Operator-Algebra Quantum Erasure Correction and Holography

Ben Karsberg

22/06/2023

Table of Contents

- Introduction
- Quantum Erasures
- Operator-Algebras
- 4 Conclusions

 Quantum computers can provide an exponential speed-up over classical computers

- Quantum computers can provide an exponential speed-up over classical computers
- Qubits are very sensitive to changes in the environment suffer decoherence

- Quantum computers can provide an exponential speed-up over classical computers
- Qubits are very sensitive to changes in the environment suffer decoherence
- Quantum error correction: finds ways to correct errors at every stage of a computation

- Quantum computers can provide an exponential speed-up over classical computers
- Qubits are very sensitive to changes in the environment suffer decoherence
- Quantum error correction: finds ways to correct errors at every stage of a computation
- Potential approach to fault-tolerant quantum computers

- Quantum computers can provide an exponential speed-up over classical computers
- Qubits are very sensitive to changes in the environment suffer decoherence
- Quantum error correction: finds ways to correct errors at every stage of a computation
- Potential approach to fault-tolerant quantum computers
- Rough idea: spread information of a single (logical) quantum state across a highly entangled (physical) composite state

• Holographic principle: property of quantum gravity

- Holographic principle: property of quantum gravity
- Information contained in a gravitational bulk volume is 'encoded' on the boundary

- Holographic principle: property of quantum gravity
- Information contained in a gravitational bulk volume is 'encoded' on the boundary
- Famous example: AdS/CFT correspondence

- Holographic principle: property of quantum gravity
- Information contained in a gravitational bulk volume is 'encoded' on the boundary
- Famous example: AdS/CFT correspondence
- Relationship between gravitational theory on an Anti-de Sitter space and a conformal field theory on its boundary

- Holographic principle: property of quantum gravity
- Information contained in a gravitational bulk volume is 'encoded' on the boundary
- Famous example: AdS/CFT correspondence
- Relationship between gravitational theory on an Anti-de Sitter space and a conformal field theory on its boundary
- Holographic dictionary: provides 1-to-1 correspondence between bulk and boundary

 2015: Ahmed Almheiri, Xi Dong, and Daniel Harlow publish paper describing certain aspects of AdS/CFT in the language of error correction¹

¹Bulk Locality and Quantum Error Correction in AdS/CFT, 2015, arXiv:1411.7041 [hep-th]

- 2015: Ahmed Almheiri, Xi Dong, and Daniel Harlow publish paper describing certain aspects of AdS/CFT in the language of error correction¹
- Quantum erasure correcting codes satisfy algebraic version of the Ryu-Takayanagi (RT) formula

¹Bulk Locality and Quantum Error Correction in AdS/CFT, 2015, arXiv:1411.7041 [hep-th]

- 2015: Ahmed Almheiri, Xi Dong, and Daniel Harlow publish paper describing certain aspects of AdS/CFT in the language of error correction¹
- Quantum erasure correcting codes satisfy algebraic version of the Ryu-Takayanagi (RT) formula
- Links **entropy** of a boundary CFT state on subregion A, and the entropy in the gravitational region 'visible' from A

¹Bulk Locality and Quantum Error Correction in AdS/CFT, 2015, arXiv:1411.7041 [hep-th]

- 2015: Ahmed Almheiri, Xi Dong, and Daniel Harlow publish paper describing certain aspects of AdS/CFT in the language of error correction¹
- Quantum erasure correcting codes satisfy algebraic version of the Ryu-Takayanagi (RT) formula
- Links **entropy** of a boundary CFT state on subregion A, and the entropy in the gravitational region 'visible' from A
- Unexpected link between entanglement properties of quantum error correcting codes and geometry of spacetime

¹Bulk Locality and Quantum Error Correction in AdS/CFT, 2015, arXiv:1411.7041 [hep-th]

Table of Contents

- Introduction
- Quantum Erasures
- Operator-Algebras
- 4 Conclusions

ullet Qutrit: 3-dimensional Hilbert space, basis elements $\{\ket{0},\ket{1},\ket{2}\}$

