

As a library, NLM provides access to scientific literature. Inclusion in an NLM database does not imply endorsement of, or agreement with, the contents by NLM or the National Institutes of Health.

Learn more: [PMC Disclaimer](#) | [PMC Copyright Notice](#)




Medchemcomm. 2019 Apr 26;10(6):840–866. doi: [10.1039/c9md00054b](https://doi.org/10.1039/c9md00054b)

Recent advances in the genome mining of *Aspergillus* secondary metabolites (covering 2012–2018)

Jillian Romsdahl ^a, Clay C C Wang ^{a,b,*}

[Author information](#) [Article notes](#) [Copyright and License information](#)

PMCID: PMC6590338 PMID: [31303983](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/31303983/)



This review covers

advances made in genome mining SMs produced by *Aspergillus nidulans*, *Aspergillus fumigatus*, *Aspergillus niger*, and *Aspergillus terreus* in the past six years (2012–2018). Genetic identification and molecular characterization of SM biosynthetic gene clusters, along with proposed biosynthetic pathways, is discussed in depth.

Abstract

Secondary metabolites (SMs) produced by filamentous fungi possess diverse bioactivities that make them excellent drug candidates. Whole genome sequencing has revealed that fungi have the capacity to produce a far greater number of SMs than have been isolated, since many of the genes involved in SM biosynthesis are either silent or expressed at very low levels in standard laboratory conditions. There has been significant effort to activate SM biosynthetic genes and link them to their downstream products, as the SMs produced by these “cryptic” pathways offer a promising source for new drug discovery. Further, an understanding of the genes involved in SM biosynthesis facilitates product yield

optimization of first-generation molecules and genetic engineering of second-generation analogs. This review covers advances made in genome mining SMs produced by *Aspergillus nidulans*, *Aspergillus fumigatus*, *Aspergillus niger*, and *Aspergillus terreus* in the past six years (2012–2018). Genetic identification and molecular characterization of SM biosynthetic gene clusters, along with proposed biosynthetic pathways, will be discussed in depth.

1. Introduction

Filamentous fungi, including those within the *Aspergillus* genus, are known to produce a vast array of secondary metabolites (SMs) that exhibit a broad range of biological activities. SMs are organic small molecules that confer selective advantage to the organism despite not being directly required for survival. In nature, SMs function as weapons to eliminate neighboring competition, chemical signals in microbial cell communication, agents of symbiosis and transportation, sexual hormones, or differentiation effectors.¹ However, SMs also possess various characteristics that make them great drug candidates, which has resulted in their extensive use in the pharmaceutical industry. For example, they exhibit enormous structural and chemical diversity due to the enzymatic nature of their biosynthesis, in which the core backbone of the SM is often biosynthesized by either a polyketide synthase (PKS), which can be either non-reducing (NR-PKS) or highly-reducing (HR-PKS), a nonribosomal peptide synthetase (NRPS), a PKS–NRPS hybrid, a dimethylallyl tryptophan synthase (DMATS), or a terpene cyclase (TC). The carbon skeleton is then further diversified by various tailoring enzymes encoded by genes that are usually clustered in the genome with the SM core backbone gene.² Tailoring enzymes may include oxidoreductases, oxygenases, dehydrogenases, reductases, and transferases. This process facilitates many reactions that are not possible synthetically and therefore SMs often feature more chiral centers and increased steric complexity than synthetic molecules. Further, because SMs have evolved within a biological setting, they usually possess many favorable drug-like properties. SMs currently represent a significant source of antibacterial, antifungal, antiviral, antiparasitic, anti-infective, anticancer, and antidiabetic drugs.³ Notable examples include the antibiotic penicillin, the cholesterol-lowering statin lovastatin, the antitumor agent paclitaxel,⁴ and the immunosuppressant cyclosporine.⁵ Additionally, the majority of small-molecule drugs introduced between 1981 and 2010 were either SMs, SM derivatives, SM mimics, or possessed a SM pharmacophore, and approximately 49% of all anticancer drugs are SMs or were inspired by SMs.³

Genome sequencing of *Aspergillus* species has greatly illuminated the potential for further drug discovery within the *Aspergillus* genus, revealing that the number of predicted SM biosynthetic genes or gene clusters considerably exceeds the number of identified SMs. A primary reason for this is that the majority of genes involved in SM biosynthesis are either silent or expressed at very low levels in standard laboratory conditions.⁶ This is a logical phenomenon given the natural functions of SMs, as laboratory culture conditions lack the life-threatening or competitive circumstances likely to trigger SM production. Expression of these genes sometimes requires exposure to a specific condition or stressful environment, and therefore culturing fungi in various conditions can result in the production of different SMs.⁷ Other times, genetic engineering techniques, such as heterologous expression or the use of inducible promoters, are required. Since the sequencing of the first *Aspergillus* genome in 2005,⁸ researchers have used bioinformatics to identify and

characterize the SM biosynthetic gene clusters present in various species of *Aspergillus*.⁹ There have been considerable efforts to activate silent clusters and link them to their downstream products, as genome mining these “cryptic” pathways offer a promising source for new drug discovery.¹⁰ Further, linking known therapeutically-relevant SMs to their biosynthesis genes facilitates genetic manipulation efforts to optimize product yields of first-generation compounds and engineer second-generation compounds.

In 2012, a comprehensive review depicting the status *Aspergillus* SM research was published by J. F. Sanchez *et al.*¹¹ Building on this previous work, this review examines advances made in *Aspergillus* SM genome mining efforts since 2012. Specifically, it focuses on progress made within the species of *Aspergillus nidulans*, *Aspergillus fumigatus*, *Aspergillus niger*, and *Aspergillus terreus*, which are distinguished for their significant use in research, medicine, and biotechnology. The well-characterized fungus *A. nidulans* has been extensively used as a model organism to study genetics and cell biology. Additionally, the development of *A. nidulans* “clean background” strains, which are lacking production of common SMs, combined with the availability of regulatable promoters and several genetic selection markers have facilitated its wide use as a heterologous expression host.¹² The common airborne pathogen *A. fumigatus* threatens immunocompromised individuals and is responsible for most invasive aspergillosis infections, although infections can also be caused by *A. flavus*, *A. terreus*, *A. niger*, and *A. nidulans*.^{13,14} Nevertheless, *A. fumigatus* is known to produce biologically useful SMs, including fumitremorgin C, which exhibits potent activity against the breast cancer resistance protein.¹⁵ Melanized *A. niger* is used extensively in the biotechnology industry for production of citric acid and enzymes.¹⁶ Additionally, it produces an array of therapeutically relevant SMs, including the antimicrobial aurasperone A,¹⁷ the antioxidant and antifungal aurasperone B,¹⁸ the human cancer cytotoxic agent bicoumanigrin A,¹⁹ and the antioxidant pyranonigrin A.²⁰ *A. terreus* is used for biotechnological production of the cholesterol-lowering drug lovastatin^{21,22} and the industrial polymer precursor itaconic acid.^{23,24}

2. The status of genome mining *Aspergillus* secondary metabolites

The overall status of linking predicted SM core backbone synthase enzymes to their downstream products in *A. nidulans*, *A. fumigatus*, *A. niger*, and *A. terreus* is summarized in [Table 1](#). Of the 66 predicted core synthase enzymes in the *A. nidulans* genome, 29 (43.9%) have been linked to downstream SM products. Similarly, of the 40 predicted SM core synthase enzyme-encoding genes in *A. fumigatus*, 19 (47.5%) have been linked to downstream SM products. While the *A. niger* and *A. terreus* genomes contain 99 and 74 predicted SM synthase enzymes, only 14 (14.1%) and 20 (27.0%) have been linked to their products, respectively. [Tables 2–5](#) list the predicted SM core synthase genes and linked cluster products in *A. nidulans*, *A. fumigatus*, *A. niger*, and *A. terreus*, respectively. The following sections review the specific advances made in the genetic characterization and biosynthetic pathway elucidation of SMs produced by these four species in the past six years (2012–2018). It is important to note that the levels of details that have been clarified for these pathways varies quite significantly, as some pathways have been proposed with considerable detail while others involve sole characterization of the core synthase enzyme.

Table 1. The status of linking *Aspergillus* SM core synthase genes to downstream products.

	<i>Aspergillus nidulans</i>		<i>Aspergillus fumigatus</i>		<i>Aspergillus niger</i>		<i>Aspergillus terreus</i>	
	Linked	Total	Linked	Total	Linked	Total	Linked	Total
PKS	16	33	6	16	8	46	9	29
NRPS	11	25	9	18	4	35	9	36
Hybrid	1	1	1	2	2	9	1	1
DMATS	0	5	2	3	0	2	0	5
TC	1	2	1	1	0	7	1	3
Total	29	66	19	40	14	99	20	74

[Open in a new tab](#)

Table 2. Core secondary metabolite synthesis genes and their products in *A. nidulans*.

No.	Broad designation	Gene name	Gene type	SM(s) produced
1	AN0016	<i>pes1</i>	NRPS	
2	AN0150	<i>mdpG</i>	NR-PKS	Monodictyphenone, 84 emodin, 143 xanthones, 40 sanghaspirodins A and B 144
3	AN0523	<i>pkdA</i>	NR-PKS	
4	AN0607	<i>sidC</i>	NRPS	Ferricrocin 145
5	AN1034	<i>afoE</i>	NR-PKS	Asperfuranone 44
6	AN1036	<i>afoG</i>	HR-PKS	Asperfuranone 44
7	AN1242	<i>nlsA</i>	NRPS	Nidulanin A 58
8	AN1594		TC	<i>ent</i> -Pimara-8(14),15-diene 25
9	AN1680		NRPS-like	
10	AN1784	<i>sdgA</i> (<i>pkjA</i>)	HR-PKS	Asperniduglene A1 26
11	AN2032	<i>pkhA</i>	NR-PKS	
12	AN2035	<i>pkhB</i>	HR-PKS	
13	AN2064		NRPS-like	
14	AN2545	<i>easA</i>	NRPS	Emericellamides 43
15	AN2547	<i>easB</i>	HR-PKS	Emericellamides 43
16	AN2621	<i>acvA</i>	NRPS	Penicillin 146
17	AN2924		NRPS-like	
18	AN3230	<i>pkfA</i>	NR-PKS	Aspernidine A 28
19	AN3273		HR-PKS	
20	AN3277		TC	
21	AN3386	<i>pkiA</i>	NR-PKS	6-Hydroxy-7-methyl-3-nonylisoquinoline-5,8-dione 29

No.	Broad designation	Gene name	Gene type	SM(s) produced
22	AN3396	<i>micA</i>	NRPS-like	Microporfuranone 30
23	AN3495	<i>inpA</i>	NRPS	Fellutamide B 37
24	AN3496	<i>inpB</i>	NRPS	Fellutamide B 37
25	AN3612		HR-PKS	
26	AN4827		NRPS-like	
27	AN5318		NRPS-like	
28	AN5475		NR-PKS	
29	AN6000	<i>aptA</i>	NR-PKS	Asperthecin 47
30	AN6236	<i>sidD</i>	NRPS	
31	AN6431		HR-PKS	
32	AN6448	<i>pkbA</i>	NR-PKS	Cichorine, 38 aspercryptin 48
33	AN6784	<i>xptA</i>	DMAT	
34	AN6791		HR-PKS	
35	AN7071	<i>pkgA</i>	NR-PKS	Alternariol, citreoisocoumarin and analogs 29
36	AN7084		PKS-like	
37	AN7489		PKS-like	
38	AN7825	<i>stcA</i> (<i>pksST</i>)	NR-PKS	Sterigmatocystin 147
39	AN7880		PKS-like	
40	AN7884	<i>atnA</i>	NRPS	Aspercryptin 48
41	AN7903	<i>dbaI</i> (<i>pkeA</i>)	NR-PKS	Felinone A 50
42	AN7909	<i>orsA</i>	NR-PKS	F9775 A and B, 143 sanghaspirodins A and B 144
43	AN8105		NRPS-like	
44	AN8209	<i>wA</i>	NR-PKS	YWA1, melanin 148

No.	Broad designation	Gene name	Gene type	SM(s) produced
45	AN8383	<i>ausA</i>	NR-PKS	Austinol, dehydroaustinol 41
46	AN8412	<i>apdA</i>	Hybrid	Aspyridone A, B 149
47	AN8513	<i>tdiA</i>	NRPS-like	Terrequinone A 150
48	AN8910		HR-PKS	
49	AN9005		HR-PKS	
50	AN9129		NRPS-like	
51	AN9226	<i>asqK</i>	NRPS	4'-Methoxyviridicatin 55
52	AN9243		NRPS-like	
53	AN9244		NRPS	
54	AN10289		DMAT	
55	AN10297		NRPS-like	
56	AN10430		HR-PKS	
57	AN10486		NRPS-like	
58	AN10576	<i>ivoA</i>	NRPS	Grey-brown conidiophore pigment 57,59
59	AN11080		DMAT	
60	AN11191	<i>alnA</i>	HR-PKS	(+)-Asperlin 65
61	AN11202		DMAT	
62	AN11820		NRPS-like	
63	AN12331		PKS-like	
64	AN12331		PKS-like	
65	AN12402	<i>xptB</i>	DMAT	
66	AN12440		NR-PKS	

[Open in a new tab](#)

Table 3. Core secondary metabolite synthesis genes and their products in *A. fumigatus*.

No.	Af293 gene	A1163 gene	Gene name	Gene type	SM(s) produced
1	Afu1g01010	No homolog		HR-PKS	
2	Afu1g10380	AFUB_009800	<i>pesB</i> (<i>pesI</i>)	NRPS	Fumigaclavine C 151
3	Afu1g17200	AFUB_016590	<i>sidC</i>	NRPS	Ferricrocin, hydroxyferricrocin 152,153
4	Afu1g17740	AFUB_045790		Hybrid	
5	Afu2g01290	AFUB_018370		HR-PKS	
6	Afu2g05760	AFUB_022790		PKS-like	
7	Afu2g17600	AFUB_033290	<i>alb1</i> (<i>pksP</i>)	NR-PKS	YWA1, conidial pigment 154
8	Afu2g17990	AFUB_033680	<i>fgaPTI</i>	DMAT	Fumigaclavine C 155
9	Afu3g01410	AFUB_046990		HR-PKS	
10	Afu3g02530	No homolog		PKS-like	
11	Afu3g02570	No homolog		NR-PKS	
12	Afu3g02670	AFUB_045610		NRPS-like	
13	Afu3g03350	AFUB_044900	<i>sidE</i>	NRPS	
14	Afu3g03420	AFUB_044830	<i>sidD</i>	NRPS	Fusarinine C, triacetyl fusarinine C 152,153
15	Afu3g12920	AFUB_036270	<i>hasD</i> (<i>pesF</i>)	NRPS	Hexadehydroastechrome 73
16	Afu3g12930	AFUB_036260	<i>hasE</i>	DMAT	Hexadehydroastechrome 73
17	Afu3g13730	AFUB_035460	<i>pesG</i>	NRPS	
18	Afu3g14700	AFUB_034520		HR-PKS	
19	Afu3g15270	AFUB_033950	<i>pesH</i>	NRPS	
20	Afu4g00210	AFUB_100730	<i>encA</i>	NR-PKS	Endocrocin 81
21	Afu4g14560	AFUB_071800	<i>tpcC</i>	NR-PKS	Trypacidin, endocrocin 82
22	Afu4g14770	AFUB_072030	<i>helA</i>	TC	Helvolic acid 100

No.	Af293 gene	A1163 gene	Gene name	Gene type	SM(s) produced
23	Afu5g10120	AFUB_057720		NRPS-like	
24	Afu5g12730	AFUB_060400	<i>pesI</i>	NRPS	
25	Afu6g03480	AFUB_094810	<i>fmpE</i>	NRPS-like	Fumipyrrole 103
26	Afu6g08560	AFUB_074520		NRPS-like	
27	Afu6g09610	AFUB_075660	<i>pesJ</i>	NRPS	
28	Afu6g09660	AFUB_075710	<i>gliP</i>	NRPS	Gliotoxin 156
29	Afu6g12050	AFUB_078040	<i>fqzC (pesL)</i>	NRPS	Fumigaclavine C, 157 fumiquinazolines 158
30	Afu6g12080	AFUB_078070		NRPS	fumiquinazolines 159
31	Afu6g13930	AFUB_000820	<i>pyr2</i>	HR-PKS	Pyripyropene A 160
32	Afu7g00160	AFUB_086700	<i>nscA (fccA)</i>	NR-PKS	Neosartoricin, 105 fumicyclines 106
33	Afu8g00170	AFUB_086360	<i>ftmA</i>	NRPS	Fumitremorgins 161
34	Afu8g00370	AFUB_086200	<i>fma-PKS</i>	HR-PKS	Fumagillin 113
35	Afu8g00540	AFUB_086030	<i>psoA</i>	Hybrid	Pseurotin A 162
36	Afu8g00620	AFUB_085950	<i>cdpNPT</i>	DMAT	
37	Afu8g01640	AFUB_084950		NRPS-like	
38	Afu8g02350	AFUB_084240		NR-PKS	
39	No homolog	AFUB_079710		PKS	
40	No homolog	AFUB_045640		PKS	

[Open in a new tab](#)

^aIndicates pseudogene.

