Emulating Hilbert's Epsilon in ZFC

Norman Megill

nm@alum.mit.edu http://metamath.org

August 12, 2005

Hilbert's epsilon calculus

Hilbert's epsilon calculus is described at http://plato.stanford.edu/entries/epsilon-calculus/. The term " $\varepsilon x \varphi$ " denotes "some x satisfying wff φ ."

The *Transfinite Axiom* is the basic axiom needed for the epsilon calculus:

$$\varphi \to [\varepsilon x \varphi/x] \varphi \tag{1}$$

where x is free in φ and $[A/x]\varphi$ denotes the proper substitution of class-term A for x in φ .

Motivation

Theorem provers such as HOL use the epsilon calculus extensively as a proving tool. Our goal is to be able to translate such proofs into a form that can be verified by a ZFC-only proof verifier.

Discussion: http://ghilbert.org/choice.txt

While the Transfinite Axiom represents a form of the Axiom of Choice, ZFC cannot express it directly. ZFC can, however, prove the same epsilon-free theorems as the epsilon calculus. We will show a practical algorithm that can translate an epsilon-calculus proof (of an epsilon-free theorem) to a ZFC-only proof.

The trivial case of Hilbert's epsilon

If there is exactly one element such that a property φ is true, we can express "the (unique) element such that φ " (usually called "iota") as " $\bigcup \{x|\varphi\}$," which emulates Hilbert's epsilon. Hilbert's Transfinite Axiom can be easily emulated using this ZFC theorem:

$$\exists! x\varphi \to [\bigcup \{x|\varphi\}/x]\varphi \tag{2}$$

To use it, just detach $\exists ! x \varphi$ and add the antecedent φ to obtain the Transfinite Axiom instance. So, assuming $\exists ! x \varphi$,

$$\varphi \to \left[\bigcup \{x|\varphi\}/x\right]\varphi\tag{3}$$

The ZFC axioms

$$(\mathsf{Ext}) \ \forall z (z \in x \leftrightarrow z \in y) \to x = y \tag{4}$$

(Rep)
$$\forall w \exists y \forall z (\forall y \varphi \to z = y) \to \exists y \forall z (z \in y \leftrightarrow \exists w (w \in x \land \forall y \varphi))$$
 (5)

$$(Un) \exists y \forall z (\exists w (z \in w \land w \in x) \to z \in y)$$
(6)

$$(\mathsf{Pow}) \ \exists y \forall z (\forall w (w \in z \to w \in x) \to z \in y) \tag{7}$$

(Reg)
$$\exists y \ y \in x \to \exists y (y \in x \land \forall z (z \in y \to \neg z \in x))$$
 (8)

(Inf)
$$\exists y (x \in y \land \forall z (z \in y \to \exists w (z \in w \land w \in y)))$$
 (9)

(AC)
$$\exists y \forall z \forall w ((z \in w \land w \in x) \rightarrow \exists v \forall u (\exists t ((u \in w \land w \in t) \land (u \in t \land t \in y)) \leftrightarrow u = v))$$
 (10)

Just for fun

A very short version of the Axiom of Infinity, using only elementary symbols (\subset is proper subset):

$$\exists x \, x \subset \bigcup x \tag{11}$$

If we allow restricted quantifiers and ∃!, the Axiom of Choice with only one propositional connective:

$$\exists y \forall z \in x \forall w \in z \exists ! v \in z \exists u \in y (z \in u \land v \in u)$$
 (12)

Definitions for set theory (1 of 5)

We assume you know: virtual classes, subset, power class $\mathcal{P}x$, empty set \varnothing , universe V, unordered and ordered pairs, class builder, union and intersection (small and big), Cartesian (cross) product, binary relations.

Capital letters A, B, F, R are variables ranging over classes (which may be proper). Small letters x, y, z, w, f, g, etc. range over sets and are the individual variables of the first-order logic.

Define "R is a founded relation on (possibly proper) class A."

$$\underset{\leftarrow}{R}\operatorname{\mathsf{Fr}} A \overset{\mathsf{def}}{\leftrightarrow} \forall x ((x \subseteq A \land \neg x = \varnothing) \to \exists y \in x \forall z \in x \neg z \, R \, y) \ (13)$$

Definitions for set theory (2 of 5)

Define "R well-orders A."