- **Qutrit:** 3-dimensional Hilbert space, basis elements $\{|0\rangle, |1\rangle, |2\rangle\}$
- Alice wishes to send Bob the logical qutrit

$$|\psi\rangle = \sum_{i=0}^{2} a_i |i\rangle \tag{1}$$

- **Qutrit:** 3-dimensional Hilbert space, basis elements $\{|0\rangle, |1\rangle, |2\rangle\}$
- Alice wishes to send Bob the logical qutrit

$$|\psi\rangle = \sum_{i=0}^{2} a_i |i\rangle \tag{1}$$

Problem: every third qutrit is erased with certainty

- **Qutrit:** 3-dimensional Hilbert space, basis elements $\{|0\rangle, |1\rangle, |2\rangle\}$
- Alice wishes to send Bob the logical qutrit

$$|\psi\rangle = \sum_{i=0}^{2} a_i |i\rangle \tag{1}$$

- Problem: every third qutrit is erased with certainty
- Solution: Alice sends three physical qutrits in code subspace, spanned by

$$\begin{split} |\tilde{0}\rangle &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}(|000\rangle + |111\rangle + |222\rangle) \\ |\tilde{1}\rangle &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}(|012\rangle + |120\rangle + |201\rangle) \\ |\tilde{2}\rangle &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}(|021\rangle + |102\rangle + |210\rangle) \end{split} \tag{2}$$

• Alice does this, and the third physical qutrit is erased

- Alice does this, and the third physical qutrit is erased
- Bob can define a unitary on the first two physical qutrits only by

$$U_{12} \equiv |00\rangle \langle 00| + |01\rangle \langle 11| + |02\rangle \langle 22| + |12\rangle \langle 01| + |10\rangle \langle 12| + |11\rangle \langle 20| + |21\rangle \langle 02| + |22\rangle \langle 10| + |20\rangle \langle 21|$$
(3)

- Alice does this, and the third physical qutrit is erased
- Bob can define a unitary on the first two physical qutrits only by

$$U_{12} \equiv |00\rangle \langle 00| + |01\rangle \langle 11| + |02\rangle \langle 22| + |12\rangle \langle 01| + |10\rangle \langle 12| + |11\rangle \langle 20| + |21\rangle \langle 02| + |22\rangle \langle 10| + |20\rangle \langle 21|$$
(3)

Has property

$$(U_{12} \otimes I_3) |\tilde{i}\rangle = |i\rangle_1 \otimes \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} (|00\rangle + |11\rangle + |22\rangle)_{23}$$
 (4)

- Alice does this, and the third physical qutrit is erased
- Bob can define a unitary on the first two physical qutrits only by

$$U_{12} \equiv |00\rangle \langle 00| + |01\rangle \langle 11| + |02\rangle \langle 22| + |12\rangle \langle 01| + |10\rangle \langle 12| + |11\rangle \langle 20| + |21\rangle \langle 02| + |22\rangle \langle 10| + |20\rangle \langle 21|$$
(3)

Has property

$$(U_{12} \otimes I_3) |\tilde{i}\rangle = |i\rangle_1 \otimes \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} (|00\rangle + |11\rangle + |22\rangle)_{23}$$
 (4)

 With access to only first two physical qutrits, Bob can construct intended logical qutrit in the first physical register!

• Correctability can be re-phrased in terms of operators

- Correctability can be re-phrased in terms of operators
- \bullet \tilde{O} acts on a single qutrit as

$$\tilde{O}|i\rangle = \sum_{j=0}^{2} (O)_{ji}|j\rangle \tag{5}$$

- Correctability can be re-phrased in terms of operators
- ullet \tilde{O} acts on a single qutrit as

$$\tilde{O}|i\rangle = \sum_{j=0}^{2} (O)_{ji}|j\rangle \tag{5}$$

 Can define an operator on the first two physical qutrits which acts identically on code subspace basis:

$$O_{12} |\tilde{i}\rangle = \sum_{j=0}^{2} (O)_{ji} |\tilde{j}\rangle$$
 (6)

- Correctability can be re-phrased in terms of operators
- ullet \tilde{O} acts on a single qutrit as

$$\tilde{O}|i\rangle = \sum_{j=0}^{2} (O)_{ji}|j\rangle \tag{5}$$

• Can define an operator on the first two physical qutrits which acts identically on code subspace basis:

$$O_{12} |\tilde{i}\rangle = \sum_{j=0}^{2} (O)_{ji} |\tilde{j}\rangle$$
 (6)

Given by

$$O_{12} = U_{12}^{\dagger} \tilde{O} U_{12} \tag{7}$$

 Logical states encoded into a code subspace of a larger, physical Hilbert space

- Logical states encoded into a code subspace of a larger, physical Hilbert space
- In example, code subspace was

$$\mathcal{H}_{\mathsf{code}} = \mathsf{span}(\ket{\tilde{0}}, \ket{\tilde{1}}, \ket{\tilde{2}}) \subseteq \mathcal{H} = \bigotimes_{\alpha=1}^{3} \mathbb{C}^{3}$$
 (8)