Table 4. Core secondary metabolite synthesis genes and their products in *A. niger*.

No.	CBS 513.88 gene	ATCC 1015 gene (FungiDB)	JGI v4 protein ID	Gene name	Gene type	SM(s) produced
1	An01g00060	ASPNIDRAFT_55511	1083843		PKS-like	
2	An01g01130	No homolog	No homolog		HR-PKS	
3	An01g06930	ASPNIDRAFT_225574	1162446	<i>fum1</i>	HR-PKS	Fumonisins 163,164
4	An01g06950	ASPNIDRAFT_225587	1083446		HR-PKS	
5	An01g11770	ASPNIDRAFT_170963	1082121		NRPS-like	
6	An02g00210	N/A	1121186		NRPS-like	
7	An02g00450	ASPNIDRAFT_118617	1088618		HR-PKS	
8	An02g00840	ASPNIDRAFT_36645	1184525		NRPS-like	
9	An02g05070	ASPNIDRAFT_36929	1158197		NRPS	
10	An02g08290	ASPNIDRAFT_118624	1122199		Hybrid	
11	An02g09430	ASPNIDRAFT_37260	1135841		HR-PKS	
12	An02g10140	ASPNIDRAFT_173610	1152150		NRPS-like	
13	An02g14220	ASPNIDRAFT_55650	1165581		PKS-like	
14	An03g00650	ASPNIDRAFT_128584	1166499		NRPS	
15	An03g01820	N/A	1109472		NR-PKS	
16	An03g03520	ASPNIDRAFT_191228	1186498	<i>sidD</i>	NRPS	Siderophore
17	An03g04890	ASPNIDRAFT_191577	1186592		TC	
18	An03g05140	ASPNIDRAFT_118598	1159456		HR-PKS	
19	An03g05440	ASPNIDRAFT_191422	1153534		NR-PKS	
20	An03g05680	ASPNIDRAFT_191357	1092575		NRPS-like	

No.	CBS 513.88 gene	ATCC 1015 gene (FungiDB)	JGI v4 protein ID	Gene name	Gene type	SM(s) produced
21	An03g06010	ASPNIDRAFT_44571	44571		NRPS	
22	An03g06380	ASPNIDRAFT_191702	1125648		HR-PKS	
23	An04g01150	ASPNIDRAFT_190264	1094020		NRPS-like	
24	An04g04340	ASPNIDRAFT_44005	1126346		HR-PKS	
25	An04g04380	ASPNIDRAFT_190891	1177621		NRPS-like	
26	An04g06260	ASPNIDRAFT_118635	1177761		NRPS	
27	An04g09530	ASPNIDRAFT_51499	1126849	<i>ktnS</i>	NR-PKS	Kotanin 114
28	An04g10030	ASPNIDRAFT_118662	1126920		HR-PKS	
29	An05g01060	ASPNIDRAFT_118599	1102698		NRPS	
30	An06g00430	ASPNIDRAFT_175936	1169209		PKS-like	
31	An06g01300	ASPNIDRAFT_207636	1189171	<i>sidC</i>	NRPS	Siderophore
32	An07g01030	No homolog	1151290		NR-PKS	
33	An07g02560	ASPNIDRAFT_40106	1164213		DMAT	
34	An08g02310	ASPNIDRAFT_52774	1168636		NRPS	
35	An08g03790	ASPNIDRAFT_176722	1188722		Hybrid	
36	An08g04820	ASPNIDRAFT_38316	1188789		NRPS-like	
37	An08g09220	No homolog	No homolog		NRPS-like	
38	An08g10830	ASPNIDRAFT_120113	1130084		TC	
39	An08g10930	ASPNIDRAFT_47227	1114420		PKS-like	
40	An09g00450	ASPNIDRAFT_188738	1114543		NRPS-like	
41	An09g00520	ASPNIDRAFT_43555	1114546		NRPS	
42	An09g01290	ASPNIDRAFT_43495	1148587		HR-PKS	
43	An09g01690	ASPNIDRAFT_212679	1079950		NRPS	

No.	CBS 513.88 gene	ATCC 1015 gene (FungiDB)	JGI v4 protein ID	Gene name	Gene type	SM(s) produced
44	An09g01860	ASPNIDRAFT_56946	1080089	<i>azaA</i>	NR-PKS	Azanigerones 117
45	An09g01930	ASPNIDRAFT_188817	1148627	<i>azaB</i>	HR-PKS	Azanigerones 117
46	An09g02100	No homolog	No homolog		PKS-like	
47	An09g05110	ASPNIDRAFT_129581	1114952		NRPS-like	
48	An09g05340	ASPNIDRAFT_188697	188697		HR-PKS	
49	An09g05730	ASPNIDRAFT_56896	1099425	<i>alba</i> (<i>fwnA</i>)	NR-PKS	Naphtho-γ-pyrone, melanin 165
50	An09g06090	ASPNIDRAFT_50045	50045		TC	
51	An10g00140	ASPNIDRAFT_44965	1123159	<i>yanA</i>	HR-PKS	Yanuthone D 121
52	An10g00630	ASPNIDRAFT_45003	45003		PKS-like	
53	An11g00050	ASPNIDRAFT_118659	1126949		NRPS	
54	An11g00250	ASPNIDRAFT_179585	1111323	<i>pynA</i>	Hybrid	Pyranonigrins E- J123,125
55	An11g03920	ASPNIDRAFT_179079	1095656		HR-PKS	
56	An11g04250	ASPNIDRAFT_129526	1154309		NRPS-like	
57	An11g04280	ASPNIDRAFT_39026	1223918		HR-PKS	
58	An11g05500	ASPNIDRAFT_39114	39114		NRPS-like	
59	An11g05570	ASPNIDRAFT_47991	1224252		HR-PKS	
60	An11g05940	No homolog	No homolog		HR-PKS	
61	An11g05960	No homolog	No homolog		HR-PKS	
62	An11g06260	ASPNIDRAFT_39174	1154415		TC	
63	An11g06460	ASPNIDRAFT_118644	1112058		Hybrid	
64	An11g07310	N/A	1112167	<i>adaA</i>	NR-PKS	TAN-1612 166

No.	CBS 513.88 gene	ATCC 1015 gene (FungiDB)	JGI v4 protein ID	Gene name	Gene type	SM(s) produced
65	An11g09720	ASPNIDRAFT_118629	1167936		HR-PKS	
66	An12g02050	ASPNIDRAFT_190014	1084740		NR PKS	
67	An12g02670	ASPNIDRAFT_189378	1150307		HR-PKS	
68	An12g02730	No homolog		No homolog	HR-PKS	
69	An12g02840	ASPNIDRAFT_43807	1172138		NRPS	
70	An12g07070	ASPNIDRAFT_118666	1119191		HR-PKS	
71	An12g07230	ASPNIDRAFT_42205	1103566		NRPS	
72	An12g10090	ASPNIDRAFT_194895	1085888		NRPS- like	
73	An12g10670	ASPNIDRAFT_45966	1085752		TC	
74	An12g10860	ASPNIDRAFT_195043	1172993		NRPS- like	
75	An13g01840	ASPNIDRAFT_123820	1161952		DMAT	
76	An13g02430	ASPNIDRAFT_128638	1116441		HR-PKS	
77	An13g02460	ASPNIDRAFT_57223	1156292		NRPS- like	
78	An13g02960	No homolog		No homolog	NR-PKS	
79	An13g03040	ASPNIDRAFT_44880	1116473		NRPS	
80	An14g01910	ASPNIDRAFT_41618	1099903		Hybrid	
81	An14g02060	ASPNIDRAFT_41629	1155978		TC	
82	An14g04850	ASPNIDRAFT_41846	1115863		Hybrid	
83	An15g02130	ASPNIDRAFT_181803	1104204		HR-PKS	
84	An15g04140	ASPNIDRAFT_210217	1119988		HR-PKS	
85	An15g05090	ASPNIDRAFT_118744	1104411		HR-PKS	
86	An15g07530	ASPNIDRAFT_182031	1164062		NRPS	
87	An15g07910	No homolog		No homolog	NRPS	Ochratoxin 164

No.	CBS 513.88 gene	ATCC 1015 gene (FungiDB)	JGI v4 protein ID	Gene name	Gene type	SM(s) produced
88	An15g07920	No homolog	No homolog		HR-PKS	Ochratoxin 164
89	An16g00260	ASPNIDRAFT_129626	1175966		TC	
90	An16g00600	ASPNIDRAFT_183440	1123743		NRPS-like	
91	An16g06720	ASPNIDRAFT_118601	1108909		NRPS	Ferrichrome
92	An18g00520	ASPNIDRAFT_187099	1128344	<i>pyrA</i>	Hybrid	Pyranonigrin A 128
93	No homolog	ASPNIDRAFT_118581	1087173		Hybrid	
94	No homolog	ASPNIDRAFT_128601	1170655		Hybrid	
95	No homolog	ASPNIDRAFT_138585	1154267		HR PKS	
96	No homolog	ASPNIDRAFT_171221	1156426		PR PKS	
97	No homolog	ASPNIDRAFT_194381	1159236		NR-PKS	
98	No homolog	ASPNIDRAFT_211885	1168194		HR-PKS	
99	No homolog	ASPNIDRAFT_55153	1186328		NRPS	

[Open in a new tab](#)

Table 5. Core secondary metabolite synthesis genes and their products in *A. terreus*.

No.	Broad designation	Gene name	Gene type	SM(s) produced
1	ATEG_00145	<i>terA</i>	NR-PKS	Terrein 131
2	ATEG_00228		NRPS	
3	ATEG_00282		HR-PKS	
4	ATEG_00325		Hybrid	Isoflavipucine 167
5	ATEG_00700	<i>atqA</i>	NRPS-like	Asterriquinones 168
6	ATEG_00881		NRPS	
7	ATEG_00913		NR-PKS	
8	ATEG_01002		NRPS	
9	ATEG_01052		NRPS-like	
10	ATEG_01730		DMAT	
11	ATEG_01769		TC	
12	ATEG_01894		HR-PKS	
13	ATEG_02004	<i>apvA</i>	NRPS-like	Aspulvinones 168
14	ATEG_02403		NRPS-like	
15	ATEG_02434		HR-PKS	
16	ATEG_02815	<i>btyA</i>	NRPS-like	Butyrolactones 168
17	ATEG_02831		NRPS	
18	ATEG_02944		NRPS	
19	ATEG_03090		NRPS-like	
20	ATEG_03432		NR-PKS	
21	ATEG_03446		HR-PKS	
22	ATEG_03470	<i>ataP</i>	NRPS	Acetylaranotin 133
23	ATEG_03528		NRPS	
24	ATEG_03563	<i>atmela</i>	NRPS-like	Asp-melanin 168,169
25	ATEG_03576		NRPS	

No.	Broad designation	Gene name	Gene type	SM(s) produced
26	ATEG_03629		NR-PKS	
27	ATEG_03630		NRPS-like	
28	ATEG_04218		DMAT	
29	ATEG_04322		NRPS	
30	ATEG_04323		NRPS	
31	ATEG_04416	<i>astA</i>	TC	Aspterric acid 134
32	ATEG_04718		HR-PKS	
33	ATEG_04975		NRPS-like	
34	ATEG_04999		DMAT	
35	ATEG_05073		NRPS	
36	ATEG_05795		NRPS-like	
37	ATEG_06056		HR-PKS	
38	ATEG_06111		DMAT	
39	ATEG_06113		NRPS	
40	ATEG_06206		NR-PKS	
41	ATEG_06275	<i>atX</i>	HR-PKS	Terreic acid 132
42	ATEG_06680		HR-PKS	
43	ATEG_06998		NRPS-like	
44	ATEG_07067		HR-PKS	
45	ATEG_07279		HR-PKS	
46	ATEG_07282		HR-PKS	
47	ATEG_07358		NRPS	
48	ATEG_07379		HR-PKS	
49	ATEG_07380		NRPS-like	
50	ATEG_07488		NRPS	
51	ATEG_07500		HR-PKS	
52	ATEG_07659	<i>Ateafog</i>	HR-PKS	Asperfuranone 12
53	ATEG_07661	<i>Ateafoe</i>	NR-PKS	Asperfuranone 12

No.	Broad designation	Gene name	Gene type	SM(s) produced
54	ATEG_07894		NRPS-like	
55	ATEG_08172		HR-PKS	
56	ATEG_08204		TC	
57	ATEG_08427		NRPS	
58	ATEG_08451	<i>gedC</i>	NR-PKS	Geodin 91,170
59	ATEG_08662		NR-PKS	
60	ATEG_08678		NRPS-like	
61	ATEG_08899	<i>pgnA</i>	NRPS-like	Phenguignardic acid 136
62	ATEG_09019		NRPS	
63	ATEG_09033		NRPS-like	
64	ATEG_09064	<i>apmB</i>	NRPS	Asperphenamate 140
65	ATEG_09068	<i>apmA</i>	NRPS	Asperphenamate 140
66	ATEG_09088		HR-PKS	
67	ATEG_09100		HR-PKS	
68	ATEG_09142		NRPS-like	
69	ATEG_09617	<i>ctvA</i>	HR-PKS	Citreoviridin 142
70	ATEG_09961	<i>lovB</i>	HR-PKS	Lovastatin 171
71	ATEG_09968	<i>lovF</i>	HR-PKS	Lovastatin 171
72	ATEG_09980		DMAT	
73	ATEG_10080	<i>trt4</i>	NR-PKS	Terretonin 130
74	ATEG_10305	<i>anaPS</i>	NRPS	Asterrelenin, <i>epi</i> -aszonalenin A 168


[Open in a new tab](#)

3. Genetic characterization of secondary metabolites in *Aspergillus nidulans*

3.1. Biosynthesis of *ent*-pimara-8(14),15-diene

The silent SM gene cluster of the novel diterpene *ent*-pimara-8(14),15-diene was activated through overexpression of the Zn(II)₂Cys₆ transcription factor PbcR present in the cluster.²⁵ This led to high up-regulation of 7 adjacent genes encoding a diterpene synthase, a geranylgeranyl pyrophosphate synthase, a HMG-CoA reductase, a translation elongation factor, a short-chain dehydrogenase, a hypothetical protein with partial similarity to methyltransferase, and a cytochrome P450, as well as the production of *ent*-pimara-8(14),15-diene. Based on this information, the biosynthesis of *ent*-pimara-8(14),15-diene was proposed to involve HMG-CoA reductase AN1593 to generate mevalonate and geranylgeranyl pyrophosphate synthase AN1592 to generate geranylgeranyl pyrophosphate ([Scheme 1](#)). Next, the diterpene synthase AN1594 was proposed to catalyze two cyclization reactions to generate *ent*-pimara-8(14),15-diene through a *ent*-copalyl diphosphate intermediate.

