II In our derivation of the support vector machine model, the sample points with lubels (x", y,) ... (x", y,) are assumed to be linearly separable. The resulting model is known as the hard maggin SVM. When the data is not linearly separable, the following model was proposed by Vapuk, known as the soft margin SVM:

win $\sum_{i=1}^{n} \xi_i + \frac{1}{2} ||\omega||^2$

Subject to $\xi_i \ge 0$; $y_i(\omega^T \chi^{(i)} + b) \ge 1 - \xi_i$ $i = 1, \dots, n$ Here, ξ_i, \dots, ξ_n are referred to as sluck variables. For a variable $\chi \in \mathbb{R}$, we introduce the notation

 $\chi_4 := \begin{cases} \chi & \text{if } \chi \geq 0 \\ 0 & \text{if } \chi \leq 0 \end{cases}$

Prove that the above minimization problem is equivalent to the following regularized risk form:

 $\min_{\omega,b} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left[1 - y_i (\omega^T \chi^{(i)} + b) \right]_{+} + \frac{1}{2} \|\omega\|^2$

Proof

11/24/2020

From the constraints on & we have:

$$\xi_i \geq 1 - y_i(\omega^T \chi^{(i)} + b)$$

and

Thus,

$$\min_{x} \hat{x}_{i} = \begin{cases}
1 - y_{i}(\omega^{T} \chi^{(i)} + b) & \text{if } 1 - y_{i}(\omega^{T} \chi^{(i)} + b) \ge 0 \\
0 & \text{if } 1 - y_{i}(\omega^{T} \chi^{(i)} + b) < 0
\end{cases}$$

Which is equivalent to

$$\mathcal{F} \qquad \underset{\zeta_i}{\text{min }} \mathcal{L} = \left(1 + y_i(\omega^T x^{(i)} + b)\right)_+$$

Next Page

11/24/2020

Toot. Notice now, since δ_i are n independent variables, i.e. δ_i does not depend on δ_j for $\forall i \neq j = 1, \dots, n$, we have $\sum_{i=1}^{n} \delta_i = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \delta_i$

Thus.

$$\min_{\xi_{ii} = i} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left[1 + y_i (\omega^T x^{(i)} + b) \right]_{+} \quad \text{from } \quad \text{\mathcal{E}}$$

Finally, since \frac{1}{2} ||w||^2 is constant with respect to \(\mathcal{F}_1, \cdots, \mathcal{F}_n\) we

$$\min_{\substack{U,b,\xi_1,\dots,\xi_n\\ i=1}} \frac{1}{\xi_i} \xi_i + \frac{1}{2} \|\omega\|^2 = \min_{\substack{u,b\\ \xi_1,\dots,\xi_n\\ i=1}} \left(\min_{\substack{z=1\\ \xi_1,\dots,\xi_n\\ i=1}} \frac{1}{\xi_i} \right) + \frac{1}{2} \|\omega\|^2$$

Which shows

Patrick Cook

DI Let S.h: R" -> R be real-valued functions. Recall that a necessary condition for x"ER" to be a local maximizer of the following constraint optimization problem

max 3(x) subject to h(x) = 0

is $\frac{\partial L}{\partial x}(x^*) = 0$, we L is the Lagrange multiplier $L(x,2) := \frac{1}{2}(x) - \lambda h(x)$.

Given two matrices A, BERMAN, a complex number LEC: scalled an eigenvalue of the pair (A, B) if there exists a non-zero vector uell, bown as the associated eigenvector of the pair (A, B), such that $A \cup = \lambda B \cup .$

Ta Let A,B be two symmetric matrices. If x is an eigenvector of the pair (MB) associated to the eigenvalue λ and $\overline{X}^{TG}3x \neq 0$ where \overline{X} denotes the complex conjugate of X, prove that λ is a real

Proof

By definition, $Ax = \lambda Bx$ where A_iBER^{nxn} are symmetric matrices and xeC^n and λEC .