- Logical states encoded into a code subspace of a larger, physical Hilbert space
- In example, code subspace was

$$\mathcal{H}_{\mathsf{code}} = \mathsf{span}(\ket{\tilde{0}}, \ket{\tilde{1}}, \ket{\tilde{2}}) \subseteq \mathcal{H} = \bigotimes_{\alpha=1}^{3} \mathbb{C}^{3}$$
 (8)

• Physical Hilbert space has **tensor product structure** $\mathcal{H}=\mathcal{H}_A\otimes\mathcal{H}_{\overline{A}}$, and \overline{A} system is erased

- Logical states encoded into a code subspace of a larger, physical Hilbert space
- In example, code subspace was

$$\mathcal{H}_{\mathsf{code}} = \mathsf{span}(\ket{\tilde{0}}, \ket{\tilde{1}}, \ket{\tilde{2}}) \subseteq \mathcal{H} = \bigotimes_{\alpha=1}^{3} \mathbb{C}^{3}$$
 (8)

- Physical Hilbert space has **tensor product structure** $\mathcal{H}=\mathcal{H}_A\otimes\mathcal{H}_{\overline{A}}$, and \overline{A} system is erased
- In example, $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_{12} \otimes \mathcal{H}_3$

- Logical states encoded into a code subspace of a larger, physical Hilbert space
- In example, code subspace was

$$\mathcal{H}_{\mathsf{code}} = \mathsf{span}(\ket{\tilde{0}}, \ket{\tilde{1}}, \ket{\tilde{2}}) \subseteq \mathcal{H} = \bigotimes_{\alpha=1}^{3} \mathbb{C}^{3}$$
 (8)

- Physical Hilbert space has **tensor product structure** $\mathcal{H}=\mathcal{H}_A\otimes\mathcal{H}_{\overline{A}}$, and \overline{A} system is erased
- In example, $\mathcal{H}=\mathcal{H}_{12}\otimes\mathcal{H}_3$
- Correctability phrased in terms of operators (Heisenberg picture) or states (Schrodinger picture)

Table of Contents

- Introduction
- Quantum Erasures
- Operator-Algebras
- 4 Conclusions

Operator-Algebras

• General theory needs von Neumann algebras

Operator-Algebras

- General theory needs von Neumann algebras
- von Neumann algebra M: subset of linear operators on a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} , closed under addition, multiplication, Hermitian conjugation, and contains all scalar multiples of the identity

Operator-Algebras

- General theory needs von Neumann algebras
- von Neumann algebra M: subset of linear operators on a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} , closed under addition, multiplication, Hermitian conjugation, and contains all scalar multiples of the identity
- Also have **commutant** algebra M': algebra of operators commuting with M

Operator-Algebras

- General theory needs von Neumann algebras
- von Neumann algebra M: subset of linear operators on a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} , closed under addition, multiplication, Hermitian conjugation, and contains all scalar multiples of the identity
- Also have **commutant** algebra M': algebra of operators commuting with M
- Can generalise (a lot of) objects 'on M', such as generalised **algebraic entropy** or even an algebraic version of the holographic RT formula

• $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_A \otimes \mathcal{H}_{\overline{A}}$ is physical Hilbert space, with code subspace $\mathcal{H}_{\text{code}} \subseteq \mathcal{H}$

- $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_A \otimes \mathcal{H}_{\overline{A}}$ is physical Hilbert space, with code subspace $\mathcal{H}_{\mathsf{code}} \subseteq \mathcal{H}$
- ullet von Neumann algebra M on $\mathcal{H}_{\mathsf{code}}$

- $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_A \otimes \mathcal{H}_{\overline{A}}$ is physical Hilbert space, with code subspace $\mathcal{H}_{\mathsf{code}} \subseteq \mathcal{H}$
- ullet von Neumann algebra M on $\mathcal{H}_{\mathsf{code}}$
- Correctability of M on A: for any $\tilde{O} \in M$, there is a O_A on \mathcal{H}_A such that for any $|\tilde{\psi}\rangle \in \mathcal{H}_{\mathsf{code}}$, we have

$$O_A |\tilde{\psi}\rangle = \tilde{O} |\tilde{\psi}\rangle, \quad O_A^{\dagger} |\tilde{\psi}\rangle = \tilde{O}^{\dagger} |\tilde{\psi}\rangle$$
 (9)

