Investigating the Impact of AI Techniques on Inter-Flock Dynamics

Matt Hunter

2019-02-17

Contents

List of Figures

List of Tables

1 Abstract

Context   Artificial intelligence is a rapidly expanding field, there is a clear useful context in their use in Flocking Techniques.

Aim   Investigate the impact of AI techniques on the dynamic interaction of flocks with each other to see if this has a beneficial effect in comparision to regular flocking algorithms.

Method   Using an application that models flocking behaviour (developed by the author), observe and compare AI flocking strategies to those of regular flocking algorithms. This will be developed using the AI techniques found to be most likely to produce viable intelligent flocking behaviour.

Results   The analysis of the effectiveness of strategies that the AI come up with in their interactions with other flocks, with contrast and comparison to the behaviour of standard flocking algorithms.

Conclusion   This project will display the flocking strategies that emerge in their interactions with other flocks, and conclude on their effectiveness in relation to other strategies and flock type. This will demonstrate the impact the AI techniques have on this kind of flocking interaction.

2 Introduction

2.1 General Introduction and Background Information

Flocking is a behaviour in which all social organisms engage; it is the common movement of organisms guided by both social and environmental pressures. These flocks of organisms can be found interacting with other flocks in nature, and the way they interact is as varied as it is interesting.

Producing flocking behavior that recreates those found in nature is an endeavor already undertaken and in constant update. The field, taking off in 1987 with Craig Reynolds' influential paper (Reynolds 1987), shows how realistic flocking behaviour can already be achieved by applying 3 simple rules to each boid ('boid' as coined in the prior paper, this is essentially an agent) in regard to its neighbours: Cohesion, movement toward the average position; Alignment, movement toward the average direction; and Separation, movement to avoid collision.

Since then, the original flocking algorithm has been extended in various ways, with communication techniques, mathematical models for how leadership arises in the flock, as well as models for how consensus is made in a flock. These expansions allow for more complex behaviours and reactions to the enviornment and surroundings.

Learning behaviours have also been added. The behaviour and strategies flocks produce are patterns. This means if a flock can learn and understand those patterns it has a significant advantage over that other flock – an interesting example would be a group of honey hunters and smoke; bees flee the nest if they think there is a fire, the first warning sign to this is smoke, as a group (or flock) they take advantage of this by releasing smoke into the hive. The bees evacuate the nest; they get honey.

This dissemination of new knowledge, either through behaviour or communication is interesting because it can increase the complexity of the reactions the flock has to a given situation. This added complexity may lead to new behaviours that may not have been easily predicted or thought of as something a flock could produce.

2.2 Aim, Objectives and Research Question

Aim To investigate the impact of a genetic algorithm on the dynamic interaction of flocks with each other to see if this has a beneficial effect in comparison to regular flocking algorithms.

Objectives

- To research and evaluate AI techniques, studying their relevance and potential for further development in applying them to flocking algorithms, with particular focus on artificial life techniques.

- To produce an application that models flocking behaviour, and allows the observation and comparison of AI flocking strategies to regular flocking algorithms. This will be developed using the prior identified AI techniques most likely to produce viable flocking behaviours.

- To analyse the effectiveness of strategies that the AI come up with in their interactions with other flocks, comparing and contrasting that to the behavior of standard flocking algorithms.

Research Question   What impact can a genetic algorithm have on the dynamic interaction of flocks with each other in comparison to that of regular flocking techniques?

3 Literature Review

3.1 Flocking in Nature - Swarm Behaviour

Flocking algorithms draw a lot of their inspiration from the behaviour of flocks in the natural world (Flake 1998). As there are many examples of this behaviour in organisms across the planet, there is a lot of information and insight that can be gleaned on how to design these algorithms. Flocks are included in the field of Swarm Behaviour, that is, the study of swarms in the natural world

Decision Making The way decisions are made in a flock emerges is varied. The two main ways are via consensus and leadership. An interesting look at this can be found in the behaviour of pigeons. A study conducted into the behaviour of these birds in a flock (Jorge & Marques 2012) found that leadership initially emerged from younger pigeons in the flock, but as the flight went on older members of the flock led the group, the paper then goes on to discuss how social versus personal information affects the behaviour of the flock. What this displays, is how the extra experience of older members of the flock is taken advantage of in determining leadership and therefore the actions they take as a flock, in this way they build consensus on their leadership through the choices individual flock members make in their group. This is further confirmed in *'Misinformed leaders lose influence over pigeon flocks'* Watts et al. (2016). What this displays is the interaction between consensus and leadership in making decisions, and demonstrates that they can both be present in the process.

Eusocial Behaviour While not specifically flocking as it pertains to biology, this falls under the bracket of swarm behaviour and has interesting relationships which can inform us on how to expand flocking algorithms in beneficial ways. For example, communication can occur in a variety of ways; ants use pheromones to find shortest paths (Dorigo & Gambardella 1997); bees use a waggle dance to inform others in the hive of food sources and potential new nest sites (Al Toufailia et al. 2013), and

Dynamic Adaptation to Environmental Pressures

Learning and Curiosity

Flocking and Emergence

The Advantages of Flocking to Species

Why this is relevant This is relevant as it inspires the work done in flocking algorithms and the work of similar fields, which has influence on the design of said algorithms.

3.2   Flocking Algorithm

Flocking algorithms draw inspiration from the natural world, however the design of these algorithms involves mathematical approximations of the behaviours involved, the interactions that take place and the systems overall.

