

So I hope you will agree that the UniProtKB count stands at a very modest 2, plus a ghost.

Alignment

To visualise the differences between the 2 isoforms with sequence, click on the button at the top of the Sequences section. After deep thought and much fumbling, UniProtKB will multiply align all the isoform sequences for you. As there are only 2 in this case, this will appear very similar to a Pairwise alignment. Highlight the DNA binding regions and the Domains.

I leave the interpretation of this splendid display to you. How to print an alignment in color Highlight P26367 PAX6\_HUMAN P26367-2 PAX6\_HUMAN 1 MQNSHSGVNQLGGVFVNGRPLPDSTRQKIVELAHSGARPCDISRILQTHADAKVQVLDNQ Annotation Sequence conflict P26367 PAX6\_HUMAN P26367-2 PAX6\_HUMAN Helix 61 NVSNGCVSKILGRYYETGSIRPRAIGGSKPRVATPEVVSKIAQYKRECPSIFAWEIRDRL The extra 14 amino acids Beta strand P26367 PAX6\_HUMAN P26367-2 PAX6\_HUMAN 107 LSEGVCTNDNIPSVSSINRVLRNLASEKQQMGADGMYDKLRMLNGQTGSWGTRPGWYPGT
121 LSEGVCTNDNIPSVSSINRVLRNLASEKQQMGADGMYDKLRMLNGQTGSWGTRPGWYPGT Turn of isoform 5a are due to the inclusion of a tiny Compositional bia 167 SVPGQPTQDGCQQQEGGGENTNSISSNGEDSDEAQMRLQLKRKLQRNRTSFTQEQIEAL 181 SVPGQPTQDGCQQQEGGGENTNSISSNGEDSDEAQMRLQLKRKLQRNRTSFTQEQIEAL P26367 PAX6\_HUMAN P26367-2 PAX6 HUMAN extra (42 base pair) exon M DNA binding in some transcripts. Alternative equence 287 ISSSFSTSVYQPIPQPTTPVSSFTSGSMLGRTDTALTNTYSALPPMPSFTMANNLPMQPP 301 ISSSFSTSVYQPIPQPTTPVSSFTSGSMLGRTDTALTNTYSALPPMPSFTMANNLPMQPP P26367 PAX6\_HUMAN P26367-2 PAX6\_HUMAN 347 VPSOTSSYSCMLPTSPSVNGRSYDTYTPPHMOTHMNSOPMGTSGTTSTGLISPGVSVPVO Can you see the evidence for this assertion in the two regional genomic P26367 PAX6\_HUMAN P26367-2 PAX6\_HUMAN


We need to save a some protein sequences for future analysis. This is easiest from UniProtKB so now is good. To declare your intention to save the entire canonical version of the PAX6 protein to a file, move back from your alignment. Move to the top of the page where you will find the bizarre invitation to Add to basket ? Just do it.

You also need to download the sequences of both domains is separate files, via your basket. First the **Paired Box**.

Click the Family & Domains button on the left of the page. Then use the made button adjacent to the **Paired** entry. Its now in you basket you will be ecstatic to know.

maps of a few pages back?

e	Feature key	Position(s)	Length	Description	Graphical view	Feature identifier	Actions
n	Domain <sup>j</sup>	4 - 130	127	Paired			<b>⊕</b> Add
r							<b>∜</b> BLAST



The results you are looking at are computed, largely automatically,

Finally, move to the Structure section.

by the UniProtKB annotation system. However, running exactly the same analysis manually is trivial. Maybe you will do this as part of these exercises? Secondary structure

Feature key Position(s) Description Actions Graphical view Beta strand 6 - 8 ♥ Combined sources ▼ П Helix <sup>i</sup> 12 Click on the Show more details Helix <sup>i</sup> 39 - 46 ♥ Combined sources ▼ 8 button. Helix <sup>i</sup> Beta strand Helix 13 Helix 10 Describe the arrangement of Helices Turn <sup>i</sup> within **PAX6**. Helix <sup>i</sup> 219 - 229 Combined sources -11 Helix <sup>i</sup> 10 Helix <sup>1</sup> 

Unintegrated signatures


▶ PTHR24329

▶ PTHR24329:SF294

422

► cd00086 (hom ► cd00131 (PAX)

no IPR



A valid question at this point might be "Why is the **Homeobox** domain a **Function** (specifically a **DNA binding** feature), but the **Paired** domain is a **Domain** feature?" To which the answer is "History, dear boy, history" to paraphrase a disputed quote of dear Harold (Macmillan that is).

The fact both are **Domains**, and both are **DNA binding**. The illogicality of them being recorded in different places is accepted, however, to fix this early mistake now would not be trivial. So, we live with it. So doing, click on the appropriate button and head for the checkout desk (Good Grief! I am beginning to get used to this!).

Shimmy back to the top of the page. You should have Basket things in your basket.

Click on the basket to view your booty.

For each of the 3 items in turn (not all at once or you get all sequences in one file), select and bownload.





Each time ensure the download parameters are set to Uncompressed and FASTA (canonical). Then click the button.

The next few steps, as before, are very browser/OS dependant. Just do whatever it takes to save the three sequences in files called, as appropriate:

pax6\_human.fasta
pax\_domain.fasta
homeobox domain.fasta

Now move back to America to the NCBI view of the PAX6 gene. If you have problems getting there ... click here.

At the bottom of the page, there is a section called **Related sequences**. Click on the last entry, the mRNA called **AB209177.1**. You will be rewarded by a **GenBank** entry in **GenBank format**. Formats are tedious, but we will discuss them briefly at some point. You have already witnessed **FASTA format**. I expect we will bump into **EMBL format** at some point. The other **137** or so formats I suggest be ignored!

Can you see the official gene name PAX6, mentioned in this entry? The Gene Name field (where PAX6 should most certainly be mentioned) is entirely missing! If you searched GenBank (or EMBL come to that) for this sequence using the most obvious search Keyword, that is PAX6, do you think you would find this PAX6 mRNA? You clearly should! A case for more consistent annotation? Perhaps something to consider further when we superficially mention the Gene Ontology project later.

Next, search the nucleotide databases, by textual Keyword, for **PAX6** related sequences and down load one or two for investigation. To achieve this worthy goal, move to the top of the current page and note that the database selection has changed from **Gene** to **Nucleotide**. Click on the Advanced search option button.



Then in the Nucleotide Advanced Search Builder, change All Fields: to Title in the pull down menu associated with the first search field and type in the keywords:

#### chromosome 11

In the second search field, again change All Fields to Title and type in the keyword:

pax6



You are asking **Entrez** to search for all **Nucleotide** database entries that contain the terms **chromosome 11** and **pax6** in the section of their annotation intended to be a succinct brief description (I.e. **Title**) of the entry. Click on the button to start the search going.

There is just one matching entry which is DEFINITION long sapiens paired box 6 (PAX6), RefSeqGene on chromosome 11.


There is just one matching entry which is DEFINITION long sapiens paired box 6 (PAX6), RefSeqGene on chromosome 11.

There is just one matching entry which is DEFINITION long that you searched by selecting the arrayed before you in Genbank format, very neat!! It was the DEFINITION line that you searched by selecting the Field value Title. I needed a few tries to get the right search to find just what was needed, and was a bit surprised at the simplicity and accuracy of the final search. You are looking at a RefSeqGene (a subset of the RefSeq database) entry. As such, it represents a genomic sequence for a "well-characterised gene", in this case PAX6.

Take a look at the **FEATURES** for this entry. You will see that there are **two** genes mentioned. **PAX6**, of course, and **ELP4** on the strand that is the complement of that represented here.

join(16551..16560,20128..20258,21186..21401,22106..22271, 28174..28332,28848..28930,29160..29310,29409..29524, 32102..32252.32943..33028) /gene="PAX6" /gene\_synonym="AN; AN2; D11S812E; FVH1; MGDA; WAGR" /note="isoform a is encoded by transcript variant 1; paired box protein Pax-6; paired box homeotic gene-6; oculorhombin; aniridia type II protein" /codon start=1 /product="paired box protein Pax-6 isoform a" /protein\_id=" NP\_000271.1 /db\_xref="CCDS: CCDS31451.1 /db\_xref="LRG:p1" /db\_xref="GeneID: 5080 /db\_xref="HGNC: HGNC:8620 " /db\_xref="MIM: 607108" /translation="MONSHSGVNOLGGVEVNGRPLPDSTROKTVELAHSGARPCDTSR ILOVSNGCVSKILGRYYETGSIRPRAIGGSKPRVATPEVVSKIAOYKRECPSIFAWEI RDRLLSEGVCTNDNIPSVSSINRVLRNLASEKOOMGADGMYDKLRMLNGOTGSWGTRP GWYPGTSVPGOPTODGC000EGGGENTNSISSNGEDSDEA0MRLOLKRKLORNRTSFT OFOTFAL FKEFERTHYPDVFARERI AAKTDI PFARTOVWFSNRRAKWRREFKI RNORR OASNTPSHIPISSSESTSVYOPIPOPTTPVSSETSGSMLGRTDTALTNTYSALPPMPS FTMANNLPMOPPVPSOTSSYSCMLPTSPSVNGRSYDTYTPPHMOTHMNSOPMGTSGTT STGLISPGVSVPVQVPGSEPDMSQYWPRLQ"

At the top of your page, Analyze this sequence by clicking on the Highlight Sequence Features option. The CoDing Sequence (CDS) feature for PAX6 is displayed for you by highlighting the relevant parts (the coding exons) of the sequence and displaying the CDS details including the DNA regions that need to be joined to form the CDS and the translation of the CDS.



