

# Notes on MCMC convergence of HMC and General HMC ideas

Bradley Gram-Hansen

October 2, 2017

The preliminary basics for defining MCMC convergence. Consider a probability space  $(Q, \mathcal{B}(Q), \pi)$  with an  $n$ -dimensional sample space  $Q$ , the Borel  $\sigma$ -algebra over  $Q$ ,  $\mathcal{B}(Q)$  and a distinguished probability measure  $\pi$ . Where, in a Bayesian setting, the distinguished probability measure  $\pi$  represents the posterior distribution.

**Definition 1.** A Markov kernel  $\tau$  is a map from an element of the sample space and the  $\sigma$ -algebra to a probability.

$$\tau : Q \times \mathcal{B}(Q) \rightarrow [0, 1]$$

such that the kernel is a measurable function in the first argument:

$$\tau(\cdot, A) : Q \rightarrow [0, 1] \quad \forall A \in \mathcal{B}(Q)$$

and a probability measure in the second argument:

$$\tau(q, \cdot) : \mathcal{B}(Q) \rightarrow [0, 1] \quad \forall q \in Q$$

and so by construction the Markov kernel defines a map:

$$\tau : Q \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(Q)$$

where  $\mathcal{P}(Q)$  represents the space of probability measures over  $Q$ . Essentially, at each point on the sample space, the kernel defines a probability measure describing how to sample a new point. By averaging the Markov kernel over all initial points in the state space, we can construct a *Markov transition* from a probability measures, to probability measures:

$$\mathcal{T} : \mathcal{P}(Q) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(Q)$$

by:

$$\pi'(A) = \pi\mathcal{T}(A) = \int \tau(q, A) \pi(dq) \quad \forall q \in Q, A \in \mathcal{B}(Q)$$

when the transition has an eigenvalue equation of the form:

$$\pi\mathcal{T} = \pi$$

then the transition is aperiodic, irreducible, Harris recurrent, and preserves the target measure. The repeated application of a transition of this form constructs a Markov chain, that will eventually explore the entirety of  $\pi$ .

## 1 Reparameterization Trick

**Definition 2.** *Law of the Unconscious Statistician (LOTUS), states that one can compute the expectation of a measurable function  $g$  of a random variable  $r$ , by integrating  $g(r)$  w.r.t the distribution of  $r$ :*

$$\mathbb{E}[g(r)] = \int g(r)dF_r$$

This means that to compute the expectation of  $z = g(r)$  we only need to know  $g$  and the distribution of  $r$ . We do not need to know explicitly the distribution of  $z$ .

$$\mathbb{E}_{r \sim p(r)}[g(r)] = \mathbb{E}_{z \sim p(z)}[z]$$

add more stuff here

### 1.1 The Gumbel Distribution Trick

**Definition 3.** *The random variable  $G$  is said to have a standard Gumbel distribution if:*

$$G = \log(-\log(U))$$

where  $U \sim \text{Unif}[0, 1]$ .

Using the Gumbel distribution, we can parameterize any discrete distribution in terms of Gumbel random variables by using the follow fact:

**Definition 4.** *Let  $X$  be a discrete random variable with  $P(X = k) \propto \alpha_k$  random variable and let  $\{G_k\}_{k \leq K}$  be an i.i.d sequence of standard Gumbel random variables. Then:*

$$X = \arg \max_k (\log \alpha_k + G_k)$$

In a high level view this means that the recipe for sampling from a categorical distribution is:

- Draw Gumbel noise by just transforming uniform samples
- Add it to  $\log \alpha_k$  which only has to be known up to a normalising constant.
- Take the value  $k$  that produces the maximum.

### 1.1.1 Relaxing the Discreteness

However,  $\arg \max$  that tries to embed our discrete parameter is not continuous. To circumvent this, we can relax the discrete set by considering random variables taking the values in a larger, unconstrained set. To construct this relaxation we recognise that:

- Any discrete random variable can be expressed as a one-hot vector.
- The convex hull of the set of one-hot vector is the probability simplex

$$\Delta^{K-1} = \left\{ x \in \mathbb{R}_+^K, \sum_{k=1}^K x_k = 1 \right\}$$

Therefore, a natural way to extend a discrete random variable is by allowing it to take values in the probability simplex. This we can do via the partition function, indexed by a temperature parameter as follows:

$$f_\tau(x)_k = \frac{\exp(x_k \setminus \tau)}{\sum_{k=1}^K \exp(x_k \setminus \tau)} \quad (1)$$

this enables us to define the sequence of simplex-valued random variables:

$$X^\tau = (X_k^\tau)_k = f_\tau(\log \alpha + G) = \left( \frac{\exp(\log \alpha_k + G_k) \setminus \tau}{\sum_{k=1}^K \exp((\log \alpha_k + G_k) \setminus \tau)} \right)$$

where  $X^\tau$  is the "concrete" distribution, that is a mixture of **continuous** and **discrete**, denoted  $x^\tau \sim \text{Concrete}(\alpha, \tau)$

**Definition 5.** Let  $X \sim \text{Concrete}(\alpha, \lambda)$  with location parameters  $\alpha \in (0, \inf)^n$  and temperature  $\lambda \in (0, \inf)$

- (Reparameterization) If  $G_k \sim \text{Gumbel}$  i.i.d, then  $X_k = (X_k^\tau)_k = f_\tau(\log \alpha + G) = \left( \frac{\exp(\log \alpha_k + G_k) \setminus \tau}{\sum_{k=1}^K \exp((\log \alpha_k + G_k) \setminus \tau)} \right)$
- (Rounding)  $\mathcal{P}(X_k > X_i \text{ for } i \neq k) = \frac{\alpha_k}{(\sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i)} 4$
- (Zero temperature)  $\mathcal{P}(\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow 0} X_k = 1) = \alpha_k \setminus (\sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i)$

The pdf of the concrete distribution is given by:

$$p_{\alpha, \tau}(x) = (n-1)! \tau^{n-1} \prod_{k=1}^K ($$