

# ELB17S

# Entry Level Bioinformatics

06-10 November 2017

(Second 2017 run of this Course)

# Basic Bioinformatics Sessions


Practical 1: Databases and Tools

# **Investigating the gene(s) associated with Aniridia**

As a starting point for this exercise, imagine you have a vital interest in discovering and investigating the main human gene responsible for the terrible disease of the eye, **Aniridia**. There are many ways (including **google**!) you could discover what this gene might be. I choose to delve into the vast seas of knowledge so generously proffered by the **The National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI)**.


So, begin by going to the **Home Page** of the **The National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI)** ("http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/").

You will arrive at a page offering access to the many **NCBI** resources available to you. Currently, you only require to search for genes, specifically those that relate to **Aniridia**, so first set the database selection field of the **Search** facility at the top of your page to **Gene**, set the **Search** field to **Aniridia** and click on the **Search** button.



A fine list of genes will emerge, including those sought. However, our interest is specific to Human, so the search should really be organism specific. To do this, one needs to execute an **Advanced** search. So, click on the **Advanced** button of the **Search** tool.

Now you can specify the precise field(s) of the annotation you wish to interrogate. In this case, set the **Disease/Phenotype** field to **Aniridia** and the **Organism** field to **Human**. As the two conditions are linked by **AND**, both must be true for any gene to be listed.



Click on the pretty red Search button.

| Name/Gene ID                       | Description   | Location  | Aliases  | MIM    |
|------------------------------------|---|---|--|--------|
| □ <u>WT1</u><br>ID: 7490           | Wilms tumor 1 [Homo sapiens (human)]  | Chromosome 11, NC_000011.10 (3238777532435535, complement)    | AWT1, EWS-WT1, GUD, NPHS4,<br>WAGR, WIT-2, WT33          | 607102 |
| ☐ <u>PAX6</u><br>ID: 5080          | paired box 6 [Homo sapiens (human)]   | Chromosome 11, NC_000011.10<br>(3178479231817961, complement) | AN, AN2, ASGD5, D11S812E,<br>FVH1, MGDA, WAGR            | 607108 |
| ☐ <u>ELP4</u><br>ID: 26610         | elongator acetyltransferase complex subunit 4 [Homo sapiens (human)]                                  | Chromosome 11, NC_000011.10<br>(3150972931784525)             | AN, AN2, C11orf19, PAX6NEB,<br>PAXNEB, dJ68P15A.1, hELP4 | 606985 |
| ☐ <u>TRIM44</u><br>ID: 54765       | tripartite motif containing 44 [Homo sapiens (human)]   | Chromosome 11, NC_000011.10 (3566269235811053)                | AN3, DIPB, HSA249128, MC7                                | 612298 |
| □ <u>DEL11P13</u><br>ID: 100528024 | Wilms tumor, aniridia, genitourinary anomalies and mental retardation syndrome [Homo sapiens (human)] |   | C11DELp13, WAGR  | 194072 |

Just a few genes survive. All should really be examined, but this is just an exercise, so trust me ... it is **PAX6** that is the most interesting gene<sup>1</sup>, in this context. This is the one to follow up by clicking on the link to its details.

From the **Summary** section one can conclude (sticking to the features that pertain to this exercise) that:

- there are two major domains, a paired domain and a homeobox, both of which bind DNA
- the gene regulates transcription (is a transcription factor)
- there is more than one protein isoform, and thus more than one transcript variant.

Summary

This gene encodes a homeobox and paired domain-containing protein that binds DNA and functions as a regulator of transcription. Activity of this protein is key in the development of neural tissues, particularly the eye. This gene is regulated by multiple enhancers located up to hundreds of kilobases distant from this locus. Mutations in this gene or in the enhancer regions can cause ocular disorders such as aniridia and Peter's anomaly. Use of alternate promoters and alternative splicing result in multiple transcript variants encoding different isoforms. [provided by RefSeq, Jul 2015]

This despite WT1 being at the top of the list? This is a new promotion for WT1. For years it has been but a close second to PAX6. Whilst congratulations are clearly in order, this elevation is jolly inconvenient for the story I wish to reveal. So ... I intend to ignore it!

From the **Genomic context** section it can be seen that:

- PAX6 is situated on Chromosome 11, band p13
- PAX6 is on the complementary strand relative to that chosen by Map Viewer to represent Chromosome 11
- **ELP4** (another gene in the list of human genes associated with **Aniridia**) is exceedingly close, on the opposite strand to **PAX6**. This might be worthy of a glance, at a later time?

| Location: 11p1     | 3                    | See PAX                          | 6 in <u>Geno</u>              | me Data Viewer Epigenomics Map Viewe        |
|--------------------|----------------------|----------------------------------|-------------------------------|---|
| Exon count: 17     | 7                    |                                  |                               |   |
| Annotation release | Status               | Assembly                         | Chr                           | Location                                    |
| 108                | current              | GRCh38.p7<br>(GCF_000001405.33)  | 11                            | NC_000011.10 (3178479231817961, complement) |
| <u>105</u>         | previous<br>assembly | GRCh37.p13<br>(GCF_000001405.25) | 11                            | NC_000011.9 (3180634031839509, complement)  |
|                    | [31369829            | Chromosome 11 - NC               | _000011.1                     | <b>0</b> [31995797 <b>▶</b>                 |
|                    | DNAJC24 IMMP1L       | ELP4 DKFZ <sub>F</sub>           | 686K1684<br>PAX6 <del> </del> | L0C107984420                                |

There are 17 exons for PAX6. Jolly good, but I really wanted to know how many transcripts there were according to the NCBI? That is, how many different ways it is thought that nature spliced the 17 exons together. I would also like to discover how many distinct isoforms the NCBI imagines to result from however many transcripts. I proceed with impatience!

Click either the Genome Data Viewer or the Map Viewer link. Both offer essentially the same story, the choice really is cosmetic. Do you like your genomes vertical or horizontal. I am a horizontal man myself, so I prefer the Genome Data Viewer. The data is from the Map Viewer Genome Database, whichever choice you make.

I reproduce both views here. The **Genome Data Viewer** picture is included in the **PAX6** gene page for free, so maybe the **MapViewer link** is the best one for you to choose? Or both, of course! First consider the marginally clearer and simpler **Genome Data Viewer** picture.



So, if I tell you the region displayed is the entire **PAX6** region of **Chromosome 11** and the green lines labelled on the right as something beginning with **NM**\_ represent the different transcripts, can you now say how many transcripts there are according to this view? In passing, the blobs along each line represent the exons. Dark blobs are coding exons. Light blobs represent the exons that form the 3'/5' UTR regions of each transcript. The Introns are the pale green lines joining the blobs together.


The prediction of the transcripts shown here are based on database searches of all Human mRNA sequences stored in **RefSeq** against this region of the genome. The theory is that every human mRNA sequence must match (nearly)

perfectly somewhere in the human genome. Where it matches, there must be the genomic DNA from which the mRNA was transcribed. How charmingly simple!

To differentiate between coding and non-coding exons of a transcript, why not compare all human proteins with the genome (after suitable translation to amino acid codes in all six reading frames). They too must match near perfectly somewhere, identifying the CoDing Sequence (CDS) of each transcript. Transcript fully located. Job done! Of course, it does not always work so very neatly, but we need not admit that for the moment at least.

Comparing proteins with the genome is clumsy, compute intensive, slow. For major organisms (currently just Human and Mouse), specially comprehensive databases of extremely reliable **DNA Coding Sequences** have been constructed. Searching with these databases enables much more efficient searching for coding exons and so is very much preferred.

And so to the **Map Viewer** version of exactly the same region of **Chromosome 11**.



OK, times up, how many transcripts are predicted for **PAX6** by **MapViewer**?

11 being the correct answer. Obviously? Exactly as suggested by the **Genome Data Viewer!** True, but this is not always the case. The transcript count (and much else) depends on the version of the data used to build the views. Quite recently, these two viewers displayed the interpretation of different data versions. **Mapviewer** being slightly behind the times. When this was the case, the transcript count depended upon which viewer was chosen. This vitally illustrates that many of the "facts" presented by these services are but *predictions* that will vary as more/better data become available. Pretty good predictions, but nevertheless, *predictions*!

In passing, the reason that there used to be a difference in transcript counts between the two viewers was that **MapViewer** used an older version of **RefSeq** than the **Genome Data Viewer**. The older **RefSeq** included some extra mRNA sequences of less certainty than the ones you see represented above. Clearly, the evidence for these extra mRNA sequences was proved insufficient and they were removed in the newer **RefSeq**. Where they exist, such less certain **RefSeq** mRNA sequences can be recognised easily as their labels (**Accession Codes**) which begin with **XM**\_ rather than **NM**\_. I make a point of mentioning this as the inclusion of data of varying credibility, in databases such as **RefSeq**, is very common. Usually, the difference in confidence is that between database entries that are only detected by computer programs (questionable) and those that have been properly investigated by human experimenters/investigators (less questionable).

Even without database version variation, seemingly trivial inquiries such as "how many transcripts are there?" can still yield conflicting answers depending upon where the question is asked. Move back to the page describing the PAX6 gene. In the familiar graphic at the top of the Genome regions, transcripts and products section you will find routes to corresponding information from the Ensembl Genome Database. Hover over the PAX6 (also known as ESNG00000007372, by Ensembl and close friends) green line in the bottom half of the picture. You will be rewarded by cheery gray box full of links to Ensembl and other exciting places.

Gene: ENSG00000007372 Title: PAX6 Location: comple Length: 33,284 [Qualifiers] gene\_biotype: protein\_coding gene\_id: ENSG00000007372 gene name: PAX6 gene\_source: ensembl\_havana gene\_version: 21 Merged features: 139 Links & Tools View ENSEMBL: ENSG00000007372 BLAST Genome-specific: NC 000011.10 (31,784,779..31,818,062) BLAST Genomic: NC 000011.10 (31,784,779..31,818,062) FASTA View: NC 000011.10 (31,784,779..31,818,062) GenBank View: NC 000011.10 (31,784,779..31,818,062)

About this gene


This gene has 82 transcripts (splice variants), 83 orthologues, 8 paralogues, is a member of 2 Ensembl protein families and is associated with 29 phenotypes.

Use the link labelled View ENSEMBL: A view of the region of Chromosome 11 similar to those you have already considered will leap forth. As before, the exons for each transcript are represented by blobs (filled for coding, empty for UTR regions). Introns are represented by wiggly lines joining the blobs. Notice first that there considerably more than 11 transcripts represented here! At the top of the page, in tiny letters it claims 82! (a massive increase even from the 31 transcripts predicted by a recent previous version of Ensembl!).

You *could* check this assertion by counting all the transcripts represented in the graphic, but I would not recommend doing so. Sometimes it is best just to believe. There are indeed **82**.

The colour scheme used for the transcripts we might discuss in overview later. For now, just know that the gold transcripts are supported by better evidence than the red ones. Once more a database that offers data items of varying credibility.

```
Contigs
                                    < PAX6-231
(Comprehensive set...
                                    protein codina
                                    protein coding
                                    < PAX6-270
                                    protein coding
                                    < PAX6-209
                                    protein coding
                                         < PAX6-208
                                         protein coding
                                         < PAX6-273
                                         protein coding
                                         < PAX6-203
                                                                        < PAX6-254
                                                                       processed transcript
                                         protein coding
                                         < PAX6-207
                                         protein coding
                                              -##
                                          < PAX6-236
                                         protein coding
                                         < PAX6-259
                                         protein coding
                                         < PAX6-202
                                                                         PAX6-275
                                                                       processed transcript
                                          protein coding
                                          < PAX6-243
                                          protein coding
                                         < PAX6-282
                                          protein coding
                                          < PAX6-257
protein coding
```



Looking a little further down the transcripts displays, you will see that an increasing proportion of the transcripts are not **protein coding**. Both of the displays you examined at the **NCBI** only represented protein coding transcripts. This partially explains why **Ensembl** finds so many more transcripts that its broad alternatives.

So a further reason for not finding a consistent answer to the simple question "How many transcripts are there for the **PAX6** gene" is variation in the *definition* of a transcript.

Also, and more importantly, **Ensembl** and **MapViewer** use different strategies to predict transcripts (and just about everything else!). Both use database searches in roughly the manner described previously and (for the human genome at least) the same basic assemblies of the genome and sequence databases. It is the interpretation of the data and analytical results that varies.

The database searches used as the fundamental to identify transcripts take a very long time to execute, even given the immense computing resources available to the **NCBI** and the **Ensembl** teams. Some clever strategies are employed to minimise the time spent on these searches. It would be good to consider these, specifically with respect to their implementation by **Ensembl**, at least superficially.