Use the controls at the bottom of your page to look at the other features of this entry (select feature number and then click on the Feature button).

What were the features that you found?

Why might you have expected more features than there were?

COMMENT REVIEWED <u>REFSEQ</u>: This record has been curated by NCBI staff in collaboration with Isabel Hanson, David FitzPatrick. The reference sequence was derived from <u>Z95332.1</u> and <u>Z83307.1</u>. This sequence is a reference standard in the <u>RefSeqGene</u> project.

PRIMARY REFSEQ\_SPAN PRIMARY\_IDENTIFIER PRIMARY\_SPAN COMP

795332.1


Z83307.1

Take a look at the **COMMENT** and **PRIMARY** sections just above the **FEATURES**. This entry is suggested to be constructed from two sequences from **GenBank**. That is, the products of two sequencing projects.

Take a quick look at the **GenBank** entries by entering their **ACCESSION** numbers into the **Search** box at the top of your page. Click on the **Search** button.

2023-20874

105-21422



Lo and behold, the two GenBank entries are

Summary - 200 per page - Sort by Accession 
Items: 50

Homo sapiens F

1. 166 bp linear DN,
Accession: AY34235
GenBank FASTA
Organism Name
Taxonomy ID

6 and partial cde

1-18852

18853-40170

Human DNA sequence from clone CFAT5 on chromosome 11, complete sequence
 20,874 bp linear DNA
 Accession: Z95332.1 Gl: 2190397

GenBank FASTA Graphics

Human DNA sequence from clone A1280 on chromosome 11, complete sequence
 22,253 bp linear DNA

Accession: Z83307.1 GI: 1730464 <u>GenBank</u> <u>FASTA</u> <u>Graphics</u>

summoned forth. Take a look at one or both. Not particularly illuminating I think<sup>1</sup>. These

are clones sequenced as part of the Human Genome Project (HGP). They served to cover regions of Chromosome 11 and have little biological significance in themselves.

Move back to the list, as illustrated. Elect to Analyze these sequences, selecting from the extensive range of possibilities Run BLAST. We will look at blast properly later, the idea here is to simple prove that these two sequencing clones really do overlap in the fashion suggested by the evidence so far. So, elect to Align two or more sequences<sup>2</sup>. Cut and paste one of the sequencing clone accession numbers from the Enter Query Sequence box to the Enter Subject Sequence section of the form. Elect to Show results in a new window<sup>3</sup>. Firmly address the

**Enter Query Sequence** Enter accession number(s), gi(s), or FASTA sequence(s) 🤢 Query subrange @ Z95332. 1 From To Browse... a descriptive title for your BLAST search Align two or more sequences @ Enter Subject Sequence Enter accession number, gi, or FASTA sequence @ Subject subrange (a) Or, upload file Program Selection Optimize for Highly similar sequences (megablast) More dissimilar sequences (discontiguous megablast) O Somewhat similar sequences (blastn) Choose a BLAST algorithm ( BLAST Search nucleotide sequence using Megablast (Optimize for highly similar sequences) Show results in a new window

Just one region of overlap should be identified.

 Query
 20771
 GATCCGGAGCGACTTCCGCCTATTTCCAGAAATTAAGCTCAAACTTGACGTGCAGCTAGT
 20830

 Sbjct
 1
 GATCCGGAGCGACTTCCGCCTATTTCCAGAAATTAAGCTCAAACTTGACGTGCAGCTAGT
 60

 Query
 20831
 TTTATTTTAAAGACAAATGTCAGAGAGGCTCATCATATTTTCCC
 20874

 Sbjct
 61
 TTTATTTAAAGACAAATGTCAGAGAGGCTCATCATATTTTCCC
 104

How does the alignment you generated match up with the annotation of the original **RefSeq** entry you discovered?

The annotation is very sparse which makes these entries very hard to find directly. The EML-Bank versions include some links to Ensembl codes. These would have been helpful but are not part of the official International Nucleotide Sequence Database Collaboration (INSDC) annotation that should be consistent between GenBank, European Nucleotide Archive (ENA), which includes EML-Bank, and DNA Data Bank of Japan (DDBJ).

As opposed to comparing each of the two clones against an entire sequence database.

Just because its neater. In my, significantly less then humble, opinion anyway.

Now for an entirely new search. The easiest way to get a fresh start is to move back to your browser tab displaying the GenBank Search results, and then click on the Advanced option of the Search facility at the top of the page. You should arrive back at the Nucleotide Advanced Search Builder offering a fresh start.



Set up a new search as illustrated and set it going. Ultimately simple this time. You have requested all **Human** sequences that are centrally associated with the gene **PAX6**.

A list of 50 or so sequences, all clearly claiming PAX6 association and announcing their humanity loudly in Latin, will tumble forth.



You will have more hits than are displayed in one go, by default. Also, the hits are arranged in a "**Default**" order which has thus far defied all my attempts to associate with any reasonable definition of logic!


To deal with both of these issues, use the display control pull down menus at the top of your page to set the items per page to something big and the Sort by option to something sane.

The list shows matches between the terms entered and the <u>annotation</u> of DNA sequences. Not all relevant sequences will be present. For example, the **mRNA** with accession number AB209177 was justifiably referenced in the PAX6 Gene entry but will not be in this list. PAX6 appears nowhere in the annotation of AB209177 including its **DESCRIPTION** (or Title) field.

Move far down the list, you will come to the RefSeq PAX6 mRNAs of a few pages back. Just before these entries is M77844.1. Save this one for later analysis. I choose M77844.1 as it includes a few variations that will add interest. Select the target sequence.

Homo sapiens isolate MP-E2-E13 paired box protein Pax-6 isoform a (PAX6) gene, complete cds 32. 3,695 bp linear DNA Accession: KT580799.1 GI: 969822271 GenBank FASTA Graphics PopS Homo sapiens oculorhombin (PAX6) mRNA, complete cds, alternatively spliced 33. 1,643 bp linear mRNA Accession: M77844.1 GI: 189352 GenBank FASTA Graphics Human paired box gene (PAX6) homologue, complete cds 34. 1,698 bp linear mRNA GenBank FASTA Graphics Homo sapiens paired box 6 (PAX6), RefSegGene (LRG 720) on chromosome 11 35. 40,170 bp linear DNA Accession: NG\_008679.1 GI: 208879460 GenBank FASTA Graphics Homo sapiens paired box 6 (PAX6), transcript variant 1, mRNA 6.969 bp linear mRNA Accession: NM 000280.4 GI: 386642908

You could now use the diminutive button which is near the bottom of your page to download all the selected sequences into a single file.



However, as there is only one sequence, and it would be so nice to be introduced properly before such intimacies as "downloading". Click on the link to the database entry to see it in all its **GenBank Format** glory.

The sequence is for analysis rather than decoration, so use the format menu at the top of the page (currently set GenBank), and ask for FASTA format.

Complete Record
Coding Sequences
Gene Features
Choose Destination
File
Collections
Analysis Tool
Download 1 items.
Format
FASTA
Create File

Now click the tiny **Send:** button and **Choose Destination** to be **File**.

Strike the Create File button with a firm resolve. With irritating presumption, the choice of file name is made for you. Your sequence will be stored in a file named:

sequence.fasta

The **NCBI** is justifiably not famed for its understanding of poetry! Do whatever it takes to rename this file to be called:

pax6 mrna.fasta

Practical 1: Databases and Tools

One last file to save. Move back to your list of hits and deselect the mRNA that you have already saved.

Near the top of the list you should find two primer sequences. Their **Description**s suggest they are a pair of **PCR** primers used for picking out the **PAX6** gene. Select both by clicking in their selection boxes.

```
Homo sapiens neuroretina-specific pax6 gene enhancer region

    267 bp linear DNA

   Accession: AJ009907.1 GI: 3378599
   GenBank FASTA Graphics
Homo sapiens paired box gene 6 (PAX6), isoform a sense primer
11. 25 bp linear DNA
   Accession: AJ270357.1 GI: 9557932
   GenBank FASTA Graphics
   Homo sapiens paired box gene 6 (PAX6), isoform a antisense primer
12. 26 bp linear DNA
   Accession: AJ270358.1 GI: 9557933
   GenBank FASTA Graphics
Homo sapiens paired box protein PAX6 (PAX6) mRNA, complete cds
13. 1,399 bp linear mRNA
   Accession: AY047583.1 GI: 15422112
   GenBank FASTA Graphics
```

Sunday 23 April 2017

```
L0CUS
            AJ270357
                                        25 bp
                                                 DNA
                                                          linear PRI 26-JUL-2000
DEFINITION
           Homo sapiens paired box gene 6 (PAX6), isoform a sense primer.
ACCESSION
            AJ270357
VERSION
            AJ270357.1 GI:9557932
KEYWORDS
SOURCE
            Homo sapiens (human)
 ORGANISM
           Homo sapiens
            Eukaryota; Metazoa; Chordata; Craniata; Vertebrata; Euteleostomi;
            Mammalia; Eutheria; Euarchontoglires; Primates; Haplorrhini;
            Catarrhini; Hominidae; Homo.
1 (bases 1 to 25)
REFERENCE
  AUTHORS
            Palm, K., Salin-Nordstrom, T., Levesque, M.F. and Neuman, T.
 TITLE
            Fetal and adult human CNS stem cells have similar molecular
            characteristics and developmental potential
  JOURNAL
            Brain Res. Mol. Brain Res. 78 (1-2), 192-195 (2000)
  PUBMED
            10891600
REFERENCE
            2 (bases 1 to 25)
 AUTHORS
            Palm,K.
  TITLE
            Direct Submission
            Submitted (04-0CT-1999) Surgery, Cedars Sinai Medical Center, 8700
 JOURNAL
            Beverly Blvd., Los Angeles, CA 90048, US
Related entry: NM_000280.
COMMENT
FEATURES
                      Location/Qualifiers
     source
                      /organism="Homo sapiens'
                      /mol type="genomic DNA
                      /db_xref="taxon:9606"
     misc_feature
                      1..25
                      /note="PCR sense primer for paired box gene 6 (PAX6),
                      isoform a
ORIGIN
        1 ccagccagag ccagcatgca gaaca
```

Click on the sense primer. Properly, you would read all the **References** carefully. Instead, note the length looks about right and return to your list with the **Back** button.

