### **STA732**

## Statistical Inference

Lecture 21: UMPU in multiparam exponential family

Yuansi Chen

Spring 2023

**Duke University** 

https://www2.stat.duke.edu/courses/Spring23/sta732.01/



## **Recap from Lecture 20**

- Unbiased tests
- UMPU for two-sided testing  $H_0:\theta=\theta_0$  vs  $H_1:\theta\neq\theta_0$

# Recap on testing in one-param exponential family

The three cases of testing in one-param exponential family.

$$p_{\theta}(x) = h(x) \exp(\theta T(x) - A(\theta))$$

- 1.  $H_0: \theta \leq \theta_0 \text{ vs } H_1: \theta > \theta_0$
- 2.  $H_0: \theta \leq \theta_1 \text{ or } \theta \geq \theta_2 \text{ vs } H_1: \theta_1 < \theta < \theta_2$
- $\begin{aligned} \text{3.} \ \ H_0: \theta_1 \leq \theta \leq \theta_2 \, \text{vs} \, H_1: \theta < \theta_1 \, \text{or} \, \theta > \theta_2 \\ \text{similarly} \, H_0: \theta = \theta_0 \, \text{vs} \, H_1: \theta \neq \theta_0 \end{aligned}$

## What about multi-param exponential family?

$$p_{\theta,\eta}(x) = h(x) \exp\left(\theta U(x) + \eta^\top T(x) - A(\theta,\eta)\right)$$

What can we say about the optimal tests?

- 1.  $H_0: \theta \leq \theta_0 \, \mathrm{vs} \, H_1: \theta > \theta_0$
- 2.  $H_0: \theta \leq \theta_1 \text{ or } \theta \geq \theta_2 \text{ vs } H_1: \theta_1 < \theta < \theta_2$
- $\begin{aligned} \text{3.} \ \ H_0: \theta_1 \leq \theta \leq \theta_2 \, \text{vs} \, H_1: \theta < \theta_1 \, \text{or} \, \theta > \theta_2 \\ \text{similarly} \, H_0: \theta = \theta_0 \, \text{vs} \, H_1: \theta \neq \theta_0 \end{aligned}$

#### Goal of Lecture 21

- Conditional tests
- 2. UMPU for multi-param exponential family with nuisance param
- 3. Examples
  - Comparing two Poisson distributions
  - · Testing Gaussian variance with unknown mean
  - · Testing Gaussian mean with unknown variance

Chap. 13.1-3 of Keener

# Conditional tests

# Ways to deal with nuisance parameters

- Least favorable distributions
- Conditioning

# Intuition about conditioning

$$p_{\theta,\eta}(x) = h(x) \exp\left(\theta U(x) + \eta^\top T(x) - A(\theta,\eta)\right)$$

Want to test  $H_0: \theta \leq \theta_0 \text{ vs } H_1: \theta > \theta_0$ 

- The boundary  $\omega = \{(\theta, \eta): \theta = \theta_0\}$
- On the boundary,  $\theta$  is known, so T(X) is sufficient for  $\eta$
- The conditional  $U(X) \mid T(X)$  has no  $\eta$  dependency on  $\omega$

Conditioning can eliminate the influence of nuisance parameters!

## Strategy for conditional tests

- 1. Condition on T(X) = t
- 2. For each value of t, construct a "optimal conditional test"  $\phi(u,t) \text{ which maximizes conditional power } \mathbb{E}_{\theta}[\phi(u,t) \mid T=t] \\ \forall \theta > \theta_0 \\ \text{and satisfies the conditional level } \alpha, \\ \mathbb{E}_{\theta}[\phi(u,t) \mid T=t] \leq \alpha, \forall \theta \leq \theta_0$
- 3. Check whether this test is UMPU at level  $\alpha$

# Recall $\alpha$ -similarity

### Def. $\alpha$ -similarity, 4.1 in Lehmann and Romano

A test  $\phi$  satisfying  $\mathbb{E}_{\theta}\phi(X)=\alpha$  for all  $\theta\in\omega$  is called  $\alpha$ -similar on  $\omega$ 

# Try out the strategy for multi-param exp family?

• For each t, the one-param exp family of  $U(X) \mid T(X) = t$  has MLR in U(X), the "optimal conditional test" for fixed t should take the form

$$\phi(u,t) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } u > c(t) \\ \gamma(t) & \text{if } u = c(t) \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

with  $c(t),\gamma(t)$  chosen to satisfy the conditional level constraint at the boundary  $\theta_0$  and  $\theta \leq \theta_0$ 

$$\mathbb{E}_{\theta_0} \left[ \phi(U, T) \mid T = t \right] = \alpha \tag{1}$$

• Taking expectation on the power and level, deduce  $\phi(U,T)$  is level  $\alpha$  and UMP amongst level  $\alpha$  tests satisfying (1). But (1) is more stringent than  $\alpha$ -similarity!

