Ancestry Specific Allele Frequency Estimation (ASAFE)

Qian Sophia Zhang

Joint work with Dr. Sharon Browning and Dr. Brian Browning
Department of Biostatistics
University of Washington

July 12, 2016


1 M	1otiva	ation	for	ASAF	Ε
------------	--------	-------	-----	-------------	---

- 2 Available Data
- 3 Proposed Approach
- 4 Data Simulation
- 6 Results

Human genomes are packaged into pairs of homologous chromosomes. 2 pairs shown below. Rows are SNPs.

• "SNP" := Point along a chromosome where genomes differ.

Often there are two variants or "alleles" at a SNP, labeled 0 and 1.




1 pair of hom. Chr's

Another pair of hom. Chr's

Human genomes are packaged into pairs of homologous chromosomes. 2 pairs shown below. Rows are SNPs.


- "SNP" := Point along a chromosome where genomes differ.

 Often there are two variants or "alleles" at a SNP, labeled 0 and 1.
- "Genotype" := 2 homologous chromosomes' alleles at a SNP Ex: SNP1's genotype is 0/1, or 0|1 or 1|0. / and | denote phase.



Human genomes are packaged into pairs of homologous chromosomes. 2 pairs shown below. Rows are SNPs.

• "Unphased genotype" (/): SNP's genotype is NOT ordered with respect to another SNP's genotype




hom. Chr's

Qian S. Zhang (UW Biostatistics)

hom. Chr's


Human genomes are packaged into pairs of homologous chromosomes. 2 pairs shown below. Rows are SNPs.

- "Unphased genotype" (/): SNP's genotype is NOT ordered with respect to another SNP's genotype
- "Phased genotype" (|): SNP's genotype IS ordered with respect to another SNP's genotype



Human genomes are packaged into pairs of homologous chromosomes. 2 pairs shown below. Rows are SNPs.

- "Unphased genotype" (/): SNP's genotype is NOT ordered with respect to another SNP's genotype
- "Phased genotype" (|): SNP's genotype IS ordered with respect to another SNP's genotype
- Alleles on the same side of | are on the same chromosome, but not necessarily for /




Hispanic Community Health Study (HCHS)

- Cohort study of 13,000 US Hispanics
- Hispanics are admixed, descended from multiple ancestral populations: Africans, Europeans, and Native Americans
- In this cohort, we test each bi-allelic SNP for association with a trait, say diabetes
- If a SNP is significantly associated with a trait, we want to perform a follow-up study on new individuals to see if we can replicate the association

The Problem that ASAFE Solves

For a significant SNP, want ancestry-specific allele frequencies :=
 P(Allele 1 | African), P(Allele 1 | European), and P(Allele 1 | Native
 American), i.e. frequencies of allele 1 amongst chromosomes of
 African, European, or Native American origin at the SNP



• ASAFE := EM algorithm for estimating these frequencies, for a SNP

How Ancestry Specific Allele Frequencies Relate to HCHS

These frequencies inform the design of a replication study: If allele 1
were more common amongst the African chromosomes than amongst
the other two ancestries' chromosomes, then one would want to
recruit a population of predominantly African descent for the
replication study

Qian S. Zhang (UW Biostatistics)


١л	Otiv/	ition	for	$\Lambda \subset \Lambda$	FF
VI	OLIVA	ILIOII	101 /		

- 2 Available Data
- 3 Proposed Approach
- 4 Data Simulation
- 6 Results

Available Data

- At some SNPs, the RFMix program takes phased genotypes as input, and outputs admixed individuals' phased ancestries
- Available data on admixed individuals
 - Phased ancestries, Phased genotypes: Some SNPs
 - No ancestry calls, Unphased genotypes: Other SNPs

Available Data



Homologous Chromosomes for 1 Admixed Individual

Qian S. Zhang (UW Biostatistics)

July 12, 2016

	lotiva	tion	for	$\Lambda \subseteq \Lambda$	FF
T IV	iotiva	LIOII	101	$\mathcal{A} \mathcal{D} \mathcal{A}$	-


- 2 Available Data
- 3 Proposed Approach
- 4 Data Simulation
- 6 Results

Proposed Approach: Fill in Ancestries

Consider a block of SNPs that have been genotyped in the admixed sample, but that do not have ancestries called. For any SNP in this block:

Call the SNP's ancestry the nearest flanking ancestry

Then we know all SNPs' unphased genotypes and local ancestry pairs.



Proposed Approach: EM Algorithm to Deal with Unknown Phase of Genotype Relative to Ancestry Pair (ASAFE)

Consider an ancestry-specific allele (allele, ancestry) = (g,a):

- Allele g = 0 or 1
- Ancestry a = African (A), European (E), Native American (N)

There are 6 possibles (g,a) alleles, so 21 values for unordered (g,a)/(g,a) genotype. We call these values unordered (g,a)-genotype categories.

1 complete observation = The (g,a)-genotype category that an individual belongs to at a SNP.