Multiplying from the left by IT yields: $\overline{x}^T A x = \lambda \overline{x}^T B x$

Since \$TBx \dispress we have:

 $\lambda = \frac{\bar{x}' A x}{\bar{x}' B x}$

Now, let SERMAN be any symmetric uxu matrix and NECM be any complex size-n column vector.

Notice then:

 $(\overline{v}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathsf{S} v)^{\mathsf{T}} = v^{\mathsf{T}} \mathsf{S}^{\mathsf{T}} (\overline{v})^{\mathsf{T}} = v^{\mathsf{T}} \mathsf{S} \overline{v}$ since S is symmetric Moreover, since UTSV is a scalar, (VTSV) = VTSV, so: VTSV = VTSV

Now we recall some busic rules of complex conjugation for scalars. Next Page

Due

11/24/2020

[La cont. | For any a, bel: $\overline{ab} = \overline{ab}$, $\overline{arb} = \overline{a+b}$, $\overline{a} = a$

And, iff $\overline{a} = a$, then $a \in \mathbb{R}$.

These rules generalize to vectors and matrices as well:

Let a, b & C" and A, B & C" Hen:

 $\overline{a^{T}b} = \overline{\sum_{a_i b_i}} = \overline{a_i b_i} = \overline{a^{T}b}$ and $(\overline{a})_i = \overline{a_i} = a_i = (a)_i$

 $(\overline{Ab})_i = \sum_i A_{ij} b_i = \sum_i \overline{A}_{ij} \overline{b}_j = (\overline{A} \overline{b})_i$

 $(\overline{AB})_{ij} = \overline{\sum} A_{ik} B_{kj} = \overline{\sum} \overline{A}_{ik} B_{kj} = (\overline{AB})_{ij}$

Using these rules, we can calculate VTSV:

 $\overline{V}^T S V = \overline{V}^T \overline{S} \overline{V} = V^T S \overline{V}$ since $S \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$

Thus, from before we have:

VISV = VISV = VISV

Therefore VISVER. Applying this to XAX and XTBX in B, we have

XAXER and XTBX+0 ER

Which proves RER.

126 Let A,B be two symmetric matrices. If x ER" is a local measure of the following optimization problem

MAX XTAX

subject to $\chi^T B \chi = 1$

prove that x* ; s an eigenvector of the pair (A,B).

Proof

In this problem,

$$f(x) = x^T A x$$

$$h(x) = x^{\dagger}Bx - 1 = 0$$

So the Lagrange multiplyer is:

$$L(x,\lambda) = x^T A x - \lambda (x^T B x - 1)$$

Since x is a local maximizer, we know

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial x}(x^{*}) = 0$$

So:

$$2Ax^* - \lambda \cdot 2Bx^* = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow Ax^* = \lambda Bx^*$$

Thus X's on eigenvalue of the pair (A,B).

ar

11/24/2020

V3 Let A_iBER^{mxh} be two symmetric matrices, and $R(x) := \frac{x^TA_ix}{x^TB_ix}$

be the generalized Rayleigh growthent. If χ^* is a critical point of R(x), prove that χ^* is an eigenvector of the pair (A,B) associated to the eigenvalue $R(x^*)$ of the pair (A,B).

Proof

Since x^* is a critical point of R(x), we know $\frac{\partial R}{\partial x}(x^*) = 0$

So $(x^*TBx^*)\cdot 2Ax^* - (x^*TAx^*)\cdot 2Bx^* = 0$ (quo kient) $((x^*)^TBx^*)^2$

 $\Rightarrow (x^*TBx^*)Ax^* - (x^*TAx^*)Bx^* = 0$

Now since $x^{*T}Bx^{*}$ is a scalar, we divide by if and rearrange to get: $Ax^{*} = \left(\frac{x^{*T}Ax^{*}}{x^{*T}Bx^{*}}\right)Bx^{*}$

Since $\frac{\chi^{aT}A\chi^{a}}{\chi^{aT}B\chi^{a}} = R(\chi^{a})$ (which is a scalar) we have shown

 $Ax^{o} = R(x^{o})Bx^{o}$

Which proves that x" is an eigenvector of the pair (A,B) with eigenvalue R(x) of the pair (A,B).