Appendix for "Let's be Honest: An Optimal No-Regret Framework for Zero-Sum Games"

Ehsan Asadi Kangarshahi *1 Ya-Ping Hsieh *1 Mehmet Fatih Sahin 1 Volkan Cevher 1

A. Equivalence Formulations of Optimistic Mirror Descent

In this appendix, we show that the x_t iterates in (2) of the main text is equivalent to the following iterates given in (Chiang et al., 2012; Rakhlin & Sridharan, 2013):

$$\begin{cases} \mathbf{x}_{t} &= MD_{\eta}\left(\tilde{\mathbf{x}}_{t}, -A\mathbf{y}_{t-1}\right) \\ \tilde{\mathbf{x}}_{t+1} &= MD_{\eta}\left(\tilde{\mathbf{x}}_{t}, -A\mathbf{y}_{t}\right) \end{cases}$$
(A.1)

By the optimality condition for (A.1), we have

$$\nabla \psi(\mathbf{x}_t) = \nabla \psi(\tilde{\mathbf{x}}_t) - \eta \left(-A\mathbf{y}_{t-1} \right), \tag{A.2}$$

$$\nabla \psi(\tilde{\mathbf{x}}_t) = \nabla \psi(\tilde{\mathbf{x}}_{t-1}) - \eta(-A\mathbf{y}_{t-1}), \tag{A.3}$$

$$\nabla \psi(\tilde{\mathbf{x}}_{t-1}) = \nabla \psi(\mathbf{x}_{t-1}) + \eta(-A\mathbf{y}_{t-2}). \tag{A.4}$$

We hence get (2) by applying (A.4) to (A.3) and then (A.3) to (A.2).

B. Optimistic Mirror Descent

In this appendix, we prove **Theorem 2**, restated below for convenience.

Theorem 1. Suppose two players of a zero-sum game have played T rounds according to **Algorithm 1** and **2** with $\eta = \frac{1}{2|A|_{\text{max}}}$. Then

1. The x-player suffers a $O\left(\frac{\log(T)}{T}\right)$ regret:

$$\max_{\mathbf{z} \in \Delta_m} \sum_{t=3}^{T} \langle \mathbf{z}_t - \mathbf{z}, -A\mathbf{w}_t \rangle \le \left(\log(T-2) + 1 \right) \left(20 + \log m + \log n \right) |A|_{\max}$$

$$= O\left(\log T \right)$$
(B.1)

and similarly for the y-player.

2. The strategies $(\mathbf{z}_T, \mathbf{w}_T)$ constitutes an $O\left(\frac{1}{T}\right)$ -approximate equilibrium to the value of the game:

$$|V - \langle \mathbf{z}_T, A\mathbf{w}_T \rangle| \le \frac{\left(20 + \log m + \log n\right)|A|_{\max}}{T - 2} = O\left(\frac{1}{T}\right).$$
(B.2)

Proceedings of the 35th International Conference on Machine Learning, Stockholm, Sweden, PMLR 80, 2018. Copyright 2018 by the author(s).

^{*}Equal contribution ¹LIONS, EPFL, Switzerland. Correspondence to: Ya-Ping Hsieh <ya-ping.hsieh@epfl.ch>, Volkan Cevher <volkan.cevher@epfl.ch>.

Proof. Define x^* as

$$\mathbf{x}^* = \arg\min_{\mathbf{x} \in \Delta_m} \left\langle \mathbf{x}, -A \left(\frac{1}{T - 2} \sum_{t=3}^T \mathbf{y}_t \right) \right\rangle.$$
 (B.3)

We define an auxiliary individual regret R_T^x as

$$\mathbf{R}_T^{\mathbf{x}} := \sum_{t=3}^{T} \langle \mathbf{x}_t - \mathbf{x}^*, -A\mathbf{y}_t \rangle. \tag{B.4}$$