For a more detailed view of the predicted transcripts, click on the link. The transcript predictions are now presented in the form of a table. The protein coding transcripts are all at the top of the table. I counted **56**, but I would not claim to be completely accurate, I got a bit confused half way down the list! Lots more than the **NCBI** anyway.

**Ensembl** uses both the sequences of **RefSeq** mRNAs and those of their protein products (the **RefSeq** entries whose **Accession Codes** commence **NP**\_) to predict transcripts, however, **Ensembl** appears to have less blind faith in the accuracy of these data than the **NCBI**.

We should briefly discuss the significance of the first 14 transcripts associating with entries in the CCDS database. Particularly noting that 14 is far closer to 11 and there are just 2 distinct CCDS entries referenced here.

We should also discuss why it is reasonable to not regard a match of a **RefSeq** mRNA with the **Genome** as, by itself, sufficient evidence to predict a transcript.

Protein coding PAX6-220 ENST00000525535.2 CDS 3' incomplete TSL: 875 72aa Protein coding PAX6-260 ENST00000639920.1 676 A0A1W2PR58® CDS 3' incomplete TSL: PAX6-256 ENST00000639394.1 1988 163aa Nonsense mediated decay A0A1W2PQW3₽ TSL:5 PAX6-227 ENST00000533156.2 848 No protein Processed transcript TSL:5 PAX6-213 ENST00000464174.6 846 No protein Processed transcript TSL:5 PAX6-222 ENST00000530373.6 785 No protein Processed transcript TSL:5 PAX6-223 ENST00000530714.6 650 No protein Processed transcript TSL:5 PAX6-267 ENST00000640251.1 649 No protein Processed transcript TSL:5 PAX6-229 ENST00000534353.5 540 No protein Processed transcript TSL:4 PAX6-254 ENST00000639203.1 532 No protein Processed transcript TSL:5 PAX6-233 ENST00000638278.1 417 No protein Processed transcript TSL:NA PAX6-275 ENST00000640617.1 412 No protein Processed transcript TSL:4 PAX6-279 ENST00000640819.1 368 No protein Processed transcript TSL:5 PAX6-228 ENST00000533333.5 6173 No protein Retained intron TSL:2 PAX6-216 ENST00000474783.2 4392 No protein Retained intron TSL:5 PAX6-214 ENST00000470027.7 3587 No protein Retained intron TSL:2 PAX6-265 ENST00000640172.1 2525 No protein Retained intron TSL:5

RefSeq mRNA to appear in this table any more than you should expect a "one to one" correspondence between RefSeq mRNA and a transcript prediction. In this instance you can see an instance where two RefSeq mRNAs are associated with the prediction of a single Ensembl transcript (the first transcript in the list).


Looking further down the list you will see that many **Ensembl** protein coding transcripts are predicted without reference to any **RefSeq** entry.

Hover over the evidence Flags associated with the transcript predictions towards the end of the list. How reliable would you judge these predictions to be?

We could go on. Other sources (not necessarily Genome Databases) would count the transcripts differently again. Perhaps the best answer to the question "How many transcripts are there for the **PAX6** gene" is "**Several**".

Before leaving Ensembl, it would be good to save the genomic sequence of this region for analysis later on.

To do this, first click on the **Sequence** link on the left hand side of the page. Under the transcript table the sequence of the PAX6 region of the genome will be displayed. The exons will be tastefully highlighted for you delectation.



Using whatever text editor is most convenient, edit your file to:

- 1. Remove the many blank lines at the top of the file. These serve no purpose, but are not really a problem. They are, however ugly!
- information, from contain pax6 genomic. This first word is defined as the sequence identifier in FASTA format (as, I hope is a far more informative identification than 11 (simply the Chromosome number).

Marked-up sequence @ PAX6 exons All exons in this region Markup loaded chromosome:GRCh38:11:31784179:31818662:-1 ATACAATCACCTACATTTTCTAATGTGGTTGGAGCCTTTCAGCCAGAGGGCGAGGGAAG GGGTAGGCCCCTTTAGGGCTTCCCTCTTGAGAACCCAGCAGGCCTGGAGAGACCT CCTAGGCCCTGAAAAAGGGGTCGCATGTCCTCTTCCCGGAGCCCCCGTCTGTGCCCA TAGTGACTTGCGGGCTCGAGGGCCAGGTTGAGGGTACTCATCGAGCCTCGAACTC GAAGGGACTCCCTTGGGGATCGGAGGAGGGGGACAGGGTGATTACCCAGAGAGGTAG CCAGCCTAAGGGCAGAGATCTTGGGGCCCTAGTGCCCGAAGGTGCGGAGGAGCGCAC GCAAGACTAGTTTCCTGGGGATCGACTCTACGCCATACAGGACGGCGGCCCAGGCTGG GGGTGGGGAGAAGCAGGCTCCCGCCCGGCCGGAAAACTAGT GAGCTGTGCCCAACTCTAGCCGCCATGACGTCACGCGGGCCGGGCAGCCAATGAGGACG CGCTGGCGTGGATATTAAGGAAAGTTAGCGCCTGCCTGAGCACCCTCTTTTCTTATCAT GACATTTAAACTCTGGGGCAGGTCCTCGCGTAGAACGCGGCTGTCAGATCTGCCACTTC CCTGCCGAGCGGCGGTGAGAAGTGTGGGAACCGGCGCTGCCAGGCTCACCTGCCTCCCCG CAGCGACTGCTGTCCCCAAATCAAAGCCCGCCCCAAGTGG TTTTGCTTTTAAAAGGAGGCATACAAAGATGGAAGCGAGTTACTGAG PAGGAAGGGGGTGGAGGAGGGACTTGTCTTTGCCGAGTGTGCTCTTCTGCAAAAGTAG AAAATGTTCCACTCCTAAGAGTGGACTTCCAGTCCGGCCCTGAGCTGGGAGTAGGGGGC GAGTCTGCTGCTGTCTGCTAAAGCCACTCGCGACCGCGAAAAATGCAGGAG SACGCACTTTGCATCCAGACCTCCTCTGCATCGCAGTTCACGACATCCACGCTTGGGAA STCCGTACCCGCGCCTGGAGCGCTTAAAGACACCCTGCCGCGGGTCGGGCGAGGTGCAG GAAGTTTCCCGCGGTTGCAAAGTGCAGATGGCTGGACCGCAACAAAGTCTAGAGATGG GTTTCTCAGAAAGACGCGGAGTACGAAAGAATGCGGCCGACAGAGCTGGGCAGC

to Download sequence chose The Download **sequence** form will burst into view.

Set the File name: to pax6 genomic.fasta

Set the File format: to FASTA

Accept the default 600 base pairs for both the 5'Flanking sequence (upstream): and the 3' Flanking sequence (downstream):.

Finally, click on the button and do whatever it takes to move the file you create to somewhere sensible on your **Desktop**.

pax6 genomic dna:chromosome chromosome:GRCh38:11:31783579:31819262:-1 CTCGGCGCCATCTGTGCTCGGCTCTCCCTTAGCCGCCCGGGTCAAGGCGCGCAGGGA CGGGTCCACCCAGGCTGGGTCTCCACGAGCTGCCTAAAGGAAATTCCACGCCCCGCCCC TTCCTCTCCCGCAAACTCCTCAAGTGACCCCTGCCCCTCCGGGCCCCACCACTGTCACTT TCAAATTGGAGAGCCAGATGGAAGCATAGGGGAAGGAGTTGAGAAGCTTCCTGTTTTGAG GATGAGGGACGGGAATGACAACGAGGGTCTAAATCTCCATTCCAAGATAGCCAGGCCTA CTCCGCTAAGCCATCTCGCAGTCCACAGAAGGTGTGAGGGAAAAACAGGCACAGACCAG AAGTCAGTGTCCTGCAGACCCCGCCCCAATTTCTATGAGTATTGACTTCAGAATCTGGG ATTTTCCTGTTTTCCTCCTCTAAGTCACAAAGTCAACAGTTAATTCAAAGTCAAAGATAA ATACAATCACCTACATTTTCTAATGTGGTTGGAGCCTTTCAGCCAGAGGGCGAGGGAAGC CGGGTAGGCCCCTTTAGGGCTTCCCTCTTGAGAACCCAGCAGGCCTGGAGAGACCTT TAGTGACTTGCGGGCTCGAGGGCCAGGTTGAGGGTACTCATCGAGCCTCGAACTCCTCC TAAAAATGATTCCTGCCAAAAGCGCCTCTCCATCCCGGCGCGCCCTTCGGGTCTCTCCGA TGAAGGGACTCCCTTGGGGATCGGAGGGGGGGGACAGGGTGATTACCCAGAGAGGTAGCTG GCCAGCCTAAGGGCAGAGATCTTGGGGCCCTAGTGCCCGAAGGTGCGGAGGAGCGCACTC GGCAAGACTAGTTTCCTGGGGATCGACTCTACGCCATACAGGACGGCGGCCCAGGCTGGA will be explained at some point). pax6 genomic cggccggaccctgggggggggagaagcaggctgggaaaactagtcggcgaa CGCTGGCGTGGATATTAAGGAAAGTTAGCGCCTGCCTGAGCACCCTCTTTTCTTATCATT ACATTTAAACTCTGGGGCAGGTCCTCGCGTAGAACGCGGCTGTCAGATCTGCCACTTCC

The next question might be "How many protein isoforms might there be for **PAX6**?".

Well, whilst the **Ensembl** transcript list is still in view, glance down the **Protein** column which displays the size of the protein products for each transcript. Clearly insufficient evidence for a serious **isoform** count, but enough to set a lower limit, as the same **isoform** cannot be more than one length! If there were not so very many! One might count how many different lengths of proteins were listed. I tried to do this, but I gave up around **twenty-something**. Let us be content to declare that there are **lots**. The most likely looking ones are either **422** or **436** amino acids long. Some of the others might cause a raised eyebrow or two, especially the one that is **3** amino acids long? But, who are we to question! **Lots** is the informal **Ensembl** minimum total.

Click your way back to the NCBI PAX6 gene entry. So, now to discover the number of protein products (isoforms) that the NCBI predicts. This view makes this simple question clumsy to answer as the protein products of each transcript are reported separately (as they are by Ensembl), even when they are identical???

However, it can be done. Click on the NCBI Reference Sequences (RefSeq) link in the Table of contents on the right hand side of the page. Focus on the mRNA and Protein(s) sub-section. Skim down the entries for every transcript. Check the different isoform names. I see:

```
01 - NM_000280.4 → NP_000271.1 paired box protein Pax-6 isoform a
02 - NM_001127612.1 → NP_001121084.1 paired box protein Pax-6 isoform a
03 - NM_001258462.1 → NP_001245391.1 paired box protein Pax-6 isoform b
04 - NM_001258463.1 → NP_001245392.1 paired box protein Pax-6 isoform b
05 - NM_001258464.1 → NP_001245393.1 paired box protein Pax-6 isoform a
06 - NM_001258465.1 → NP_001245394.1 paired box protein Pax-6 isoform a
07 - NM_001310158.1 → NP_001297087.1 paired box protein Pax-6 isoform b
08 - NM_001310159.1 → NP_001297088.1 paired box protein Pax-6 isoform c
09 - NM_001310160.1 → NP_001297089.1 paired box protein Pax-6 isoform d
10 - NM_001310161.1 → NP_001297090.1 paired box protein Pax-6 isoform d
11 - NM_001604.5 → NP_001595.2 paired box protein Pax-6 isoform b
```

I count **4** different isoforms, imaginatively named **Isoform a**, **Isoform b**, **Isoform c** and **Isoform d**. One associated with each transcript description. Look carefully at the annotations and there is more information. In particular:

**Description** field:

**Isoform b** is also known as **Isoform 5a**. Why this is interesting will become apparent in a page or so.

**Isoform b** is also reported to be longer than **Isoform a**.

#### **Conserved Domains**

| Conserved Domains (2) <u>summary</u> |  |                           |  |  |
|--------------------------------------|--|---------------------------|--|--|
|                                      | smart00351<br>Location:4 → 128         | PAX; Paired Box domain    |  |  |
|                                      | <u>pfam00046</u><br>Location:214 → 266 | Homeobox; Homeobox domain |  |  |

Both **Isoform a** and **Isoform b** are recorded as having two domains. A **Paired Box Domain** at the beginning, and a **Homoebox Domain** further along.