Scheme 1. Biosynthesis of *ent*-pimara-8(14),15-diene in *A. nidulans*.²⁵




[Open in a new tab](#)

3.2. Biosynthesis of asperniduglene A1

The asperniduglenes were discovered upon activation of the *sdg* gene cluster in *A. nidulans*, which harbors genes with similarity to the citreoviridin (*cvt*) gene cluster in *A. terreus*.²⁶ Interestingly, despite the similarity of the cluster to the *cvt* cluster, the asperniduglenes fall into a different class of compounds than citreoviridin. The cluster was activated by replacing the promoters of genes within the *sdg* cluster with the inducible *alcA* promoter. Large-scale cultivation and examination of SMs and intermediates produced by mutant strains enabled the biosynthetic pathway of asperniduglene A1 to be proposed ([Scheme 2](#)). First, the polyketide product is biosynthesized by the HR-PKS SdgA, followed by epoxidation by SdgC, and subsequent ketone formation *via* Meinwald rearrangement, likely catalyzed by SdgF. Next, SdgC catalyzes a second epoxidation on the last olefin, followed by stereospecific cyclization and hydrolytic cleavage, both of which may be catalyzed by SdgD, to form asperniduglene A1.

Scheme 2. Biosynthesis of asperniduglene A1 in *A. nidulans*.[26](#)




[Open in a new tab](#)

3.3. Biosynthesis of aspernidine A

The biosynthetic gene cluster of aspernidine A, which has exhibited antiproliferative activity against tumor cell lines,[27](#) was identified following construction of a genome-wide kinase knockout library in *A. nidulans*.[28](#) Screening of the library, which consisted of 98 deletion strains, revealed that deficiency of the mitogen-activated protein kinase MpkA resulted in the production of aspernidines A and C. Structural analysis indicated that aspernidines A and C are likely derived from orsellinaldehyde, which suggested the involvement of the NR-PKS PkfA in their biosynthesis.[29](#) Individual deletion of genes within the *pkf* cluster in the *mpkA*-genetic background strain resulted in the discovery of related compounds aspernidines D and E and allowed a biosynthetic pathway for aspernidine A to be partially proposed ([Scheme 3](#)). Following biosynthesis by PkfA, orsellinaldehyde undergoes *O*-methylation, hydroxylation, and prenylation to yield aspernidine D, which is likely catalyzed, in part, by the prenyltransferase PkfE. Aspernidine D is then hydroxylated by cytochrome P450 PkfB to form aspernidine E, which is oxidized by PkfF to generate a dialdehyde intermediate that is subsequently transformed to aspernidine A in a manner that has not been fully clarified yet.

Scheme 3. Biosynthesis of aspernidine A in *A. nidulans*.[28](#).




[Open in a new tab](#)

3.4. Biosynthesis of microperfuranone

To investigate SMs produced by NRPS-like genes, scientists replaced the native promoters of the 13 predicted NRPS-like genes in *A. nidulans* with the inducible *alcA* promoter.[30](#) Induction of NRPS-like MicA resulted in enhanced production of microperfuranone, which has previously been isolated from *Anxiella micropertusa* and *Emericella nidulans*.[31,32](#) Heterologous expression of *micA* in *A. niger* confirmed that MicA is solely responsible for the biosynthesis of microperfuranone, which was proposed to involve the joining of two units of phenylpyruvic acid *via* an aldol condensation reaction while tethered to MicA ([Scheme 4](#)). Next, the tethered intermediate undergoes sulfur-mediated cyclization to yield a furan ring, followed by decarboxylation and keto–enol tautomerization to form microperfuranone.

Scheme 4. Biosynthesis of microperfuranone in *A. nidulans*.[30](#).




[Open in a new tab](#)

3.5. Biosynthesis of fellutamide B

Fellutamide B, which was originally isolated from *Penicillium fellutanum*, is a potent proteasome inhibitor that also induces nerve growth factor release.[33](#) In the past decade proteasome inhibitors have emerged as effective anticancer agents, with several second-generation proteasome inhibitors currently being tested in clinical settings.[34–36](#) To identify biosynthetic gene clusters that may be involved in the production of proteasome inhibitors, researchers searched for potential resistance genes harbored within SM gene clusters in *A. nidulans*.[37](#) Interestingly, they found that within the *inp* cluster, *inpE* encoded a putative proteasome component, which has no obvious role in SM biosynthesis. The silent *inp* cluster was activated by replacing the promoters of six genes within the cluster with the inducible promoter *alcA*, which revealed that fellutamide B is the SM cluster's final product. The fellutamide B biosynthetic gene cluster contains two NRPS genes, a predicted fatty-acyl-AMP ligase, a NRPS product release/transfer protein, a transporter, and *inpE*, which was found to confer resistance to internally produced fellutamide B.[37](#) Biosynthesis of fellutamide B was proposed to involve initial activation of 3-hydroxydodecanoic acid by IncP to form 3-hydroxydodecanoyl-AMP, which undergoes addition of L-Asn and L-Gln while tethered to InpB, followed by addition of L-Leu while tethered to InpA (Scheme 5). The product is then released to yield fellutamide B.

Scheme 5. Biosynthesis of fellutamide B in *A. nidulans*.[37](#).




[Open in a new tab](#)

3.6. Biosynthesis cichorine

Culturing of *A. nidulans* on yeast extract sucrose (YES) led to the production of cichorine, which is a phytotoxin that possesses activity against corn, soybeans, and knapweed.[38,39](#) The cichorine biosynthetic gene cluster was identified using targeted individual gene deletions, revealing that the gene cluster consisted of NR-PKS-encoding *pkbA*, regulatory protein-encoding *cicD*, transporter-encoding *cicA*, and four tailing protein-encoding genes.[38](#) Analysis of extracts produced by deletion strains enabled some insights into the cichorine biosynthetic pathway ([Scheme 6](#)), which involves initial production of 3-methyl-orsellinic acid by the PKS PkbA, which undergoes a ring-closing transformation by CicB and/or CicH, followed by phenol group methylation by CicE to yield nidulol. The remaining steps in cichorine biosynthesis involve a lactone to lactam conversion carried genes found outside the *cic* SM gene cluster, perhaps by genes within a different cluster, such as the case with xanthone and terpene biosynthesis in *A. nidulans*.[40,41](#)

Scheme 6. Biosynthesis of cichorine in *A. nidulans*.[38](#)




[Open in a new tab](#)

3.7. Biosynthesis aspercryptin

Researchers generated a “genetic dereplication” strain, which is deficient in production of most *A. nidulans* SMs, including sterigmatocystin,[42](#) the emericellamides,[43](#) asperfurnanone,[44](#) the prenyl xanthones,[40](#) terrequinone,[45](#) F9775A and B,[46](#) asperthecin,[47](#) austinol,[41](#) and dehydroaustinol.[41](#) The clean SM background facilitated the detection of a novel SM, designated as aspercryptin.[48](#) The structure of aspercryptin indicated that it is biosynthesized by a NRPS pathway and involves the incorporation of six amino acids, including threonine, isoleucine, aspartic acid/asparagine, serine, lysine-like, and one unidentified amino acid. NRPS enzymes feature adenylation domains responsible for the correct identification and incorporation of amino acid monomers during SM biosynthesis. Often times, each adenylation domain present within an NRPS is responsible for the incorporation of a different amino acid.[49](#) Therefore, scientists searched for an NRPS containing six adenylation domains *in silico*, which revealed NRPS-encoding AN7884.

Microarray expression array data had previously revealed that AN7884 was co-regulated with 13 adjacent genes, including genes encoding for a short chain dehydrogenase, a cytochrome P450 hydroxylase, a fatty acid synthase, amino acid aminotransferase, and transporters. Targeted gene deletions confirmed the involvement of these genes in the biosynthesis of aspercryptin, which were designated as *atnA–atnN*, and evaluation of biosynthetic intermediates in deletion strains facilitated the elucidation of the aspercryptin biosynthetic pathway ([Scheme 7](#)). Interestingly, the proposed pathway uses cichorine as a precursor, which was confirmed by deleting the NR-PKS involved in cichorine biosynthesis, which eliminated production of aspercryptin.

Scheme 7. Biosynthesis of aspercryptin in *A. nidulans*.[48](#).




[Open in a new tab](#)

3.8. Biosynthesis of felinone A

To search for negative regulators of secondary metabolism, researchers generated auxotrophic mutants by replacing the coding sequences of target SM core synthase enzymes with the *A. fumigatus riboB* gene (*AfriboB*).⁵⁰ Thus, when the target SM cluster is inactive, the fungus will not be able to survive without media supplementation of riboflavin. The strain was then mutagenized with 4-nitroquinoline 1-oxide (NQO), which causes base-pair substitutions, and subsequent growth without riboflavin enabled the detection of strains in which the induced mutations resulted in SM cluster activation. This technique enabled the identification of the transcription factor *mcrA*. Investigation of SM production in mutant strains lacking and overexpressing *mcrA* revealed that it is a negative regulator of at least ten SM clusters in *A. nidulans*. Additionally, large-scale cultivation of the *mcrA*-deletion strain enabled the isolation of the antibiotic felinone A.⁵¹ Examination of the structure of felinone A, combined with products previously reported to be produced by the *dba* cluster,^{29,52} enabled the biosynthetic pathway for felinone A to be proposed (Scheme 8). The pathway involves generation of the polyketide product by the NR-PKS DbaI, followed by dearomatization *via* hydroxylation by the FAD-binding monooxygenase DbaH. The final steps of the pathway include a ring closure to generate an azaphilone ring system followed by several reductive steps that have not been clarified yet.

Scheme 8. Biosynthesis of felinone A in *A. nidulans*.⁵⁰




[Open in a new tab](#)

3.9. Biosynthesis 4'-methoxyviridicatin

Quinolone alkaloids are a class of SMs that exhibit a broad range of medicinally relevant characteristics, including antibiotic, antiviral, antimarial, and antitumor activities.⁵³ A 6,6-quinolone scaffold is present in a variety of quinolone alkaloids, including 4'-methoxyviridicatin and structurally similar viridicatin, which exhibits strong activity against *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*.⁵⁴ To elucidate the biosynthetic nature of this class of compounds, a silent candidate cluster containing genes encoding an NRPS, a prenyltransferase, terpene cyclases, and redox enzymes was activated through overexpression of the NRPS AsqK.⁵⁵ A combination of *in vivo* and *in vitro* assays were conducted to elucidate the biosynthetic pathway of 4'-methoxyviridicatin (Scheme 9). The proposed pathway involves an anthranilic acid and *O*-methyl-L-tyrosine precursor, which undergo conversion to 4'-methoxycyclopeptin by NRPS AsqK. Next, the

dioxygenase AsqJ catalyzes two distinct oxidation reactions, the first being a desaturation reaction to form a double bond and yield 4'-methoxydehydrocyclopeptine, followed by monooxygenation of that double bond to form an epoxide and yield (-)-4'-methoxycyclopenine. Interestingly, this epoxide formation then facilitates subsequent non-enzymatic rearrangement to form the 6,6-quinolone viridiatin scaffold from the 6,7-bicyclic core of (-)-4'-methoxycyclopenine, yielding 4'-methoxyviridicatin.

Scheme 9. Biosynthesis of 4'-methoxyviridicatin in *A. nidulans*.[55](#).




[Open in a new tab](#)

3.10. Biosynthesis of grey-brown conidiophore pigment

Fungal pigments have a wide range of beneficial properties, including antioxidant, antimicrobial, and anticancer activities, and can act as natural alternatives to chemically synthesized colorants.[56](#) Historically, the NRPS IvoA and the phenol oxidase IvoB were known to be involved in grey-brown conidiophore pigment production,[57](#) although its biosynthetic pathway had not been fully elucidated. Additionally, microarray expression data had revealed that the gene adjacent to *ivoA*, *ivoC*, was coregulated with *ivoA*.[58](#) Researchers therefore replaced the native promoters of *ivoA*, *ivoB*, and *ivoC* with the inducible promoter *alcA*, which resulted in hyphal accumulation of dark pigments.[59](#) The biosynthetic

pathway was reconstructed in a stepwise manner to assign functions to each involved gene, revealing that IvoA is the first NRPS known to acetylate tryptophan, leading to *N*-acetyltryptophan. IvoC is then responsible for 6-hydroxylation of *N*-tryptophan, followed by subsequent oxidation by IvoB to yield grey-brown conidiophore pigment ([Scheme 10](#)).

Scheme 10. Biosynthesis of grey-brown conidiophore pigment in *A. nidulans*.[57,59](#)




[Open in a new tab](#)

3.11. Biosynthesis of (+)-asperlin

The SM (+)-asperlin, whose production has been reported in *A. nidulans*, *Aspergillus caespitosus*, and *Aspergillus versicolor*, possesses antibiotic, anti-inflammatory, and antitumor activity.[60–64](#) The biosynthetic gene cluster responsible for (+)-asperlin production was recently identified in *A. nidulans* using a novel cluster activation method that features the use of a hybrid transcription factor,[65](#) as previous attempts to activate this cluster through overexpression of the cluster's transcription factor were unsuccessful.[29](#) Up-regulation of the hybrid transcription factor, which featured the DNA-binding domain of the cluster's native transcription factor fused to the activation domain of the asperfuranone gene cluster transcription factor AfoA, led to production of (+)-asperlin. Targeted gene deletions in combination with RNA-seq confirmed the involvement of 10 genes in the biosynthesis of (+)-asperlin, which were designated as *alnA–alnI* and *alnR*. Additionally, (2Z,4Z,6E)-octa-2,4,6-trienoic acid, which exhibits photoprotectant properties,[66](#) was identified as a biosynthetic pathway intermediate ([Scheme 11](#)). The individual steps involved in the biosynthesis of (+)-asperlin remain to be fully elucidated.

Scheme 11. Biosynthesis of (+)-asperlin in *A. nidulans*.[65](#).