Notice that this is the regret on the \mathbf{x}_t sequence versus \mathbf{y}_t sequence, while we are playing \mathbf{z}_t 's and \mathbf{w}_t 's in the algorithm. We then have

$$R_T^{\mathbf{x}} = \sum_{t=3}^{T} \langle \mathbf{x}_t - \mathbf{x}^*, -A\mathbf{y}_t \rangle$$

$$= \langle \mathbf{x}_3 - \mathbf{x}^*, -A\mathbf{y}_3 \rangle + \sum_{t=4}^{T} \langle \mathbf{x}_t - \mathbf{x}^*, -A\mathbf{y}_t \rangle$$

$$\leq 2|A|_{\max} + \sum_{t=4}^{T} \langle \mathbf{x}_t - \mathbf{x}^*, -A\mathbf{y}_t - \mathbf{g}_{t-1} \rangle + \sum_{t=4}^{T} \langle \mathbf{x}_t - \mathbf{x}^*, \mathbf{g}_{t-1} \rangle$$

where $\mathbf{g}_t := -2(t-2)A\mathbf{w}_t + 3(t-3)A\mathbf{w}_{t-1} - (t-4)A\mathbf{w}_{t-2}$. Inserting $\mathbf{w}_t = \frac{1}{t-2}\sum_{i=3}^t \mathbf{y}_i$ into the definition of \mathbf{g}_t , we get $\mathbf{g}_t = -2A\mathbf{y}_t + A\mathbf{y}_{t-1}$. Straightforward calculation then shows:

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{R}_{T}^{\mathbf{x}} &\leq 2|A|_{\max} + \sum_{t=4}^{T} \langle \mathbf{x}_{t} - \mathbf{x}^{*}, -A\mathbf{y}_{t} + 2A\mathbf{y}_{t-1} - A\mathbf{y}_{t-2} \rangle + \sum_{t=4}^{T} \langle \mathbf{x}_{t} - \mathbf{x}^{*}, -2A\mathbf{y}_{t-1} + A\mathbf{y}_{t-2} \rangle \\ &= 2|A|_{\max} + \sum_{t=4}^{T} \langle \mathbf{x}_{t} - \mathbf{x}^{*}, (-A\mathbf{y}_{t} + A\mathbf{y}_{t-1}) - (-A\mathbf{y}_{t-1} + A\mathbf{y}_{t-2}) \rangle \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{\eta} \sum_{t=4}^{T} \left(D(\mathbf{x}^{*}, \mathbf{x}_{t-1}) - D(\mathbf{x}^{*}, \mathbf{x}_{t}) - D(\mathbf{x}_{t}, \mathbf{x}_{t-1}) \right) \\ &= 2|A|_{\max} + \sum_{t=4}^{T-1} \langle \mathbf{x}_{t} - \mathbf{x}_{t+1}, -A\mathbf{y}_{t} + A\mathbf{y}_{t-1} \rangle + \langle \mathbf{x}_{4} - \mathbf{x}^{*}, A\mathbf{y}_{3} - A\mathbf{y}_{2} \rangle \\ &\quad + \langle \mathbf{x}_{T} - \mathbf{x}^{*}, -A\mathbf{y}_{T} + A\mathbf{y}_{T-1} \rangle + \frac{1}{\eta} \sum_{t=4}^{T} \left(D(\mathbf{x}^{*}, \mathbf{x}_{t-1}) - D(\mathbf{x}^{*}, \mathbf{x}_{t}) - D(\mathbf{x}_{t}, \mathbf{x}_{t-1}) \right) \\ &\leq 10|A|_{\max} + \sum_{t=4}^{T-1} \langle \mathbf{x}_{t} - \mathbf{x}_{t+1}, -A\mathbf{y}_{t} + A\mathbf{y}_{t-1} \rangle \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{\eta} \sum_{t=4}^{T} \left(D(\mathbf{x}^{*}, \mathbf{x}_{t-1}) - D(\mathbf{x}^{*}, \mathbf{x}_{t}) - D(\mathbf{x}_{t}, \mathbf{x}_{t-1}) \right) \\ &\leq 10|A|_{\max} + \sum_{t=4}^{T-1} \|\mathbf{x}_{t} - \mathbf{x}_{t+1}\|_{1} \cdot |A|_{\max} \cdot \|\mathbf{y}_{t} - \mathbf{y}_{t-1}\|_{1} \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{\eta} \left(D(\mathbf{x}^{*}, \mathbf{x}_{3}) - D(\mathbf{x}^{*}, \mathbf{x}_{T}) \right) + \sum_{t=4}^{T} \frac{-1}{\eta} D(\mathbf{x}_{t}, \mathbf{x}_{t-1}) \\ &\leq 10|A|_{\max} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{t=4}^{T-1} \left(|A|_{\max} \cdot \|\mathbf{x}_{t} - \mathbf{x}_{t+1}\|_{1}^{2} + |A|_{\max} \cdot \|\mathbf{y}_{t} - \mathbf{y}_{t-1}\|_{1}^{2} \right) \end{split}$$