Conserved Domains (2) <u>summary</u>

| Smart00351 | PAX; Paired Box domain |
| Location:4 → 142 | Pax |
| Location:4 → 142 | Homeobox; Homeobox domain |
| Location:228 → 280 | Location:4 → 142 | Homeobox; Homeobox domain |
| Location:4 → 142 | Homeobox; Homeobox domain |
| Location:4 → 142 | Homeobox; Homeobox domain |
| Location:4 → 142 | Homeobox; Homeobox domain |
| Location:4 → 142 | Homeobox; Homeobox domain |
| Location:4 → 142 | Homeobox; Homeobox domain |
| Location:4 → 142 | Homeobox; Homeobox domain |
| Location:4 → 142 | Homeobox; Homeobox domain |
| Location:4 → 142 | Homeobox; Homeobox domain |
| Location:4 → 142 | Homeobox; Homeobox domain |
| Location:4 → 142 | Homeobox; Homeobox domain |
| Location:4 → 142 | Homeobox; Homeobox domain |
| Location:4 → 142 | Homeobox; Homeobox domain |
| Location:4 → 142 | Homeobox; Homeobox domain |
| Location:4 → 142 | Homeobox; Homeobox domain |
| Location:4 → 142 | Homeobox; Homeobox domain |
| Location:4 → 142 | Homeobox; Homeobox domain |
| Location:4 → 142 | Homeobox; Homeobox domain |
| Location:4 → 142 | Homeobox; Homeobox domain |
| Location:4 → 142 | Homeobox; Homeobox domain |
| Location:4 → 142 | Homeobox; Homeobox domain |
| Location:4 → 142 | Homeobox; Homeobox domain |
| Location:4 → 142 | Homeobox; Homeobox domain |
| Location:4 → 142 | Homeobox; Homeobox domain |
| Location:4 → 142 | Homeobox; Homeobox domain |
| Location:4 → 142 | Homeobox; Homeobox domain |
| Location:4 → 142 | Homeobox; Homeobox domain |
| Location:4 → 142 | Homeobox domain |
| Loca

Both Paired Box Domains are primarily indicated by a hit with the relevant entry in the SMART database. Both Homeobox Domains are supported by matches with Pfam database entries. Other domain databases will almost certainly provide

supporting evidence, but reference to just one match is sufficient here.

From the location information, the **Paired Box** of **Isoform b** appears to include an extra **14** amino acids.

UniprotKB offers yet another version of this story. Just for a few clicks, let us intrude into the UniProt session of your course.

At the very bottom of the current page, you will find a link to **UniprotKB**. Use it.

| Protein Accession | Links        |                               |  |  |
|-------------------|--------------|-------------------------------|--|--|
| Floteni Accession | GenPept Link | UniProtKB Link                |  |  |
| P26367.2          | GenPept      | UniProtKB/Swiss-Prot:P26367.2 |  |  |

**Practical 1: Databases and Tools** Friday 29 September 2017 Lo! the PAX6 human protein as seen and understood by Sequences (3) This entry describes **3** isoforms i produced by **alternative splicing** 

UniProtKB. Click on the Sequences (3) button on the left hand side of the page. UniProtKB declares 3 isoforms! At least, 3 that it is willing to admit to publicly.

in America. Note that this is the "canonical This isoform has been chosen as the 'canonical' sequence. All positional information in this entry sequence" for this protein. That is, this is the refers to it. This is also the sequence that appears in the downloadable versions of the entry.

isoform used to represent this protein in UniProtKB. The sequence(s) of all other isoform(s) are recorded as elements of the annotation

Also we have **Isoform 5a** (or **PAX6-5a**), also known as **isoform b** in America (where it also answers to **Isoform 5a** when pressed). Note that the entry declares the sequence difference to be:

Isoform 5a (identifier: P26367-2) [UniParc] 🕹 FASTA 47-47: Q → QTHADAKVQVLDNQN Also known as: Pax6-5a The sequence of this isoform differs from the canonical sequence as follows: Literally: 47-47: Q → QTHADAKVQVLDNQN

"The amino acid at **position 47** is a **Q** in the canonical sequence. In **isoform 5a** this is replaced by the **15** amino acids QTHADAKVQVLDNQN".

More coherently this amounts to:

assertion in the regional genomic maps

of a few pages back?

"isoform 5a differs from the canonical isoform 1 in that it has an insertion of 14 amino acids after the 47th amino acid of the canonical protein".

It is significant to note that position 47 is right in the middle of the **Paired Box Domain** that occurs in both isoforms. This confirms that which was discovered at the **NCBI**.

Finally UniProtKB proudly presents the somewhat ephemeral isoform 3 (or Isoform 3 (identifier: P26367-3) PAX6-5A,6\* for those who enjoy formality). But, this one has no known sequence? Not much that Bioinformatics can offer here methinks.

Also known as: Pax6-5A,6\* Sequence is not available

So I hope you will agree that the UniProtKB count stands at a very modest 2, plus a ghost.

Alignment


P26367 PAX6\_HUMAN P26367-2 PAX6\_HUMAN


To visualise the differences between the 2 isoforms with sequence, click on the button at the top of the Sequences section. After deep thought and much fumbling, UniProtKB will multiply align all the isoform sequences for you. As there are only 2 in this case, this will appear very similar to a Pairwise alignment. Highlight the DNA binding regions and the Domains.

I leave the interpretation of this splendid display to you, and later short How to print an alignment in color discussion if required. P26367 PAX6\_HUMAN P26367-2 PAX6\_HUMAN Highlight P26367 PAX6\_HUMAN P26367-2 PAX6\_HUMAN nnotation 48 - VSNGCVSKILGKYYETGSIRPRAIGGSKPRVATPEVVSKIAQYKRECPSIFAWEIRDRL
61 NVSNGCVSKILGRYYETGSIRPRAIGGSKPRVATPEVVSKIAQYKRECPSIFAWEIRDRL Alternative sequence The extra **14** amino acids Natural variant P26367 PAX6\_HUMAN P26367-2 PAX6\_HUMAN LASEKOOMGADGMYDKLRMLNGOTGSWGTRPGWYPG 121 LSEGVCTNDNIPSVSSINRVLRNLASEKQQMGADGMYDKLRMLNGQTGSWGTRPGWYPGT of isoform 5a are due to Domain Sequence conflict the inclusion of a tiny 167 SVPGQPTQDGCQQQEGGGENTNSISSNGEDSDEAQMRLQLKRKLORNRTS 181 SVPGQPTQDGCQQQEGGGENTNSISSNGEDSDEAQMRLQLKRKLQRNRTS P26367 PAX6\_HUMAN P26367-2 PAX6\_HUMAN NA binding extra (42 base pair) exon Helix Compositional bias in some transcripts. P26367-2 PAX6 HUMAN Turn Chain P26367 PAX6\_HUMAN P26367-2 PAX6\_HUMAN 287 ISSSESTSVYOPIPOPTTPVSSETSGSMLGRTDTALTNTYSALPPMPSETMANNLPMOPE Beta strand 347 VPSQTSSYSCMLPTSPSVNGRSYDTYTPPHMQTHMNSQPMGTSGTTSTGLISPGVSVPVQ 361 VPSQTSSYSCMLPTSPSVNGRSYDTYTPPHMQTHMNSQPMGTSGTTSTGLISPGVSVPVQ P26367 PAX6\_HUMAN P26367-2 PAX6\_HUMAN Can you see the evidence for this

We need to save a some protein sequences for future analysis. This is easiest from **UniProtKB** so now is good. To declare your intention to save the entire canonical version of the PAX6 protein to a file, move back from your alignment. Move to the top of the page where you will find the bizarre invitation to Add to basket ? Just do it.

407 VPGSEPDMSOYWPRLO 





Now move back to America to the NCBI view of the PAX6 gene. If you have problems getting there ... click here.

At the bottom of the page, there is a section called Related sequences. Move to the second page (of three) of the list of sequences. Click on the top entry, the mRNA called AB209177.1. You will be rewarded by a GenBank entry in GenBank format. Formats are tedious, but we will discuss them briefly at some point. You have already seen FASTA format. We will bump into EMBL format at some point. The other 137 or so formats are to be ignored!

Can you see the official gene name PAX6, mentioned in this entry? The Gene Name field (where PAX6 should most certainly be mentioned) is entirely missing! If you searched GenBank (or EMBL come to that) for this sequence using the most obvious search **Keyword**, that is **PAX6**, do you think you would find this **PAX6** mRNA? You clearly should! A case for more consistent annotation? Perhaps something to consider further when we superficially mention the Gene Ontology project later.


Next, search the **Nucleotide** databases, by textual **Keyword**, for **PAX6** related sequences and down load one or two for investigation. To achieve this worthy goal, move to the top of the current page and note that the database selection has changed from Gene to Nucleotide. Click on the Advanced search option button.




Then in the Nucleotide Advanced Search Builder, change All Fields: to Title in the pull down menu associated with the first search field and type in the keywords:

#### chromosome 11

In the second search field, again change All Fields to Title and type in the keyword:





You are asking Entrez to search for all Nucleotide database entries that contain the terms chromosome 11 and pax6 in the section of their annotation intended to be a succinct brief description (I.e. Title) of the entry. Click on the Search button to start the search going.

arrayed before you in Genbank format,

```
There is just one matching entry which is DEFINITION Homo sapiens paired box 6 (PAX6), RefSeqGene (LRG_720) on
                                                                                                             PRI 24-APR-2017
                                                            chromosome 11.
```

very neat!! It was the **DEFINITION** line that you searched by selecting the **Field** value **Title**. I needed a few tries to get the right search to find just what was needed, and was a bit surprised at the simplicity and accuracy of the final search. You are looking at a RefSeqGene (a subset of the RefSeq database) entry. As such, it represents a genomic sequence for a "well-characterised gene", in this case PAX6.

Take a look at the FEATURES for this entry. You will see that there are two genes mentioned. PAX6, of course, and ELP4 on the strand that is the complement of that represented here.

```
/gene="PAX6"
/gene_synonym="AN; AN2; D11S812E; FVH1; MGDA; WAGR"
/note="paired box 6"
/db_xref="GeneID:5080"
/db_xref="HGNC:<u>HGNC:8620</u>
/db xref="MIM:607108"
complement (38437..>40170)
/gene synonym="AN; AN2; Cllorf19; dJ68P15A.1; hELP4;
PAX6NEB; PAXNEB"
/note="elongator acetyltransferase complex subunit 4
/db xref="GeneID:26610
/db_xref="HGNC:<u>HGNC:1171</u>"
/db_xref="MIM:<u>606985</u>"
```

join(16551..16560,20128..20258,21186..21401,22106..22271, 28174..28332,28848..28930,29160..29310,29409..29524, 32102..32252,32943..33028) /gene="PAX6" /gene\_synonym="AN; AN2; D11S812E; FVH1; MGDA; WAGR" /note="isoform a is encoded by transcript variant 1; paired box protein Pax-6; paired box homeotic gene-6; oculorhombin: aniridia type II protein' /codon start=1 /product="paired box protein Pax-6 isoform a" /protein\_id=" NP\_000271.1 /db\_xref="CCDS: CCDS31451.1 /db xref="LRG:p1" /db\_xref="GeneID: 5080 ' /db\_xref="HGNC: HGNC:8620 " /db\_xref="MIM: 607108 /translation="MQNSHSGVNQLGGVFVNGRPLPDSTRQKIVELAHSGARPCDISR ILQVSNGCVSKILGRYYETGSIRPRAIGGSKPRVATPEVVSKIAQYKRECPSIFAWEI RDRLLSEGVCTNDNIPSVSSINRVLRNLASEKQQMGADGMYDKLRMLNGQTGSWGTRP GWYPGTSVPGQPTQDGCQQQEGGGENTNSISSNGEDSDEAQMRLQLKRKLQRNRTSF 0E0IEALEKEFERTHYPDVFARERLAAKIDLPEARIOVWFSNRRAKWRREEKLRNORR QASNTPSHIPISSSFSTSVYQPIPQPTTPVSSFTSGSMLGRTDTALTNTYSALPPMP FTMANNLPMQPPVPSQTSSYSCMLPTSPSVNGRSYDTYTPPHMQTHMNSQPMGTSGTT STGLISPGVSVPVQVPGSEPDMSQYWPRLQ"

At the top of your page, Analyse this sequence by clicking on the Highlight Sequence Features option. The CoDing Sequence (CDS) feature for PAX6 is displayed for you by highlighting the relevant parts (the coding exons) of the sequence and displaying the CDS details including the DNA regions that need to be joined to form the CDS and the translation of the CDS.



Use the controls at the bottom of your page to look at the other features of this entry (select feature **number** and then click on the Feature button).

What were the features that you found?

Why might you have expected more features than there were?

COMMENT REVIEWED REFSEQ: This record has been curated by NCBI staff in collaboration with Isabel Hanson, David FitzPatrick. The reference sequence was derived from Z95332.1 and Z83307.1.

This sequence is a reference standard in the RefSeqGene project.