**UMPU** for multi-param exponential

family with nuisance param

We first need to inspect the relationship between (1) and  $\alpha\text{-similarity}$  more carefully

## $\alpha$ -Neyman structure

## Def. Neyman structure. 13.4 in Keener

Suppose T is sufficient for  $\{P_{\gamma}: \gamma \in \omega\}$ . A test  $\phi$  has  $\alpha$ -Neyman structure if  $\phi$  satisfies

$$\mathbb{E}_{\gamma}\phi(X)\mid T(X)=\alpha, \text{ for a.e.} t, \forall \gamma\in\omega.$$

## It is easy to show $\alpha$ -Neyman structure implies $\alpha$ -similarity:

Just take expectation, and by tower property.

# lpha-Neyman structure under complete sufficient statistic

#### Thm. 13.5 in Keener

If T is complete and sufficient for  $\{P_{\gamma}: \gamma \in \omega\}$ , then everty  $\alpha$ -similar test has  $\alpha$ -Neyman structure with respect to T.

Neyman structure and similarity are equivalent whenever a complete sufficient statistic T exists!

# Proof of Thm. 13.5: Suppose $\alpha$ is $\alpha$ -similar

- Introduce  $\Psi(T)=\mathbb{E}\left[\phi(X)-\alpha\mid T\right]$ , which does not depend on  $\gamma$  because T is sufficient
- $\bullet \ \mathbb{E}_{\boldsymbol{\gamma}} \Psi(T) = 0, \forall \boldsymbol{\gamma} \in \boldsymbol{\omega}$
- By completeness,  $\Psi(T)=0!$  We obtain Neyman structure

## Back to the multi-param exp family

$$p_{\theta,\eta}(x) = h(x) \exp\left(\theta U(x) + \eta^\top T(x) - A(\theta,\eta)\right)$$

If T(X) is sufficient and complete on  $\omega$ , we can

- Go from UMP among  $\alpha$ -Neyman structure tests to UMP among  $\alpha$ -similar tests
- Apply Lem. 4.1.1 Lehmann and Romano (or just compare to the constant test), UMP amont  $\alpha$ -similar tests implies UMPU at level  $\alpha$ .
- We can complete the proof for UMPU

# Thm. UMPU in multi-param exp family

$$p_{\theta,\eta}(x) = h(x) \exp\left(\theta U(x) + \eta^\top T(x) - A(\theta,\eta)\right)$$

#### Thm 13.6 in Keener

Consider the above exponential family, if it is full rank and  $\Omega$  is open, then  $\phi_1$  is UMPU test for  $H_0: \theta \leq \theta_0$  vs  $H_1: \theta > \theta_0$ .

$$\phi_1(u,t) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } u > c(t) \\ \gamma(t) & \text{if } u = c(t) \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Thm 13.6 also deals with UMPU for two-sided test (proof omitted)

#### Remarks about conditional tests

## Proof summary:

- UMP among Neyman structure tests is easy to find if T is sufficient on the boundary, the optimal conditional test is reduced to one-param testing
- If T is complete, then  $\alpha\text{-similar}$  tests are equivalent to Neyman structure tests
- Conditional tests are easier to explicitly construct after observing the data

# Examples

## 1. Compare two Poisson distributions

 $X \sim \mathsf{Poisson}(\nu)$  and  $Y \sim \mathsf{Poisson}(\mu)$  for X,Y independent. (May think X and Y as the number of successful recoveries from a disease under two different treatments)

Testing  $H_0: \mu \leq \nu$  vs  $H_1: \mu > \nu$ 

- it is equivalent to testing  $H_0: \log(\mu/\nu) \leq 0$  vs  $H_1: \log(\mu/\nu) > 0$
- so additional information in  $(\mu, \nu)$  is considered nuisance.

The joint density of (X, Y) is given by

$$\begin{split} &\frac{1}{x!y!} \exp(-\mu - \nu) \exp(x \log(\nu) + y \log(\mu)) \\ &= \frac{1}{x!y!} \exp(-\mu - \nu) \exp\left(y \log(\mu/\nu) + (x+y) \log(\nu)\right) \end{split}$$

Let U=Y, T=X+Y. And the natural parameters are  $\theta=\log(\mu/\nu)$  and  $\eta=\log(\nu)$ , where  $\eta$  is nuisance param form of the UMPU test?

## 2. Testing Gaussian variance with unknown mean

Let  $X_1,\dots,X_n$  be i.i.d.  $\mathcal{N}\left(\mu,\sigma^2\right)$ , where both  $\mu,\sigma^2$  are unknown. We consider testing  $H_0:\sigma\leq\sigma_0$  against  $H_1:\sigma>\sigma_0$ . Find a UMPU test.

- We did find UMP in Lecture 19 with least favoroable distributions
- What does Basu's theorem say about  $\bar{X}$  and  $\sum_{i=1}^n (X_i \bar{X})^2$ ?

## 3. Testing Gaussian mean with unknown variance

Let  $X_1,\dots,X_n$  be i.i.d.  $\mathcal{N}\left(\mu,\sigma^2\right)$ , where both  $\mu,\sigma^2$  are unknown. We consider testing  $H_0:\mu\leq\mu_0$  against  $H_1:\mu>\mu_0$ . Find a UMPU test. Gives us t-test!

## **Summary**

UMPU for multi-param exponential family with nuisance param, via conditional tests

- Construct conditional tests
- $\alpha$ -Neyman structure vs  $\alpha$ -similarity

## What is next?

• Testing in GLM

# Thank you