R We A
$$\overset{\text{def}}{\leftrightarrow}$$
 $(R \operatorname{Fr} A \wedge \forall x \in A \forall y \in A (x R y \vee x = y \vee y R x)) (14)$

Define "A is a transitive class."

$$\operatorname{Tr} A \stackrel{\mathsf{def}}{\leftrightarrow} \bigcup A \subseteq A$$
 (15)

Define the epsilon relation.

$$E \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{\langle x, y \rangle | x \in y\} \tag{16}$$

Define "A is an ordinal class."

Define the class of all ordinals.

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathsf{On} & \stackrel{\mathsf{def}}{=} & \{x | \mathsf{Ord} \, x\} \\
\end{array} \tag{18}$$

Definitions for set theory (3 of 5)

Define "A is a limit ordinal."

$$\operatorname{Lim} A \stackrel{\text{def}}{\leftrightarrow} \operatorname{Ord} A \wedge \neg A = \emptyset \wedge A = \bigcup A \tag{19}$$

Define the successor of a class A.

$$\operatorname{\mathsf{suc}} A \stackrel{\mathsf{def}}{=} A \cup \{A\} \tag{20}$$

Define the domain of a class.

$$\operatorname{dom} A \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{x | \exists y \, x \, A \, y\} \tag{21}$$

Define the range of a class.

$$\operatorname{ran} A \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{y | \exists x \, x \, A \, y\} \tag{22}$$

Define the restriction of a class.

Definitions for set theory (4 of 5)

Define the image of a class.

Define the value of a function. (Applies to any class F).

$$(F'A) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \left\{ \int \{x | (F''\{A\}) = \{x\}\} \right\} \tag{25}$$

Define "A is a relation."

$$\mathsf{Rel}\,A \ \stackrel{\mathsf{def}}{\leftrightarrow} \ A \subseteq (V \times V) \tag{26}$$

Define "class A is a function."

$$\operatorname{\mathsf{Fun}} A \overset{\mathsf{def}}{\leftrightarrow} \operatorname{\mathsf{Rel}} A \wedge \forall x \exists z \forall y (x \, A \, y \to y = z) \tag{27}$$

Define "class A is a function with domain B."

$$A \operatorname{Fn} B \stackrel{\text{def}}{\leftrightarrow} \operatorname{Fun} A \wedge \operatorname{dom} A = B$$
 (28)

Definitions for set theory (5 of 5)

Define a recursive definition generator on On with characteristic function F and initial value A.

$$\operatorname{rec}(F, A) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \bigcup \{f | \exists x \in \operatorname{On}(f \operatorname{Fn} x \wedge \forall y \in x(f'y) = (\{\langle g, z \rangle | ((g = \varnothing \wedge z = A) \\ \vee (\neg (g = \varnothing \vee \operatorname{Lim} \operatorname{dom} g) \wedge z = (F'(g' \bigcup \operatorname{dom} g))) \\ \vee (\operatorname{Lim} \operatorname{dom} g \wedge z = \bigcup \operatorname{ran} g)) \}'(f \upharpoonright y))) \}$$
 (29)

Define the cumulative hierarchy of sets function R_1 .

$$R_1 \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \operatorname{rec}(\{\langle x, y \rangle | y = \mathcal{P}x\}, \varnothing)$$
 (30)

Define the rank function.

$$\operatorname{rank} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{\langle x, y \rangle | y = \bigcap \{z \in \operatorname{On} | x \in (R_1 \operatorname{`suc} z)\}\}$$
 (31)

The Main Theorem!

Recall our goal: we want to emulate Hilbert's epsilon $\varepsilon x \varphi$.

We define two class variables A and B, where y is not free in φ :

$$A = \{x | (\varphi \land \forall y([y/x]\varphi \to (\mathsf{rank}'x) \subseteq (\mathsf{rank}'y)))\}$$
 (32)

$$B = \bigcup \{x \in A | \forall y \in A \neg y R x\}$$
 (33)

Then the following theorem of ZFC emulates Hilbert's Transfinite Axiom, with the additional antecedent "R We A":

$$R \text{ We } A \to (\varphi \to [B/x]\varphi)$$
 (34)

Class B emulates Hilbert's epsilon!

(Note: In English, A is the collection of all sets of minimum rank with property φ . B is the smallest member of A w.r.t. some well-ordering relation R.)