PRIMARY REFSEQ\_SPAN PRIMARY\_IDENTIFIER PRIMARY\_SPAN COMP 1-18852 795332.1 2023-20874

Z83307.1

Take a look at the **COMMENT** and **PRIMARY** sections just above the **FEATURES**. This entry is suggested to be constructed from two sequences from **GenBank**. That is, the products of two sequencing projects.

Take a quick look at the **GenBank** entries by entering their **ACCESSION** numbers (be sure to include the ".1", the version number, at the end to avoid unwanted hits) into the **Search** box at the top of your page. Click on the **Search** button

105-21422



Lo and behold, the two **GenBank** entries are summoned forth. Take a look at one or both. Not particularly illuminating I think<sup>2</sup>. These are clones sequenced as part of the **Human Genome Project** (**HGP**). They served to cover regions of **Chromosome 11** and have little biological significance in themselves.

18853-40170

Human DNA sequence from clone CFAT5 on chromosome 11, complete sequence

1. 20,874 bp linear DNA
Accession: Z95332.1 Gl: 2190397
GenBank FASTA Graphics

Human DNA sequence from clone A1280 on chromosome 11, complete sequence

2. 22,253 bp linear DNA
Accession: Z83307.1 Gl: 1730464
GenBank FASTA Graphics

Move back to the list, as illustrated. Elect to Analyse these sequences, selecting from the extensive range of possibilities Run BLAST. We will look at blast properly later, the idea here is to simple prove that these two sequencing clones really do overlap in the fashion suggested by the evidence so far. So, elect to Align two or more sequences<sup>3</sup>. Cut and paste one of the sequencing clone accession numbers from the Enter Query Sequence box to the Enter Subject Sequence section of the form. Elect to Show results in a new window<sup>4</sup>. Firmly address the

BLASTN programs search nucleotide subjects using a nucleotide query, more **Enter Query Sequence** Enter accession number(s), gl(s), or FASTA sequence(s) Query subrange @ Or, upload file Browse... Job Title Enter a descriptive title for your BLAST search ( 🗹 Align two or more sequences 😉 **Enter Subject Sequence** Enter accession number, gi, or FASTA sequence @ Subject subrange (9) Or, upload file Browse... **Program Selection** Optimize for Highly similar sequences (megablast) More dissimilar sequences (discontiguous megablast) Somewhat similar sequences (blastn) e a BLAST algorithm 🔞 BLAST Search nucleotide sequence using Megablast (Optimize for highly similar sequences Show results in a new window

Just one region of overlap should be identified.

| Query | 20771 | GATCCGGAGCGACTTCCGCCTATTTCCAGAAATTAAGCTCAAACTTGACGTGCAGCTAGT | 20830 |
|-------|-------|--|-------|
| Sbjct | 1     | GATCCGGAGCGACTTCCGCCTATTTCCAGAAATTAAGCTCAAACTTGACGTGCAGCTAGT | 60    |
| Query | 20831 | TTTATTTTAAAGACAAATGTCAGAGAGGCTCATCATATTTTCCC 20874           |       |
| Sbjct | 61    | TITATTITAAAGACAAATGTCAGAGAGGCTCATCATATTITCCC 104             |       |

How does the alignment you generated match up with the annotation of the original **RefSeq** entry you discovered? \_

<sup>2</sup> The annotation is very sparse which makes these entries very hard to find directly. The EML-Bank versions include some links to Ensembl codes. These would have been helpful but are not part of the official International Nucleotide Sequence Database Collaboration (INSDC) annotation that should be consistent between GenBank, European Nucleotide Archive (ENA), which includes EML-Bank, and DNA Data Bank of Japan (DDBJ).

<sup>3</sup> As opposed to comparing each of the two clones against an entire sequence database.

Just because its neater. In my, significantly less then humble, opinion anyway.

Now for an entirely new search. The easiest way to get a fresh start is to move back to your browser tab displaying the GenBank Search results, and then click on the Advanced option of the Search facility at the top of the page. You should arrive back at the Nucleotide Advanced Search Builder offering a fresh start.



Set up a new search as illustrated and set it going. Ultimately simple this time. You have requested all **Human** sequences that are centrally associated with the gene **PAX6**.

A list of 60 or so sequences, all clearly claiming PAX6 association and announcing their humanity loudly in Latin, will tumble forth.



You will have more hits than are displayed in one go, by default. Also, the hits are arranged in a "**Default**" order which has thus far defied all my attempts to associate with any reasonable definition of logic!


To deal with both of these issues, use the display control pull down menus at the top of your page to set the items **per page** to something big and the **Sort by** option to something sane.

The list shows matches between the terms entered and the *annotation* of DNA sequences. Not all relevant sequences will be present. For example, the **mRNA** with accession number **AB209177** was justifiably referenced in the **PAX6** Gene entry but will not be in this list. **PAX6** appears nowhere in the annotation of **AB209177** including its **DESCRIPTION** (or **Title**) field.

Move far down the list, you will come to the RefSeq PAX6 mRNAs of a few pages back. Just before these entries is M77844.1. Save this one for later analysis. I choose M77844.1 as it includes a few variations that will add interest. Select the target sequence.

Homo sapiens isolate MP-E2-E13 paired box protein Pax-6 isoform a (PAX6) gene, complete cds 32. 3,695 bp linear DNA Accession: KT580799.1 GI: 969822271 GenBank FASTA Graphics PopSet Homo sapiens oculorhombin (PAX6) mRNA, complete cds, alternatively spliced 33. 1,643 bp linear mRNA Accession: M77844.1 GI: 189352 GenBank FASTA Graphics Human paired box gene (PAX6) homologue, complete cds 34. 1,698 bp linear mRNA Accession: M93650.1 GI: 189632 Homo sapiens paired box 6 (PAX6), RefSegGene (LRG 720) on chromosome 11 35. 40.170 bp linear DNA Accession: NG\_008679.1 GI: 208879460 GenBank FASTA Graphics Homo sapiens paired box 6 (PAX6), transcript variant 1, mRNA 36. 6,969 bp linear mRNA Accession: NM\_000280.4 GI: 386642908

You could now use the diminutive selected sequences into a single file.



However, as there is only one sequence, and it would be so nice to be introduced properly before such intimacies as "downloading". Click on the link to the database entry to see it in all its **GenBank Format** glory.

The sequence is for analysis rather than decoration, so use the format menu at the top of the page (currently set **GenBank**), and ask for **FASTA** format.

Complete Record
Coding Sequences
Gene Features
Choose Destination
File
Collections
Analysis Tool
Download 1 items.
Format
FASTA
Show GI

Now click the tiny **Send:** button and **Choose Destination** to be **File**.

Strike the Create File button with a firm resolve. With irritating presumption, the choice of file name is made for you. Your sequence will be stored in a file named:

sequence.fasta

The **NCBI** is justifiably not famed for its understanding of poetry! Do whatever it takes to rename this file to be called:

pax6 mrna.fasta

One last file to save. Move back to your list of hits and deselect the mRNA that you have already saved.

Near the top of the list you should find two primer sequences. Their **Descriptions** suggest they are a pair of **PCR** primers used for picking out the **PAX6** gene. Select both by clicking in their selection boxes.

```
Homo sapiens neuroretina-specific pax6 gene enhancer region
  267 bp linear DNA
   Accession: AJ009907.1 GI: 3378599
   GenBank FASTA Graphics
Momo sapiens paired box gene 6 (PAX6), isoform a sense primer
8. 25 bp linear DNA
   Accession: AJ270357.1 GI: 9557932
   GenBank FASTA Graphics
Homo sapiens paired box gene 6 (PAX6), isoform a antisense primer
  26 bp linear DNA
   Accession: AJ270358.1 GI: 9557933
   GenBank FASTA Graphics
 Homo sapiens paired box protein PAX6 (PAX6) mRNA, complete cds
10. 1,399 bp linear mRNA
   Accession: AY047583.1 GI: 15422112
   GenBank FASTA Graphics
```

```
L0CUS
            AJ270357
                                       25 bp
                                                DNA
                                                          linear PRI 26-JUL-2000
DEFINITION
           Homo sapiens paired box gene 6 (PAX6), isoform a sense primer.
ACCESSION
            AJ270357
VERSION
            AJ270357.1 GI:9557932
KEYWORDS
SOURCE
            Homo sapiens (human)
 ORGANISM Homo sapiens
            Eukaryota; Metazoa; Chordata; Craniata; Vertebrata; Euteleostomi;
            Mammalia; Eutheria; Euarchontoglires; Primates; Haplorrhini;
            Catarrhini; Hominidae; Homo.
1 (bases 1 to 25)
REFERENCE
  AUTHORS
            Palm, K., Salin-Nordstrom, T., Levesque, M.F. and Neuman, T.
  TITLE
            Fetal and adult human CNS stem cells have similar molecular
            characteristics and developmental potential
  JOURNAL
            Brain Res. Mol. Brain Res. 78 (1-2), 192-195 (2000)
  PUBMED
            10891600
REFERENCE
            2 (bases 1 to 25)
 AUTHORS
            Palm,K.
  TITLE
            Direct Submission
            Submitted (04-OCT-1999) Surgery, Cedars Sinai Medical Center, 8700
 JOURNAL
            Beverly Blvd., Los Angeles, CA 90048, US
Related entry: NM_000280.
COMMENT
FEATURES
                      Location/Qualifiers
     source
                      /organism="Homo sapiens'
                      /mol type="genomic DNA
                      /db_xref="taxon:9606"
     misc_feature
                      1..25
                      /note="PCR sense primer for paired box gene 6 (PAX6),
                      isoform a
ORIGIN
        1 ccagccagag ccagcatgca gaaca
```


Click on the sense primer. Properly, you would read all the References carefully. Instead, note the length looks about right and return to your list with the **Back** button.

It will be good to investigate these primers later, so find the diminutive button which is at the top of your page and use it. Choose your Destination to be File and set the Format of that file to be FASTA. Strike the Create File button with a confident click of your every ready mouse. Once more, the choice of file name is made for you. Your sequences are stored in a file named:

sequence.fasta

Do whatever it takes to rename this file to be called:

pax6 primers.fasta



Back to Ensembl. More with the objective of looking at more sources of information via Ensembl than becoming

expert Ensembl users.

Go to the Ensembl home page (www.ensembl.org). Choose to View full list of all Ensembl species using the link just under the Select a species menu.

Note that **Ensembl** (and **MapViewer**, of course) offers far more than just the **Human Genome**.

In particular, note the links to EnsemblPlants, EnsemblFungi, EnsemblBacteria etc. Ensembl databases at the bottom of the list.

During this exercise, you will only look at the Human genome, by far the most completely recorded. However, all the other Ensembl genomes are behind the same interface. The techniques required to examine the Human genome are broadly those required to examine any Ensembl genome.

Credits page for species images

Other Metazoa


Plants and Fungi

Plants and Fungi

Plant and fungal genomes can be found at Ensembl Plants 40 and Ensembl Fungit

Protists, Bacteria and Archaea

Unicellular eukaryotic and prokaryotic genomes can be found at Ensembl Protists.



Move back to the **Ensembl** home page and go to the **Human PAX6** gene information by setting the **Search** fields as shown and clicking the **Go** button boldly.

The target gene is at the top of the hit list.

Click on the link to the PAX6 (Human Gene).

You should recognise the view you now see. The list of transcripts and view of the genomic region exactly as you examined via the **NCBI**.

There is much to investigate here, but maybe that should wait for a specialised **Ensembl** course. They are run regularly in Cambridge and elsewhere.

To make a bit more space, elect to Hide transcript table

PAX6 (Human Gene) ENSG00000007372 11:31784779-31818062:-Paired box 6 [Source:HGNC Symbol;Acc:HGNC:8620] LRG\_720 (LRG display in Ensembl gene record; description: Locus Reference Genomic record for PAX6; is an external reference matched to Gene ENSG00000007372 PAX6-011 (Human Transcript) Paired box 6 [Source:HGNC Symbol;Acc:HGNC:8620] F1T0F8 (UniProtKB/TrEMBL record; description: Paired box protein Pax-6) is an external reference natched to Translation ENSP00000368401 Location • External Refs. • cDNA seq. • Exons • Variant table • Protein seq. • Popul PAX6-004 (Human Transcript) ENST00000379109 11:31788911-31810667:-1 Paired box 6 [Source:HGNC Symbol;Acc:HGNC:8620] Q66SS1 (UniProtKB/TrEMBL record; description: Paired box gene 6 isoform a; Paired box protein soform a) is an external reference matched to Translation ENSP00000368403 Location • External Refs. • cDNA seq. • Exons • Variant table • Protein seq. • Popula PAX6-072 (Human Transcript)

P26367 (UniProtKB/Swiss-Prot record; description: Paired box protein Pax-6) is an external reference

for PAX6

e.g. BRCA2 or rat 5:62797383-63627669 or rs699 or coronary heart dise

First a look at how **Ensembl** sees the **Homologues** of **PAX6**. First the **Orthologues** and then the **Paralogues**. Click on the **Othologues** link in the left hand side of your browser page.

