STA732

Statistical Inference

Lecture 04: Completeness and Ancillarity

Yuansi Chen

Spring 2023

Duke University

https://www2.stat.duke.edu/courses/Spring23/sta732.01/



Recap from Lecture 03

- Sufficient statistic T: when T is known, we have enough information to estimate θ .
- Factorization theorem: if we can factor the likelihood, then we prove T is sufficient

$$p_{\theta}(x) = g_{\theta}(T(x))h(x)$$

 Minimal sufficiency: we can order the sufficient statistics and define the minimal (intuitively it is the most compressed version of sufficient statistics)

Goal of Lecture 04

- 1. Define ancillarity and completeness
- 2. Complete statistics in exponential family
- 3. Basu's theorem

Chap. 3.5 in Keener or Chap. 1.6 in Lehmann and Casella

Ancillarity and Completeness

Definition. Ancillarity

Suppose X has distribution from a family $\mathscr{P}=\{P_{\theta},\theta\in\Omega\}$. A statistics V(X) is called ancillary if its distribution does not depend on θ . So V(X) by itself provides no information about θ .

Examples

 In the Laplace location family example of Lecture 3, the joint density is

$$p_{\theta}(x) = \frac{1}{2^n} \exp \left\{ -\sum_{i=1}^n |x_i - \theta| \right\}$$

We showed that the order statistics is minimal sufficient. But $X_{(1)}-X_{(3)}$ is ancillary. The distribution of $(X_{(1)}-\theta)-(X_{(3)}-\theta)$ does not depend on θ

- Similarly for $X_1,\dots,X_n \overset{\text{i.i.d.}}{\sim} \mathcal{N}(\theta,1)$. X_1-X_2 is ancillary

5

Definition. First-order ancillary

A statistics V(X) is called first-order ancillary if $\mathbb{E}_{\theta}[V(X)]$ does not depend on θ .

Example:

 $X_1,\dots,X_n \overset{\text{i.i.d.}}{\sim} \mathcal{N}(0,\sigma^2)$, X_1 is first-order ancillary but it is not ancillary.

6

Definition. Completeness

Suppose X has distribution from a family $\mathscr{P}=\{P_{\theta},\theta\in\Omega\}$. A statistics T(X) is complete if no non-constant function of T is first-order ancillary. In other words,

$$\mathbb{E}_{\theta}[f(T(X))] = 0, \ \forall \theta \Rightarrow f(T(X)) = 0, \text{a.s.}, \ \forall \theta$$

Remark:

- In some sense, the complete + sufficient formalizes our ideal notion of "optimal data compression"
- The minimal sufficiency is our achievable notion of "optimal data compression"

Example 1: minimal sufficient statistic is not necessarily complete

In the Laplace location family example with joint density

$$p_{\theta}(x) = \frac{1}{2^n} \exp\left\{-\sum_{i=1}^n |x_i - \theta|\right\}$$

 $S=(X_{(1)},\dots X_{(n)})$ is minimal sufficient statistics. But it is not complete! Consider

$$\mathsf{median}(X_{(i)}) - \mathsf{mean}(X_{(i)})$$

why is its expectaion 0? symmetry

Example 2: a minimal sufficient complete statistic

 $X_1,\dots,X_n\stackrel{\mathrm{i.i.d.}}{\sim} \mathrm{Unif}[0,\theta], \theta\in(0,\infty).$ Show that $T(X)=X_{(n)}$ is minimal sufficient and complete.

proof:

Example 3: a complete statistic that is not sufficient

$$X_1,\dots,X_{10} \overset{\text{i.i.d.}}{\sim} \mathcal{N}(\theta,1).$$

- X_1 is not sufficient
- X_1 is complete

proof:

Complete sufficient implies minimal sufficient

Theorem 3.17 in Keener

If T is complete and sufficient, then T is minimal sufficient.

proof:

Complete statistics in exponential

family

Definition. Full-rank exponential family

An exponential family $\mathscr P$ with densities $p_{\theta}(x) = \exp\left\{\eta(\theta)^{\top}T(x) - B(\theta)\right\}h(x)$, $\theta \in \Omega$ is said to be full rank if the interior of $\eta(\Omega)$ is not empty and if T_1,\dots,T_s do not satisfy a linear constraint of the form $v^{\top}T \stackrel{\mathrm{a.s.}}{=} c$.