It will be good to investigate these primers later, so find the diminutive button which is at the top of your page and use it. Choose your Destination to be File and set the Format of that file to be FASTA. Strike the Create File button with a confident click of your every ready mouse. Once more, the choice of file name is made for you. Your sequences are stored in a file named:

sequence.fasta

Do whatever it takes to rename this file to be called:

pax6 primers.fasta




Back to **Ensembl**. More with the objective of looking at more sources of information via **Ensembl** than becoming expert **Ensembl** users.

Go to the Ensembl home page (www.ensembl.org). Choose to View full list of all Ensembl species using the link just under the Select a species menu.

Note that **Ensembl** (and **MapViewer**, of course) offers far more than just the Human Genome.

In particular, note the links to EnsemblPlants, EnsemblFungi, EnsemblBacteria etc. Ensembl databases at the bottom of the list.

During this exercise, you will only look at the Human genome, by far the most completely recorded. However, all the other **Ensembl** additional metazoan genomes (initially in genomes are behind the same interface. The techniques required to examine the Human genome are broadly those required to examine any **Ensembl** genome.



Move back to the home page and go to the **Human PAX6** gene information by setting up the **Search** fields as shown and clicking the **Go** button boldly.

The target gene is at the top of the hit list.

# Click on the link to the PAX6 (Human Gene).


You should recognise the view you now see. The list of transcripts and a view of the genomic region roughly similar to those offered by the **NCBI**.

There is much to investigate here, but maybe that should wait for a specialised **Ensembl** course. They are run regularly in Cambridge and elsewhere.

To make a bit more space, elect to Hide transcript table

e.g. BRCA2 or rat 5:62797383-63627669 or rs699 or coronary heart disease

PAX6 (Human Gene)
ENSG00000007372 11:31784792-31817961:-1
Paired box 6 [Source:HGNC Symbol;Acc:HGNC:8620]



First a look at how **Ensembl** sees the **Homologues** of **PAX6**. First the **Orthologues** and then the **Paralogues**. Click on the **Othologues** link in the left hand side of your browser page.

Take a look at some of the alignments providing support for the homologous relations. The protein alignments are the more informative (from the View Sequence Alignments menu, select View Protein Alignment).



Using the evidence of the protein alignments, which **PAX6** isoforms do the fruitfly orthologues most resemble?

Once your curiosity is completely sated, click on the Paralogues link. View some of the protein alignments between the PAX6 isoform and its paralogues.

What region(s) of the paralogues seem to be best conserved? Does this surprise you? If not, why not?

How many PAX protein paralogues are there for human? Suggest a prettier naming scheme than PAX1, PAX2, ...

Next look at some transcript specific features as they are recorded in **Ensembl**. To do this, one must first select a transcript, so Show transcript table once more and select ENST00000419022. Again, to make a bit more space, why Paralogues not Hide transcript table away.

Now click the Exons link (from Transcript-based displays → Sequence). Exons, Introns and Variations within Exons are clearly displayed.

Intron 2-3	31,810,827	31,806,926			3,902	gtgagtccgcttctttcttctcgcttttttctccttctgttttgtcttag
ENSE00001098662	31,806,925	31,806,849	-	-	77	$\tt GG^{\bullet}_{G}GAGGCTTTAACTAGGGGGCGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGG$
Intron 3-4	31,806,848	31,806,463			386	gcaagttctgtggtggctgctttggttaactcctattttcttgctaacag
ENSE00002523992	31,806,462	31,806,402		1	61	A CCCCATATTC GAGCCCCGT GGAAT CCCGCGGCCCCCA CCCAGAGCCAGCATGC AGAAC A
Intron 4-5	31,806,401	31,802,835			3,567	gtaagtgcctctggtctttctgggatttcctctcctc
ENSE00003602163	31,802,834	31,802,704	1	0	131	GTCACAGCEGAGTGAATCAGCTCGCTGGGTGTCTTTCTCAACCGGGCGGCCACTGCCGGACT CCACCCGGCAGAAGATTGTAGAGCTAGCTCACAGCGGGCCCCGGGCCGTGCGACATTTCCC CAACTTCTGCAG
Intron 5-6	31,802,703	31,801,913			791	gtgatcctcccggcgccgccccactttgaaggtatatttttgtgttatag
ENSE00003512677	31,801,912	31,801,871	0	0	42	ACCCATGCAGATGCAAAA <mark>A</mark> G <mark>TCC</mark> AAGTGCTGG <mark>A</mark> CAATC <mark>A</mark> AAAC
Intron 6-7	31,801,870	31,801,777			94	gtaagcttgtcattgtttaatgcatttttctgtccacttcccctatgcag
ENSE00003523920	31,801,776	31,801,561	0	0	216	ENGTOCAACEGATETETEAGTAAAATTOTGGGCAGETATTALGAGACTEGCTCCA <mark>T</mark> CAGA CCCAGGGCAATE <mark>G</mark> GTGCTAGTAAACCGACAGTACEGACTCCAEAAGTTGTAAGCAAAANA GCCCAG <mark>T</mark> AHAAGC <mark>G</mark> GEAGTGCCC <mark>GA</mark> CCATCTTTGCTTGGGAAATCEGAGACA <mark>G</mark> ATHACTG TCCGAGGGGGTCTGTACCAACGATAACATACCAAGC

What are the first two bases and what are the last two bases of nearly every intron?

How long is the sixth exon and why would this concur with your expectations?

Explain the **Start Phase** and **End Phase** columns?

Click on some of the colourful variation locations. The colours are explained in the legend at the top of the display.



The variations come from a number of variation databases, including dbSNP. The dbSNP entries are those whose names begin with "rs". dbSNP can be investigated directly at the NCBI, of course, but it very handy to have all the variation information built into Genome Databases such as Ensembl.

Variation: rs750195797
Position 11:318
Alleles TIC cDNA position 745
Protein 92
Protein 92
Codons gtA/gtG
Consequences Synon
Explore this variant
Gene/Transcript Location
Gene/Transcript Location



Click on the Domains & features link (from Transcript-based displays → Protein Information).

Domain source	Start	End	Description	Accession	InterPro
PANTHER	1	411	-	PTHR24329	-
PANTHER	1	411	-	PTHR24329:SF294	-
Prosite_profiles	222	282	Homeobox domain	PS50071 &	IPR001356 & [Display all genes with this domain]
Smart	224	286	Homeobox domain	SM00389₽	IPR001356 & [Display all genes with this domain]
Pfam	226	281	Homeobox domain	PF00046&	IPR001356 & [Display all genes with this domain]
Prosite_patterns	257	280	Homeobox, conserved site	<u>PS00027</u> ₽	IPR017970 & [Display all genes with this domain]
Superfamily	6	143	Homeodomain-like	SSF46689 മ	IPR009057 & [Display all genes with this domain]
Gene3D	201	284	Homeodomain-like	1.10.10.60	IPR009057 & [Display all genes with this domain]
Superfamily	205	283	Homeodomain-like	SSF46689₫	IPR009057 & [Display all genes with this domain]
Pfam	4	142	Paired domain	PF00292&	IPR001523rው [Display all genes with this domain]
Smart	4	142	Paired domain	SM00351 ₽	IPR001523┏ [Display all genes with this domain]
Prosite_profiles	4	144	Paired domain	PS51057@	IPR001523& [Display all genes with this domain]
PRINTS	8	23	Paired domain	PR00027₫	IPR001523rው [Display all genes with this domain]
PRINTS	26	44	Paired domain	PR00027₫	IPR001523rው [Display all genes with this domain]
PRINTS	60	77	Paired domain	PR00027@	IPR001523┏ [Display all genes with this domain]
PRINTS	78	95	Paired domain	PR00027₫	IPR001523 & [Display all genes with this domain]
Gene3D	7	86	Winged helix-turn-helix DNA-binding domain	1.10.10.10	IPR011991 & [Display all genes with this domain]
Gene3D	87	150	Winged helix-turn-helix DNA-binding domain	1.10.10.10	IPR011991 & [Display all genes with this domain]

Are you shocked and dismayed that the precise location of the PAX6 Homeobox domain is not identically predicted by the **SMART** and **Pfam Domain Databases**? If not, why not?

How is that all the predictions, of different domain databases, for a Paired domain have the same Interpro identifier?

Why does **PRINTS** appear to predict four **Paired domains**?