Human

Paired box 6 [Source:HGNC Symbol;Acc:HGNC:8620]

matched to Translation ENSP00000368406

Take a look at some of the alignments providing support for the homologous relations. The protein alignments are the more informative (from the View Sequence Alignments menu, select View Protein Alignment).



Using the evidence of the protein alignments, which PAX6 isoforms do the fruitfly orthologues most resemble?

Once your curiosity is completely sated, click on the Paralogues link. View some of the protein alignments between the PAX6 isoform and its paralogues.


What region of the paralogues seem to be best conserved? Does this surprise you? If not, why not?

Some paralogues seem to have two regions of high similarity (e.g. **PAX4** or **PAX2**), others only one (e.g. **PAX1**)? Can you guess what this might suggest? The answer should become clearer in a page or two.

How many PAX protein paralogues are there for human? Suggest a prettier naming scheme than PAX1, PAX2, ...

Next look at some transcript specific features as they are recorded in **Ensembl**. To do this, one must first select a transcript, so Show transcript table once more and select ENST00000419022 (PAX6-001). Again, to make a bit more space, why not Hide transcript table away.

Now click the Exons link (from Transcript-based displays  $\rightarrow$  Sequence). Exons, Introns and Variations within Exons are clearly displayed.



What are the first two bases and what are the last two bases of nearly every intron?

How long is the sixth exon and why would this concur with your expectations?

Explain the **Start Phase** and **End Phase** columns?

Click on some of the colourful variation locations. The colours are explained in the legend at the top of the display.



The variations come from a number of variation databases, including **dbSNP**. The **dbSNP** entries are those whose names begin with "**rs**". **dbSNP** can be investigated directly at the **NCBI**, of course, but it very convenient to have all the variation information built into **Genome Databases** such as **Ensembl**.



Click on the Domains & features link (from Transcript-based displays  $\rightarrow$  Protein Information).

| Domain source    | Start | ⊕ End | Description                                   | Accession        | InterPro   |
|------------------|-------|-------|---|------------------|--|
| PANTHER          | 1     | 411   | -   | PTHR24329        | -  |
| PANTHER          | 1     | 411   | -   | PTHR24329:SF294  | -  |
| Prosite_profiles | 222   | 282   | Homeobox domain                               | <u>PS50071</u> 醛 | IPR001356명 [Display all genes with this domain]  |
| Smart            | 224   | 286   | Homeobox domain                               | SM00389₽         | IPR001356명 [Display all genes with this domain]  |
| Pfam             | 226   | 281   | Homeobox domain                               | <u>PF00046</u> 醛 | IPR001356명 [Display all genes with this domain]  |
| Prosite_patterns | 257   | 280   | Homeobox, conserved site                      | <u>PS00027</u> 년 | IPR017970명 [Display all genes with this domain]  |
| Superfamily      | 6     | 143   | Homeodomain-like                              | SSF46689 ₺       | IPR009057명 [Display all genes with this domain]  |
| Gene3D           | 201   | 284   | Homeodomain-like                              | 1.10.10.60       | IPR009057명 [Display all genes with this domain]  |
| Superfamily      | 205   | 283   | Homeodomain-like                              | SSF46689 ₺       | IPR009057명 [Display all genes with this domain]  |
| Pfam             | 4     | 142   | Paired domain                                 | PF00292₺         | IPR001523명 [Display all genes with this domain]  |
| Smart            | 4     | 142   | Paired domain                                 | <u>SM00351</u> ₽ | IPR001523명 [Display all genes with this domain]  |
| Prosite_profiles | 4     | 144   | Paired domain                                 | <u>PS51057</u> 립 | IPR001523년 [Display all genes with this domain]  |
| PRINTS           | 8     | 23    | Paired domain                                 | <u>PR00027</u> 룝 | IPR001523명 [Display all genes with this domain]  |
| PRINTS           | 26    | 44    | Paired domain                                 | <u>PR00027</u> 년 | IPR001523명 [Display all genes with this domain]  |
| PRINTS           | 60    | 77    | Paired domain                                 | <u>PR00027</u> 년 | IPR001523명 [Display all genes with this domain]  |
| PRINTS           | 78    | 95    | Paired domain                                 | <u>PR00027</u> 년 | IPR001523명 [Display all genes with this domain]  |
| Gene3D           | 7     | 86    | Winged helix-turn-helix<br>DNA-binding domain | 1.10.10.10       | IPR011991 № [Display all genes with this domain] |
| Gene3D           | 87    | 150   | Winged helix-turn-helix<br>DNA-binding domain | 1.10.10.10       | IPR011991년 [Display all genes with this domain]  |

Are you surprised that the precise location of the PAX6 Homeobox domain is not identically predicted by the **SMART** and **Pfam Domain Databases**? If not, why not?

How is that all the predictions, of different domain databases, for a Paired domain have the same Interpro identifier?

Why does **PRINTS** appear to predict four **Paired domains**?

Click on the link to the SMART entry for the Paired domain (SM00351).

Here you will find (quoted from Interpro) a Description of a Paired domain.

a protein?

Where would you expect a The paired domain is an approximately 126 amino acid DNA-binding domain, which is found in eukaryotic transcription regulatory proteins involved in embryogenesis. The domain was originally described as the 'paired box' in the Drosophila Paired domain to occur in protein paired (prd) [(PUBMED:2877747), (PUBMED:3123319)]. The paired domain is generally located in the N-terminal part. An octapeptide [(PUBMED:10811620)] and/or a homeodomain can occur C-terminal to the paired domain, as well as a Pro-Ser-Thr-rich C terminus


concerning have domain?

Paired domain proteins can function as transcription repressors or activators. The paired domain contains three subdomains, which show functional differences in DNA-binding. The crystal structures of prd and Pax proteins show that the DNA-bound What expectations do you paired domain is bipartite, consisting of an N-terminal subdomain (PAI or NTD) and a C-terminal subdomain (RED or CTD), what connected by a linker. PAI and RED each form a three-helical fold, with the most C-terminal helices comprising a helix-turn-helix (HTH) motif that binds the DNA major groove. In addition, the PAI subdomain encompasses an N-terminal beta-turn typically follows a Paired and beta-hairpin, also named 'wing', participating in DNA-binding. The linker can bind into the DNA minor groove. Different Pax proteins and their alternatively spliced isoforms use different (sub)domains for DNA-binding to mediate the specificity of sequence recognition [(PUBMED:11103953), (PUBMED:15148315)].


The reason for these two questions will become apparent later.

The second paragraph of the **Description** claims, in gross summary:

- A paired domain is a DNA binding domain that has 2 binding regions each of which involves a helical triplet
- The second and third helices of each helical triplet form Helix-Turn-Helix (HTH) motifs
- The HTH regions bind the DNA major groove<sup>5</sup>



- The first helical triplet is preceded by a  $\beta$ -turn and  $\beta$ -hairpin ("wing") that participate in the DNA binding
- The linker region between the two helical triplets can bind the **DNA minor groove**






Click on Display all genes with this domain for the Paired domain and Homeobox domain InterPro families. The locations of all genes including each domain will be displayed graphically and textually. PAX6 is shown in red.

#### Paired domain - IPR001523

# 

#### Homeobox domain - IPR001356



Which domain, Paired domain or Homeobox domain is more common in humans?


How many human PAX genes are there?

Are all the PAX genes on Chromosome 11? \_\_\_\_\_

5 If, like me, you have conceptual problems with major and minor groves. Try this animated picture. Helped me at least. As did the image above.

Basic Bioinformatics 18 of 33 20:16:56

Move back to the **Domains & features** display. Link to the **InterPro** database entry for **Paired domain**, also know as **IPR001523**. Here you will find the origins of the **SMART** documentation. Click on the **Proteins matched link**. You will see listed a number of representations of proteins that, according to **InterPro**, include a **Paired domain**. Amongst these will be the human **PAX6** protein, also known as **P26367**. There are links provided to entries in a number of relevant databases for each listed protein.



What type of Helix-Turn-Helix (HTH) is predicted by InterPro for all the Paired domains in the list?


Click on the Structures link in the top left hand corner of the page. InterPro will offer links to relevant entries in the PDBe, SCOP and CATH databases. Click on the link to the 6pax entry in the PDBe database. You will arrive at the entry for 6pax in PDBe, the European version of PDB maintained at the EBI. Views of this structure are offered on the right hand side of the page. Click on the largest image which shows the paired box protein domain



binding DNA rather beautifully. Once you have admired this image, in all its various guises, sufficiently, move back to the **6pax PDBe** entry. From the Quick links on the right of the page, select the 3D Visualisation option.

The **SMART** documentation you read earlier suggested two paired box subdomains, each of which "... form a three-helical fold, with the most C-terminal helices comprising a **helix-turn-helix** (**HTH**) motif that binds the **DNA major groove**". Move your image around to confirm this assertion.

The same **SMART** documentation claims the subdomain nearer the **N** terminal "... encompasses an N-terminal beta-turn and beta-hairpin, also named 'wing', participating in **DNA-binding**. The linker can bind into the **DNA** minor groove". Manipulate you image to investigate the veracity of these assertions.



Once you have seen all there is to see of 6PAX, move back to the Ensembl Domains & features display. Try the same tricks with the InterPro Homeobox domain. This time, it is difficult to find P26367 in the huge list<sup>8</sup> Proteins matched, but you do not need to in order to link to the Structures. There are many more structures to choose from this time. I suggest you go for 2cue. You have to imagine the DNA this time.

It looks rather as if the **Homeobox domain** also includes a helical triplet including a **Helix-Turn-Helix**. You could have confirmed this by reference to the relevant **SMART** documentation (as you did for the **Paired box** domain). It is the **HTH** that the **Homeobox** uses to bind to DNA.

InterPro did not detect the Homeobox HTH as it did the Paired box HTH. Have you any thoughts as to why this might be?

Can you explain the strangely frayed ends displayed in some of the representations of the **2cue** 3D structure?

<sup>6</sup> Third from the bottom of the first page, last time I counted.

PDB is the main database for 3D protein structures. SCOP and CATH are also 3D structure related databases.

<sup>8</sup> If you really wanted to, the best approach is to search for **P26367** in the search box at the top of the page and then look for the **Homeobox domain** entry in the **Detailed signature matches** list.

To end, a gesture towards demonstrating that you could quite easily have computed most of the information you have been accessing, ready packed, from various databases. There are many way this objective could be achieved, I choose to search for the features of the **PAX6** protein.

As has been discovered from several information sources, the **PAX6** human protein has two DNA binding domains. A paired box at the **N terminal** and a homeobox a little further along. Both of the domains include **Helix-Turn-Helix** (**HTH**) motifs. In this exercise, you will investigate how you might discover these domains and motifs using the various freely available domain databases (discussed previously) and other feature prediction programs. Clearly, this is superfluous for this particularly, well documented protein, but a valuable option in other circumstances.

One approach would be to consider each relevant domain database in turn. Each major domain database has its own Home web site and customised software to take **Query** protein sequences, compare those sequences with domain representations (typically based on **Hidden Markov Models**) and to report convincing matches. This would work, but would be tedious as there are many viable databases to consider. It would be dangerous to rely on too few of the databases available as none is perfect. You need a consensus prediction to be sure you miss nothing.

Also, you would need to know which databases are particularly appropriate for each domain you considered might be present. All databases cannot be optimised for all types of domain (for example, the **SMART** database specialises in domains that occur in signalling proteins).

So, let us not search individual domain databases. I am sure you could find your own way through using most of the major searches, if you wished. I have some notes on using the **Prosite**, **Pfam** and **PRINTS** domain databases, that have not been maintained for a while. Searching **PRINTS** is particularly interesting for **PAX6**. By searching **PRINTS** individually, you can discover by how small a margin **PRINTS** failed to find both domains!


Here, use just **Interpro** to do the whole job. **Interpro** will search for all domains using the appropriate domain databases, thus removing the tedium of interrogating a miscellany of domain searching resources individually.

protein family databases, including all those we have discussed thus far. **Interpro** provides a search tool that will search all or any of the major protein family databases and assign **Interpro** family associations to the query protein(s) accordingly. To have a look at some of the possibilities offered by **Interpro**, Go to:

#### http://www.ebi.ac.uk/interpro/

If you were to enter the **PAX6** human protein into the obvious place on the **InterPro** home page, you would produce exactly the results you saw many pages back, when you were investigating **UniProtKB**°. Do this if you have the time and inclination.