[Open in a new tab](#)


4. Genetic characterization of secondary metabolites in *Aspergillus fumigatus*

4.1. Biosynthesis of hexadehydroastechrome

The positive global regulator of secondary metabolism LaeA has been shown to also play a major role in positive regulation of virulence genes in *A. fumigatus*.[67](#) One way that LaeA alters virulence is through up-regulation of SM gene clusters responsible for biosynthesis of toxins, such as the epipolythiodioxopiperazine gliotoxin.[13,68](#) To search for other SM virulence factors in *A. fumigatus*, scientists reasoned that such SMs would be up-regulated by both LaeA and exposure to host/hypoxia environments. Microarrays were compared to identify gene clusters that exhibited down-regulation in *laeA*-deletion strains and up-regulation in response to host exposure/hypoxia.[69–72](#) Such comparison revealed the identification of the *has* eight-gene cluster, harboring genes encoding the NRPS HasD, the DMATS HasE, two C6 transcription factors HasA and HasF, the transporter HasB, the *O*-methyltransferase HasC, the FAD binding protein HasG, and the cytochrome P450 HasH.[73](#) C6 transcription factors commonly regulate expression of genes within a SM cluster and *hasA* was highly down-regulated in the *laeA*-mutant strain. Researchers therefore overexpressed *hasA* by replacing its promoter with the constitutive *gdpA* promoter, which led to activation of the *has* gene cluster and production of the Fe(III) complex hexadehydroastechrome. Interestingly, activation of the *has* cluster enhanced the virulence of *A. fumigatus*, significantly decreasing the survival of infected mice.[73](#) To investigate the biosynthetic pathway of hexadehydroastechrome, individual gene knockout mutants were generated for *hasB–hasE* in the OE::*hasA* genetic background strain. Biosynthesis of hexadehydroastechrome initiates by loading the NRPS HasD with L-tryptophan and L-alanine, followed by prenylation of the Trp-Ala-dipeptide ([Scheme 12](#)). The subsequent biosynthetic tailoring reactions performed by HasH, HasC, and HasG were proposed to occur while the intermediate remains tethered to the NRPS. Next, the NRPS releases a *O*-methylated diketopiperazine derivative, which then forms a trimeric

complex with Fe(III).

Scheme 12. Biosynthesis of hexadehydroastechrome in *A. fumigatus*.[73](#).




[Open in a new tab](#)

4.2. Biosynthesis of endocrocin

The anthraquinone endocrocin has been isolated from a broad range of species, including various fungi,[74,75](#) plants,[76](#) and insects.[77](#) Historically, anthraquinones have been known to display various medicinal properties, such as anti-inflammatory and antitumor bioactivities, and have been used in dyes, cosmetics, paper manufacturing, and as food additives.[76,78,79](#) However, more recently endocrocin was found to contribute to the pathogenicity of *A. fumigatus* through inhibition of neutrophil recruitment.[80](#) Interestingly, endocrocin was found to be biosynthesized through two

distinct routes by physically discrete clusters *enc* and *tpc* in *A. fumigatus*.^{81,82} Biosynthesis by the *enc* cluster was initially reported in *A. fumigatus* CEA10-derived strains,⁸¹ which did not produce trypacidin due to a single nucleotide mutation present in PKS-encoding *tpcC*.⁸³ To elucidate the biosynthetic pathway of endocrocin in *A. fumigatus*, the genome was surveyed for a candidate NR-PKS. Endocrocin was previously identified as a biosynthetic intermediate of monodictyphenone that was only produced in strains lacking the activity of the decarboxylase MdpH.⁸⁴ Bioinformatics were therefore used to search for proteins with similarity to the monodictyphenone-producing NR-PKS MdpG in *A. nidulans*, which revealed the identification of three NR-PKS genes within the *A. fumigatus* genome. The biosynthetic gene clusters of two of the NR-PKSs suggested a final product more complex than endocrocin, so researchers focused on the third NR-PKS, which they named EncA. The NR-PKS EncA lacked the thioesterase (TE) or Claisen cyclase (CLC) domain that is usually responsible for releasing the nascent polyketide product in this class of enzymes.^{85,86} In such TE-less enzymes, the polyketide product is instead released by metallo-β-lactamase-type thioesterases enzymes.⁸⁷ To confirm involvement of EncA in the biosynthesis of endocrocin, a *encA*-mutant was generated, which resulted in a strain deficient in endocrocin production.⁸¹ Subsequent deletion of tailoring genes revealed the involvement of the metallo-β-lactamase domain protein EncB and the anthrone oxidase EncC in endocrocin biosynthesis, which enabled its biosynthetic pathway to be proposed ([Scheme 13](#)). Surprisingly, deletion of the cluster gene *encD* resulted in increased production of endocrocin, which could have occurred for two reasons: EncD may catalyze the formation of an unknown product from endocrocin or EncD may inhibit endocrocin biosynthesis by converting an intermediate to an unknown product.⁸¹

Scheme 13. Biosynthesis of endocrocin in *A. fumigatus*.⁸¹



[Open in a new tab](#)


Redundant biosynthesis of endocrocin by the *tpc* cluster was later revealed upon elucidation of the trypacidin biosynthetic pathway in *A. fumigatus* strain Af293, which will be discussed more thoroughly in the following section.⁸² To investigate any interrelationships between endocrocin and trypacidin, *encA* was deleted in the trypacidin-producing Af293 strain. In contrast to the previous study conducted in CEA10-derived strains with an inactive *tpc* cluster, deletion of *encA* did not result in complete loss of endocrocin production, although production yields decreased.^{81,82} Subsequent generation of a mutant strain deficient in both *encA* and *tpcA* resulted in a complete loss of endocrocin production. To further investigate the biosynthesis of endocrocin by *tpc*-encoded enzymes, genes within the *tpc* cluster

were individually deleted in the *encA*-background, which revealed a second pathway for endocrocin biosynthesis in *A. fumigatus* ([Scheme 13](#)). Endocrocin is likely a shunt product of the trypacidin biosynthesis, as the beginning pathway steps both involve the production of atrochrysone carboxylic acid from TpcC and TpcB, which then undergoes a loss of H₂O to yield endocrocin anthrone. Formation of endocrocin is then catalyzed by TpcL.

4.3. Biosynthesis of trypacidin

The spore metabolite trypacidin, which was initially identified as an anti-protozoal agent,[88,89](#) was more recently shown to be cytotoxic against human lung cells.[90](#) A candidate cluster for trypacidin biosynthesis was identified as a cluster harboring the TE-less NR-PKS TpcC,[82](#) which belongs to the same NR-PKS clade as the endocrocin PKS in *A. fumigatus*,[81](#) the monodicyphenone PKS in *A. nidulans*,[84](#) and the geodin PKS in *A. terreus*.[91](#) The 13 genes within the cluster, 12 of which displayed high sequence homology to the geodin-producing cluster,[91](#) were individually deleted and mutant strains were analyzed for the production of pathway intermediates,[82](#) which enabled proposal of the trypacidin biosynthetic pathway ([Scheme 14](#)). The first few steps are identical to that of endocrocin biosynthesis, with the generation of atrochrysone carboxylic acid from NR-PKS TpcC and metallo-β-lactamase TpcB. TpcK then catalyzes decarboxylation to yield atrochrysone, which then undergoes dehydration to yield emodin anthrone. The anthrone oxygenase TpcL catalyzes the addition of a ketone functional group to yield emodin, followed by activity of the *O*-methyltransferase TpcA to yield questin. The remaining steps in the pathway were proposed based on comparison to similar pathways, and involve a ring opening catalyzed by TpcG, TpcI, and TpcF, followed by *O*-methylation by both TpcM and TpcH to generate monomethylsulochrin, which is then converted to trypacidin by TpcJ.

Scheme 14. Biosynthesis of trypacidin in *A. fumigatus*.[82](#).




[Open in a new tab](#)

4.4. Biosynthesis of helvolic acid

Fusidane-type antibiotics are a class of fungi-derived triterpenes that include helvolic acid,[92](#) fusidic acid,[93](#) and cephalosporin P1,[94](#) all which display potent activity against Gram-positive bacteria.[95](#) Structurally, they have a characteristic tetracyclic core that is generated from enzymatic cyclization of (3*S*)-2,3-oxidosqualene.[96](#) Notably, fusidane-type antibiotics have exhibited no cross-resistance to commonly used antibiotics,[97,98](#) which has drawn the attention of scientists to search for analogs with increased bioactivity.[99](#) Researchers therefore investigated the full biosynthetic pathway of helvolic acid, as such understanding can facilitate the development of useful fusidane-type antibiotic derivatives. A portion of genes within the helvolic-acid-producing *hel* cluster had previously been identified. To further characterize the cluster, its nine genes were heterologously introduced stepwise in *Aspergillus oryzae*, which resulted in the production of helvolic acid and 21 derivatives, three of which exhibited increased antibiotic activity against *Staphylococcus aureus* when compared to helvolic acid.[100](#) A biosynthetic pathway was proposed for helvolic acid ([Scheme 15](#)), which involves initial cyclization of (3*S*)-2,3-oxidosqualene by oxidosqualene cyclase HelA to yield protosta-17(20)Z,24-dien-3 β -ol. The intermediate then undergoes two rounds of oxidation by HelB1 and HelB2, followed by acetylation by HelD2, oxidation by HelB4, and oxidative decarboxylation by HelC. Next, HelB3 mediates two oxidative reactions which result in hydroxyl and ketone formation, followed by *O*-acetylation by HelD1, and

dehydrogenation by HelE to yield helvolic acid. Interestingly, this study revealed unique roles for HelB1 and HelC, which work together to remove the C-4 β methyl group through oxidation followed by decarboxylation, a mechanism distinct from the similar demethylation reaction that occurs during sterol biosynthesis.

Scheme 15. Biosynthesis of helvolic acid in *A. fumigatus*.[100](#).




[Open in a new tab](#)

4.5. Biosynthesis of fumipyrrole

A. fumigatus is capable of surviving in a myriad of distinct niches, ranging from the human lung, where it can cause invasive aspergillosis in immunocompromised individuals, to decaying vegetation, where it plays important roles in breaking down organic matter.[101](#) The capacity to readily survive in different habitats, which correlates with pathogenicity in physiological environments, is largely dependent on the ability to sense external stimuli and respond

with different signal transduction cascades, including the cyclic adenosine monophosphate (cAMP)/protein kinase A (PKA) pathway.¹⁰² To explore the targets of the cAMP/PKA pathway, the catalytic subunit 1 of PKA was overexpressed, which resulted in induction of the pathway and differential expression of various genes, including high up-regulation of the transcription factor *fmpR*, which is harbored in a SM gene cluster.¹⁰³ To identify the SM produced by this cluster, *fmpR* was overexpressed using the Tet-on system,¹⁰⁴ which led to activation of six other cluster genes encoding the NRPS FmpE, the fructosyl amino acid oxidase FmpA, hypothetical proteins FmpB and FmpC, ABC multidrug transporter FmpD, and the phenol-2-monooxygenase FmpF. The product produced by this biosynthetic gene cluster was identified as the novel SM fumipyrrole ([Scheme 16](#)).

Scheme 16. Biosynthesis of fumipyrrole in *A. fumigatus*.¹⁰³




[Open in a new tab](#)

4.6. Biosynthesis of neosartorcin and fumicyclines

The meroterpenoid neosartorcin was first isolated following activation of the six-gene *nsc/fcc* cluster in both *A. fumigatus* and *Neosartorya fischeri*, which harbors genes encoding the TE-less NR-PKS NscA/FccA, the metallo-β-lactamase-type thioesterase NscB/FccB, the Flavin-dependent monooxygenase NscC/FccC, the polycyclic prenyltransferase NscD/FccD, the NAD-dependent dehydratase NscE/FccE, and the pathway-specific Zn(II)₂Cys₆ transcription factor NscR/FccR.¹⁰⁵ In both species, the cluster was activated through overexpression of NscR/FccR. Shortly after, related fumicyclines A and B were isolated from *A. fumigatus* following cocultivation with *Streptomyces rapamycinicus*.¹⁰⁶ Full genome microarrays were used to identify the gene cluster responsible for production of fumicyclines, which revealed up-regulation of the *nsc/fcc* gene cluster following cocultivation. To confirm the

involvement of this cluster, NR-PKS-encoding *nscA/fccA* was deleted, which resulted in complete elimination of fumicycline production. For both studies, the biosynthetic pathway of neosartorcin and fumicyclines was proposed based on the detection of intermediates and shunt products ([Scheme 17](#)). Biosynthesis initiates with reactions catalyzed by the NR-PKS NscA/FccA and release of the nascent polyketide product by NscB/FccB. The intermediate then undergoes a loss of CO₂, followed by prenylation catalyzed by NscD/FccD and hydroxylation by NscC/FccC to yield fumicycline B. Fumicycline B then undergoes *O*-acetylation to yield neosartorcin or dehydration to yield fumicycline A.

Scheme 17. Biosynthesis of neosartorcin and fumicyclines in *A. fumigatus*.[105,106](#).




[Open in a new tab](#)

4.7. Biosynthesis of fumagillin

Since its discovery in 1951, the antibiotic fumagillin has been extensively studied for its medicinal applications, which include anti-angiogenic activity through inhibition of human type 2 methionine aminopeptidase (MetAP-2) and potential use for treatment of amebiasis and microsporidiosis.[107–110](#) Fumagillin is a meroterpenoid that possesses a highly-oxygenated cyclohexane ring esterified with a decatetraenoic acid. Interestingly, although the total synthesis of

fumagillin has been well-studied,^{111,112} its biosynthetic pathway had not been elucidated. Researchers therefore examined SM clusters harboring genes encoding HR-PKSS in *A. fumigatus*, and identified a candidate cluster containing genes encoding MetAP-2 and a type 1 MetAP, which they hypothesized might be involved in self-resistance against fumagillin.¹¹³ To confirm that this cluster was responsible for fumagillin production, the cluster's HR-PKS, designated *fma*-PKS, was deleted, which resulted in complete elimination of fumagillin production. *In vitro* assays were used to decipher the functions of other enzymes encoded within the *fma* cluster, which enabled the biosynthetic pathway of fumagillin to be proposed ([Scheme 18](#)). Biosynthesis of the terpenoid portion of the carbon backbone involves initial generation of farnesyl pyrophosphate (FPP), which is converted to sesquiterpene β -*trans*-bergamotene by the terpene cyclase *fma*-TC, which then undergoes two rounds of epoxidation, dihydroxylation, and *O*-methylation to yield fumagillol. In parallel, the HR-PKS *fma*-PKS biosynthesizes the polyketide product, which is then combined with fumagillol in a reaction catalyzed by *fma*-AT. The intermediate's terminal alkene is then epoxidized, followed by hydrolysis to yield a vicinal diol, followed by cleavage to yield an aldehyde, and oxidation to generate fumagillin.

Scheme 18. Biosynthesis of fumagillin in *A. fumigatus*.¹¹³




[Open in a new tab](#)

5. Genetic characterization of secondary metabolites in *Aspergillus niger*

5.1. Biosynthesis of kotanin

To identify the biosynthetic gene cluster responsible for biosynthesis of the bicoumarin kotanin in *A. niger*, scientists searched for gene clusters containing NR-PKS genes, since formation of the monomeric coumarin does not require any reduction steps.¹¹⁴ The candidate clusters were further narrowed down to include only clusters harboring cytochrome P450 or monooxygenases, which would be required for kotanin production. The NR-PKS required for kotanin biosynthesis was confirmed to be KtnS through targeted gene deletion, which led to complete loss of coumarin production. The functions of the other genes within the cluster were investigated using targeted gene deletions, which confirmed the involvement of the *O*-methyltransferase KtnB and the cytochrome P450 monooxygenase KtnC in kotanin biosynthesis, and allowed the biosynthetic pathway for kotanin to be proposed ([Scheme 19](#)). The pathway is initially catalyzed by KtnS to synthesize the pentaketidic dihydroxycoumarin, followed by *O*-methylation by KtnB to yield a siderin derivative. Next, KtnC catalyzes an oxidative phenol coupling reaction while controlling regio- and stereoselectivity to generate *P*-(+)-orlandin. Docking experiments were performed to explore the mechanism of regio- and stereoselectivity, which revealed that it is likely dependent on substrate orientation in the active site of KtnC.¹¹⁴ *P*-(+)-kotanin is then generated following *O*-methylation of *P*-(+)-orlandin.