# Click on the link to the SMART entry for the Paired domain (SM00351).

Here you will find (quoted from Interpro) a Description of a Paired domain.

in a protein?

Where would you expect The paired domain is an approximately 126 amino acid DNA-binding domain, which is found in eukaryotic transcription regulatory proteins involved in embryogenesis. The domain was originally described as the 'paired box' in the Drosophila a Paired domain to OCCUT protein paired (prd) [(PUBMED:2877747), (PUBMED:3123319)]. The paired domain is generally located in the N-terminal part. An octapeptide [(PUBMED:10811620)] and/or a homeodomain can occur C-terminal to the paired domain, as well as a Pro-Ser-Thr-rich C terminus.


concerning domain?

Paired domain proteins can function as transcription repressors or activators. The paired domain contains three subdomains, which show functional differences in DNA-binding. The crystal structures of prd and Pax proteins show that the DNA-bound What expectations do you paired domain is bipartite, consisting of an N-terminal subdomain (PAI or NTD) and a C-terminal subdomain (RED or CTD), connected by a linker. PAI and RED each form a three-helical fold, with the most C-terminal helices comprising a helixturn-helix (HTH) motif that binds the DNA major groove. In addition, the PAI subdomain encompasses an N-terminal beta-turn typically follows a Paired and beta-hairpin, also named 'wing', participating in DNA-binding. The linker can bind into the DNA minor groove. Different Pax proteins and their alternatively spliced isoforms use different (sub)domains for DNA-binding to mediate the specificity of sequence recognition [(PUBMED:11103953), (PUBMED:15148315)].


The reason for these two questions will become apparent later.

The second paragraph of the **Description** claims, in gross summary:

- A paired domain is a DNA binding domain that has 2 binding regions each of which involves a helical triplet
- The second and third helices of each helical triplet form Helix-Turn-Helix (HTH) motifs
- The HTH regions bind the DNA major groove4



- The first helical triplet is preceded by a  $\beta$ -turn and  $\beta$ -hairpin ("wing") that participate in the DNA binding
- The linker region between the two helical triplets can bind the **DNA minor groove**






Click on Display all genes with this domain for the Paired domain and Homeobox domain InterPro families. The locations of all genes including each domain will be displayed graphically and textually. PAX6 is shown in red.

#### Paired domain - IPR001523

# 

### Homeobox domain - IPR001356



Which domain, Paired domain or Homeobox domain is more common in humans?


How many human PAX genes are there?

Are all the PAX genes on Chromosome 11? \_\_\_\_\_

4 If, like me, you have conceptual problems with major and minor groves. Try this animated picture. Helped me at least. As did the image above.

Basic Bioinformatics 18 of 32 09:15:22 PM

Move back to the **Domains & features** display. Link to the **InterPro** database entry for **Paired domain**, also know as **IPR001523**. Here you will find the origins of the **SMART** documentation. Click on the **Proteins matched link**. You will see listed a number of representations of proteins that, according to **InterPro**, include a **Paired domain**. Amongst these will be the human **PAX6** protein, also known as **P26367**<sup>5</sup>. There are links provided to entries in a number of relevant databases for each listed protein.



What type of Helix-Turn-Helix (HTH) is predicted by InterPro for all the Paired domains in the list?


Click on the Structures link in the top left hand corner of the page. InterPro will offer links to relevant entries in the PDBe, SCOP and CATH databases. Click on the link to the 6pax entry in the PDBe database. You will arrive at the entry for 6pax in PDBe, the European version of PDB maintained at the EBI. Views of this structure are offered on the right hand side of the page. Click on the largest image which shows the paired box protein domain



binding DNA rather beautifully. Once you have admired this image sufficiently, move back to the **6pax PDBe** entry. From the Quick links on the right of the page, select the 3D Visualisation option.

The **SMART** documentation you read earlier suggested two paired box subdomains, each of which "... form a three-helical fold, with the most C-terminal helices comprising a **helix-turn-helix** (**HTH**) motif that binds the **DNA major groove**". Move your image around to confirm this assertion.

The same **SMART** documentation claims the subdomain nearer the N terminal " ... encompasses an N-terminal **beta-turn** and **beta-hairpin**, also named 'wing', participating in DNA-binding. The linker can bind into the **DNA minor groove**". Manipulate you image to investigate the veracity of these assertions.



Once you have seen all there is to see of 6PAX, move back to the Ensembl Domains & features display. Try the same tricks with the InterPro Homeobox domain. This time, it is difficult to find P26367 in the huge list<sup>7</sup> Proteins matched, but you do not need to in order to link to the Structures. There are many more structures to choose from this time. I suggest you go for 2cue. You have to imagine the DNA this time.

It looks rather as if the **Homeobox domain** also includes a helical triplet including a **Helix-Turn-Helix**. You could have confirmed this by reference to the relevant **SMART** documentation (as you did for the **Paired box** domain). It is the **HTH** that the **Homeobox** uses to bind to DNA.

**InterPro** did not detect the **Homeobox HTH** as it did the **Paired box HTH**. Have you any thoughts as to why this might be?

Can you explain the strangely frayed ends displayed in some of the representations of the **2cue** 3D structure?

Third from the bottom of the first page, last time I counted.

PDB is the main database for 3D protein structures. SCOP and CATH are also 3D structure related databases.

If you really wanted to, the best approach is to search for **P26367** in the search box at the top of the page and then look for the **Homeobox domain** entry in the **Detailed signature matches** list.

To end, a gesture towards demonstrating that you could quite easily have computed most of the information you have been accessing, ready packed, from various databases. There are many way this objective could be achieved, I choose to search for the features of the **PAX6** protein.

As has been discovered from several information sources, the **PAX6** human protein has two DNA binding domains. A paired box at the **N terminal** and a homeobox a little further along. Both of the domains include **Helix-Turn-Helix** (**HTH**) motifs. In this exercise, you will investigate how you might discover these domains and motifs using the various freely available domain databases (discussed previously) and other feature prediction programs. Clearly, this is superfluous for this particularly, well documented protein, but a valuable option in other circumstances.

One approach would be to consider each relevant domain database in turn. Each major domain database has its own Home web site and customised software to take **Query** protein sequences, compare those sequences with domain representations (typically based on **Hidden Markov Models**) and to report convincing matches. This would work, but would be tedious as there are many viable databases to consider. It would be dangerous to rely on too few of the databases available as none is perfect. You need a consensus prediction to be sure you miss nothing.

Also, you would need to know which databases are particularly appropriate for each domain you considered might be present. All databases cannot be optimised for all types of domain (for example, the **SMART** database specialises in domains that occur in signalling proteins).

So, let us not search individual domain databases in the main part of these exercises. Instead, I offer a supplementary exercise investigating a representative selection of the available searches. I selected the **Prosite**, **Pfam** and **PRINTS** domain databases, If you do this exercise, consider particularly the **PRINTS** section. It illustrates how and why **PRINTS** just fails to see one of the two **PAX6** domains (as you already discovered when looking at **UniProt**).


Here, use just **Interpro** to do the whole job. **Interpro** will search for all domains using the appropriate domain databases, thus removing the tedium of interrogating a miscellany of domain searching resources individually.

defines protein families according to the way that proteins match elements of a wide range of protein family databases, including all those we have discussed thus far. **Interpro** provides a search tool that will search all or any of the major protein family databases and assign **Interpro** family associations to the query protein(s) accordingly. To have a look at some of the possibilities offered by **Interpro**, Go to:

http://www.ebi.ac.uk/interpro/

If you were to enter the **PAX6** human protein into the obvious place on the **InterPro** home page, you would produce exactly the results you saw many pages back, when you were investigating **UniProtKB**<sup>8</sup>. Do this if you have the time and inclination.

By implication, **InterPro** offers a fuller experience via the **InterProScan** search tool. Other than the opportunity not to search **ALL** the domain databases, and having the results arranged slightly differently, I am unsure what the extra effort brings? Never mind, there are many things of which I am unsure, so, from the **InterPro** Home page ...



Select the InterProScan link. Here you will be offered the opportunity to download the InterProScan program.

I am not sure this is too useful an offer for most? But it is there.

For now, chose the online Sequence search.



**Practical 1: Databases and Tools** 

You will arrive at a page that looks very similar to that from which you started, as far as the offer to run a domain search is concerned? Except! We now have **Advanced options**. Click on the **Advanced options**.

The **Advanced options** only allow you to choose which databases you wish to search and which feature prediction programs you wish to run. The default is to use all the databases and to run all the predictor programs. I struggle to imagine an occasion I would want to save the EBI servers a few cycles by considering which options to deselect, but it so nice to know I could if I wished to.

In passing, the offer to run the feature predictor programs in the **Other** sequence features section is relatively new. Of course, all these programs could be run individually from their home websites (follow the links behind the program names), in the same way as the domain databases can be searched individually. Interpro just aims to make thing easy for the user. The programs currently offered are:

Sunday 23 April 2017 Analyse your protein sequence sp|P26367|PAX6 HUMAN Paired box protein Pax-6 OS=Homo sapiens GN=PAX6 PF=1 SV=2 MQNSHSGVNQLGGVFVNGRPLPDSTRQKIVEL AHSGARPCDISRILQVSNGCVSKILGRY /ETGSIRPRAIGGSKPRVATPEVVSKIAOYKRECP IFAWEIRDRI L SEGVCTNDNIPSV Advanced options Select the applications to run: Uncheck all Select all Member databases Families, domains, sites & repeats ProDom Prosite-Profiles SMART TIGREAM Prosite-Patterns Structural domains Gene3d SFLD SUPERFAMILY Other sequence features Coils MobiDB Lite Phobius SignalP TMHMM Search | Clear Example protein sequence


- Coils is a program for predicting coiled coils.
- Phobius & TMHMM are programs to predict Transmembrane regions (essentially hydrophobic, uncharged regions). There is no reason to expect any **Transmembrane regions** in this protein.
- SignalP predicts the presence and location of signal peptide cleavage sites in amino acid sequences from different organisms. I am pretty certain that there is no reason to expect signal peptides in this protein.