By implication, **InterPro** offers a fuller experience via the **InterProScan** search tool. Other than the opportunity not to search **ALL** the domain databases, and having the results arranged slightly differently, I am unsure what the extra effort brings? Never mind, there are many things of which I am unsure, so, from the **InterPro** Home page ...



Select the InterProScan link. Here you will be offered the opportunity to download the InterProScan program.

I am not sure this is too useful an offer for most? But it is there.

For now, chose the online Sequence search.



**Practical 1: Databases and Tools** 

Friday 29 September 201

You will arrive at a page that looks very similar to that from which you started, as far as the offer to run a domain search is concerned? Except! We now have **Advanced options**. Click on the **Advanced options**.

The **Advanced options** only allow you to choose which databases you wish to search and which feature prediction programs you wish to run The default is to use all the databases and to run all the predictor programs. I struggle to imagine an occasion I would want to save the **EB** servers a few cycles by considering which options to deselect, but it so nice to know I could if I wished to.

In passing, the offer to run the feature predictor programs in the **Other sequence features** section is relatively new. Of course, all these programs could be run individually from their home websites (follow the links behind the program names), in the same way as the domain databases can be searched individually. **Interpro** just aims to make thing easy for the user. The programs currently offered are:

|      | Friday 29 September 2017  |
|------|---|
| u    | Analyse your protein sequence   |
| !    | >sp P26367 PAX6_HUMAN Paired box protein Pax-6 OS=Homo sapiens GN=PAX6<br>PE=1 SV=2 |
|      | MQNSHSGVNQLGGVFVNGRPLPDSTRQKIVELAHSGARPCDISRILQVSNGCVSKIL GRY                       |
| u    | YETGSIRPRAIGGSKPRVATPEVVSKIAQYKRECPSIFAWEIRDRLLSEGVCTNDNIP<br>SV                    |
| ۱.   | * Advanced options  |
| r    | Select the applications to run: Uncheck all Select all                              |
| I    | Member databases  |
| o    | Families, domains, sites & repeats  CDD HAMAP PANTHER PlamA PIRSF PRINTS            |
|      | ☑ ProDom ☑ Prosite-Profiles ☑ SMART ☑ TIGRFAM ☑ Prosite-Patterns                    |
| r    | Structural domains Gene3d SFLD SUPERFAMILY  |
| S    | Other sequence features   |
| d    | ☑ Coils ☑ MobiDB Lite ☑ Phobius ☑ SignalP ☑ TMHMM                                   |
| e    | Submit Clear Example protein sequence   |
| r. I |   |

- Coils is a program for predicting coiled coils.
- Phobius & TMHMM are programs to predict Transmembrane regions (essentially hydrophobic, uncharged regions). There is no reason to expect any Transmembrane regions in this protein.
- SignalP predicts the presence and location of signal peptide cleavage sites in amino acid sequences from different organisms. I am pretty certain that there is no reason to expect signal peptides in this protein.

Do you think it a good idea for Interpro to offer feature prediction programs as well as domain database searches?\_

Paste the human **PAX6** sequence into the patiently waiting box (from the file you made earlier called **pax6\_human.fasta**). Accept the "do everything" default. Click on the **Search** button.

After several moments of deep thought, filtering and validating, you will be presented with a table of results looking very much like the one your saw earlier when looking around **UniProtKB**.

There is, however, one significant difference. In the Unintegrated signatures section, you will see that a coiled coil has been detected by the program Coils. This was not included in the UniProtKB information, maybe as Interpro has only recently included analysis using Coils? UniProtKB might catch up next time it is updated.



Do you think the Coil prediction might be correct?

Notice that Interpro assigns both the PAX domain and the Homeobox domain of human PAX6 to the Interpro family **Homeodomain-like**. Both of these associations are based on the hit behind the link **SSF46689**.

SCOP classification

Root: SCOP hierarchy in SUPERFAMILY [5000 0] (11) Class: All alpha proteins [5009 46456] (284)

Fold: DNA/RNA-binding 3-helical bundle [5009 46688] (14)

Superfamily: Homeodomain-like [5009 46690] (19)
Families: Homeodomain [5009 46690] (40)

Recombinase DNA-binding domain [5009 46728] (5) Myb/SANT domain [5009 46739] (15)

SLIDE domain [5009 100998]

GARP response regulators [5009 81683]

DNA-binding domain of telomeric protein [5009 46745] (2

Paired domain [5009 46748] (3)

Follow this link and you will see it leads to the Homeodomain-like

Superfamily 1.75 🕏 superfamily of the database that specialises in very general (SCOP10 superfamily level) protein classifications. One Superfamily entry will typically correspond to a number of more specific domain definitions in other domain databases. Here you can see that the Superfamily domain Homeodomain-like includes both the Homeodomain & Paired domain Families.

Return to your Interpro results page. The Gene3D database is similar to superfamily but based on the CATH database". It suggests the two HTH motifs of the paired box are both Winged helix-turn-helix. The HTH in the **Homeobox domain** is not detected?

Why might you suppose **Interpro** predicts only **2** of the **3 helix-turn-helix domains** that might be expected?

Follow the link to the Interpro family Homeodomain-like (IPR009057). Click on the button in the Domain relationships section to show the full list of Homeodomain-like Interpro domains.

Contributing signatures

Signatures from InterPro member databases are used to construct an entry.

■ SUPERFAMILY **(1)** 

SSF46689 (SSF46689)

Note also the **Contributing signatures** in the top right hand corner of the page. Here is listed the domain databases that are searched to determine the presence of an Interpro Homeodomain-like domain

Essentially, if Superfamily finds a match with its Homeodomain-like superfamily, then Interpro records a match with its Homeodomainlike domain (IPR009057). Until recently, matches with Gene3D entries were also regarded as significant. The fact that they are no longer considered suggests Gene3D maybe on its way out! I continue to mention this database as long as it still appears to be included in **Interpro** searches.


None of the other domain databases Interpro searches are used to determine membership of IPR009057.

# Domain relationships

- Homeodomain-like (IPR009057)
  - DNA binding HTH domain, Fis-type (IPR002197)
  - DNA binding HTH domain, AraC-type (IPR018060)
  - DNA binding HTH domain, Psq-type (IPR007889)
  - DNA-binding HTH domain, TetR-type (IPR001647)
  - □ HTH CenpB-type DNA-binding domain (IPR006600)
  - □ Homeo-prospero domain (IPR023082)
  - □ D Homeobox domain (IPR001356)
  - Homeodomain, ZF-HD class (IPR006455)
  - □ Homeodomain, phBC6A51-type (IPR024978)
  - D Mor transcription activator (IPR014875)
  - □ Rap1 Myb domain (IPR015010)
  - □ Resolvase, HTH domain (IPR006120)
  - □ SANT/Myb domain (IPR001005)
  - □ SLIDE domain (IPR015195)
  - □ SWIRM domain (IPR007526)
  - Transposase IS30-like HTH domain (IPR025246)
  - □ Transposase, Synechocystis PCC 6803 (IPR002622)
  - □ TyrR family, helix-turn-helix domain (IPR030828)

Structural Classification Of Proteins.

CATH is similar to SCOP in that it is another Structural classification database



#### THE END

DPJ - 2017.04.29

## **Model Answers to Questions in the Instructions Text.**

#### **Notes:**

For the most part, these "**Model Answers**" just provide the reactions/solutions I hoped you would work out for yourselves. However, sometime I have tried to offer a bit more background and material for thought? Occasionally, I have rambled off into some rather self indulgent investigations that even I would not want to try and justify as pertinent to the objective of these exercises. I like to keep these meanders, as they help and entertain me, but I wish to warn you to only take regard of them if you are feeling particularly strong and have time to burn. Certainly not a good idea to indulge here during a time constrained course event!

Where things have got extreme, I am going to make two versions of the answer. One starting:

# Summary:

Which has the answer with only a reasonably digestible volume of deep thought. Read this one.

The other will start:

#### Full Answer:

Beware of entering here! I do not hold back. Nothing complicated, but it will be long and full of pedantry.

This makes the Model answers section very big. **BUT**, it is not intended for printing or for reading serially, so I submit, being long and wordy does not matter. Feel free to disagree.


Basic Bioinformatics 24 of 33 20:16:56

## From your investigations using UniProtKB:

# Describe the arrangement of Helices within PAX6.

From the evidence of the textual table and the graphic, there are **nine** helices in all, that occur in groups of **three**.

Aligning the graphical representation of the positions of these helices with the **Interpro** domain prediction graphics (discovered via **UniProtKB** earlier), it is clear that the first two of the helical triplets lie in the **Paired** domain and the third is in the **Homeobox** domain.



#### From your investigations using **Entrez**:

What were the features that you found?

#### Summary:

The first feature was the CoDing Sequence (CDS) for a PAX6 isoform.

The other three features were the coding sequences for three **ELP4** isoforms.

```
/gene="ELP4"
/gene synonym="AN; AN2; Cllorf19; dJ68P15A.1; hELP4;
PAX6NEB; PAXNEB
/inference="similar to AA sequence (same
species):RefSeq:NP 001275654.1"
/exception="annotated by transcript or proteomic data"
/note="isoform 2 is encoded by transcript variant 2; elongator complex protein 4; PAX6 neighbor gene protein;
elongation protein 4 homolog"
/codon start=3
/product="elongator complex protein 4 isoform 2"
/protein_id=" <u>NP_001275654.1</u>
/db_xref="CCDS: <u>CCDS73271.1</u>
/db xref="GeneID: 26610
/db_xref="HGNC: <u>HGNC:1171</u> "
/db_xref="MIM: <u>606985</u>
/translation="MAAVATCGSVAASTGSAVATASKSNVTSFQRRGPRASVTNDSGP
RLVSIAGTRPSVRNGQLLVSTGLPALDQLLGGGLAVGTVLLIEEDKYNIYSPLLFKYF
LAEGIVNGHTLLVASAKEDPANILQELPAPLLDDKCKKEFDEDVYNHKTPESNIKMKI
AWRYQLLPKMEQIGPVSSSRFGHYYDASKRMPQELIEASNWHGFFLPEKISSTLKVEP
CSLTPGYTKLLQFIQNIIYEEGFDGSNPQKKQRNILRIGIQNLGSPLWGDDICCAENG
GNSHSLTKFLYVLRGLLRTSLSACIITMPTHLIQNKAIIARVTTLSDVVVGLESFIGS
FRETNPLYKDYHGI THTROTPRI NNI TCDESDVKDI AFKI KRKI FTTEWVODNYI ROF
RNIYPPGFSYLLKQKDSAWGEGSLQHSTFLMSFLAKATAFASRLVRHSEPLKQNGSGR
IRQAAGPRLWHDGRRQEAPGLLGIPP'
```

```
complement(39438..>39569)
/gene="ELP4"
/gene synonym="AN; AN2; Cllorf19; dJ68P15A.1; hELP4;
PAX6NEB; PAXNEB"
/inference="similar to AA sequence (same
species):RefSeq:NP_061913.3"
/exception="annotated by transcript or proteomic data"
/note="isoform 1 is encoded by transcript variant 1; elongator complex protein 4; PAX6 neighbor gene protein;
elongation protein 4 homolog"
/codon_start=1
/product="elongator complex protein 4 isoform 1"
/protein_id=" NP 061913.3
/db_xref="CCDS: <u>CCDS7875.2</u> "
/db xref="GeneID: 26610 "
/db xref="HGNC: HGNC:1171 '
/db xref="MIM: 606985
translation="MAAVATCGSVAASTGSAVATASKSNVTSFORRGPRASVTNDSGP"
RLVSIAGTRPSVRNGOLLVSTGLPALDOLLGGGLAVGTVLLIEEDKYNIYSPLLFKYF
LAEGIVNGHTLLVASAKEDPANILQELPAPLLDDKCKKEFDEDVYNHKTPESNIKMKI
AWRYQLLPKMEIGPVSSSRFGHYYDASKRMPQELIEASNWHGFFLPEKISSTLKVEPO
SLTPGYTKLLOFIONTIYEEGFDGSNPOKKORNILRIGIONLGSPLWGDDICCAENGG
NSHSLTKFLYVLRGLLRTSLSACIITMPTHLIONKAIIARVTTLSDVVVGLESFIGSE
RETNPLYKDYHGLIHIRQIPRLNNLICDESDVKDLAFKLKRKLFTIERLHLPPDLSD7
VSRSSKMDLAESAKRLGPGCGMMAGGKKHLDF"
```

```
complement(39533..>39569)
/gene="ELP4"
/gene_synonym="AN; AN2; Cllorf19; dJ68P15A.1; hELP4; PAX6NEB; PAXNEB"
/inference="similar to AA sequence (same
species):RefSeq:NP 001275655.1
/exception="annotated by transcript or proteomic data"
/note="isoform 3 is encoded by transcript variant 3; elongator complex protein 4; PAX6 neighbor gene protein;
elongation protein 4 homolog"
/codon start=2
/product="elongator complex protein 4 isoform 3"
/protein_id=" <u>NP_001275655.1</u>
/db_xref="CCDS: <u>CCDS73272.1</u>
/db_xref="GeneID: <u>26610</u>
/db xref="HGNC: HGNC:1171 '
/db_xref="MIM: 606985
translation="MAAVATCGSVAASTGSAVATASKSNVTSFORRGPRASVTNDSGF
RLVSIAGTRPSVRNGQLLVSTGLPALDQLLGGGLAVGTVLLIEEDKYNIYSPLLFKYF
LAEGIVNGHTLLVASAKEDPANILQELPAPLLDDKCKKEFDEDVYNHKTPESNIKMK
AWRYOLL PKMETGPVSSSREGHYYDASKRMPOELTEASNWHGEELPEKTSSTLKVEPO
SLTPGYTKLLQFIQNIIYEEGFDGSNPQKKQRNILRIGIQNLGSPLWGDDICCAENGG
NSHSLTKFLYVLRGLLRTSLSACIITMPTHLIQNKAIIARVTTLSDVVVGLESFIGSE
RETNPLYKDYHGLIHIRQIPRLNNLICDESDVKDLAFKLKRKLFTIEAGVQWHDLGSR
QPRLLGSSNSPASASLVAGITGAHHHTQLIFVFLVEMGFHHVGQAGLELLTSGDSSAS
ASQSAGITGMSYRARPRALYFKENKSKVGARQLLETREEHLSSRLLILTQAERLCMGR
RFFTAFHIFNELPCKGDCICLOTCOTO"
```