Complete, Unobserved Data Categories

Entry C_i is the name of the i-th complete, unobserved category.

Table: Complete Data Unordered (g,a)-genotype Categories.

Hardy-Weinberg Equilibrium assumed in the admixed population to get probability p_j of an individual falling into the j-th complete data category:

$$p_j = \begin{cases} p_{ga} p_{g'a'}, & \text{if } (g, a) = (g', a') \\ 2p_{ga} p_{g'a'}, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Incomplete, Observed Data Categories

Entry O_i is the name of the i-th incomplete, observed category. Colored entries are observed data categories that map to multiple complete data categories.

Table: Incomplete, Observed Data Categories.

Overlaying complete and observed categories gives their correspondence. This correspondence allows us to express the probability p'_j of an individual being in observed data category $j', j' \in \{1, ..., 18\}$ in terms of complete data category probabilities $p_j, j \in \{1, ..., 21\}$.

Outline Approach to Estimating Ancestry-Specific Allele Frequencies

Because of the connection

- Between p_j' and p_j , and
- Between p_j and (g,a)-allele probabilities $\vec{p} = [p_{qa} : g \in \{0,1\}, a \in \{A,E,N\}],$

maximizing the observed data log likelihood (e.g. via EM algorithm ASAFE)

$$log(P(\vec{o} = [o_1, ..., o_n])|\vec{p}' = [p'_1, ..., p'_{18}]) = \sum_{j'=1}^{18} m'_{j'} log(p'_{j'})$$

where $o_i = \text{Observed category of the i-th individual, and } m'_{j'} = \text{Number of individuals in observed category } j'$

gives us a maximum likelihood estimate (MLE)

 $\vec{p} = [\hat{p}_{0A}, \hat{p}_{0E}, \hat{p}_{0N}, \hat{p}_{1A}, \hat{p}_{1E}, \hat{p}_{1N}]$ of \vec{p} , from which we obtain ancestry-specific allele frequency estimates:

$$\hat{p}_{1|a} = \hat{p}_{1a}/\hat{p}_a = \hat{p}_{1a}/(\hat{p}_{1a} + \hat{p}_{0a}), a \in \{A, E, N\} \leftarrow \text{THE GOAL!}$$

	lotiva	tion	for	$\Lambda \subseteq \Lambda$	FF
T IV	iotiva	LIOII	101	$\mathcal{A} \mathcal{D} \mathcal{A}$	-

- 2 Available Data
- 3 Proposed Approach
- 4 Data Simulation
- 6 Results

Simulated Genetic Data

- Used MaCS [Chen et al. (2009)] to simulate Hispanic individuals' sequence data
- For each of the 56,003 SNPs in the sequence data, ran ASAFE with inputs: Unphased admixed genotypes (ignoring known phase) and phased admixed ancestries
- Got ancestry-specific allele 1 frequencies for each ancestry (African, European, Native American), at each SNP
- ullet For each SNP, calculated error = Estimated $p_{1|a}$ True $p_{1|a}$, $a\in\{A,E,N\}$

N /			Λ	
$1 / 1 \bigcirc \pm 1 \rangle$	/つtiへり	tor		$/ \setminus \vdash \vdash$
Motiv	alion	I OI	\neg	\neg \ \sqcup

- 2 Available Data
- 3 Proposed Approach
- 4 Data Simulation
- 6 Results

Low Error on Simulated Data

Mean and SD of errors $\{\hat{p}_{1|a}-p_{1|a}:a\in\{A,E,N\}\}$, grouped by:

- ullet True allele frequency bin that $p_{1|a}$ falls into: Columns
- Ancestry $a \in \{A, E, N\}$: Rows

		True Allele 1 Frequency Bins					
Ancestry	Statistic	(0-0.2]	(0.2-0.4]	(0.4-0.6]	(0.6-0.8]	(0.8-1]	
African	Mean	-0.0011	-0.0003	-0.0004	0.0004	-0.0004	
African	SD	0.0065	0.0185	0.0233	0.0186	0.0118	
European	Mean	-0.0015	-0.0004	-0.0007	-0.0010	< 0.0001	
European	SD	0.0077	0.0209	0.0249	0.0220	0.0122	
Nat. Am.	Mean	-0.0004	-0.0017	0.0021	0.0048	0.0007	
Nat. Am.	SD	0.0083	0.0235	0.0238	0.0257	0.0118	

Regardless of true ancestry-specific allele frequency $p_{1|a}$ bin, errors are low: Largest $|\mathsf{Mean}| = 0.005$. Largest $\mathsf{SD} = 0.03$.

More Results in paper supplement.

More Info: Paper and Code

- Qian S. Zhang, Brian L. Browning, and Sharon R. Browning. Asafe: ancestry-specific allele frequency estimation. Bioinformatics, 32(14):2227 2229, 2016.
- Package "ASAFE" on Bioconductor
- Code to reproduce analysis at http://biostatqian.github.io/ASAFE/