Do you think it a good idea for **Interpro** to offer feature prediction programs as well as domain database searches?

Paste the human PAX6 sequence into the patiently waiting box (from the file you made earlier called pax6 human.fasta). Accept the "do everything" default. Click on the Search button.

After several moments of deep thought, filtering and validating, you will be presented with a table of results looking very much like the one your saw earlier when looking around UniProtKB.

There however, one significant difference. In the Unintegrated signatures section, you will see that a coiled coil has been detected by the program Coils. This was not included in the UniProtKB information, maybe as Interpro has only recently included analysis using Coils? UniProtKB might catch up next time it is updated.



Practical 1: Databases and Tools

Do you think the Coil prediction might be correct?

Notice that Interpro assigns both the PAX domain and the Homeobox domain of human PAX6 to the Interpro family **Homeodomain-like**. Both of these associations are based on the hit behind the link **SSF46689**.

SCOP classification

Root: SCOP hierarchy in SUPERFAMILY [5000 0] (11) Class: All alpha proteins [5009 46456] (284) Fold: DNA/RNA-binding 3-helical bundle [5009 46688] (14) Superfamily: Homeodomain-like [5009 46690] (19)
Families: Homeodomain [5009 46690] (40)

Recombinase DNA-binding domain [5009 46728] (5) Myb/SANT domain [5009 46739] (15) SLIDE domain [5009 100998]

GARP response regulators [5009 81683]

DNA-binding domain of telomeric protein [5009 46745] (2

Paired domain [5009 46748] (3)

Follow this link and you will see it leads to the Homeodomain-like

Superfamily 1.75 superfamily of the database that specialises in very general (SCOP<sup>9</sup> superfamily level) protein classifications. One Superfamily entry will typically correspond to a number of more specific domain definitions in other domain databases. Here you can see that the Superfamily domain Homeodomain-like includes both the Homeodomain & Paired domain Families.

Return to your Interpro results page. The Gene3D database is similar to superfamily but based on the CATH database<sup>10</sup>. It suggests the two HTH motifs of the paired box are both Winged helix-turn-helix. The HTH in the Homeobox domain is not detected?

Why might you suppose **Interpro** predicts only **2** of the **3 helix-turn-helix domains** that might be expected?

Follow the link to the Interpro family Homeodomain-like (IPR009057). Click on the button in the Domain relationships section to show the full list of Homeodomain-like Interpro domains.

Contributing signatures

Signatures from InterPro member databases are used to construct an entry.

■ GENE3D 🕦

☑ G3DSA:1.10.10.60 (G3DSA:1.10.10.60)

SUPERFAMILY (1)

SSF46689 (SSF46689)

Note also the **Contributing signatures** in the top right hand corner of the page. Here is listed the domain databases that are searched to determine the presence of an Interpro Homeodomain-like domain

Essentially, if **Gene3D** finds a match with its Homeodomain-like superfamily **Superfamily** finds a match with its Homeodomain-like superfamily, then **Interpro** records a match Homeodomain-like domain (IPR009057).

None of the other domain databases Interpro searches are used to determine membership of IPR009057.

# Domain relationships

- Homeodomain-like (IPR009057)
  - DNA binding HTH domain, Fis-type (IPR002197)
  - DNA binding HTH domain, AraC-type (IPR018060)
  - DNA binding HTH domain, Psq-type (IPR007889)
  - DNA-binding HTH domain, TetR-type (IPR001647)
  - □ HTH CenpB-type DNA-binding domain (IPR006600)
  - □ Homeo-prospero domain (IPR023082)
  - □ D Homeobox domain (IPR001356)
  - Homeodomain, ZF-HD class (IPR006455)
  - □ Homeodomain, phBC6A51-type (IPR024978)
  - D Mor transcription activator (IPR014875)
  - □ Rap1 Myb domain (IPR015010)
  - □ Resolvase, HTH domain (IPR006120)
  - □ SANT/Myb domain (IPR001005)
  - □ SLIDE domain (IPR015195)
  - □ SWIRM domain (IPR007526)
  - Transposase IS30-like HTH domain (IPR025246)
  - □ Transposase, Synechocystis PCC 6803 (IPR002622)
  - □ TyrR family, helix-turn-helix domain (IPR030828)

Structural Classification Of Proteins.

CATH is similar to SCOP in that it is another Structural classification database.

Practica	l 1: Databases and T	Tools				Sur	day 23 A	pril 2017
To ob	tain an impress	ion of how	widely spread	<b>D</b> D	omain			
	hout nature is				ecies: Homeodomain-like (IPR009057)			
_	s button on the l				Species			
				Key	species	Number of proteins	FASTA	Protein IDs
	.1	1	1	*	Arabidopsis thaliana (Mouse-ear cress)	1268	<u>+</u>	<u>+</u>
_	can see, this is nake this list				Homo sapiens (Human)	1134	<u>+</u>	±.
	ment of the expansion		•	V	Oryza sativa subsp. japonica (Rice)	1023	<u>+</u>	<u>↓</u>
	tein links). Why		`		Danio rerio (Zebrafish)	961	<u>*</u>	<u></u>
momer	nts anyway.			1	Mus musculus (Mouse)	893	<u>+</u>	<u>+</u>
				×	Drosophila melanogaster (Fruit fly)	487	<u>+</u>	<u>+</u>
Proteins m	natched: Homeodomain-li	ke (IPR009057)		S	Caenorhabditis elegans	208	<u>+</u>	<u>+</u>
Filtered by spe	cies: Schizosaccharomyces pombe	,	ission yeast) (excludes child	-	Escherichia coli (strain K12)	104	<u>*</u>	<u>*</u>
species) (chan Showing 1 to 2	*			Υ	Saccharomyces cerevisiae (strain ATCC 204508 / S288c) (Baker's yeast)	36	<u>*</u>	<u>*</u>
Accession	Protein name		in architecture	©10	Schizosaccharomyces pombe (strain 972 / ATCC 24843) (Fission yeast)	28	<u>*</u>	<u>*</u>
013719 🛊	SWIRM domain-containing protein laf1	pombe (strain 972 / ATCC 24843) (Fission yeast)	50 100 150 200 250 297	Tax	a			
013788 🛊	SWI/SNF and RSC complexes subunit ssr1	Schizosaccharomyces pombe (strain 972 / ATCC 24843) (Fission yeast)	100 150 200 250 100 350 400 450 50027		ellular organisms 810656 proteins  FASTA   Protein IDs			
013877 🛊 🗊	DNA-directed RNA polymerases I, II, and II I subunit RPABC5	Schizosaccharomyces pombe (strain 972 / ATCC 24843) (Fission yeast)	30 130 130 130 130 130 130 130 130 130 1	Œ	Archaea 3269 proteins   FASTA   Protein IDs  Bacteria (eubacteria) 650577 proteins   FASTA   Protein IDs			
<u>014013</u> ★	RNA polymerase I-specific transcription initi	Schizosaccharomyces	0 20 30 40 50 60 71		Eukaryota (eucaryotes) 156810 proteins   FASTA   Protein IDs   nclassified sequences 4253 proteins   FASTA   Protein IDs			
	ation factor rrn5	pombe (strain 972 / ATCC 24843) (Fission yeast)	100 200 300 400 500 556		'Iruses 1255 proteins  FASTA   Protein IDs			
				⊕ o	ther sequences 19 proteins   FASTA   Protein IDs			

By clicking on the appropriate button, you can get to either the protein sequences in **Fasta** format or list their accessions codes. Try a few, but be careful! It really does get you **ALL** the sequences, and that is often quite a lot, which can take time.

# THE END

**DPJ - 2016.12.12** 

# **Model Answers to Questions in the Instructions Text.**

#### **Notes:**

For the most part, these "**Model Answers**" just provide the reactions/solutions I hoped you would work out for yourselves. However, sometime I have tried to offer a bit more background and material for thought? Occasionally, I have rambled off into some rather self indulgent investigations that even I would not want to try and justify as pertinent to the objective of these exercises. I like to keep these meanders, as they help and entertain me, but I wish to warn you to only take regard of them if you are feeling particularly strong and have time to burn. Certainly not a good idea to indulge here during a time constrained course event!

Where things have got extreme, I am going to make two versions of the answer. One starting:

# Summary:

Which has the answer with only a reasonably digestible volume of deep thought. Read this one.

The other will start:

# Full Answer:

Beware of entering here! I do not hold back. Nothing complicated, but it will be long and full of pedantry.

This makes the Model answers section very big. **BUT**, it is not intended for printing or for reading serially, so I submit, being long and wordy does not matter. Feel free to disagree.

Basic Bioinformatics 25 of 32 09:15:22 PM

# From your investigations using UniProtKB:

# Describe the arrangement of Helices within PAX6.

From the evidence of the textual table and the graphic, there are **nine** helices in all, that occur in groups of **three**.