#### **Full Answer:**

Note that only the final coding exon of **ELP4** is within this **RefSeq** sequence, which is defined as the genomic region for **PAX6**. This is clear from the length of the **translations** offered. The exon referenced is only long enough to code for just over **40** amino acids which is far short of any of the three isoform sequences offered here.

| Note that only the final coding exon of **ELP4** is within this **RefSeq** sequence, which is defined as the genomic region for **PAX6**. This is clear from the length of the **translations**| Join (16551..16560, 20128..20258, 21186..21401, 22106..22271, 28174..28332, 28848..28930, 29160..29310, 29409..29524, 32102..32252, 32943..33028)
| Join (16551..16560, 20128..20258, 21186..21401, 22106..22271, 28174..28332, 28848..28930, 29160..29310, 29409..29524, 32102..32252, 32943..33028)
| Join (16551..16560, 20128..20258, 21186..21401, 22106..22271, 28174..28332, 28848..28930, 29160..29310, 29409..29524, 32102..32252, 32943..33028)

Note also that this final coding exon of **ELP4** (stretching from 39424/39438/39533 to 39569 of this **RefSeq** entry) does **not** overlap the coding region of the **PAX6** gene itself (stretching from 16551 to 33028 of this **RefSeq** entry)<sup>12</sup>.

In fact, the two entire genes do not overlap according to the evidence here. The entire PAX6 gene extends from 5001 to 38170. The portion of the ELP4 gene that is included in this entry extends from 40170 (the end) to 38437 (in the opposite direction). This give a gap between the two genes stretching from 38171 to 38436.

```
28174..28332,28848..28930,29160..29310,29409..29524,
32102..32252,32943..33028)
/gene="PAX6"
/gene_synonym="AN; AN2; D11S812E; FVH1; MGDA; WAGR"
/note="isoform a is encoded by transcript variant 1;
paired box protein Pax-6; paired box homeotic gene-6;
oculorhombin; aniridia type II protein"
/codon_start=1
/product="paired box protein Pax-6 isoform a"
/protein_id=" NP 000271.1
/db_xref="CCDS: CCDS31451.1"
/db_xref="LRG:p1"
/db_xref="GeneID: <u>5080</u>
/db_xref="HGNC: HGNC:8620 "
/db xref="MIM: 607108 "
/translation="MQNSHSGVNQLGGVFVNGRPLPDSTRQKIVELAHSGARPCDISF
ILQVSNGCVSKILGRYYETGSIRPRAIGGSKPRVATPEVVSKIAQYKRECPSIFAWEI
RDRLLSEGVCTNDNIPSVSSINRVLRNLASEKQQMGADGMYDKLRMLNGQTGSWGTRF
GWYPGTSVPGOPTODGC000EGGGENTNSISSNGEDSDEAOMRLOLKRKLORNRTSF7
OFOTFAL EKFERTHYPDVFARERI AAKTDI PEARTOVWESNRRAKWRREEKI RNORR
FTMANNLPMOPPVPSOTSSYSCMLPTSPSVNGRSYDTYTPPHMOTHMNSOPMGTSGTT
STGLISPGVSVPV0VPGSEPDMS0YWPRL0"
```


**RefSeqGenes**, comprise the entire gene plus **5,000** "extra" base pairs in either direction. The overlap here is entirely within the "extra" base pairs.

```
gene 5001..38170
/gene="PAX6"
/gene_synonym="AN; AN2; D11S812E; FVH1; MGDA; WAGR"
/note="paired box 6"
/db_xref="GeneID:5080"
/db_xref="HGNC:HGNC:8620"
/db_xref="MIM:607108"
```

```
gene complement(38437..>40170)
/gene="ELP4"
/gene_synonym="AN; AN2; Cllorf19; dJ68P15A.1; hELP4;
PAX6NEB; PAXNEB"
/note="elongator acetyltransferase complex subunit 4"
/db_xref="GeneID:26610"
/db_xref="HGNC:HGNC:11771"
/db_xref="MIM:606985"
```

Careful study of any of the three **Genome Database** displays visited earlier (**Genome Data Viewer, Map Viewer, Ensembl)** will confirm the relative positions of **PAX6** and **ELP4**. The view offered by Map Viewer is the clearest offered in this document

The annotation (specifically the **gene\_synonyms**) of **ELP4** associate this gene with **PAX6**. However, as the **ELP4** gene annotation to the right attests, only because of its proximity.



Why might you have expected more features than there were?

#### Summary:

All the evidence has suggested that **PAX6** has at least **2** isoforms. This would lead me to expect at least **2** CDS features here related to **PAX6**?

#### Full Answer:

The explanation from the **NCBI** is that this sort of **RefSeq** entry is intended to be used as a template against which sequences from an individual can be mapped to seek variations. Only a token **CDS** feature is included to indicate the position of the gene. For such an entry, recording every isoform is not essential.

This sounded convincing to me, Until I began to wonder why there were three **CDS** features for **ELP4** which is not even the gene primarily represented by this entry? Maybe I will ask more questions if and when I ever have the strength. In the meantime, mostly for my information, I record their exact explanation here.

"... note that **RefSeqGene** defines genomic sequences to be used as reference standards for well-characterized genes. These sequences serve as a stable foundation for reporting mutations, for numbering exons and introns, and for defining the coordinates of other variations. We normally select one **RefSeq** transcript to serve as a reference standard. The goal is not to record all introns and exons of all isoforms, but just to choose one representative to help define the locus. Therefore, most of our **RSG** records have only a single **RefSeq** as reference standard. If an **LSDB** manager or other stakeholder requests that other **RefSeqs** be added as alternate standards, this can easily be done (with the complication that, if a public **LRG** exists, the **RefSeqGene** record is fixed). We receive requests from stakeholders to include **RefSeqs** that represent all known exons, or **RefSeqs** that have become community standards. Often, after creating an **RSG** using our own internal criteria, we receive stakeholder requests to change or add transcripts. Many of these requests come from the **LRG** project regarding transcripts to be included on the **LRG** records.

Generally, **RefSeq** accessions can be added or removed without reversioning, unless a transcript is upgraded or a new one defined that extends beyond the bounds of the **RSG**, or matches a new build of the genome, in which case the **RSG** will be extended and reversioned as needed.

Regarding the chromosomal locus, our standard range is 5 kb upstream from the 5' end and 2 kb downstream from the 3' end of the mRNAs with the greatest extent. For this calculation, we do indeed use all available **RefSeq (NM\_)** accessions. If the database manager or stakeholder has information on promoters or other upstream or downstream regulatory regions, we can certainly extend the **RefSeqGene** locus to accommodate these.

Regarding mismatches, the goal is to exactly match the current build of the genome, unless there is overwhelming transcript and EST evidence that a mismatch should be retained.

Regarding the confusing subject of exon numbering, exon numbers are currently provided only on **RSG** genomic records based on a subset of available transcript **RefSeqs** for the gene. These are often those selected by locus-specific databases as reference sequence reporting standards. You can find an explanation of how exons are numbered here:

http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/refseg/rsg/fag/#exon

You will find links to more information on **RefSeqGenes** on the home page for the **RefSeqGene** project:

http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/retseq/rsg/

Regarding the PAX6 RSG sequence, only difference I see between NG\_008679.1 and the current build of the genome (GRCh38) is an extra 'G' beyond the 3'-UTR of the PAX6 transcripts (at NC\_000011.10:g.31,819,125). ... "


Yes, well I think I followed most of that? and that my interpretation is broadly correct? In summary, there are no fixed rules.

Basic Bioinformatics 27 of 33 20:16:57

How does the alignment you generated match up with the annotation of the original **RefSeq** entry you discovered?

## Summary:

The most intuitive way of encapsulating graphically the way these two sequencing clones overlap was donated by **Cecilia Pinto** (**Oeiras**, **2013.12.09-12**). Much better than my rambling attempts, that I keep for sentimental reasons in the "Full Answer". Thank you Cecilia.



#### Full Answer:

Do not spend to much time working this one out, the picture above should be more than sufficient. I just needed to see it all balanced ... then I can sleep soundly?

If you do want to read on, I strongly suggest you look at the picture contributed by Cecilia (now promoted to the "Summary Answer") first. So simple! I have to admit I cannot follow my own wonderful table at all now ... at least, not without bleeding! Although, it did feel good at the time?



| Query<br>Sbjct | 20771<br>1 | GATCCGGAGCGACTTCCGCCTATTTCCAGAAATTAAGCTCAAACTTGACGTGCAGCTAGT<br>GATCCGGAGCGACTTCCGCCTATTTCCAGAAATTAAGCTCAAACTTGACGTGCAGCTAGT | 20830<br>60 | The Query sequence is <b>Z95332</b> (Length <b>20,874</b> )   |
|----------------|------------|--|-------------|---|
| Query<br>Sbjct |            | TTTATTTTAAAGACAAATGTCAGAGAGGCTCATCATATTTTCCC 20874   |             | The Subject sequence is <b>Z83307</b> (Length <b>22,253</b> ) |
| PRTMA          | RY         | REESEO SPAN PRIMARY IDENTIFIER PRIMARY SI  | PAN         | COMP  |

| PRIMARY | REFSEQ_SPAN | PRIMARY_IDENTI | FIER PRIMARY_SPAN | COMP |
|---------|-------------|----------------|-------------------|------|
|         | 1-18852     | Z95332.1       | 2023-20874        |      |
|         | 18853-40170 | Z83307.1       | 105-21422         |      |
|         |             |                |                   |      |

| NG_008679<br>Range Start | NG_008679<br>Range End | NG_008679<br>Range | Z95332<br>Range Start | Z95332<br>Range Start | Z95332<br>Range | Z83307<br>Range Start | Z83307<br>Range End | Z83307<br>Range |
|--------------------------|------------------------|--------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------|-----------------------|---------------------|-----------------|
| -                        | -                      | -                  | 1                     | 2022                  | 2022            | -                     | -                   | -               |
| 1                        | 18748                  | 18748              | 2023                  | 20770                 | 18748           | -                     | -                   | -               |
| 18749                    | 18852                  | 104                | 20771                 | 20874 (end)           | 104             | 1                     | 104                 | 104             |
| 18853                    | 40170 (end)            | 21319              | -                     | -                     | -               | 105                   | 21422               | 21318           |
| -                        | -                      | -                  | -                     | -                     | -               | 21423                 | 22253 (end)         | 831             |
|                          |                        |                    |                       |                       |                 |                       |                     |                 |
|                          |                        | 40171              |                       |                       | 20874           |                       |                     | 22253           |

<u>Legend:</u> Not used in construction of **RefSeq** entry **NG\_008679** 

Non-overlapping GenBank entry used in construction of RefSeq entry NG\_008679

Overlapping GenBank entry used in construction of RefSeq entry NG 008679

Total entry lengths

The **RefSeq** entry was thus constructed by overlapping the two **Genbank** entries and then manually trimming away the edges to form a biologically meaning region. If I was a bit brighter, I think I might have come to that conclusion without the fuss above? Oh well, one has to use what one has.