Scheme 19. Biosynthesis of kotanin in *A. niger*.¹¹⁴




[Open in a new tab](#)

5.2. Biosynthesis of azanigerones

Although many SM gene clusters contain only one encoding PKS, others contain two that can either work in sequence or in convergence to biosynthesize the polyketide product.^{44,115,116} When two PKS enzymes work in sequence, the polyketide chain biosynthesized from the first PKS is transferred to the second PKS, which continues the chain

elongation process. Two PKSs working in convergence function independently of one another, and the polyketide products generated from each enzyme are ultimately connected by other pathway enzymes. To explore similar PKS–PKS partnerships in *A. niger*, researchers overexpressed a pathway-specific transcription factor that was present in a cluster that also harbored the NR-PKS AzaA and the HR-PKS AzaB, which led to the production of previously unknown azaphilone SMs.¹¹⁷ Azaphilones are a class of compounds that consist of a highly-oxygenated bicyclic core and a chiral quaternary center.¹¹⁸ They are structurally diverse and feature a wide range of bioactivities, including antimicrobial, antifungal, antiviral, antioxidant, anti-inflammatory, cytotoxic, and nematicidal properties.¹¹⁸ The most abundant azaphilone produced in the overexpression strain was designated as azanigerone A. Other related compounds were produced at earlier time points, including FK17-P2a and azanigerones B and C, and azanigerone D was observed to replace azanigerone A at later time points. To further explore the mechanism of collaboration between NR-PKS AzaA and HR-PKS AzaB in azanigerone biosynthesis, an *azaB*-deletion strain was generated, which upon culturing led to the accumulation of two new compounds, designated as azanigerones E and F. This suggests a convergence biosynthesis model, in which AzaA and AzaB biosynthesize two discrete polyketide products which are combined at later step in the pathway. Interestingly, this is the first report of convergent collaboration between an NR-PKS and a HR-PKS in SM biosynthesis. These findings, combined with subsequent *in vitro* experiments to confirm the role of tailoring enzyme AzaH, enabled scientists to propose a pathway for azaphilone biosynthesis in *A. niger* ([Scheme 20](#)). The NR-PKS AzaA catalyzes biosynthesis of a hexaketide precursor, which then undergoes a terminal ketone reduction catalyzed by the ketoreductase AzaE to yield FK17-P2a. Next, the monooxygenase AzaH hydroxylates FK17-P2a, which facilitates formation of the pyran-ring and generation of azanigerone E. In parallel, the HR-PKS AzaB biosynthesizes a 2,4-dimethylhexanoyl chain, which is combined with FK17-P2a to generate azanigerone B in a reaction facilitated by the acyltransferase AzaD. Next, azanigerone B is hydroxylated by FAD-dependent monooxygenase AzaG or AzaL to yield azanigerone C, followed by C–C oxidative cleavage by cytochrome P450 AzaI, and oxidation of the aldehyde to a carboxylic acid by AzaJ, yielding azanigerone A. Azanigerone A can then undergo addition of NH₃ to generate azanigerone D, which was observed to replace azanigerone A production at later time points.

Scheme 20. Biosynthesis of azanigerones in *A. niger*.[117](#).




[Open in a new tab](#)

5.3. Biosynthesis of yanuthone D

The yanuthones comprise a group of SMs that feature an epoxylated six-member ring with a sesquiterpene and two other varying side chains.[119](#) The core structure of yanuthones can be derived from the polyketide 6-methylsalicylic acid (6-MSA), which are distinguished as class I yanuthones, or from an unknown precursor that generates a C6-core scaffold, which are distinguished as class II yanuthones.[120](#) The class I yanuthone, yanuthone D, has displayed potent antimicrobial activity against *Candida albicans*, methicillin-resistant *S. aureus*, and vancomycin-resistant *Enterococcus*.[119,121](#) To investigate the capacity of *A. niger* to produce 6-MSA-derived SMs, the *A. niger* PKS-encoding YanA was heterologously expressed in *A. nidulans* to confirm its involvement in the biosynthesis of the polyketide 6-MSA.[121](#) To identify the final SM product of the 10-gene *yan* cluster, a *yanA*-deletion strain was generated and screened on various media, which revealed a loss of production of two SMs that were identified as yanuthones D and E. The biosynthetic pathway of yanuthone D was further investigated by individually deleting genes within the *yan*

cluster which resulted in the accumulation of yanuthone intermediates, and enabled a biosynthetic pathway to be proposed ([Scheme 21](#)). The pathway initiates with the biosynthesis of 6-MSA by the PKS YanA, followed by decarboxylation by YanB, and hydroxylation by the cytochrome P450 YanC, yielding toluquinol. Epoxide formation is then catalyzed by YanD and/or YanE, followed by prenylation by YanG to form 7-deacetoxyyanuthone A. Next, cytochrome P450 YanH catalyzes conversion to 22-deacetylyanuthone A, followed by conversion to yanuthone E by the *O*-mevalon transferase YanI. Interestingly, this is the first time that *O*-mevalon transferase activity has been molecularly characterized. Lastly, the oxidase YanF catalyzes the formation of yanuthone D from yanuthone E.

Scheme 21. Biosynthesis of yanuthone D in *A. niger*.[121](#).




[Open in a new tab](#)

5.4. Biosynthesis of the pyranonigrins

The pyranonigrins are a group of compounds produced by *A. niger* with 2,2-diphenyl-1-picrylhydrazyl radical scavenging antioxidant activity.[20,122](#) The *pyn* gene cluster was activated by introducing a plasmid containing the pathway-specific Zn₂Cys₆ transcriptional regulator *pynR* under control of the arginase (*aga*) promoter.[123](#) Cluster activation resulted in induced transcription of PKS–NRPS-encoding *pynA*, FAD-dependent oxidoreductase-encoding *pynB*, *N*-methyltransferase-encoding *pynC*, cytochrome P450 oxidase-encoding *pynD*, and NAD-binding protein-encoding *pynE*, along with production of the SM pyranonigrin E. In a subsequent study, the *pyn* cluster was activated by

replacing the *pynR* promoter with the robust *glaA* promoter,¹²⁴ and the biosynthetic pathway of pyranonigrin E was investigated using cluster gene deletion mutants in combination with *in vivo* and *in vitro* assays.¹²⁵ Researchers identified three additional *pyn* cluster genes, including flavin-dependent oxidase-encoding *pynG*, aspartase protease-encoding *pynH*, and thioesterase-encoding *pynI*. The proposed biosynthetic pathway initiates with biosynthesis of the polyketide–nonribosomal peptide hybrid product by PynA, followed by release of the intermediate from PynA by PynI, generating pyranonigrin J ([Scheme 22](#)). Next PynC catalyzes *N*-methylation of pyranonigin J, followed by epoxidation by PynG, which facilitates the subsequent ring closure. PynD and PynH then catalyze the formation of pyranonigrin E, which can then dimerize to form pyranonigrin F. Alternatively, PynE can catalyze the conversion of pyranonigrin E to pyranonigrin G, which can be reversed by the PynB.

Scheme 22. Biosynthesis of pyranonigrins E–J in *A. niger*.^{123,125}




[Open in a new tab](#)

The potent antioxidant pyranonigrin A, whose production was previously reported in *A. niger*,^{126,127} was found to be biosynthesized by a PKS–NRPS gene cluster different from the *pyn* cluster.¹²⁸ The biosynthetic pathway of pyranonigrin A, which was elucidated in *Penicillium thymicola*, involves initial biosynthesis of the polyketide–

nonribosomal peptide product by the PKS–NRPS PyrA, followed by product release catalyzed by either a Dieckmann cyclase (DKC) and/or the hydrolase PyrD ([Scheme 23](#)). Next, FAD-binding monooxygenase PyrC may catalyze epoxidation followed by subsequent ring closure to form the pyrano[2,3-*c*]pyrrole core, followed by conversion to pyranonigrin S and pyranonigrin A by cytochrome P450 PyrB.

Scheme 23. Biosynthesis of pyranonigrin A in *A. niger*.[128](#).



[Open in a new tab](#)

6. Genetic characterization of secondary metabolites in *Aspergillus terreus*


In 2014, a review summarizing advances in SM genome mining in *A. terreus* was published by C. J. Guo *et al.*,[129](#) which included the genetic characterization of terretonin,[130](#) asperfuranone,[12](#) terrein,[131](#) terreic acid,[132](#) and acetylalarotin.[133](#) This section focuses on discoveries made in *A. terreus* SM biosynthesis research since that review was published.

6.1. Biosynthesis of aspteric acid

The gene cluster responsible for the biosynthesis of the potent herbicide aspteric acid was identified using a resistance-gene-directed approach.[134](#) Scientists focused on identifying SMs that would target the dihydroxyacid dehydratase (DHAD) enzyme within the branched chain amino acid (BCAA) biosynthetic pathway, which is necessary for plant growth and considered a specific target for weed-control agents.[135](#) They reasoned that gene clusters responsible for biosynthesis of a DHAD inhibitor may also include a self-resistance gene, such as an additional copy of DHAD that is

not sensitive to the produced inhibitor. Such a cluster was identified in *A. terreus*, which included genes encoding the sesquiterpene cyclase AstA, cytochrome P450 enzymes AstB and AstC, and a homolog of DHAD AstD. Interestingly, this cluster is conserved across multiple fungal genomes, including *Neosartorya fischeri* NRRL 181, *Penicillium brasiliandum*, and *Penicillium solitum* strain RS1.¹³⁴ To activate the silent gene cluster, *astA*, *astB*, and *astC* were heterologously expressed stepwise in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* RC01, which resulted in the production of the sesquiterpenoid aspteric acid and its biosynthetic intermediates, and enabled researchers to propose the biosynthetic pathway for aspteric acid ([Scheme 24](#)). Its biosynthesis initiates with the cyclization of farnesyl diphosphate by AstA to yield (-)-daucane, followed by AstB-catalyzed oxidation to convert a methyl group to a carboxylic acid and form an epoxide. Next, the oxidation of a methyl group by AstC yields an alcohol, which facilitates an intramolecular epoxide opening to generate aspteric acid. Aspteric acid was found to inhibit DHAD at sub-micromolar levels, highlighting its capacity for use as a potent herbicidal agent. Additionally, the ability of the DHAD AstD to confer self-resistance to aspteric acid was confirmed.

Scheme 24. Biosynthesis of aspteric acid in *A. terreus*.¹³⁴




[Open in a new tab](#)

6.2. Biosynthesis of phenguignardic acid

The NRPS-like-encoding gene *pgnA* was activated in *A. terreus* using a doxycycline-dependent inducible Tet-on expression system that had previously been developed for the activation of genes in *A. niger*.^{104,136} The system involves *gdpA*-mediated constitutive expression of the doxycycline-dependent transcriptional activator rtTA fused to *tetO7* sites and a Pmin promoter sequence that precedes the target gene. In the presence of doxycycline, rtTA binds to *tetO7*-Pmin and activates transcription of the target gene. The study revealed that activation of the *pgnA* resulted in the production of phenguignardic acid,¹³⁶ which has displayed non-host-specific phytotoxic activity.¹³⁷ Heterologous expression in *A. nidulans* confirmed that PgnA is independently responsible for phenguignardic acid production ([Scheme 25](#)).

Scheme 25. Biosynthesis of phenguignardic acid in *A. terreus*.¹³⁶




[Open in a new tab](#)

6.3. Biosynthesis of asperphenamate

The amino acid ester asperphenamate and its derivatives have displayed potent activity against breast cancer cell lines.^{138,139} The structure of asperphenamate consists of *N*-benzoylphenylalanine and *N*-benzoylphenylalaninol subunits, which are linked together by an ester. Its bioactivity and rare structure prompted the molecular characterization of asperphenamate. Targeted gene deletions in asperphenamate-producing *Penicillium brevicompactum* confirmed that the *apm* cluster, which harbors two NRPSs and is conserved across the genomes of *A. terreus* and *Aspergillus aculeatus*, is responsible for asperphenamate biosynthesis.¹⁴⁰ The biosynthetic pathway of asperphenamate was elucidated by examining the production of biosynthetic intermediates in deletion strains and by conducting feeding studies in heterologous hosts. This study confirmed that NRPSs ApmA and ApmB are sufficient for biosynthesis of asperphenamate, despite the presence of potential tailoring enzymes within the cluster ([Scheme 26](#)). First, ApmA biosynthesizes an amide intermediate from phenylalanine and benzoic acid precursors. ApmB activates the same substrates and accepts the ApmA-biosynthesized linear dipeptidyl precursor, which are combined through inter-molecular ester bond formation to generate asperphenamate. Interestingly, this was the first study to reveal a two-module NRPS system responsible for the biosynthesis of an amino acid ester.

Scheme 26. Biosynthesis of asperphenamate in *A. terreus*.[140](#).




[Open in a new tab](#)

6.4. Biosynthesis of citreoviridin

Citreoviridin is an ATP synthase inhibitor that has been investigated as a therapeutic agent to target breast cancer.[141](#) To identify its biosynthetic gene cluster in *A. terreus*, a resistance-gene-driven approach was utilized.[142](#) One such cluster contained the F1-ATPase β -chain-encoding CtvE, which is a subunit of the target of citreoviridin, along with genes encoding the HR-PKS CtvA, the SAM-dependent methyltransferase CtvB, the flavin-dependent monooxygenase CtvC, and the hydrolase CtvD. To investigate the biosynthetic pathway of citreoviridin, the genes within the *ctv* cluster were heterologously expressed stepwise in *A. nidulans*, which resulted in the production of intermediates and allowed a biosynthetic pathway to be proposed (Scheme 27). CtvA first biosynthesizes an α -pyrone intermediate, which undergoes hydroxyl group methylation by CtvB to yield citreomontanin. Next, the citreomontanin terminal alkenes undergo isomerization to form a (17Z)-hexaene, which is bisepoxidated by CtvC. CtvD then catalyzes formation of the tetrahydrofuran ring, resulting in citreoviridin production.

Scheme 27. Biosynthesis of citreoviridin in *A. terreus*.[142](#).



[Open in a new tab](#)

7. Conclusion

In the post-genomic era, fungal sequencing initiatives have accelerated our ability to link SMs to their biosynthetic gene clusters. Further, they have enhanced our understanding of fungal SM biosynthetic processes and the underpinning genes that define them. Such knowledge can have enormous applications for pharmaceutical production and industrial processes, as genetic engineering can be used to optimize SM production levels or to generate useful second-generation analogs. Despite the significant progress made in the past six years, many SMs that *Aspergillus* species have the capacity to produce still have not been identified or linked to their biosynthetic gene clusters, which remains true for many other fungal species. Thorough characterization of the *Aspergillus* secondary metabolome will require a combination of approaches, including the use of inducible promoters, overexpression of pathway-specific regulators, growth in various conditions, heterologous expression, and gene knockout techniques, along with the collaborative effort of the research community.

Conflicts of interest

The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

Acknowledgments

Research in the Wang group is supported in part by R21 AI127640 from the National Institute of Allergy and Infectious

Diseases, by NNX15AB49G from the National Aeronautics and Space Administration, and by WP-2339 from the U.S. Department of Defense, Strategic Environmental Research and Development Program. We thank Adriana Blachowicz for proofreading the manuscript and for providing the image of *A. fumigatus* used in the Graphical Abstract.