Aligning the graphical representation of the positions of these helices with the **Interpro** domain prediction graphics (discovered via **UniProtKB** earlier), it is clear that the first two of the helical triplets lie in the **Paired** domain and the third is in the **Homeobox** domain.



Basic Bioinformatics 26 of 32 09:15:23 PM

#### From your investigations using **Entrez**:

What were the features that you found?

#### Summary:

The first feature was the CoDing Sequence (CDS) for a PAX6 isoform.

The other three features were the coding sequences for three **ELP4** isoforms.

```
/gene="ELP4"
/gene synonym="AN; AN2; Cllorf19; dJ68P15A.1; hELP4;
PAX6NEB; PAXNEB
/inference="similar to AA sequence (same
species):RefSeq:NP 001275654.1"
/exception="annotated by transcript or proteomic data"
/note="isoform 2 is encoded by transcript variant 2; elongator complex protein 4; PAX6 neighbor gene protein;
elongation protein 4 homolog"
/codon start=3
/product="elongator complex protein 4 isoform 2"
/protein_id=" <u>NP_001275654.1</u>
/db_xref="CCDS: <u>CCDS73271.1</u>
/db xref="GeneID: 26610
/db_xref="HGNC: <u>HGNC:1171</u> "
/db_xref="MIM: <u>606985</u>
/translation="MAAVATCGSVAASTGSAVATASKSNVTSFQRRGPRASVTNDSGP
RLVSIAGTRPSVRNGQLLVSTGLPALDQLLGGGLAVGTVLLIEEDKYNIYSPLLFKYF
LAEGIVNGHTLLVASAKEDPANILQELPAPLLDDKCKKEFDEDVYNHKTPESNIKMKI
AWRYQLLPKMEQIGPVSSSRFGHYYDASKRMPQELIEASNWHGFFLPEKISSTLKVEP
CSLTPGYTKLLQFIQNIIYEEGFDGSNPQKKQRNILRIGIQNLGSPLWGDDICCAENG
GNSHSLTKFLYVLRGLLRTSLSACIITMPTHLIQNKAIIARVTTLSDVVVGLESFIGS
FRETNPLYKDYHGI THTROTPRI NNI TCDESDVKDI AFKI KRKI FTTEWVODNYI ROF
RNIYPPGFSYLLKQKDSAWGEGSLQHSTFLMSFLAKATAFASRLVRHSEPLKQNGSGR
IRQAAGPRLWHDGRRQEAPGLLGIPP"
```

```
complement(39438..>39569)
/gene="ELP4"
/gene synonym="AN; AN2; Cllorf19; dJ68P15A.1; hELP4;
PAX6NEB; PAXNEB"
/inference="similar to AA sequence (same
species):RefSeq:NP_061913.3"
/exception="annotated by transcript or proteomic data"
/note="isoform 1 is encoded by transcript variant 1; elongator complex protein 4; PAX6 neighbor gene protein;
elongation protein 4 homolog"
/codon_start=1
/product="elongator complex protein 4 isoform 1"
/protein_id=" NP 061913.3
/db_xref="CCDS: <u>CCDS7875.2</u> "
/db xref="GeneID: 26610 "
/db xref="HGNC: HGNC:1171 '
/db xref="MIM: 606985
translation="MAAVATCGSVAASTGSAVATASKSNVTSFORRGPRASVTNDSGP"
RLVSIAGTRPSVRNGOLLVSTGLPALDOLLGGGLAVGTVLLIEEDKYNIYSPLLFKYF
LAEGIVNGHTLLVASAKEDPANILQELPAPLLDDKCKKEFDEDVYNHKTPESNIKMKI
AWRYQLLPKMEIGPVSSSRFGHYYDASKRMPQELIEASNWHGFFLPEKISSTLKVEPO
SLTPGYTKLLOFIONTIYEEGFDGSNPOKKORNILRIGIONLGSPLWGDDICCAENGG
NSHSLTKFLYVLRGLLRTSLSACIITMPTHLIONKAIIARVTTLSDVVVGLESFIGSE
RETNPLYKDYHGLIHIRQIPRLNNLICDESDVKDLAFKLKRKLFTIERLHLPPDLSD7
```

VSRSSKMDLAESAKRLGPGCGMMAGGKKHLDF"

```
complement(39533..>39569)
/gene="ELP4"
/gene_synonym="AN; AN2; Cllorf19; dJ68P15A.1; hELP4; PAX6NEB; PAXNEB"
/inference="similar to AA sequence (same
species):RefSeq:NP 001275655.1
/exception="annotated by transcript or proteomic data"
/note="isoform 3 is encoded by transcript variant 3; elongator complex protein 4; PAX6 neighbor gene protein;
elongation protein 4 homolog"
/codon start=2
/product="elongator complex protein 4 isoform 3"
/protein_id=" <u>NP_001275655.1</u>
/db_xref="CCDS: <u>CCDS73272.1</u>
/db_xref="GeneID: <u>26610</u>
/db xref="HGNC: HGNC:1171 '
/db_xref="MIM: 606985
translation="MAAVATCGSVAASTGSAVATASKSNVTSFORRGPRASVTNDSGF
RLVSIAGTRPSVRNGQLLVSTGLPALDQLLGGGLAVGTVLLIEEDKYNIYSPLLFKYF
LAEGIVNGHTLLVASAKEDPANILQELPAPLLDDKCKKEFDEDVYNHKTPESNIKMK
AWRYOLI PKMETGPVSSSREGHYYDASKRMPOEL TEASNWHGEEL PEKTSSTLKVEPO
SLTPGYTKLLQFIQNIIYEEGFDGSNPQKKQRNILRIGIQNLGSPLWGDDICCAENGG
NSHSLTKFLYVLRGLLRTSLSACIITMPTHLIQNKAIIARVTTLSDVVVGLESFIGSE
RETNPLYKDYHGLIHIRQIPRLNNLICDESDVKDLAFKLKRKLFTIEAGVQWHDLGSR
QPRLLGSSNSPASASLVAGITGAHHHTQLIFVFLVEMGFHHVGQAGLELLTSGDSSAS
ASQSAGITGMSYRARPRALYFKENKSKVGARQLLETREEHLSSRLLILTQAERLCMGR
RFFTAFHIFNELPCKGDCICLOTCOTO"
```

#### **Full Answer:**

Note that only the final coding exon of **ELP4** is within this **RefSeq** sequence, which is defined as the genomic region for **PAX6**. This is clear from the length of the **translations** offered. The exon referenced is only long enough to code for just over **40** amino acids which is far short of any of the three isoform sequences offered here.

| Note that only the final coding exon of **ELP4** is within this **RefSeq** sequence, which is defined as the genomic region for **PAX6**. This is clear from the length of the **translations**| Join (16551..16560, 20128..20258, 21186..21401, 22106..22271, 28174..28332, 28848..28930, 29160..29310, 29409..29524, 32102..32252, 32943..33028)
| Join (16551..16560, 20128..20258, 21186..21401, 22106..22271, 28174..28332, 28848..28930, 29160..29310, 29409..29524, 32102..32252, 32943..33028)
| Join (16551..16560, 20128..20258, 21186..21401, 22106..22271, 28174..28332, 28848..28930, 29160..29310, 29409..29524, 32102..32252, 32943..33028)

Note also that this final coding exon of **ELP4** (stretching from 39424/39438/39533 to 39569 of this **RefSeq** entry) does **not** overlap the coding region of the **PAX6** gene itself (stretching from 16551 to 33028 of this **RefSeq** entry)".

In fact, the two entire genes do not overlap according to the evidence here. The entire PAX6 gene extends from 5001 to 38170. The portion of the ELP4 gene that is included in this entry extends from 40170 (the end) to 38437 (in the opposite direction). This give a gap between the two genes stretching from 38171 to 38436.

```
28174..28332,28848..28930,29160..29310,29409..29524,
32102..32252,32943..33028)
/gene="PAX6"
/gene_synonym="AN; AN2; D11S812E; FVH1; MGDA; WAGR"
/note="isoform a is encoded by transcript variant 1;
paired box protein Pax-6; paired box homeotic gene-6;
oculorhombin; aniridia type II protein"
/codon_start=1
/product="paired box protein Pax-6 isoform a"
/protein_id=" NP 000271.1
/db_xref="CCDS: CCDS31451.1"
/db_xref="LRG:p1"
/db_xref="GeneID: <u>5080</u>
/db_xref="HGNC: HGNC:8620 "
/db xref="MIM: 607108 "
/translation="MQNSHSGVNQLGGVFVNGRPLPDSTRQKIVELAHSGARPCDISF
ILQVSNGCVSKILGRYYETGSIRPRAIGGSKPRVATPEVVSKIAQYKRECPSIFAWEI
RDRLLSEGVCTNDNIPSVSSINRVLRNLASEKQQMGADGMYDKLRMLNGQTGSWGTRF
GWYPGTSVPGOPTODGC000EGGGENTNSISSNGEDSDEAOMRLOLKRKLORNRTSF7
OFOTFAL EKFERTHYPDVFARERI AAKTDI PEARTOVWESNRRAKWRREEKI RNORR
FTMANNLPMQPPVPSQTSSYSCMLPTSPSVNGRSYDTYTPPHMQTHMNSQPMGTSGTT
STGLISPGVSVPV0VPGSEPDMS0YWPRL0"
```

**RefSeqGenes**, comprise the entire gene plus **5,000** "extra" base pairs in either direction. The overlap here is entirely within the "extra" base pairs.