Two key auxilliary theorems

Well-ordering theorem (derived from the Axiom of Choice): for any set x, there exists a set y s.t. y well-orders x.

$$\exists y \ y \ \mathsf{We} \ x$$
 (35)

Scott's trick collects all sets that have a certain property and are of smallest possible rank. The following amazing theorem shows that the resulting collection exists, i.e. is a set.

$$\{x|(\varphi \land \forall y([y/x]\varphi \to (\mathsf{rank'}x) \subseteq (\mathsf{rank'}y)))\} \in V \tag{36}$$

where y is not free in φ . In other words, the class A on the previous slide is a set, which is crucial for the well-ordering theorem to work!

The algorithm - case 1

Suppose the set A in Theorem 34 has a constructible well-ordering (rather than just the existence implied by Theorem 35). For example, A might be a subset of the natural numbers. In that case, we simply substitute the well-ordering in place of R and detach R We A. The result is the necessary instance of Hilbert's Transfinite Axiom. I.e. if we can find an R s.t. we can prove R We A, then (from Th. 34)

$$\varphi \to [B/x]\varphi \tag{37}$$

Note that the trivial case of unique existence, discussed at the beginning of this talk, is also covered by case 1, although Theorem 2 may be preferred for simplicity.

The algorithm - case 2

Suppose the set A in Theorem 34 does not have a constructible well-ordering. We substitute a temporary dummy variable, say w, for R in Theorem 34. In each step in the epsilon-calculus proof referencing the Transfinite Axiom, we replace the Transfinite Axiom by Theorem 34 with a temporary dummy variable, say w, for R, and carry along in the proof the extra antecedent $w \operatorname{We} A$ in each step containing a reference to B (the object that emulates Hilbert's epsilon). Note that B will have w as a free variable, so this antecedent cannot be eliminated. But since the final theorem is epsilon-free, at the end we can existentially quantify $w \operatorname{We} A$ then detach it with the Well-Ordering Theorem 35.

The algorithm - case 2 - continued

Appendix - Equation references

The following list provides the hyperlinks to the formal proofs for most of the theorems.

```
Eq. 2—http://us.metamath.org/mpegif/reuuni4.html
Eq. 4—http://us.metamath.org/mpegif/ax-ext.html
Eq. 5—http://us.metamath.org/mpegif/ax-rep.html
Eq. 6—http://us.metamath.org/mpegif/ax-un.html
Eq. 7—http://us.metamath.org/mpegif/ax-pow.html
Eq. 8—http://us.metamath.org/mpegif/ax-reg.html
Eq. 9—http://us.metamath.org/mpegif/ax-inf.html
Eq. 10—http://us.metamath.org/mpegif/ax-ac.html
Eq. 11—http://us.metamath.org/mpegif/inf5.html
Eq. 12—http://us.metamath.org/mpegif/ac2.html
```

- Eq. 13—http://us.metamath.org/mpegif/df-fr.html
- Eq. 14—http://us.metamath.org/mpegif/dfwe2.html
- Eq. 15—http://us.metamath.org/mpegif/df-tr.html
- Eq. 16—http://us.metamath.org/mpegif/df-eprel.html
- Eq. 17—http://us.metamath.org/mpegif/df-ord.html
- Eq. 18—http://us.metamath.org/mpegif/df-on.html
- Eq. 19—http://us.metamath.org/mpegif/df-lim.html
- Eq. 20—http://us.metamath.org/mpegif/df-suc.html
- Eq. 21—http://us.metamath.org/mpegif/df-dm.html
- Eq. 22—http://us.metamath.org/mpegif/dfrn2.html
- Eq. 23—http://us.metamath.org/mpegif/df-res.html
- Eq. 24—http://us.metamath.org/mpegif/df-ima.html
- Eq. 25—http://us.metamath.org/mpegif/df-fv.html
- Eq. 26—http://us.metamath.org/mpegif/df-rel.html
- Eq. 27—http://us.metamath.org/mpegif/dffun3.html
- Eq. 28—http://us.metamath.org/mpegif/df-fn.html

- Eq. 29—http://us.metamath.org/mpegif/dfrdg2.html
- Eq. 30—http://us.metamath.org/mpegif/df-r1.html
- Eq. 31—http://us.metamath.org/mpegif/df-rank.html
- Eq. 34—http://us.metamath.org/mpegif/hta.html
- Eq. 35—http://us.metamath.org/mpegif/weth.html
- Eq. 36—http://us.metamath.org/mpegif/scottexs.html