Biographies



[Open in a new tab](#)

Jillian Romsdahl

Jillian Romsdahl is currently a National Research Council Postdoctoral Research Associate at the Naval Research Laboratory in Washington, DC. She earned her Ph.D. in molecular pharmacology and toxicology at the University of Southern California in 2018, where she conducted research in the laboratory of Professor Clay Wang. She received her B.S. in chemistry at the University of California, Santa Cruz in 2012. Her research has focused on molecular genetic analysis of secondary metabolites produced by filamentous fungi and microbial adaptation to radiation and the space environment.



[Open in a new tab](#)

Clay C. C. Wang

Professor Clay C. C. Wang is currently Department Chair and Professor of Pharmacology and Pharmaceutical Sciences and Professor of Chemistry at the University of Southern California (USC). He received his B.S. in chemistry from Harvard University in 1996 while working in the laboratory of Professor George Whitesides. He received his Ph.D. in chemistry from California Institute of Technology in 2001 in the laboratory of Professor Peter Dervan. Between 2001 and 2003, he was a postdoctoral fellow at Stanford University in the laboratory of Professor Chaitan Khosla. He has been on the faculty at USC since 2003. His research focuses on fungal natural product discovery and biosynthesis.

References

1. Demain A. L. and Fang A., in History of Modern Biotechnology I, Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg, 2000, pp. 1–39. [[Google Scholar](#)] [
2. Fischbach M. A., Walsh C. T. *Chem. Rev.* 2006;106:3468–3496. doi: 10.1021/cr0503097. [[DOI](#)] [[PubMed](#)] [[Google Scholar](#)]]
3. Newman D. J., Cragg G. M. *J. Nat. Prod.* 2012;75:311–335. doi: 10.1021/np200906s. [[DOI](#)] [[PMC free article](#)] [[PubMed](#)] [[Google Scholar](#)]]
4. Flores-Bustamante Z. R., Rivera-Orduña F. N., Martínez-Cárdenas A., Flores-Cotera L. B. *J. Antibiot.* 2010;63:460–467. doi: 10.1038/ja.2010.83. [[DOI](#)] [[PubMed](#)] [[Google Scholar](#)]]
5. Colombo D., Ammirati E. *J. Biol. Regul. Homeostatic Agents.* 2011;25:493–504. [[PubMed](#)] [[Google Scholar](#)]]
6. Scherlach K., Hertweck C. *Org. Biomol. Chem.* 2009;7:1753–1760. doi: 10.1039/b821578b. [[DOI](#)] [[PubMed](#)] [[Google Scholar](#)]]
7. Bode H. B., Bethe B., Höfs R., Zeeck A. *ChemBioChem.* 2002;3:619. doi: 10.1002/1439-7633(20020703)3:7<619::AID-CBIC619>3.0.CO;2-9. [[DOI](#)] [[PubMed](#)] [[Google Scholar](#)]]
8. Galagan J. E., Calvo S. E., Cuomo C., Ma L.-J., Wortman J. R., Batzoglou S., Lee S.-I., Baştürkmen M., Spevak C. C., Clutterbuck J., Kapitonov V., Jurka J., Scazzocchio C., Farman M., Butler J., Purcell S., Harris S., Braus G. H., Draht O., Busch S., D'Enfert C., Bouchier C., Goldman G. H., Bell-Pedersen D., Griffiths-Jones S., Doonan J. H., Yu J., Vienken K., Pain A., Freitag M., Selker E. U., Archer D. B., Peñalva M. Á., Oakley B. R., Momany M., Tanaka T., Kumagai T., Asai K., Machida M., Nierman W. C., Denning D. W., Caddick M., Hynes M., Paoletti M., Fischer R., Miller B., Dyer P., Sachs M. S., Osmani S. A., Birren B. W. *Nature.* 2005;438:1105–1115. doi: 10.1038/nature04341. [[DOI](#)] [[PubMed](#)] [[Google Scholar](#)]]

9. Kjærboelling I., Vesth T. C., Frisvad J. C., Nybo J. L., Theobald S., Kuo A., Bowyer P., Matsuda Y., Mondo S., Lyhne E. K., Kogle M. E., Clum A., Lipzen A., Salamov A., Ngan C. Y., Daum C., Chiniquy J., Barry K., LaButti K., Haridas S., Simmons B. A., Magnuson J. K., Mortensen U. H., Larsen T. O., Grigoriev I. V., Baker S. E., Andersen M. R. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 2018;115:E753–E761. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1715954115. [[DOI](#)] [[PMC free article](#)] [[PubMed](#)] [[Google Scholar](#)]
10. Challis G. L. Microbiol. 2008;154:1555–1569. doi: 10.1099/mic.0.2008/018523-0. [[DOI](#)] [[PubMed](#)] [[Google Scholar](#)]
11. Sanchez J. F., Somoza A. D., Keller N. P., Wang C. C. C. Nat. Prod. Rep. 2012;29:351–371. doi: 10.1039/c2np00084a. [[DOI](#)] [[PMC free article](#)] [[PubMed](#)] [[Google Scholar](#)]
12. Chiang Y.-M., Oakley C. E., Ahuja M., Entwistle R., Schultz A., Chang S.-L., Sung C. T., Wang C. C. C., Oakley B. R. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2013;135:7720–7731. doi: 10.1021/ja401945a. [[DOI](#)] [[PMC free article](#)] [[PubMed](#)] [[Google Scholar](#)]
13. Dagenais T. R. T., Keller N. P. Clin. Microbiol. Rev. 2009;22:447–465. doi: 10.1128/CMR.00055-08. [[DOI](#)] [[PMC free article](#)] [[PubMed](#)] [[Google Scholar](#)]
14. Latgé J. P. Clin. Microbiol. Rev. 1999;12:310–350. doi: 10.1128/cmrr.12.2.310. [[DOI](#)] [[PMC free article](#)] [[PubMed](#)] [[Google Scholar](#)]
15. Rabindran S. K., Ross D. D., Doyle L. A., Yang W., Greenberger L. M. Cancer Res. 2000;60:47–50. [[PubMed](#)] [[Google Scholar](#)]
16. Schuster E., Dunn-Coleman N., Frisvad J. C., Van Dijck P. W. M. Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol. 2002;59:426–435. doi: 10.1007/s00253-002-1032-6. [[DOI](#)] [[PubMed](#)] [[Google Scholar](#)]
17. Shaaban M., Shaaban K. A., Abdel-Aziz M. S. Org. Med. Chem. Lett. 2012;2:6. doi: 10.1186/2191-2858-2-6. [[DOI](#)] [[PMC free article](#)] [[PubMed](#)] [[Google Scholar](#)]
18. Choque E., El Rayess Y., Raynal J., Mathieu F. Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol. 2015;99:1081–1096. doi: 10.1007/s00253-014-6295-1. [[DOI](#)] [[PubMed](#)] [[Google Scholar](#)]
19. Hiort J., Maksimenka K., Reichert M., Perović-Ottstadt S., Lin W. H., Wray V., Steube K., Schaumann K., Weber H., Proksch P., Ebel R., Müller W. E. G., Bringmann G. J. Nat. Prod. 2004;67:1532–1543. doi: 10.1021/np030551d. [[DOI](#)] [[PubMed](#)] [[Google Scholar](#)]
20. Miyake Y., Ito C., Itoigawa M., Osawa T. Biosci., Biotechnol., Biochem. 2007;71:2515–2521. doi: 10.1271/bbb.70310. [[DOI](#)] [[PubMed](#)] [[Google Scholar](#)]
21. Tobert J. A. Nat. Rev. Drug Discovery. 2003;2:517–526. doi: 10.1038/nrd1112. [[DOI](#)] [[PubMed](#)]

[[Google Scholar](#)]

22. Samiee S. M., Moazami N., Haghghi S., Aziz Mohseni F., Mirdamadi S., Bakhtiari M. R. Iran. Biomed. J. 2003;7:29–33. [[Google Scholar](#)]
23. Willke T., Vorlop K. D. Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol. 2001;56:289–295. doi: 10.1007/s002530100685. [[DOI](#)] [[PubMed](#)] [[Google Scholar](#)]
24. Robert T., Friebel S. Green Chem. 2016;18:2922–2934. [[Google Scholar](#)]
25. Bromann K., Toivari M., Viljanen K., Vuoristo A., Ruohonen L., Nakari-Setälä T. PLoS One. 2012;7:e35450. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0035450. [[DOI](#)] [[PMC free article](#)] [[PubMed](#)] [[Google Scholar](#)]
26. Lin T.-S., Chen B., Chiang Y.-M., Wang C. C. C. ChemBioChem. 2019;20:329–334. doi: 10.1002/cbic.201800486. [[DOI](#)] [[PMC free article](#)] [[PubMed](#)] [[Google Scholar](#)]
27. Scherlach K., Schuemann J., Dahse H.-M., Hertweck C. J. Antibiot. 2010;63:375–377. doi: 10.1038/ja.2010.46. [[DOI](#)] [[PubMed](#)] [[Google Scholar](#)]
28. Yaegashi J., Praseuth M. B., Tyan S.-W., Sanchez J. F., Entwistle R., Chiang Y.-M., Oakley B. R., Wang C. C. C. Org. Lett. 2013;15:2862–2865. doi: 10.1021/o1401187b. [[DOI](#)] [[PMC free article](#)] [[PubMed](#)] [[Google Scholar](#)]
29. Ahuja M., Chiang Y.-M., Chang S.-L., Praseuth M. B., Entwistle R., Sanchez J. F., Lo H.-C., Yeh H.-H., Oakley B. R., Wang C. C. C. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2012;134:8212–8221. doi: 10.1021/ja3016395. [[DOI](#)] [[PMC free article](#)] [[PubMed](#)] [[Google Scholar](#)]
30. Yeh H.-H., Chiang Y.-M., Entwistle R., Ahuja M., Lee K.-H., Bruno K. S., Wu T.-K., Oakley B. R., Wang C. C. C. Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol. 2012;96:739–748. doi: 10.1007/s00253-012-4098-9. [[DOI](#)] [[PMC free article](#)] [[PubMed](#)] [[Google Scholar](#)]
31. Fujimoto H., Satoh Y., Yamaguchi K., Yamazaki M. Chem. Pharm. Bull. 1998;46:1506–1510. doi: 10.1248/cpb.46.211. [[DOI](#)] [[PubMed](#)] [[Google Scholar](#)]
32. Kralj A., Kehraus S., Krick A., Eguereva E., Kelter G., Maurer M., Wortmann A., Fiebig H.-H., König G. M. J. Nat. Prod. 2006;69:995–1000. doi: 10.1021/np050454f. [[DOI](#)] [[PubMed](#)] [[Google Scholar](#)]
33. Hines J., Groll M., Fahnstock M., Crews C. M. Chem. Biol. 2008;15:501–512. doi: 10.1016/j.chembiol.2008.03.020. [[DOI](#)] [[PMC free article](#)] [[PubMed](#)] [[Google Scholar](#)]
34. Wang H., Yang Q., Dou Q. P., Yang H. Curr. Protein Pept. Sci. 2018;19:358–367. doi:

- 10.2174/1389203718666170111121856. [DOI] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
35. Goldberg A. L. *J. Cell Biol.* 2012;199:583–588. doi: 10.1083/jcb.201210077. [DOI] [PMC free article] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
36. Kisseelev A. F., van der Linden W. A., Overkleef H. S. *Chem. Biol.* 2012;19:99–115. doi: 10.1016/j.chembiol.2012.01.003. [DOI] [PMC free article] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
37. Yeh H.-H., Ahuja M., Chiang Y.-M., Oakley C. E., Moore S., Yoon O., Hajovsky H., Bok J.-W., Keller N. P., Wang C. C. C., Oakley B. R. *ACS Chem. Biol.* 2016;11:2275–2284. doi: 10.1021/acschembio.6b00213. [DOI] [PMC free article] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
38. Sanchez J. F., Entwistle R., Corcoran D., Oakley B. R., Wang C. C. C. *MedChemComm.* 2012;3:997–1002. doi: 10.1039/C2MD20055D. [DOI] [PMC free article] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
39. Stierle A., Hershenhorn J., Strobel G. *Phytochemistry.* 1993;32:1145–1149. [Google Scholar]
40. Sanchez J. F., Entwistle R., Hung J.-H., Yaegashi J., Jain S., Chiang Y.-M., Wang C. C. C., Oakley B. R. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2011;133:4010–4017. doi: 10.1021/ja1096682. [DOI] [PMC free article] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
41. Lo H.-C., Entwistle R., Guo C.-J., Ahuja M., Szewczyk E., Hung J.-H., Chiang Y.-M., Oakley B. R., Wang C. C. C. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2012;134:4709–4720. doi: 10.1021/ja209809t. [DOI] [PMC free article] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
42. Brown D. W., Yu J. H., Kelkar H. S., Fernandes M., Nesbitt T. C., Keller N. P., Adams T. H., Leonard T. J. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 1996;93:1418–1422. doi: 10.1073/pnas.93.4.1418. [DOI] [PMC free article] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
43. Chiang Y.-M., Szewczyk E., Nayak T., Davidson A. D., Sanchez J. F., Lo H.-C., Ho W.-Y., Simityan H., Kuo E., Praseuth A., Watanabe K., Oakley B. R., Wang C. C. C. *Chem. Biol.* 2008;15:527–532. doi: 10.1016/j.chembiol.2008.05.010. [DOI] [PMC free article] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
44. Chiang Y.-M., Szewczyk E., Davidson A. D., Keller N., Oakley B. R., Wang C. C. C. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2009;131:2965–2970. doi: 10.1021/ja8088185. [DOI] [PMC free article] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
45. Bok J. W., Hoffmeister D., Maggio-Hall L. A., Murillo R., Glasner J. D., Keller N. P. *Chem. Biol.* 2006;13:31–37. doi: 10.1016/j.chembiol.2005.10.008. [DOI] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
46. Sanchez J. F., Chiang Y.-M., Szewczyk E., Davidson A. D., Ahuja M., Elizabeth Oakley C., Woo Bok J., Keller N., Oakley B. R., Wang C. C. C. *Mol. BioSyst.* 2010;6:587–593. doi: 10.1039/b904541d. [DOI] [PMC free article] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]