I refer you again to the far more intuitive way of encapsulating the same message graphically, donated by **Cecilia Pinto** that is now the "**Summary** Answer" above. Much better! Thank you once more Cecilia.

From your investigations using **Ensembl**:

Using the evidence of the protein alignments, which PAX6 isoforms do the fruitfly orthologues most resemble?

The protein used to represent PAX6 human is consistently [Incoorphia melanogaster]

ENSP00000404100. This can most easily be confirmed by displaying the Protein Alignments for each of the 2 Fruitfly orthologues in turn. This is the protein sequence of isoform 5a, probably chosen as it is the



longer option (436 amino acids as opposed to 422) and so (from the crude informatics viewpoint) represents more information.

There are two **Fruitfly** orthologues recorded here, with the gene names **ey** and **toy**. Looking at the first few lines of the protein alignments for these genes, it is clear that **14** amino acid insert that defines **isoform 5a** (**THADAKVQVLDNQN**) is not present in either. Is it therefore reasonable to conclude that the representative fly proteins are both closest to the canonical protein sequence of **PAX6** human (**isoform 1**)?

# Protein alignment for ev

#### FBpp0099810/1-898 GKPSPTMEAVEASTASHPHSTSSYFATTYYHLTDDECHSGVNQLGGVFVGGRPLPDSTR ENSP00000404100/1-436 KIVELAHSGARPCDISRILQTHADAKVQVLDNQNVSNGCVSKILGRYYETGSIRPRAIG FBpp0099810/1-898 KIVELAHSGARPCDISRILQ------VSNGCVSKILGRYYETGSIRPRAIG ENSPOQUOU404100/1-436 SKPRVATPEVVSKTAQYKRECPSTFAWETRDRLLSEGVCTNDNTPSVSSINRVLRNLASE FBpp0099810/1-898 SKPRVATAEVVSKISOYKRECPSIFAWEIRDRLLOENVCTNDNIPSVSSINRVLRNLAAG FBpp0088249/1-543 MMLTTEHIMHGHPHSSVGQSTLFGCSTAGHSGINQLGGVYVNGRPLPDSTRQKIVELAHS ENSP00000492024/1-436 GARPCDISRILQTHADAKVQVLDNQNVSNGCVSKILGRYYETGSIRPRAIGGSKPRVATE FBpp0088249/1-543 GARPCDISRILO-----VSNGCVSKILGRYYETGSIKPRAIGGSKPRVAT EVVSKIAQYKRECPSIFAWEIRDRLLSEGVCTNDNIPSVSSINRVLRNLASEKQQMG-ENSP00000492024/1-436 FBpp0088249/1-543 PVVQKIADYKRECPSIFAWEIRDRLLSEQVCNSDNIPSVSSINRVLRNLASQKEQQAQQQ

# Protein alignment for toy

Well, maybe it is not that simple? I would not be surprised If there were isoforms for **ey** and/or **toy** that were roughly equivalent to human **isoform 5a**. The alignment displayed could well reflect the relatively arbitrary choice of **Ensembl** as to which isoform it decides to use for the alignments, rather than any deep and meaningful biological truth. Already you can see that **Ensembl** prefers the (presumably) less important human isoform, merely because it is longer (more letters to match). Again, useful though these displays are, caution is required before reading too much "biology" into them.


**Ensembl** does not pick up all fruitfly homologues of **PAX6**? Again, I wonder why. Mind you, **Ensembl** does only claim "**Selected orthologues**"? Even so, **prd** in particular, is a pretty important one to pass over!

#### What are the first two bases and what are the last two bases of nearly every intron?

As you are probably well aware, introns are highly conserved at each end. They typically begin with **GT** and end with **AG**. This rule is obeyed by all but one of the introns of this transcript (**intron 3-4** starts **GC** rather than **GT**).

As the cartoon suggests, the conservation does not apply just to the first and last two bases, but that is where the conservation is most strict. So strict that when exceptions from this rule were sought in the databases, it was thought most of the deviations were due to annotation error!

The cartoon also suggests that introns have **C/T** rich regions towards their ends (the **Polypyrimidine tract**). This too is clearly evident in most of the introns of this transcript, even though only small parts of the introns are displayed.



#### How long is the sixth exon and why would this concur with your expectations?

It is 42 base pairs long, so it codes for 14 amino acids. Specifically, it codes for the 14 extra amino acids that define isoform 5a.

#### Explain the **Start Phase** and **End Phase** columns?

An exon/intron boundary can occur anywhere in a codon. The **Start** and **End Phases** record how an intron has been inserted into a coding region with respect to the coding reading frame.

If an exon ends at the end of a codon, then its **End Phase** is **0**.

Clearly, the next exon must begin at the start of a codon. Its Start Phase is also 0.


If an exon ends after the first base of a codon, then its **End Phase** is 1.

Clearly, the next exon must begin after the first base of a codon. Its **End Phase** is also 1.

If an exon ends after the second base of a codon, then its **End Phase** is 2.

Clearly, the next exon must begin after the second base of a codon. Its **End Phase** is also 2.

I attempt a picture, though I am sure that is clear? I just like pictures, and lots of colours.



# Why does **Prints** appear to predict four **Paired\_domains**?

**Prints** does not find the **Homeobox\_domain** at all. If you were to investigate by using the **Prints search** carefully, you will find it nearly does, but the evidence is not quite strong enough. As has been discussed, none of these systems are perfect. They all occasionally fail. That is why it is always best to use **Interpro** to consult them all and deliver a consensus answer.

**Prints** appears to find <u>FOUR</u> <u>Paired\_domains</u>. This is only because of the way <u>Prints</u> works. <u>Prints</u> finds <u>FOUR</u> signatures (or <u>motifs</u>) that together indicate <u>ONE</u> <u>Paired domain</u>. <u>Prints</u> searches for ordered series of <u>motifs</u> that together indicate <u>domains</u>. Here it reports each of four motifs separately, but it is only claiming one <u>Paired domain</u>.

Which domain, **Paired domain** or **Homeobox domain** is more common in humans? How many human **PAX** genes are there?

As you will have expected, there are but **9 Paired domains** in the Human genome. There are many more **Homeobox domains**.

#### Are all the **PAX** genes on **Chromosome 11**?

Of course not? What a stupid question!

Well, I suppose they could all be on **Chromosome 11**? By chance ... or maybe design ... who knows, the lack of predictable pattern in all this business never ceases to astound me.


But, philosophy aside, the answer is **NO**.

Can you explain the strangely frayed ends displayed in some of the representations of the **2cue** 3D structure?

**2cue** is a 3D structure determined by Nuclear Magnetic Resonance (NMR). This is a process that does not involve immobilizing the target as a crystal (as is the case with structures determined by **X-ray crystallography**). Parts of the protein will still be moving around whilst its structure is being determined.

I think of **NMR** as analogous to taking a long exposure photograph of a group of children. Each child will appear in many different places! The frayed ends represent various positions in which the ends of the **homeobox** were detected during the **NMR** process.

In some views, including the one you were offered to move around, all the possible positions are averaged out before the structure is stored. I prefer the fuzzy view ... much more fun.



I broadly believe that which I have just typed, however, I must stress that my understanding of **NMR** is tragically incomplete. If anyone would like to offer a better explanation, I am very willing to hear it.

From your investigations of **Domain & Motif identification** using **Interpro** 

Do you think it a good idea for **Interpro** to offer feature prediction programs as well as domain database searches?

Well ... why not? The purpose of **InterProScan** is to associate regions of query proteins with **Interpro** domains. This was originally achieved, exclusively, by simply comparing a query sequence with all entries of relevant individual domain databases. These entries being representations of alignments of examples of specific domains constructed by homology searching (i.e. **blast** and similar).

I would suggest including a few predictor programs would provide extra evidence gathered from more general, more theoretical definitions of domains. I would imagine the inclusion of these programs has improved and widened the picture provided by **InterProScan**.

Searching domain databases, typically composed of **HMM profiles**, such as **Pfam**, **Prosite** and **PRINTS** is quite different to running the predictor programs. As I cannot improve on the justification of this claim offered to me by Geoff Barton (Head of the group responsible for **Jalview**, **Jpred**, **Jnet** and much more), I will just reproduce his explanation here:

"... The main difference is that with an **HMM profile** you have a "specific" example of a domain or motif whereas with something like **COILS**, you have something trained across all examples.

For example, for secondary structure prediction, you could (a) do predictions of alpha-helix and beta-strand just by aligning a sequence to a protein of known structure, or an **HMM** from a family of aligned proteins of known structure. This is a specific case of secondary structure in the context of one protein family. Or (b) you can train a predictor from <u>ALL</u> protein families and then apply this. The advantage of (a) is it is very specific to the individual protein family and so should be more accurate for that family. The disadvantage is that it does not generalise to proteins that are not very like the specific example. The advantage of (b) is that it will work with any protein but will likely be less accurate than (a) for proteins that fit into the (a) category. ... "

## Do you think the Coil prediction might be correct?

I do not recall anything in what we have discovered thus far that would directly suggest there should be a **coiled coil** here, in the middle of the **HTH**. However, wikipedia does suggest **coiled coils** are associated with **transcription factors** (which **pax6 human** is).

" ... Many **coiled coil**-type proteins are involved in important biological functions such as the regulation of **gene** expression, e.g. transcription factors. ... "

I think I would not be overly convinced by this prediction, but I would not make that judgement with any great confidence. The all knowing wikipedia says:

"... Coiled coils usually contain a repeated pattern, hxxhcxc, of hydrophobic (h) and charged (c) amino-acid residues, referred to as a heptad repeat..."

Geoff Barton comments:

"... Sometimes the pattern that is particular to **coiled-coils** also turns up in other helices that pack against each other. You would need to look at some examples of coiled-coil structures to see if the example you are using fits structurally...."

Which seems very reasonable. The **heptad repeat** pattern could easily occur just by chance. **COILS** surely cannot predict the structure of the helices well enough to make an assured judgement? **COILS** offers a suggestion the user must follow up with other resources.

There is also the evidence that **Jpred** (a system for secondary structure prediction that you will meet later), possibly using the **COILS** program disguised as **LUPAS**, does not detect any coiled coils. This could be for a number of reasons. Possibly **LUPAS** is not the same program as **COILS**, or it is a different version, or **Jpred** runs **COILS**, but with different parameters.

Not many clear and confident answers in Bioinformatics are there!

Friday 29 September 2017 **Model Answers** 

Why might you suppose **Interpro** predicts only **2** of the **3 helix-turn-helix domains** that might be expected?

2 Winged helix-turn-helix (wHTH) DNA-binding domains are predicted coincident with the helical triplets of Protein family membership

one predicted.

D IPR001523

D IPR009057

D IPR011991

D IPR001356

IPR017970

no IPR

Domains and repeats

etailed signature matches

Winged helix-turn-helix DNA-binding domain

Unintegrated signatures

► Domain ► Domain

► PS00034 (PAIRED\_1)

► PS51057 (PAIRED\_2)

PR00027 (PAIRED

► G3DSA:1.10.10.60

► G3DSA:1.10.10.10

► PS50071 (HOMEOBOX 2)

► PS00027 (HOMEOBOX 1

► PTHR24329 (FAMILY N

► SM00389 (Hox)

► SSF46689 (H


the Paired domain. This should broadly match your expectations.

No helix-turn-helix (HTH) domain is detected coincident with the Homeobox domain, where one might also have been expected?

I am not entirely certain why this might be, so I speculate.

Pfam attempts to classify a variety of types of HTH, and offers a range of HTH domain models (HTH 17, HTH 38, HTH 39 and HTH 40 to name but a few) and a number of wHTH domain models (including HTH 33 and HTH 24).

Interpro also has a considerable number of HTH entries (IPR017895, IPR032877, IPR007394, IPR013197 and more) and wHTH entries (IPR005104, IPR023120 to name but 2).



**Interpro** does use **Pfam** models to detect its various flavours of HTH/wHTH domain, but it does so selectively. For example, to detect the wHTH domains discovered here, only two Pfam families were used HTH 20 and HTH 41, see illustration). These appear not to have matched in this instant as only a G3DSA entry is quoted.

All the above suggests that no one model exists to pick up all HTH domains? Possibly also, the fact that HTH domains come in such a variety of forms

essentially failed to detect the wHTHs recorded here. This must be because the, very simple, model (based on a Weight Matrix built from about 100 examples) used by the program only reliably applies to a specific range of

makes them difficult to detect reliably? There is a simple EMBOSS program to detect HTHs. It easily detected the Homeobox domain HTH but

I am very open to better explanations. I am not completely convinced by the discussion above.

HTH domains/motifs that includes the one in the Homeobox domain of the human PAX6 protein?

DPJ - 2017.04.29