Table C55 PSP2 Project Plan Summary

Student	Seth Lemanek			_ Date	3/6/16		
Program	Assign 6 Range	Program #	n#6				
Instructor	Arturo Concepci		Language	C++			
Summary		Plan	Ac	tual	To Date		
LOC/Hour		15		12.4	13.9		
Planned Time		340			340		
Actual Time			_	494	494		
CPI(Cost-Perfor	mance Index)				0.64		
`	,			_	(Planned/Actual)		
% Reused		15.4%	7.6	%	7.6%		
% New Reused	•	40.5%	23.	<u>4%</u>	23.4%		
Test Defects/KL	OC	50	43		43		
Total Defects/KI	LOC	101	12	28	128		
Yield %		0					
Program Size (I	LOC):	Plan Actual		tual	To Date		
Base(B)		75	7	75			
	•	(Measured)	(Mea	sured)			
Deleted (D)		3		<u> </u>			
Modified (M)		(Estimated)		unted)			
Modified (M)		(Estimated)	(Counted)				
Added (A)		79	Ç	97			
Reused (R)		30°	(T-B+D-R) 13		13		
Total New & Changed (N)		(Estimated) 94	10	unted)	350		
Total LOC (T)		(Estimated) 195	1	71	518		
Total New Reused		(N+B-M-D+R) 79	(Measured)		40		
	n Interval (70%)	114		-			
	n Interval (70%)	74	_				
Time in Phase (min.)	Plan	Actual	To Date	To Date %		
Planning		64	53	121	77		
Design	•	50	116	185	11.8		
Design review	•	20	6	6	0.4		
Code		108	121	613	39.1		
Code review		30	60	60	3.8		
Compile			40 115		7.3		
Test		10 30	40	262	16.7		
Postmortem	•	32	58	207	13.2		
Total	•	344	494	1569	100.0		
Total Time UPI	(70%)	384	-				
Total Time LPI		304					
	·	(co	ntinued)				

Table C55 PSP2 Project Plan Summary (continued)

Student Seth Lemane Program Assign 6 Ran Instructor Arturo Conce	ge Finder		Date Program # Language	3/6/16 6 C++	
Defects Injected Planning	Plan	Actual	To Date	To Date %	
Design	0			0.0	
Design review	1	0	4	9.1	
Code	0	0	0	0.0	
Code review	8	9	<u>37</u>	84.1	
	0	0	0	0.0	
Compile	0	2	1	4.5	
Test	9	1 12	44	2.3	
Total Development	9	12	44	100.0	
Defects Removed	Plan	Actual	To Date	To Date %	
Planning	0			0.0	
Design	0	0		0.0	
Design review	0	0	0	0.0	
Code	1	0	5	11.4	
Code review	0	0	0	0.0	
Compile	5	8	23	52.3	
Test	3	4	16	36.4	
Total Development	9	12	44	100.0	
After Development					
Defect Removal Efficiency Defects/Hour - Design review Defects/Hour - Code review Defects/Hour - Compile Defects/Hour - Test	Plan	A	ctual	To Date	
DRL(DLDR/UT) DRL(CodeReview/UT) DRL(Compile/UT)					

Table C57 C++ PSP2 Design Review Checklist

PROGRAM NAME AND #:

Purpose	To guide you in conducting an effective design review		
General	As you complete each review step, check that item in the box to the right. Complete the checklist for one program unit before you start to review the next.		
Complete	Ensure that the requirements, specifications, and high-level design are completely covered by the design: - all specified outputs are produced - all needed inputs are furnished - all required includes are stated		
Logic	Verify that program sequencing is proper: - that stacks, lists, etc. are in the proper order - that recursion unwinds properly Verify that all loops are properly initiated, incremented, and terminated		
Special Cases	Check all special cases: - empty, full, minimum, maximum, negative, zero - out of limits, overflow, underflow - ensure "impossible" conditions are absolutely impossible - handle all incorrect input conditions		
Functional use	Verify that all functions, procedures, or objects are fully understood and properly used Verify that all externally referenced abstractions are precisely defined		
Names	Verify that: - all special names and types are clear or specifically defined - the scopes of all variables and parameters are self-evident or defined - all named objects are used within their declared scopes		
Standards	Review the design for conformance to all applicable design standards		

Table C58 C++ Code Review Checklist

PROGRAM NAME AND #:

		1		
Purpose	To guide you in conducting an effective code review.	Ш	Ш	
General	As you complete each review step, check that item in the box to the right. Complete the checklist for one program unit before you start to review the next.			
Complete	Verify that the code covers all the design.			
Includes	Verify that includes are complete			
Initialization	Check variable and parameter initialization: - at program initiation - at start of every loop - at function/procedure entry			
Calls	Check function call formats: - pointers - parameters - use of '&'			
Names	Check name spelling and use: - is it consistent? - is it within declared scope? - do all structures and classes use '.' reference?			
Strings	Check that all strings are - identified by pointers and - terminated in NULL.			
Pointers	Check that - pointers are initialized NULL - pointers are deleted only after new, and - new pointers are always deleted after use.			
Output Format	Check the output format: - line stepping is proper - spacing is proper			
{} Pairs	Ensure that the {} are proper and matched			
Logic Operators	Verify the proper use of ==, =, , and so on. Check every logic function for proper ().			
Line by Line Check	Check every LOC for - instruction syntax and - proper punctuation.			
Standards	Ensure that the code conforms to the coding standards.			
File Open and Close	Verify that all files are - properly declared, - opened, and - closed.			

Table C39 Size Estimating Template

Student	Seth Ler	nanek			Date	3/6/16	
				Program #	6		
BASE PROGE	RAM					LOC	
BASE SIZE ((B) => =>	=> => =>	=> => => =	> =>		75	
	ED (D) =>		=> => => =	> =>		3	
LOC MODIF	FIED (M) =>	=> => =>	=> => => =	> =>		1	
PROJECTED	LOC						
BASE ADDI	TIONS:	TYPE	METHODS	REL	SIZE	LOC	
				_			
TOTAL BA	ASE ADDITIO	NS (BA) =>	⇒ ⇒ ⇒ =	. <u> </u>		0	
10111251	10211221110	(211)					
NEW OBJEC	CTS:	TYPE ¹	METHODS	REL	SIZE	LOC (NewReuse*)	
Range Pre	edictor*	Calc	7		M	78.75	
TOTAL NEW	ODIECTS (M	0)					
IOIALNEW	OBJECTS (N	O) => =>	=> => =>	> =>		0	
REUSED PRO	OGRAMS					LOC	
Program						30	
	···						
							time (min)
REUSED TO	OTAL (R) =>	=> => =>	=> => => =	> =>		30	
Projected LOC	:		P = BA + NO			78.75	
Regression Para	ameter:		$oldsymbol{eta}_{0}$			0	0
Regression Para	ameter:		$oldsymbol{eta}_1$			1.18	4.364_
Estimated New	and Changed I	LOC:	$N = \beta_0 + \beta_1 * (P + 1)$	M)		94	
Estimated Total LOC: $T = N + B - D - M + R$						195	_344
Estimated Total New Reused (sum of * LOC):						79	
Prediction Range	ge:		Range			20	40
Upper Prediction			UPI = N + Range			114	384
Lower Prediction			LPI = N - Range			74	_304
Prediction Inter	rval Percent:						

¹ L-Logic, I-I/O, C-Calculation, T-Text, D-Data, S-Set-up