As you will see later, **Ensembl** will confirm the lack of overlap between these two genes graphically as well as their relative positions.

```
gene complement(38437..>40170)
/gene="ELP4"
/gene_synonym="AN; AN2; C11orf19; dJ68P15A.1; hELP4;
PAX6NEB; PAXNEB"
/note="elongator acetyltransferase complex subunit 4"
/db_xref="GeneID:26610"
/db_xref="MGNC:HGNC:1171"
/db_xref="MIM:606985"
```

The annotation (specifically the **gene\_synonyms**) of **ELP4** associate this gene with **PAX6**. However, I believe only because of its proximity.

Why might you have expected more features than there were?

## Summary:

All the evidence has suggested that **PAX6** has at least **2** isoforms. This would lead me to expect at least **2** CDS features here related to **PAX6**?

## Full Answer:

The explanation from the **NCBI** is that this sort of **RefSeq** entry is intended to be used as a template against which sequences from an individual can be mapped to seek variations. Only a token **CDS** feature is included to indicate the position of the gene. For such an entry, recording every isoform is not essential.

This sounded convincing to me, Until I began to wonder why there were three **CDS** features for **ELP4** which is not even the gene primarily represented by this entry? Maybe I will ask more questions if and when I ever have the strength. In the meantime, mostly for my information, I record their exact explanation here.

"... note that **RefSeqGene** defines genomic sequences to be used as reference standards for well-characterized genes. These sequences serve as a stable foundation for reporting mutations, for numbering exons and introns, and for defining the coordinates of other variations. We normally select one **RefSeq** transcript to serve as a reference standard. The goal is not to record all introns and exons of all isoforms, but just to choose one representative to help define the locus. Therefore, most of our **RSG** records have only a single **RefSeq** as reference standard. If an **LSDB** manager or other stakeholder requests that other **RefSeqs** be added as alternate standards, this can easily be done (with the complication that, if a public **LRG** exists, the **RefSeqGene** record is fixed). We receive requests from stakeholders to include **RefSeqs** that represent all known exons, or **RefSeqs** that have become community standards. Often, after creating an **RSG** using our own internal criteria, we receive stakeholder requests to change or add transcripts. Many of these requests come from the **LRG** project regarding transcripts to be included on the **LRG** records.

Generally, **RefSeq** accessions can be added or removed without reversioning, unless a transcript is upgraded or a new one defined that extends beyond the bounds of the **RSG**, or matches a new build of the genome, in which case the **RSG** will be extended and reversioned as needed.

Regarding the chromosomal locus, our standard range is 5 kb upstream from the 5' end and 2 kb downstream from the 3' end of the mRNAs with the greatest extent. For this calculation, we do indeed use all available **RefSeq (NM\_)** accessions. If the database manager or stakeholder has information on promoters or other upstream or downstream regulatory regions, we can certainly extend the **RefSeqGene** locus to accommodate these.

Regarding mismatches, the goal is to exactly match the current build of the genome, unless there is overwhelming transcript and EST evidence that a mismatch should be retained.

Regarding the confusing subject of exon numbering, exon numbers are currently provided only on **RSG** genomic records based on a subset of available transcript **RefSeqs** for the gene. These are often those selected by locus-specific databases as reference sequence reporting standards. You can find an explanation of how exons are numbered here:

http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/refseg/rsg/fag/#exon

You will find links to more information on **RefSeqGenes** on the home page for the **RefSeqGene** project:

http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/retseq/rsg/

Regarding the PAX6 RSG sequence, only difference I see between NG\_008679.1 and the current build of the genome (GRCh38) is an extra 'G' beyond the 3'-UTR of the PAX6 transcripts (at NC 000011.10:g.31,819,125). ... "


Yes, well I think I followed most of that? and that my interpretation is broadly correct? In summary, there are no fixed rules.

Basic Bioinformatics 28 of 32 09:15:23 PM

How does the alignment you generated match up with the annotation of the original **RefSeq** entry you discovered?

# Summary:

The most intuitive way of encapsulating graphically the way these two sequencing clones overlap was donated by **Cecilia Pinto** (**Oeiras**, **2013.12.09-12**). Much better than my rambling attempts, that I keep for sentimental reasons in the "Full Answer". Thank you Cecilia.



## Full Answer:

Do not spend to much time working this one out, the picture above should be more than sufficient. I just needed to see it all balanced ... then I can sleep soundly?

If you do want to read on, I strongly suggest you look at the picture contributed by Cecilia (now promoted to the "Summary Answer") first. So simple! I have to admit I cannot follow my own wonderful table at all now ... at least, not without bleeding! Although, it did feel good at the time?



PRIMA	ARY	REFSEQ SPAN PRIMARY IDENTIFIER PRIMARY SI	PAN	COMP
Sbjct		TTATTTAAAGACAAATGTCAGAGAGGCTCATCATATTTCCC 104		The Subject sequence is <b>Z83307</b> (Length <b>22,253</b> )
Query	20831	TTTATTTTAAAGACAAATGTCAGAGAGGCTCATCATATTTTCCC 20874		
Sbjct	1	GATCCGGAGCGACTTCCGCCTATTTCCAGAAATTAAGCTCAAACTTGACGTGCAGCTAGT	60	The Query sequence is <b>Z95332</b> (Length <b>20,874</b> )
Query	20771	GATCCGGAGCGACTTCCGCCTATTTCCAGAAATTAAGCTCAAACTTGACGTGCAGCTAGT	20830	TI O

PRIMARY	REFSEQ_SPAN	PRIMARY_IDENTI	FIER PRIMARY_SPAN	COMP
	1-18852	Z95332.1	2023-20874	
	18853-40170	Z83307.1	105-21422	

NG_008679 Range Start	NG_008679 Range End	NG_008679 Range	Z95332 Range Start	Z95332 Range Start	Z95332 Range	Z83307 Range Start	Z83307 Range End	Z83307 Range
-	-	-	1	2022	2022	-	-	-
1	18748	18748	2023	20770	18748	-	-	-
18749	18852	104	20771	20874 (end)	104	1	104	104
18853	40170 (end)	21319	-	-	-	105	21422	21318
-	-	-	-	-	-	21423	22253 (end)	831
		40171			20874			22253

<u>Legend:</u> Not used in construction of **RefSeq** entry **NG\_008679** 

Non-overlapping GenBank entry used in construction of RefSeq entry NG\_008679

Overlapping GenBank entry used in construction of RefSeq entry NG 008679

Total entry lengths

The **RefSeq** entry was thus constructed by overlapping the two **Genbank** entries and then manually trimming away the edges to form a biologically meaning region. If I was a bit brighter, I think I might have come to that conclusion without the fuss above? Oh well, one has to use what one has.

I refer you again to the far more intuitive way of encapsulating the same message graphically, donated by **Cecilia Pinto** that is now the "**Summary** Answer" above. Much better! Thank you once more Cecilia.

From your investigations using **Ensembl**:

Using the evidence of the protein alignments, which PAX6 isoforms do the fruitfly orthologues most resemble?

The protein used to represent PAX6 human is consistently [Incoorphila melanogaster]
ENSP00000404100. This can most easily be confirmed by displaying the Protein Alignments for each of the 2 Fruitfly orthologues in turn. This is the protein sequence of isoform 5a, probably chosen as it is the



longer option (436 amino acids as opposed to 422) and so (from the crude informatics viewpoint) represents more information.

There are two **Fruitfly** orthologues recorded here, with the gene names **ey** and **toy**. Looking at the first few lines of the protein alignments for these genes, it is clear that **14** amino acid insert that defines **isoform 5a** (**THADAKVQVLDNQN**) is not present in either. It is therefore reasonable to conclude that the representative fly proteins are both closest to the canonical protein sequence of **PAX6** human (**isoform 1**).

Protein alignment for ev

FBpp0099810/1-898 GKPSPTMEAVEASTASHPHSTSSYFATTYYHLTDDECHSGVNQLGGVFVGGRPLPDSTR ENSP00000404100/1-436 KIVELAHSGARPCDISRILQTHADAKVQVLDNQNVSNGCVSKILGRYYETGSIRPRAIG FBpp0099810/1-898 KIVELAHSGARPCDISRILQ------VSNGCVSKILGRYYETGSIRPRAIG ENSPOQUOU404100/1-436 SKPRVATPEVVSKTAQYKRECPSTFAWETRDRLLSEGVCTNDNTPSVSSINRVLRNLASE FBpp0099810/1-898 SKPRVATAEVVSKISOYKRECPSIFAWEIRDRLLOENVCTNDNIPSVSSINRVLRNLAAG FBpp0088249/1-543 MMLTTEHIMHGHPHSSVGQSTLFGCSTAGHSGINQLGGVYVNGRPLPDSTRQKIVELAH: ENSP00000404100/1-436 GARPCDISRILQTHADAKVQVLDNQNVSNGCVSKILGRYYETGSIRPRAIGGSKPRVAT FBpp0088249/1-543 GARPCDISRILO-----VSNGCVSKILGRYYETGSIKPRAIGGSKPRVATT ENSP00000404100/1-436 EVVSKIAOYKRECPSIFAWEIRDRLLSEGVCTNDNIPSVSSINRVLRNLASEKOOMGAD FBpp0088249/1-543 PVVOKIADYKRECPSIFAWEIRDRLLSEQVCNSDNIPSVSSINRVLRNLASOKEQQAQQQ

# Protein alignment for toy

Well, maybe also it is not that simple? I would not be surprised If there were isoforms for **ey** and/or **toy** that were roughly equivalent to human **isoform 5a**. The alignment displayed could well reflect the relatively arbitrary choice of **Ensembl** as to which isoform it decides to use for the alignments, rather than any deep and meaningful biological truth. Already you can see that **Ensembl** prefers the (presumably) less important human isoform, merely because it is longer (more letters to match). Again, useful though these displays are, caution is required before reading too much "biology" into them.