Otherwise, we say the family ${\mathscr P}$ is curved

Examples

Which of the following exponential family is full rank?

$$\begin{split} \bullet \ p_{\theta}(x) &= \exp\left(\begin{pmatrix} \theta_1 \\ \theta_2 \end{pmatrix}^\top \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{pmatrix} - B(\theta) \right) h(x) \\ \bullet \ p_{\theta}(x) &= \exp\left(\begin{pmatrix} \theta_1 \\ \theta_1^2 \end{pmatrix}^\top \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{pmatrix} - B(\theta) \right) h(x) \\ \bullet \ p_{\theta}(x) &= \exp\left(\begin{pmatrix} \theta_1 \\ \theta_2^2 \end{pmatrix}^\top \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{pmatrix} - B(\theta) \right) h(x) \end{split}$$

T(X) in full-rank exponential family is complete sufficient

Theorem 3.19 in Keener

In an exponential family of full rank, T(X) is complete sufficient.

proof in Lehmann & Romano, Thm 4.3.1

Key proof ideas:

- W.L.O.G., assume the parameter space contain the rectangle $I=\left\{\theta\mid\left|\theta_{j}\right|\leq a\right\}$
- For $f=f^+-f^-$ satisfying $\mathbb{E}_{\theta}f(T)=0$, we have for $\theta\in I$

$$\int e^{\theta^\top t} f^+(t) d\nu(t) = \int e^{\theta^\top t} f^-(t) d\nu(t)$$

• We can normalize both sides to make appear moment generating functions on both sides. Equality by moment generating functions implies $f^+=f^-$

Basu's theorem

Basu's Theorem

Theorem 3.21 in Keener

If T is complete and sufficient for $\mathscr{P}=\{P_{\theta},\theta\in\Omega\}$, and if V is ancillary, then T and V are independent under P_{θ} for any $\theta\in\Omega$.

Basu's Theorem

Theorem 3.21 in Keener

If T is complete and sufficient for $\mathscr{P}=\{P_{\theta},\theta\in\Omega\}$, and if V is ancillary, then T and V are independent under P_{θ} for any $\theta\in\Omega$.

Remark

- Ancillarity, completeness, sufficiency are all properties of a statistic with respect to a family ${\mathscr P}$
- Independence is a property with respect to a particular distribution P_{θ}

proof of Basu's theorem:

To show independence, we have to show $\forall \theta, A, B$ that

$$P_{\theta}(V \in A, T \in B) = P_{\theta}(V \in A)P_{\theta}(T \in B)$$

Define

$$q_A(t) = P_{\theta}(V \in A \mid T = t)$$

$$\rho_A = P_{\theta}(V \in A)$$

- First, show that they do not depend on θ .
- Second, show that they are equal by completeness

Application to independence of normal sample mean and variance

$$\begin{split} X_1,\dots,X_n \overset{\text{i.i.d.}}{\sim} \mathcal{N}(\mu,\sigma^2). \text{ Consider the subfamily} \\ \mathscr{P}_{\sigma^2} &= \big\{\mathcal{N}(\mu,\sigma^2)^n: \mu \in \mathbb{R}\big\}. \end{split}$$

- $\bar{X} = \frac{1}{n} \sum X_i$ is complete sufficient for \mathscr{P}_{σ^2} .
- $S^2 = \frac{1}{n-1} \sum (X_i \bar{X})^2$ is ancillary why?

Apply Basu's theorem

Review basics of conditional

expectations

Let X,Z be random variables with joint density $p(x,z)=p(z\mid x)p(x).$ Let h be a measurable function with $\int\int |h(x,z)|\,p(x,z)dxdz<\infty.$ The conditional expectation of h given X is

$$\mathbb{E}[h(X,Z) \mid X = x] = \int h(x,z)p(z \mid x)dz$$

Basic properties of conditional expectation

- Pull-out property: if $h(x,z) = h_1(x)h_2(z)$, then

$$\mathbb{E}[h(X,Z)\mid X=x] = h_1(x)\mathbb{E}[h_2(Z)\mid X=x]$$

· Tower property:

$$\mathbb{E}[\mathbb{E}[h(X,Z)\mid X]] = \mathbb{E}[h(X,Z)]$$

• Conditional expectation under independence: if p(x,z)=p(x)p(z), then

$$\mathbb{E}[h(Z) \mid X = x] = \mathbb{E}[h(Z)]$$

Summary

- V is **ancillary** if its distribution does not depend on θ
- Completeness + sufficiency as the ideal notion of optimal data compression
- Basu's theorem is useful to prove independence

What is next?

- Rao-Blackwell Theorem
- UMVU

Thank you