47. Szewczyk E., Chiang Y.-M., Oakley C. E., Davidson A. D., Wang C. C. C., Oakley B. R. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 2008;74:7607–7612. doi: 10.1128/AEM.01743-08. [\[DOI\]](#) [\[PMC free article\]](#) [\[PubMed\]](#) [\[Google Scholar\]](#)
48. Chiang Y.-M., Ahuja M., Oakley C. E., Entwistle R., Asokan A., Zutz C., Wang C. C. C., Oakley B. R. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* 2016;55:1662–1665. doi: 10.1002/anie.201507097. [\[DOI\]](#) [\[PMC free article\]](#) [\[PubMed\]](#) [\[Google Scholar\]](#)
49. Stachelhaus T., Mootz H. D., Marahiel M. A. *Chem. Biol.* 1999;6:493–505. doi: 10.1016/S1074-5521(99)80082-9. [\[DOI\]](#) [\[PubMed\]](#) [\[Google Scholar\]](#)
50. Oakley C. E., Ahuja M., Sun W.-W., Entwistle R., Akashi T., Yaegashi J., Guo C.-J., Cerqueira G. C., Russo Wortman J., Wang C. C. C., Chiang Y.-M., Oakley B. R. *Mol. Microbiol.* 2017;103:347–365. doi: 10.1111/mmi.13562. [\[DOI\]](#) [\[PMC free article\]](#) [\[PubMed\]](#) [\[Google Scholar\]](#)
51. Du F.-Y., Li X.-M., Zhang P., Li C.-S., Wang B.-G. *Mar. Drugs.* 2014;12:2816–2826. doi: 10.3390/med12052816. [\[DOI\]](#) [\[PMC free article\]](#) [\[PubMed\]](#) [\[Google Scholar\]](#)
52. Gerke J., Bayram Ö., Feussner K., Landesfeind M., Shelest E., Feussner I., Braus G. H. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 2012;78:8234–8244. doi: 10.1128/AEM.01808-12. [\[DOI\]](#) [\[PMC free article\]](#) [\[PubMed\]](#) [\[Google Scholar\]](#)
53. Daneshtalab M., Ahmed A. *J. Pharm. Pharm. Sci.* 2011;15:52–72. doi: 10.18433/j3302n. [\[DOI\]](#) [\[PubMed\]](#) [\[Google Scholar\]](#)
54. Abdel-Hadi A. M., Awad M., El-Shanawany A., Abdelkader M., Abo-Kadoum M. *Issues Biol. Sci. Pharm. Res.* 2015;3:115–122. [\[Google Scholar\]](#)
55. Ishikawa N., Tanaka H., Koyama F., Noguchi H., Wang C. C. C., Hotta K., Watanabe K. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* 2014;53:12880–12884. doi: 10.1002/anie.201407920. [\[DOI\]](#) [\[PubMed\]](#) [\[Google Scholar\]](#)
56. Narsing Rao M. P., Xiao M., Li W.-J. *Front. Microbiol.* 2017;8:1113. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2017.01113. [\[DOI\]](#) [\[PMC free article\]](#) [\[PubMed\]](#) [\[Google Scholar\]](#)
57. Birse C. E., Clutterbuck A. *J. Microbiology*. 1990;136:1725–1730. doi: 10.1099/00221287-136-9-1725. [\[DOI\]](#) [\[PubMed\]](#) [\[Google Scholar\]](#)
58. Andersen M. R., Nielsen J. B., Klitgaard A., Petersen L. M., Zachariassen M., Hansen T. J., Blicher L. H., Gotfredsen C. H., Larsen T. O., Nielsen K. F., Mortensen U. H. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 2013;110:E99–E107. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1205532110. [\[DOI\]](#) [\[PMC free article\]](#) [\[PubMed\]](#) [\[Google Scholar\]](#)
59. Sung C. T., Chang S.-L., Entwistle R., Ahn G., Lin T.-S., Petrova V., Yeh H.-H., Praseuth M. B., Chiang

- Y.-M., Oakley B. R., Wang C. C. C. *Fungal Genet. Biol.* 2017;101:1–6. doi: 10.1016/j.fgb.2017.01.006. [DOI] [PMC free article] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
60. Argoudelis A. D., Zieserl J. F. *Tetrahedron Lett.* 1966;7:1969–1973. doi: 10.1016/s0040-4039(00)76280-0. [DOI] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
61. Mizuba S., Lee K., Jiu J. *Can. J. Microbiol.* 1975;21:1781–1787. doi: 10.1139/m75-259. [DOI] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
62. Lee D.-S., Jeong G.-S., Li B., Lee S. U., Oh H., Kim Y.-C. *J. Pharmacol. Sci.* 2011;116:283–295. doi: 10.1254/jphs.10219fp. [DOI] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
63. He L., Nan M.-H., Oh H. C., Kim Y. H., Jang J. H., Erikson R. L., Ahn J. S., Kim B. Y. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* 2011;409:489–493. doi: 10.1016/j.bbrc.2011.05.032. [DOI] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
64. Zhou Y., Chen R., Liu D., Wu C., Guo P., Lin W. *Mar. Drugs.* 2017;15:358. doi: 10.3390/md15110358. [DOI] [PMC free article] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
65. Grau M. F., Entwistle R., Chiang Y.-M., Ahuja M., Oakley C. E., Akashi T., Wang C. C. C., Todd R. B., Oakley B. R. *ACS Chem. Biol.* 2018;13:3193–3205. doi: 10.1021/acscchembio.8b00679. [DOI] [PMC free article] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
66. Flori E., Mastrofrancesco A., Kovacs D., Ramot Y., Briganti S., Bellei B., Paus R., Picardo M. *Pigm. Cell Melanoma Res.* 2011;24:618–630. doi: 10.1111/j.1755-148X.2011.00887.x. [DOI] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
67. Bok J. W., Balajee S. A., Marr K. A., Andes D., Nielsen K. F., Frisvad J. C., Keller N. P. *Eukaryotic Cell.* 2005;4:1574–1582. doi: 10.1128/EC.4.9.1574-1582.2005. [DOI] [PMC free article] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
68. Bok J. W., Keller N. P. *Eukaryotic Cell.* 2004;3:527–535. doi: 10.1128/EC.3.2.527-535.2004. [DOI] [PMC free article] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
69. Perrin R. M., Fedorova N. D., Bok J. W., Jr R. A. C., Wortman J. R., Kim H. S., Nierman W. C., Keller N. P. *PLoS Pathog.* 2007;3:e50. doi: 10.1371/journal.ppat.0030050. [DOI] [PMC free article] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
70. Sugui J. A., Kim H. S., Zaremba K. A., Chang Y. C., Gallin J. I., Nierman W. C., Kwon-Chung K. J. *PLoS One.* 2008;3:e2655. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0002655. [DOI] [PMC free article] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]

71. Willger S. D., Puttikamonkul S., Kim K.-H., Burritt J. B., Grahl N., Metzler L. J., Barbuch R., Bard M., Lawrence C. B., Cramer Jr R. A. *PLoS Pathog.* 2008;4:e1000200. doi: 10.1371/journal.ppat.1000200. [\[DOI\]](#) [\[PMC free article\]](#) [\[PubMed\]](#) [\[Google Scholar\]](#)
72. Vödisch M., Scherlach K., Winkler R., Hertweck C., Braun H.-P., Roth M., Haas H., Werner E. R., Brakhage A. A., Kniemeyer O. *J. Proteome Res.* 2011;10:2508–2524. doi: 10.1021/pr1012812. [\[DOI\]](#) [\[PMC free article\]](#) [\[PubMed\]](#) [\[Google Scholar\]](#)
73. Yin W.-B., Baccile J. A., Bok J. W., Chen Y., Keller N. P., Schroeder F. C. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2013;135:2064–2067. doi: 10.1021/ja311145n. [\[DOI\]](#) [\[PMC free article\]](#) [\[PubMed\]](#) [\[Google Scholar\]](#)
74. Kurobane I., Vining L. C., McInnes A. G. *J. Antibiot.* 1979;32:1256–1266. doi: 10.7164/antibiotics.32.1256. [\[DOI\]](#) [\[PubMed\]](#) [\[Google Scholar\]](#)
75. Räisänen R., Björk H., Hynninen P. H. *Z. Naturforsch., C: J. Biosci.* 2014;55:195–202. doi: 10.1515/znc-2000-3-410. [\[DOI\]](#) [\[PubMed\]](#) [\[Google Scholar\]](#)
76. Gautam R., Karkhile K. V., Bhutani K. K., Jachak S. M. *Planta Med.* 2010;76:1564–1569. doi: 10.1055/s-0030-1249779. [\[DOI\]](#) [\[PubMed\]](#) [\[Google Scholar\]](#)
77. Kikuchi N., Teshiba M., Tsutsumi T., Fudou R., Nagasawa H., Sakuda S. *Biosci., Biotechnol., Biochem.* 2011;75:764–767. doi: 10.1271/bbb.100742. [\[DOI\]](#) [\[PubMed\]](#) [\[Google Scholar\]](#)
78. Gill M. and Steglich W., in *Fortschritte der Chemie organischer Naturstoffe/Progress in the Chemistry of Organic Natural Products*, ed. M. Gill and W. Steglich, Springer Vienna, Vienna, 1987, pp. 1–297. [\[DOI\]](#) [\[PubMed\]](#) [\[Google Scholar\]](#)
79. Huang Q., Lu G., Shen H.-M., Chung M. C. M., Ong C. N. *Med. Res. Rev.* 2007;27:609–630. doi: 10.1002/med.20094. [\[DOI\]](#) [\[PubMed\]](#) [\[Google Scholar\]](#)
80. Berthier E., Lim F. Y., Deng Q., Guo C.-J., Kontoyiannis D. P., Wang C. C. C., Rindy J., Beebe D. J., Huttenlocher A., Keller N. P. *PLoS Pathog.* 2013;9:e1003289. doi: 10.1371/journal.ppat.1003289. [\[DOI\]](#) [\[PMC free article\]](#) [\[PubMed\]](#) [\[Google Scholar\]](#)
81. Lim F. Y., Hou Y., Chen Y., Oh J.-H., Lee I., Bugni T. S., Keller N. P. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 2012;78:4117–4125. doi: 10.1128/AEM.07710-11. [\[DOI\]](#) [\[PMC free article\]](#) [\[PubMed\]](#) [\[Google Scholar\]](#)
82. Throckmorton K., Lim F. Y., Kontoyiannis D. P., Zheng W., Keller N. P. *Environ. Microbiol.* 2016;18:246–259. doi: 10.1111/1462-2920.13007. [\[DOI\]](#) [\[PMC free article\]](#) [\[PubMed\]](#) [\[Google Scholar\]](#)
83. Weber J., Valiante V., Nødvig C. S., Mattern D. J., Slotkowski R. A., Mortensen U. H., Brakhage A. A. *ACS Synth. Biol.* 2017;6:62–68. doi: 10.1021/acssynbio.6b00203. [\[DOI\]](#) [\[PubMed\]](#) [\[Google Scholar\]](#)

84. Chiang Y.-M., Szewczyk E., Davidson A. D., Entwistle R., Keller N. P., Wang C. C. C., Oakley B. R. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 2010;76:2067–2074. doi: 10.1128/AEM.02187-09. [[DOI](#)] [[PMC free article](#)] [[PubMed](#)] [[Google Scholar](#)]
85. Fujii I., Watanabe A., Sankawa U., Ebizuka Y. *Chem. Biol.* 2001;8:189–197. doi: 10.1016/s1074-5521(00)90068-1. [[DOI](#)] [[PubMed](#)] [[Google Scholar](#)]
86. Korman T. P., Crawford J. M., Labonte J. W., Newman A. G., Wong J., Townsend C. A., Tsai S.-C. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 2010;107:6246–6251. doi: 10.1073/pnas.0913531107. [[DOI](#)] [[PMC free article](#)] [[PubMed](#)] [[Google Scholar](#)]
87. Awakawa T., Yokota K., Funa N., Doi F., Mori N., Watanabe H., Horinouchi S. *Chem. Biol.* 2009;16:613–623. doi: 10.1016/j.chembiol.2009.04.004. [[DOI](#)] [[PubMed](#)] [[Google Scholar](#)]
88. Balan J., Ebringer L., Nemec P., Kovac S., Dobias J. J. *Antibiot.* 1963;16:157–160. [[PubMed](#)] [[Google Scholar](#)]
89. Turner W. B. *J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1.* 1965:6658–6659. doi: 10.1039/jr9650006658. [[DOI](#)] [[PubMed](#)] [[Google Scholar](#)]
90. Gauthier T., Wang X., Sifuentes Dos Santos J., Fysikopoulos A., Tadrist S., Canlet C., Artigot M. P., Loiseau N., Oswald I. P., Puel O. *PLoS One.* 2012;7:e29906. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0029906. [[DOI](#)] [[PMC free article](#)] [[PubMed](#)] [[Google Scholar](#)]
91. Nielsen M. T., Nielsen J. B., Anyaogu D. C., Holm D. K., Nielsen K. F., Larsen T. O., Mortensen U. H. *PLoS One.* 2013;8:e72871. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0072871. [[DOI](#)] [[PMC free article](#)] [[PubMed](#)] [[Google Scholar](#)]
92. Chain E., Florey H. W., Jennings M. A., Williams T. I. *Br. J. Exp. Pathol.* 1943;24:108–119. [[Google Scholar](#)]
93. Godtfredsen W. O., Jahnsen S., Lorck H., Roholt K., Tybring L. *Nature.* 1962;193:987. doi: 10.1038/193987a0. [[DOI](#)] [[PubMed](#)] [[Google Scholar](#)]
94. Burton H. S., Abraham E. P. *Biochem. J.* 1951;50:168–174. doi: 10.1042/bj0500168. [[DOI](#)] [[PMC free article](#)] [[PubMed](#)] [[Google Scholar](#)]
95. Von Daehne W., Godtfredsen W. O. and Rasmussen P. R., in *Advances in Applied Microbiology*, ed. D. Perlman, Academic Press, 1979, vol. 25, pp. 95–146. [[DOI](#)] [[PubMed](#)] [[Google Scholar](#)]
96. Abe I., Rohmer M., Prestwich G. D. *Chem. Rev.* 1993;93:2189–2206. [[Google Scholar](#)]

97. Fernandes P. *Cold Spring Harbor Perspect. Med.* 2016;6:a025437. doi: 10.1101/cshperspect.a025437. [[DOI](#)] [[PMC free article](#)] [[PubMed](#)] [[Google Scholar](#)]
98. Wilson D. N. *Nat. Rev. Microbiol.* 2014;12:35–48. doi: 10.1038/nrmicro3155. [[DOI](#)] [[PubMed](#)] [[Google Scholar](#)]
99. Duvold T., Sørensen M. D., Björkling F., Henriksen A. S., Rastrup-Andersen N. *J. Med. Chem.* 2001;44:3125–3131. doi: 10.1021/jm010899a. [[DOI](#)] [[PubMed](#)] [[Google Scholar](#)]
100. Lv J.-M., Hu D., Gao H., Kushiro T., Awakawa T., Chen G.-D., Wang C.-X., Abe I., Yao X.-S. *Nat. Commun.* 2017;8:1644. doi: 10.1038/s41467-017-01813-9. [[DOI](#)] [[PMC free article](#)] [[PubMed](#)] [[Google Scholar](#)]
101. Tekaia F., Latgé J.-P. *Curr. Opin. Microbiol.* 2005;8:385–392. doi: 10.1016/j.mib.2005.06.017. [[DOI](#)] [[PubMed](#)] [[Google Scholar](#)]
102. Rispail N., Soanes D. M., Ant C., Czajkowski R., Grünler A., Huguet R., Perez-Nadales E., Poli A., Sartorel E., Valiante V., Yang M., Beffa R., Brakhage A. A., Gow N. A. R., Kahmann R., Lebrun M.-H., Lenasi H., Perez-Martin J., Talbot N. J., Wendland J., Di Pietro A. *Fungal Genet. Biol.* 2009;46:287–298. doi: 10.1016/j.fgb.2009.01.002. [[DOI](#)] [[PubMed](#)] [[Google Scholar](#)]
103. Macheleidt J., Scherlach K., Neuwirth T., Schmidt-Heck W., Straßburger M., Spraker J., Baccile J. A., Schroeder F. C., Keller N. P., Hertweck C., Heinekamp T., Brakhage A. A. *Mol. Microbiol.* 2015;96:148–162. doi: 10.1111/mmi.12926. [[DOI](#)] [[PMC free article](#)] [[PubMed](#)] [[Google Scholar](#)]
104. Meyer V., Wanka F., van Gent J., Arentshorst M., van den Hondel C. A. M. J. J., Ram A. F. J. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 2011;77:2975–2983. doi: 10.1128/AEM.02740-10. [[DOI](#)] [[PMC free article](#)] [[PubMed](#)] [[Google Scholar](#)]
105. Chooi Y.-H., Fang J., Liu H., Filler S. G., Wang P., Tang Y. *Org. Lett.* 2013;15:780–783. doi: 10.1021/ol303435y. [[DOI](#)] [[PMC free article](#)] [[PubMed](#)] [[Google Scholar](#)]
106. König C. C., Scherlach K., Schroeckh V., Horn F., Nietzsche S., Brakhage A. A., Hertweck C. *ChemBioChem.* 2013;14:938–942. doi: 10.1002/cbic.201300070. [[DOI](#)] [[PubMed](#)] [[Google Scholar](#)]
107. McCOWEN M. C., Callender M. E., Lawlis J. F. *Science.* 1951;113:202–203. doi: 10.1126/science.113.2930.202. [[DOI](#)] [[PubMed](#)] [[Google Scholar](#)]
108. Killough J. H., Magill G. B., Smith R. C. *Science.* 1952;115:71–72. doi: 10.1126/science.115.2977.71. [[DOI](#)] [[PubMed](#)] [[Google Scholar](#)]
109. Molina J.-M., Tourneur M., Sarfati C., Chevret S., de Gouvello A., Gobert J.-G., Balkan S., Derouin F.,

