CS-215-Assignment 3

Akshat Goyal (210050009) and Anshul Verma (210050015)

October 2022

Problem 3

1 Pareto Distribution

A Pareto Distribution with scale parameter $\theta_m>0$ and shape parameter $\alpha>1$ is given as

$$P(\theta) = \left(\frac{\alpha - 1}{\theta_m}\right)(\theta_m/\theta)^{\alpha} \quad \theta \ge \theta_m$$
$$P(\theta) = 0 \quad otherwise$$

1.1 Mode of the Distribution

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} P(\theta) = (-\alpha) (\frac{\alpha - 1}{\theta_m}) (\theta_m)^{\alpha} (\theta)^{-\alpha - 1}$$

Hence the derivative of this function is negative and so it is a strictly decreasing function. Hence the maximum value of the PDF occurs at $\theta = \theta_m$.

$$\mathbf{Mode} = \theta_m$$

1.2 Mean of the Distribution

We have

$$\begin{split} \bar{\theta} &= \int_{\theta_m}^{\infty} \theta. P(\theta) \, d\theta \\ \Rightarrow \bar{\theta} &= \int_{\theta_m}^{\infty} \theta. (\frac{\alpha - 1}{\theta_m}) (\theta_m / \theta)^{\alpha} \, d\theta \\ \Rightarrow \bar{\theta} &= \int_{\theta_m}^{\infty} \theta^{1 - \alpha} (\alpha - 1) (\theta_m)^{\alpha - 1} \, d\theta \\ \Rightarrow \bar{\theta} &= \frac{\theta^{2 - \alpha}}{2 - \alpha} (\alpha - 1) (\theta_m)^{\alpha - 1} \bigg|_{\theta_m}^{\infty} \\ \Rightarrow \mathbf{Mean} &= \bar{\theta} = (\frac{\alpha - 1}{\alpha - 2}) (\theta_m) \end{split}$$

2 Maximum Likelihood Estimate

We are given that X is a random variable that has a Uniform PDF i.e $U[0, \theta]$.

Suppose $x_1, x_2, ... x_N$ are N observations from the PDF of x such that $x_1 \le x_2 \le ... \le x_N$ then the likelihood function is given as

$$L(\theta) = P(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n | \theta) = \left(\frac{1}{\theta}\right)^N$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{\partial L(\theta)}{\partial \theta} = \frac{-N}{\theta^{N+1}}$$

Hence Likelihood function is maximum for the case when θ is minimum.

Also, we know that

$$\theta \ge \max(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$$

$$\Rightarrow \min(\theta) = \max(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = x_n$$

$$\Rightarrow \hat{\theta}^{ML} = x_n$$

Hence the maximum likelihood estimate of the random variable X is the maximum value of the sample.

3 Maximum-a-Posteriori Estimate

We know that Posterior Distribution is given as:

$$Posterior = \frac{\text{Likelihood} \times \text{Prior}}{\text{Evidence}}$$

$$P\left(\theta \mid x_{1}, x_{2}, \dots x_{N}\right) = \frac{P\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \dots, x_{N} \mid \theta\right) \times P(\theta)}{\int P\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \dots, x_{N} \mid \theta\right) P(\theta) d\theta}$$

$$\Rightarrow P\left(\theta \mid x_{1}, x_{2}, \dots x_{N}\right) \propto \left(\frac{\theta_{m}}{\theta}\right)^{N+\alpha} \quad \text{where} \quad \theta \geq \max(\max(x_{1}, x_{2}, \dots, x_{n}), \theta_{m})$$

Hence it is a conjugate PDF to the Pareto Prior and has Pareto Distribution with $\alpha' = N + \alpha$ and $\theta'_m = max(max(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n), \theta_m) = max(x_n, \theta_m)$

$$\Rightarrow P(\theta \mid x_1, x_2, \dots x_N) = \left(\frac{N + \alpha - 1}{\theta'_m}\right) (\theta'_m / \theta)^{N + \alpha} \quad \theta \ge \theta'_m$$

$$P(\theta \mid x_1, x_2, \dots x_N) = 0 \quad otherwise$$

$$\Rightarrow \hat{\theta}^{MAP} = \theta'_m$$

$$\Rightarrow \hat{\theta}^{MAP} = max(x_n, \theta_m)$$

4 Comparison of estimates for large sample size

$$\hat{\theta}^{MAP} = max(max(x1, x2, \dots, x_n), \theta_m)$$

$$\hat{\theta}^{ML} = max(x1, x2, \dots, x_n)$$

When Sample size $N \to \infty$,

• if $\theta_m \leq \theta_{true}$

$$\hat{\theta}^{MAP} = max(max(x1, x2, \dots, x_n), \theta_m) \to \theta_{true}$$

$$\hat{\theta}^{ML} = max(x1, x2, \dots, x_n) \to \theta_{true}$$

$$\Rightarrow \hat{\theta}^{MAP} \to \hat{\theta}^{ML}$$

(Since all the data lies in $[0, \theta_{true}]$ and $\theta_m \leq \theta_{true}$)

This case is desirable since the Maximum likelihood estimate has a symptotically the lowest Mean Squared Error and hence $\hat{\theta}^{MAP}$ is a good estimator.