**Ensembl** does not pick up all fruitfly homologues of **PAX6**? Again, I wonder why. Mind you, **Ensembl** does only claim "**Selected orthologues**"? Still **prd**, in particular, is a pretty important one to pass over!

#### What are the first two bases and what are the last two bases of nearly every intron?

As you are probably well aware, introns are highly conserved at each end. They typically begin with **GT** and end with **AG**. This rule is obeyed by all but one of the introns of this transcript (**intron 3-4** starts **GC** rather than **GT**).

As the cartoon suggests, the conservation does not apply just to the first and last two bases, but that is where the conservation is most strict. So strict that when exceptions from this rule were sought in the databases, it was thought most of the deviations were due to annotation error!

The cartoon also suggests that introns have **C/T** rich regions towards their ends (the **Polypyrimidine tract**). This too is clearly evident in most of the introns of this transcript, even though only small parts of the introns are displayed.



#### How long is the sixth exon and why would this concur with your expectations?

It is 42 base pairs long, so it codes for 14 amino acids. Specifically, it codes for the 14 extra amino acids that define isoform 5a.

#### Explain the **Start Phase** and **End Phase** columns?

An exon/intron boundary can occur anywhere in a codon. The **Start** and **End Phases** record how an intron has been inserted into a coding region with respect to the coding reading frame.

If an exon ends at the end of a codon, then its **End Phase** is **0**.

Clearly, the next exon must begin at the start of a codon. Its Start Phase is also 0.


If an exon ends after the first base of a codon, then its **End Phase** is 1.

Clearly, the next exon must begin after the first base of a codon. Its **End Phase** is also 1.

If an exon ends after the second base of a codon, then its **End Phase** is 2.

Clearly, the next exon must begin after the second base of a codon. Its **End Phase** is also 2.

I attempt a picture, though I am sure that is clear? I just like pictures, and lots of colours.



# Why does **Prints** appear to predict four **Paired\_domains**?

**Prints** does not find the **Homeobox\_domain** at all. If you were to investigate by using the **Prints search** carefully, you will find it nearly does, but the evidence is not quite strong enough. As has been discussed, none of these systems are perfect. They all occasionally fail. That is why it is always best to use **Interpro** to consult them all and deliver a consensus answer.

**Prints** appears to find <u>FOUR</u> <u>Paired\_domains</u>. This is only because of the way <u>Prints</u> works. <u>Prints</u> finds <u>FOUR</u> signatures (or <u>motifs</u>) that together indicate <u>ONE</u> <u>Paired domain</u>. <u>Prints</u> searches for ordered series of <u>motifs</u> that together indicate <u>domains</u>. Here it reports each of four motifs separately, but it is only claiming one <u>Paired domain</u>.

Which domain, **Paired domain** or **Homeobox domain** is more common in humans? How many human **PAX** genes are there?

As you will have expected, there are but **9 Paired domains** in the Human genome. There are many more **Homeobox domains**.

# Are all the **PAX** genes on **Chromosome 11**?

Of course not? What a stupid question!

Well, I suppose they could all be on **Chromosome 11**? By chance ... or maybe design ... who knows, the lack of predictable pattern in all this business never ceases to astound me.


But, philosophy aside, the answer is **NO**.

Can you explain the strangely frayed ends displayed in some of the representations of the **2cue** 3D structure?

**2cue** is a 3D structure determined by Nuclear Magnetic Resonance (NMR). This is a process that does not involve immobilizing the target as a crystal (as is the case with structures determined by **X-ray crystallography**). Parts of the protein will still be moving around whilst its structure is being determined.

I think of **NMR** as analogous to taking a long exposure photograph of a group of children. Each child will appear in many different places! The frayed ends represent various positions in which the ends of the **homeobox** were detected during the **NMR** process.

In some views, including the one you were offered to move around, all the possible positions are averaged out before the structure is stored. I prefer the fuzzy view ... much more fun.



I broadly believe that which I have just typed, however, I must stress that my understanding of **NMR** is tragically incomplete. If anyone would like to offer a better explanation, I am very willing to hear it.

From your investigations of **Domain & Motif identification** using **Interpro** 

Do you think it a good idea for **Interpro** to offer feature prediction programs as well as domain database searches?

Well ... why not? The purpose of **InterProScan** is to associate regions of query proteins with **Interpro** domains. This was originally achieved, exclusively, by simply comparing a query sequence with all entries of relevant individual domain databases. These entries being representations of alignments of examples of specific domains constructed by homology searching (i.e. **blast** and similar).

I would suggest including a few predictor programs would provide extra evidence gathered from more general, more theoretical definitions of domains. I would imagine the inclusion of these programs has improved and widened the picture provided by **InterProScan**.

Searching domain databases, typically composed of **HMM profiles**, such as **Pfam**, **Prosite** and **PRINTS** is quite different to running the predictor programs. As I cannot improve on the justification of this claim offered to me by Geoff Barton (Head of the group responsible for **Jalview**, **Jpred**, **Jnet** and much more), I will just reproduce his explanation here:

"... The main difference is that with an **HMM profile** you have a "specific" example of a domain or motif whereas with something like **COILS**, you have something trained across all examples.

For example, for secondary structure prediction, you could (a) do predictions of alpha-helix and beta-strand just by aligning a sequence to a protein of known structure, or an **HMM** from a family of aligned proteins of known structure. This is a specific case of secondary structure in the context of one protein family. Or (b) you can train a predictor from <u>ALL</u> protein families and then apply this. The advantage of (a) is it is very specific to the individual protein family and so should be more accurate for that family. The disadvantage is that it does not generalise to proteins that are not very like the specific example. The advantage of (b) is that it will work with any protein but will likely be less accurate than (a) for proteins that fit into the (a) category. ... "

# Do you think the Coil prediction might be correct?

I do not recall anything in what we have discovered thus far that would directly suggest there should be a **coiled coil** here, in the middle of the **HTH**. However, wikipedia does suggest **coiled coils** are associated with **transcription factors** (which **pax6 human** is).

" ... Many **coiled coil**-type proteins are involved in important biological functions such as the regulation of **gene expression**, e.g. **transcription factors**. ... "

I think I would not be overly convinced by this prediction, but I would not make that judgement with any great confidence. The all knowing wikipedia says:

"... Coiled coils usually contain a repeated pattern, *hxxhcxc*, of hydrophobic (h) and charged (c) amino-acid residues, referred to as a heptad repeat..."

Geoff Barton comments:

"... Sometimes the pattern that is particular to **coiled-coils** also turns up in other helices that pack against each other. You would need to look at some examples of coiled-coil structures to see if the example you are using fits structurally...."

Which seems very reasonable. The **heptad repeat** pattern could easily occur just by chance. **COILS** surely cannot predict the structure of the helices well enough to make an assured judgement? **COILS** offers a suggestion the user must follow up with other resources.

There is also the evidence that **Jpred** (a system for secondary structure prediction), possibly using the **COILS** program disguised as **LUPAS**, does not detect any coiled coils. This could be for a number of reasons. Possibly **LUPAS** is not the same program as **COILS**, or it is a different version, or **Jpred** runs **COILS**, but with different parameters.

Not many clear and confident answers in Bioinformatics are there!

Why might you suppose **Interpro** predicts only **2** of the **3 helix-turn-helix domains** that might be expected?

2 Winged helix-turn-helix (wHTH) DNA-binding domains are predicted coincident with the helical triplets of

the Paired domain. This should broadly match your expectations.

No **helix-turn-helix** (HTH) **domain** is detected coincident with the **Homeobox domain**, where one might also have been expected?

I am not entirely certain why this might be, so I speculate.

Pfam attempts to classify a variety of types of HTH, and offers a range of HTH domain models (HTH\_17, HTH\_38, HTH\_39 and HTH\_40 to name but a few) and a number of wHTH domain models (including HTH\_33 and HTH\_24).

**Interpro** also has a considerable number of **HTH** entries (**IPR017895**, **IPR032877**, **IPR007394**, **IPR013197** and more) and **wHTH** entries (**IPR005104**, **IPR023120** to name but **2**).



Interpro does use Pfam models to detect its various flavours of HTH/wHTH domain, but it does so selectively. For example, to detect the wHTH domains discovered here, only two Pfam families were used HTH\_20 and HTH\_41, see illustration). These appear not to have matched in this instant as only a G3DSA entry is quoted.

All the above suggests that no one model exists to pick up all HTH domains? Possibly also, the fact that HTH domains come in such a variety of forms

makes them difficult to detect reliably?

There is a simple EMBOSS program to detect HTHs. It easily detected the Homeobox domain HTH but essentially failed to detect the wHTHs recorded here. This must be because the, very simple, model (based on a Weight Matrix built from about 100 examples) used by the program only reliably applies to a specific range of HTH domains/motifs that includes the one in the Homeobox domain of the human PAX6 protein?

I am very open to better explanations. I am not completely convinced by the discussion above.

DPJ - 2016.12.12