Reynolds Boids   In the often cited paper when it comes to discussions of flocks: *'Flocks, herds and schools: A distributed behavioral model'* Reynolds (1987), we see that we have the three main forces that make up a basic flock: Separation, Cohesion and Alignment. These are approximative forces that represent the aggregate motion of a collection of boids (representing flock members, which in turn can represent different species in nature).

Expanded Boids   There are many variations of the expanded boids model [insert citations here] dependent upon what is necessary for the research conducted. The expanded model presented by Kawabayashi & Chen (2008) is very useful as their implementation is very clear and displays useful expansions to the model for this research. It adds functionality for running away from predators, attraction to food sources, relations as towards other neutral flocks, the ability to search its surroundings, boundary conditions, and obstacle avoidance. All of which are useful in designing more natural flocking behaviour.

Decision Making   In parallel to the way decisions are made in natural flocks, flocking algorithms can also make decisions. An interesting way of producing leadership in an artificial flock can be found in the paper textit'Autonomous Boids' Hartman & Benes (2006). Here they propose the use of an 'Eccentricity' variable to determine leadership in the group in order to make decisions based on the boids proximity to the front of the flock, the closer it is, the higher a chance of leading the flock. This mimics the way that some species of bird, such as starlings, decide on leadership within the group. This leadership can then be used to influence decisions by the rest of the flock.

Learning and Curiosity

3.3   The Interaction of Flocking Algorithms

In the paper *'Simulating Species Interactions and Complex Emergence in Multiple Flocks of Boids with GPUs'* Husselmann & Hawick (2011), multiple flocks, each a different type of boid, are run in a closed environment to see what aspects of species interaction could be reproduced. The

3.4   Genetic Algorithm

The literature on genetic algorithms also matters as this is what will be used to expand upon the capabilities of the flock. There is

3.5 The Potential Effect of AI on Flocking Algorithms

A paper discussing the use of reinforcement learning in a multi-agent system by Jaderberg et al. (2018) displays the potential of AI applied to a group of agents.

3.6 The effect of Genetic Algorithms on Boids

Genetic Algorithms used to improve the boids model is nothing new and provides useful information into how to go about this research. For example in *'Genetic Algorithms for Optimization of Boids Model'* Chen et al. (2006)

3.7 The Interaction of an AI Flock with another Flock

The interaction of an artificially intelligent flock with other flocks is where the research becomes thinner and where the author feels based upon their own background research there is a room for expansion.

References

Al Toufailia, H., Couvillon, M. J., Ratnieks, F. L. W. & Grüter, C. (2013), 'Honey bee waggle dance communication: signal meaning and signal noise affect dance follower behaviour', *Behavioral Ecology and Sociobiology* **67**(4), 549–556.
URL: *https://doi.org/10.1007/s00265-012-1474-5*

Chen, Y.-W., Kobayashi, K., Huang, X. & Nakao, Z. (2006), Genetic algorithms for optimization of boids model, Vol. 4252, Springer Verlag, pp. 55–62.

Dorigo, M. & Gambardella, L. M. (1997), 'Ant colonies for the travelling salesman problem', *Biosystems* **43**(2), 73 – 81.
URL: *http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0303264797017085*

Flake, G. (1998), *The Computational Beauty of Nature: Computer Explorations of Fractals, Chaos, Complex Systems, and Adaptation*, A Bradford book, Cambridge, Massachusetts.
URL: *https://books.google.co.uk/books?id=0aUhuv7fjxMC*

Hartman, C. & Benes, B. (2006), 'Autonomous boids', *Computer Animation And Virtual Worlds* **17**(3-4), 199–206.

Husselmann, A. & Hawick, K. (2011), Simulating species interactions and complex emergence in multiple flocks of boids with gpus, *in* 'Proc. IASTED International Conference on Parallel and Distributed', IASTED, pp. 100–107.

Jaderberg, M., Czarnecki, W. M., Dunning, I., Marris, L., Lever, G., Castaneda, A. G., Beattie, C., Rabinowitz, N. C., Morcos, A. S., Ruderman, A., Sonnerat, N., Green, T., Deason, L., Leibo, J. Z., Silver, D., Hassabis, D., Kavukcuoglu, K. & Graepel, T. (2018), 'Human-level performance in first-person multiplayer games with population-based deep reinforcement learning'.

Jorge, P. E. & Marques, P. A. M. (2012), 'Decision-making in pigeon flocks: a democratic view of leadership', *Journal of Experimental Biology* **215**(14), 2414–2417.
URL: *http://jeb.biologists.org/content/215/14/2414*

Kawabayashi, H. & Chen, Y. (2008), Interactive system of artificial fish school based on the extended boid model, *in* '2008 International Conference on Intelligent Information Hiding and Multimedia Signal Processing', pp. 721–724.

Reynolds, C. W. (1987), 'Flocks, herds and schools: A distributed behavioral model', *SIGGRAPH Comput. Graph.* **21**(4), 25–34.
URL: *http://doi.acm.org/10.1145/37402.37406*

Watts, I., Nagy, M., de Perera, T. B. & Biro, D. (2016), 'Misinformed leaders lose influence over pigeon flocks', *Biology Letters* **12**(9), 20160544.
URL: *https://royalsocietypublishing.org/doi/abs/10.1098/rsbl.2016.0544*