• if $\theta_m > \theta_{true}$

$$\hat{\theta}^{MAP} = \max(\max(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n), \theta_m) = \theta_m \quad \forall N$$

$$\hat{\theta}^{ML} = \max(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \to \theta_{true}$$

$$\Rightarrow \hat{\theta}^{MAP} > \hat{\theta}^{ML}$$

(Since all the data lies in $[0, \theta_{true}]$ and $\theta_m > \theta_{true}$)

This case is undesirable because the MAP estimate has a constant bias of $(\theta_m - \theta_{true})$, so its Mean Squared Error never tends to 0. Whereas the ML-estimate's bias tends to 0 asymptotically and its Mean Squared Error also tends to 0.

In many cases due to a bad choice of prior Model, $\hat{\theta}^{MAP}$ would not tend to $\hat{\theta}^{ML}$ and this is undesirable and makes the MAP estimator a poor estimator. For a correctly chosen Prior Model the MAP estimate tends to the ML estimate

and also may be slightly better than it.

5 Posterior Mean Estimate

Since the posterior distribution is a Pareto distribution with the parameters as:

$$\alpha' = N + \alpha$$

$$\theta'_m = \max(\max(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n), \theta_m)$$

Posterior Mean estimate is (Assuming squared error Loss function):

$$\hat{\theta}^{PosteriorMean} = E_{Posterior}[\theta] = \int_{\theta'_m}^{\infty} \theta.P(\theta|X) \, d\theta$$

$$\Rightarrow \hat{\theta}^{PosteriorMean} = \int_{\theta'_m}^{\infty} \theta. (\frac{N+\alpha-1}{\theta'_m}) (\theta'_m/\theta)^{N+\alpha} \, d\theta$$

$$\Rightarrow \hat{\theta}^{PosteriorMean} = \int_{\theta'_m}^{\infty} (N+\alpha-1) (\theta'_m)^{N+\alpha-1} . (\theta^{-N-\alpha+1}) \, d\theta$$

$$\Rightarrow \hat{\theta}^{PosteriorMean} = \frac{(N+\alpha-1)}{(N+\alpha-2)} (\theta'_m)$$

6 Comparison Posterior-Mean and ML estimates

As $N \to \infty$ we have $\hat{\theta}^{PosteriorMean} \to \theta_m'$ again using the previous argument,

• if $\theta_m \leq \theta_{true}$

$$\begin{split} \hat{\theta}^{PosteriorMean} &= \frac{(N+\alpha-1)}{(N+\alpha-2)}.max(max(x1,x2,\ldots,x_n),\theta_m) \rightarrow \theta_{true} \\ \\ \hat{\theta}^{ML} &= max(x1,x2,\ldots,x_n) \rightarrow \theta_{true} \\ \\ &\Rightarrow \hat{\theta}^{PosteriorMean} \rightarrow \hat{\theta}^{ML} \end{split}$$

(Since all the data lies in $[0, \theta_{true}]$ and $\theta_m \leq \theta_{true}$)

This case is desirable since the Maximum likelihood estimate has asymptotically the lowest Mean Squared Error and hence $\hat{\theta}^{PosteriorMean}$ is a good estimator.

• if
$$\frac{(N+\alpha-1)}{(N+\alpha-2)}.\theta_m > \theta_{true}$$

$$\hat{\theta}^{PosteriorMean} = \frac{(N+\alpha-1)}{(N+\alpha-2)}.max(max(x1,x2,\ldots,x_n),\theta_m) = \frac{(N+\alpha-1)}{(N+\alpha-2)}.\theta_m \quad \forall N$$

$$\hat{\theta}^{ML} = max(x1,x2,\ldots,x_n) \rightarrow \theta_{true}$$

$$\Rightarrow \hat{\theta}^{PosteriorMean} > \hat{\theta}^{ML}$$

(Since all the data lies in $[0, \theta_{true}]$ and $\theta_m > \theta_{true}$)

This case is undesirable because the MAP estimate always has a positive bias whose minimum value is $(\theta_m - \theta_{true})$, so its Mean Squared Error never tends to 0. Whereas the ML-estimate's bias tends to 0 asymptotically and its Mean Squared Error also tends to 0.

In many cases due to a bad choice of prior Model, $\hat{\theta}^{PosteriorMean}$ would not tend to $\hat{\theta}^{ML}$ and this is undesirable and makes the Posterior Mean estimator a poor estimator.

For a correctly chosen Prior Model the Posterior Mean estimate would tend to the ML estimate and also may be slightly better than it.