- Agence Nationale de Recherches sur le SIDA 090 Study Group N. Engl. J. Med. 2002;346:1963–1969. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa012924. [DOI] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
110. Sin N., Meng L., Wang M. Q. W., Wen J. J., Bornmann W. G., Crews C. M. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 1997;94:6099–6103. doi: 10.1073/pnas.94.12.6099. [DOI] [PMC free article] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
111. Corey E. J., Snider B. B. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1972;94:2549–2550. doi: 10.1021/ja00762a080. [DOI] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
112. Yamaguchi J., Hayashi Y. Chem. – Eur. J. 2010;16:3884–3901. doi: 10.1002/chem.200902433. [DOI] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
113. Lin H.-C., Chooi Y.-H., Dhingra S., Xu W., Calvo A. M., Tang Y. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2013;135:4616–4619. doi: 10.1021/ja312503y. [DOI] [PMC free article] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
114. Gil Girol C., Fisch K. M., Heinekamp T., Günther S., Hüttel W., Piel J., Brakhage A. A., Müller M. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2012;51:9788–9791. doi: 10.1002/anie.201203603. [DOI] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
115. Zhou H., Qiao K., Gao Z., Meehan M. J., Li J. W.-H., Zhao X., Dorrestein P. C., Vederas J. C., Tang Y. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2010;132:4530–4531. doi: 10.1021/ja100060k. [DOI] [PMC free article] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
116. Xie X., Meehan M. J., Xu W., Dorrestein P. C., Tang Y. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2009;131:8388–8389. doi: 10.1021/ja903203g. [DOI] [PMC free article] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
117. Zabala A. O., Xu W., Chooi Y.-H., Tang Y. Chem. Biol. 2012;19:1049–1059. doi: 10.1016/j.chembiol.2012.07.004. [DOI] [PMC free article] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
118. Osmanova N., Schultze W., Ayoub N. Phytochem. Rev. 2010;9:315–342. [Google Scholar]
119. Bugni T. S., Abbanat D., Bernan V. S., Maiese W. M., Greenstein M., Van Wagoner R. M., Ireland C. M. J. Org. Chem. 2000;65:7195–7200. doi: 10.1021/jo0006831. [DOI] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
120. Petersen L. M., Holm D. K., Knudsen P. B., Nielsen K. F., Gotfredsen C. H., Mortensen U. H., Larsen T. O. J. Antibiot. 2015;68:201–205. doi: 10.1038/ja.2014.130. [DOI] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
121. Holm D. K., Petersen L. M., Klitgaard A., Knudsen P. B., Jarczynska Z. D., Nielsen K. F., Gotfredsen C. H., Larsen T. O., Mortensen U. H. Chem. Biol. 2014;21:519–529. doi: 10.1016/j.chembiol.2014.01.013. [DOI] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]

122. Riko R., Nakamura H., Shindo K. *J. Antibiot.* 2014;67:179–181. doi: 10.1038/ja.2013.91. [DOI] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
123. Awakawa T., Yang X.-L., Wakimoto T., Abe I. *ChemBioChem*. 2013;14:2095–2099. doi: 10.1002/cbic.201300430. [DOI] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
124. Ganzlin M., Rinas U. *J. Biotechnol.* 2008;135:266–271. doi: 10.1016/j.jbiotec.2008.04.005. [DOI] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
125. Yamamoto T., Tsunematsu Y., Noguchi H., Hotta K., Watanabe K. *Org. Lett.* 2015;17:4992–4995. doi: 10.1021/acs.orglett.5b02435. [DOI] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
126. Hiort J., Maksimenka K., Reichert M., Perović-Ottstadt S., Lin W. H., Wray V., Steube K., Schaumann K., Weber H., Proksch P., Ebel R., Müller W. E. G., Bringmann G. *J. Nat. Prod.* 2004;67:1532–1543. doi: 10.1021/np030551d. [DOI] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
127. Schlingmann G., Taniguchi T., He H., Bigelis R., Yang H. Y., Koehn F. E., Carter G. T., Berova N. *J. Nat. Prod.* 2007;70:1180–1187. doi: 10.1021/np070175n. [DOI] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
128. Tang M.-C., Zou Y., Yee D., Tang Y. *AIChE J.* 2018;64:4182–4186. doi: 10.1002/aic.16324. [DOI] [PMC free article] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
129. Guo C.-J., Wang C. C. *Front. Microbiol.* 2014;5:717. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2014.00717. [DOI] [PMC free article] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
130. Guo C.-J., Knox B. P., Chiang Y.-M., Lo H.-C., Sanchez J. F., Lee K.-H., Oakley B. R., Bruno K. S., Wang C. C. *Org. Lett.* 2012;14:5684–5687. doi: 10.1021/o1302682z. [DOI] [PMC free article] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
131. Zaehle C., Gressler M., Shelest E., Geib E., Hertweck C., Brock M. *Chem. Biol.* 2014;21:719–731. doi: 10.1016/j.chembiol.2014.03.010. [DOI] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
132. Guo C.-J., Sun W.-W., Bruno K. S., Wang C. C. *Org. Lett.* 2014;16:5250–5253. doi: 10.1021/o1502242a. [DOI] [PMC free article] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
133. Guo C.-J., Yeh H.-H., Chiang Y.-M., Sanchez J. F., Chang S.-L., Bruno K. S., Wang C. C. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2013;135:7205–7213. doi: 10.1021/ja3123653. [DOI] [PMC free article] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
134. Yan Y., Liu Q., Zang X., Yuan S., Bat-Erdene U., Nguyen C., Gan J., Zhou J., Jacobsen S. E., Tang Y. *Nature*. 2018;559:415–418. doi: 10.1038/s41586-018-0319-4. [DOI] [PMC free article] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]

135. Amorim Franco T. M., Blanchard J. S. *Biochemistry*. 2017;56:5849–5865. doi: 10.1021/acs.biochem.7b00849. [[DOI](#)] [[PMC free article](#)] [[PubMed](#)] [[Google Scholar](#)]
136. Sun W.-W., Guo C.-J., Wang C. C. *Fungal Genet. Biol.* 2016;89:84–88. doi: 10.1016/j.fgb.2016.01.014. [[DOI](#)] [[PubMed](#)] [[Google Scholar](#)]
137. Molitor D., Liermann J. C., Berkelmann-Löhnertz B., Buckel I., Opatz T., Thines E. *J. Nat. Prod.* 2012;75:1265–1269. doi: 10.1021/np2008945. [[DOI](#)] [[PubMed](#)] [[Google Scholar](#)]
138. Yuan L., Wang J. H., Sun T. M. *Chin. Chem. Lett.* 2010;21:155–158. [[Google Scholar](#)]
139. Yuan L., Li Y., Zou C., Wang C., Gao J., Miao C., Ma E., Sun T. *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.* 2012;22:2216–2220. doi: 10.1016/j.bmcl.2012.01.101. [[DOI](#)] [[PubMed](#)] [[Google Scholar](#)]
140. Li W., Fan A., Wang L., Zhang P., Liu Z., An Z., Yin W.-B. *Chem. Sci.* 2018;9:2589–2594. doi: 10.1039/c7sc02396k. [[DOI](#)] [[PMC free article](#)] [[PubMed](#)] [[Google Scholar](#)]
141. Chang H.-Y., Huang T.-C., Chen N.-N., Huang H.-C., Juan H.-F. *Cell Death Dis.* 2014;5:e1540. doi: 10.1038/cddis.2014.504. [[DOI](#)] [[PMC free article](#)] [[PubMed](#)] [[Google Scholar](#)]
142. Lin T.-S., Chiang Y.-M., Wang C. C. *Org. Lett.* 2016;18:1366–1369. doi: 10.1021/acs.orglett.6b00299. [[DOI](#)] [[PMC free article](#)] [[PubMed](#)] [[Google Scholar](#)]
143. Bok J. W., Chiang Y.-M., Szewczyk E., Reyes-Dominguez Y., Davidson A. D., Sanchez J. F., Lo H.-C., Watanabe K., Strauss J., Oakley B. R., Wang C. C. C., Keller N. P. *Nat. Chem. Biol.* 2009;5:462–464. doi: 10.1038/nchembio.177. [[DOI](#)] [[PMC free article](#)] [[PubMed](#)] [[Google Scholar](#)]
144. Scherlach K., Sarkar A., Schroekh V., Dahse H.-M., Roth M., Brakhage A. A., Horn U., Hertweck C. *ChemBioChem*. 2011;12:1836–1839. doi: 10.1002/cbic.201100132. [[DOI](#)] [[PubMed](#)] [[Google Scholar](#)]
145. Eisendle M., Oberegger H., Zadra I., Haas H. *Mol. Microbiol.* 2003;49:359–375. doi: 10.1046/j.1365-2958.2003.03586.x. [[DOI](#)] [[PubMed](#)] [[Google Scholar](#)]
146. MacCabe A. P., van Liempt H., Palissa H., Unkles S. E., Riach M. B., Pfeifer E., von Döhren H., Kinghorn J. R. *J. Biol. Chem.* 1991;266:12646–12654. [[PubMed](#)] [[Google Scholar](#)]
147. Brown D. W., Adams T. H., Keller N. P. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 1996;93:14873–14877. doi: 10.1073/pnas.93.25.14873. [[DOI](#)] [[PMC free article](#)] [[PubMed](#)] [[Google Scholar](#)]
148. Watanabe A., Fujii I., Sankawa U., Mayorga M. E., Timberlake W. E., Ebizuka Y. *Tetrahedron Lett.* 1999;40:91–94. [[Google Scholar](#)]
149. Bergmann S., Schümann J., Scherlach K., Lange C., Brakhage A. A., Hertweck C. *Nat. Chem. Biol.*

- 2007;3:213–217. doi: 10.1038/nchembio869. [DOI] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
150. Bouhired S., Weber M., Kempf-Sontag A., Keller N. P., Hoffmeister D. *Fungal Genet. Biol.* 2007;44:1134–1145. doi: 10.1016/j.fgb.2006.12.010. [DOI] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
151. O'Hanlon K. A., Gallagher L., Schrett M., Jöchl C., Kavanagh K., Larsen T. O., Doyle S. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 2012;78:3166–3176. doi: 10.1128/AEM.07249-11. [DOI] [PMC free article] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
152. Reiber K., Reeves E. P., Neville C. M., Winkler R., Gebhardt P., Kavanagh K., Doyle S. *FEMS Microbiol. Lett.* 2005;248:83–91. doi: 10.1016/j.femsle.2005.05.028. [DOI] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
153. Schrett M., Bignell E., Kragl C., Sabiha Y., Loss O., Eisendle M., Wallner A., Jr H. N. A., Haynes K., Haas H. *PLoS Pathog.* 2007;3:e128. doi: 10.1371/journal.ppat.0030128. [DOI] [PMC free article] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
154. Watanabe A., Fujii I., Tsai H.-F., Chang Y. C., Kwon-Chung K. J., Ebizuka Y. *FEMS Microbiol. Lett.* 2000;192:39–44. doi: 10.1111/j.1574-6968.2000.tb09356.x. [DOI] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
155. Unsöld I. A., Li S.-M. *ChemBioChem.* 2006;7:158–164. doi: 10.1002/cbic.200500318. [DOI] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
156. Gardiner D. M., Howlett B. J. *FEMS Microbiol. Lett.* 2005;248:241–248. doi: 10.1016/j.femsle.2005.05.046. [DOI] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
157. Wiemann P., Lechner B. E., Baccile J. A., Velk T. A., Yin W.-B., Bok J. W., Pakala S., Losada L., Nierman W. C., Schroeder F. C., Haas H., Keller N. P. *Front. Microbiol.* 2014;5:530. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2014.00530. [DOI] [PMC free article] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
158. Ames B. D., Liu X., Walsh C. T. *Biochemistry.* 2010;49:8564–8576. doi: 10.1021/bi1012029. [DOI] [PMC free article] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
159. Ames B. D., Walsh C. T. *Biochemistry.* 2010;49:3351–3365. doi: 10.1021/bi100198y. [DOI] [PMC free article] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
160. Itoh T., Tokunaga K., Matsuda Y., Fujii I., Abe I., Ebizuka Y., Kushiro T. *Nat. Chem.* 2010;2:858–864. doi: 10.1038/ncchem.764. [DOI] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
161. Maiya S., Grundmann A., Li S.-M., Turner G. *ChemBioChem.* 2006;7:1062–1069. doi: 10.1002/cbic.200600003. [DOI] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
162. Maiya S., Grundmann A., Li X., Li S.-M., Turner G. *ChemBioChem.* 2007;8:1736–1743. doi: 10.1002/cbic.200700001. [DOI] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]

cbic.200700202. [DOI] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]

163. Frisvad J. C., Smedsgaard J., Samson R. A., Larsen T. O., Thrane U. J. Agric. Food Chem. 2007;55:9727–9732. doi: 10.1021/jf0718906. [DOI] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]

164. Frisvad J. C., Larsen T. O., Thrane U., Meijer M., Varga J., Samson R. A., Nielsen K. F. PLoS One. 2011;6:e23496. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0023496. [DOI] [PMC free article] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]

165. Chiang Y.-M., Meyer K. M., Praseuth M., Baker S. E., Bruno K. S., Wang C. C. C. Fungal Genet. Biol. 2011;48:430–437. doi: 10.1016/j.fgb.2010.12.001. [DOI] [PMC free article] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]

166. Li Y., Chooi Y.-H., Sheng Y., Valentine J. S., Tang Y. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2011;133:15773–15785. doi: 10.1021/ja206906d. [DOI] [PMC free article] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]

167. Gressler M., Zaehle C., Scherlach K., Hertweck C., Brock M. Chem. Biol. 2011;18:198–209. doi: 10.1016/j.chembiol.2010.12.011. [DOI] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]

168. Guo C.-J., Knox B. P., Sanchez J. F., Chiang Y.-M., Bruno K. S., Wang C. C. C. Org. Lett. 2013;15:3562–3565. doi: 10.1021/o1401384v. [DOI] [PMC free article] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]

169. Geib E., Gressler M., Viedernikova I., Hillmann F., Jacobsen I. D., Nietzsche S., Hertweck C., Brock M. Cell Chem. Biol. 2016;23:587–597. doi: 10.1016/j.chembiol.2016.03.014. [DOI] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]

170. Huang K. X., Fujii I., Ebizuka Y., Gomi K., Sankawa U. J. Biol. Chem. 1995;270:21495–21502. doi: 10.1074/jbc.270.37.21495. [DOI] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]

171. Kennedy J., Auclair K., Kendrew S. G., Park C., Vederas J. C., Hutchinson C. R. Science. 1999;284:1368–1372. doi: 10.1126/science.284.5418.1368. [DOI] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]