- $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_A \otimes \mathcal{H}_{\overline{A}}$ is physical Hilbert space, with code subspace $\mathcal{H}_{\mathsf{code}} \subseteq \mathcal{H}$
- ullet von Neumann algebra M on $\mathcal{H}_{\mathsf{code}}$
- Correctability of M on A: for any $\tilde{O} \in M$, there is a O_A on \mathcal{H}_A such that for any $|\tilde{\psi}\rangle \in \mathcal{H}_{\mathsf{code}}$, we have

$$O_A |\tilde{\psi}\rangle = \tilde{O} |\tilde{\psi}\rangle, \quad O_A^{\dagger} |\tilde{\psi}\rangle = \tilde{O}^{\dagger} |\tilde{\psi}\rangle$$
 (9)

• Complementary recovery M' is correctable on \overline{A} ; for any $\widetilde{O}' \in M'$, there is an $O_{\overline{A}}$ on $\mathcal{H}_{\overline{A}}$ such that

$$O_{\overline{A}} |\tilde{\psi}\rangle = \tilde{O}' |\tilde{\psi}\rangle, \quad O_{\overline{A}}^{\dagger} |\tilde{\psi}\rangle = \tilde{O}'^{\dagger} |\tilde{\psi}\rangle$$
 (10)

Complementary Recovery ← RT Formula

 Culmination of my dissertation was presenting a self contained proof of the following

Complementary Recovery \iff RT Formula

 Culmination of my dissertation was presenting a self contained proof of the following

Theorem

Suppose $\mathcal{H}=\mathcal{H}_A\otimes\mathcal{H}_{\overline{A}}$ has a code subspace \mathcal{H}_{code} , which has a von Neumann algebra M acting on it. Moreover, suppose that (A,M) has complementary recovery. Then, the pairs (A,M) and (\overline{A},M') both have the same RT formula. The converse also holds.

Complementary Recovery \iff RT Formula

 Culmination of my dissertation was presenting a self contained proof of the following

Theorem

Suppose $\mathcal{H}=\mathcal{H}_A\otimes\mathcal{H}_{\overline{A}}$ has a code subspace \mathcal{H}_{code} , which has a von Neumann algebra M acting on it. Moreover, suppose that (A,M) has complementary recovery. Then, the pairs (A,M) and (\overline{A},M') both have the same RT formula. The converse also holds.

• This is a **very** strong statement: any operator-algebra correcting code with complementary recovery has a structure mirroring holography

Complementary Recovery \iff RT Formula

 Culmination of my dissertation was presenting a self contained proof of the following

Theorem

Suppose $\mathcal{H}=\mathcal{H}_A\otimes\mathcal{H}_{\overline{A}}$ has a code subspace \mathcal{H}_{code} , which has a von Neumann algebra M acting on it. Moreover, suppose that (A,M) has complementary recovery. Then, the pairs (A,M) and (\overline{A},M') both have the same RT formula. The converse also holds.

- This is a **very** strong statement: any operator-algebra correcting code with complementary recovery has a structure mirroring holography
- Sometimes phrased as 'spacetime is an error correcting code'

Table of Contents

- Introduction
- Quantum Erasures
- Operator-Algebras
- 4 Conclusions

 My project described a class of quantum error correcting procedures, following Harlow et al

- My project described a class of quantum error correcting procedures, following Harlow et al
- Presented an entirely self-contained exposition of the subject, relying on no holographic preliminaries

- My project described a class of quantum error correcting procedures, following Harlow et al
- Presented an entirely self-contained exposition of the subject, relying on no holographic preliminaries
- Many generalisations: approximate erasure correction, non-isometric codes etc.

- My project described a class of quantum error correcting procedures, following Harlow et al
- Presented an entirely self-contained exposition of the subject, relying on no holographic preliminaries
- Many generalisations: approximate erasure correction, non-isometric codes etc.
- Most prolific area: tensor networks, which are more interesting from holography point of view

- My project described a class of quantum error correcting procedures, following Harlow et al
- Presented an entirely self-contained exposition of the subject, relying on no holographic preliminaries
- Many generalisations: approximate erasure correction, non-isometric codes etc.
- Most prolific area: tensor networks, which are more interesting from holography point of view
- AdS space is directly 'tiled' with erasure correcting codes based on contracting tensors - provides concrete link between entanglement of codes and geometry of spacetime