

Here, we explain the **Algorithm logic** used in **Skyline** to detect and resolve aircraft conflicts.

1. Input Data

each flight provider:

- * Departure and arrival airports
- * A route (ordered list of lat/long waypoints)
- * Departure time (UTC)
- * Aircraft speed (knots)
- * Altitude

From this information, each flight defines a planned trajectory in space and time.

2. From routes to Segments

Since we have waypoints between airport departure and arrival, we separate the routes into segments.

each route : $P_0 \rightarrow P_1 \rightarrow P_2 \dots \rightarrow P_n$

For every segment, we compute:

- * Distance
- * Travel time (distance/speed)
- * Time interval $[t_{start}, t_{end}]$

3. Time Simulation (Δt)

To know where each aircraft is at a given instant, we simulate time using a fixed step: $\Delta t = 15\text{s}$.

We iterate: $t = \text{earliest departure} \rightarrow \text{end of the longest flight.}$

At each time step:

- Aircraft that have not yet departed are ignored.
- Aircraft that have already arrived are ignored
- Active aircraft have position computed

The simulation ends when the last segment of the longest flight is completed.

4. Aircraft position at Time t .

For each aircraft at time t (A t , k) :

- a. Compute time since departure
- b. Compute the traveled distance with that time.
- c. Identify the current segment
- d. Place position on that segment

which produces a 4D trajectory point: (lat, long, alt, time)

5. Conflict detection

At each simulation step t , we compare all aircraft present at the same time.

For each of them we compute:

- Horizontal distance (Haversine formula)
- Vertical separation

LOS Rule: $\begin{cases} H.d < 5 \text{ NM} \\ \text{and} \\ V.S < 2000 \text{ ft} \end{cases}$ if one of the condition is false, there is no conflict.

Conflicts depends on the time, not just route intersections.

6. Why Time simulation is mandatory?

Without it:

- Two aircraft could cross the same location at different times: It will incorrectly appear as a conflict

7. Conflict resolution strategy (greedy Approach)

For a given conflict, we generate a finite list of resolution candidates.

Candidates types:

- * Delay time
- * Speed change, respecting the speed limits
- * Altitude change; while respecting constraints: ~~limits~~

8. Cost function:

General form:

$$\text{Cost} = W_{\text{time}} \cdot |\Delta t| + W_{\text{alt}} \cdot (|\Delta \text{alt}| + W_{\text{speed}} \cdot |\Delta \text{speed}|)$$

9. Avoiding New Conflicts

- Local verification window

When testing a candidate solution, we only re-simulate locally. For a conflict occurring in $[t_{\text{start}}, t_{\text{end}}]$ we simulate only $[t_{\text{start}} - \Delta, t_{\text{end}} + \Delta]$ to minimize side effects.

Note: The local verification does not depend on type of the solution candidate.

Conflict resolution steps:

for each conflict:

1. Generate resolution candidates (delay, alt, speed)

2. Compute cost for each candidate

3. Sort by cost:
 → (finites players)

4. Test players one by one:

- Apply candidate temporarily
- Re-simulate locally
- Check for conflicts

5. Apply first valid value
6. lock the modification
7. Move to the new conflict.

The process stops when : All conflicts are resolved OR
No valid resolution exists for a remaining conflict