$$+ \frac{1}{\eta} \Big(D(\mathbf{x}^*, \mathbf{x}_3) - D(\mathbf{x}^*, \mathbf{x}_T) \Big) + \sum_{t=4}^T \frac{-1}{\eta} D(\mathbf{x}_t, \mathbf{x}_{t-1}).$$

Using the fact that ψ is 1-strongly convex with respect to the ℓ_1 -norm, we have $-D(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}') \leq -\frac{1}{2} ||\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}'||_1^2 \leq 0$. Also, we have $D(\mathbf{x}^*, \mathbf{x}_3) \leq \log m$. Combining these facts in the last inequality gives:

$$R_T^{\mathbf{x}} \leq 10|A|_{\max} + \frac{\log m}{\eta} + \frac{|A|_{\max}}{2} \sum_{t=4}^{T-1} \|\mathbf{x}_t - \mathbf{x}_{t+1}\|_1^2 + \frac{|A|_{\max}}{2} \sum_{t=4}^{T-1} \|\mathbf{y}_t - \mathbf{y}_{t-1}\|_1^2 - \frac{1}{2\eta} \sum_{t=4}^{T} \|\mathbf{x}_{t-1} - \mathbf{x}_t\|_1^2.$$

Similarly, for the second player we define

$$\mathbf{R}_{T}^{\mathbf{y}} := \sum_{t=3}^{T} \left\langle \mathbf{y}_{t} - \mathbf{y}^{*}, A^{\top} \mathbf{x}_{t} \right\rangle$$
(B.5)

where $\mathbf{y}^* \coloneqq \arg\min_{\mathbf{y}} \left\langle \mathbf{y}, A^\top \left(\frac{1}{T-2} \sum_{t=3}^T \mathbf{x}_t \right) \right\rangle$. We then have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{R}_{T}^{\mathbf{y}} &\leq 10|A|_{\max} + \frac{\log n}{\eta} + \frac{|A|_{\max}}{2} \sum_{t=4}^{T-1} \|\mathbf{y}_{t} - \mathbf{y}_{t+1}\|_{1}^{2} \\ &+ \frac{|A|_{\max}}{2} \sum_{t=4}^{T-1} \|\mathbf{x}_{t} - \mathbf{x}_{t-1}\|_{1}^{2} - \frac{1}{2\eta} \sum_{t=4}^{T} \|\mathbf{y}_{t-1} - \mathbf{y}_{t}\|_{1}^{2}. \end{aligned}$$

Setting $\eta = \frac{1}{2|A|_{\text{max}}}$, we get

$$\mathbf{R}_T^{\mathbf{x}} + \mathbf{R}_T^{\mathbf{y}} \le \left(20 + \log m + \log n\right) |A|_{\max}. \tag{B.6}$$

Now, recalling that $\mathbf{z}_T = \frac{\sum_{t=3}^T \mathbf{x}_t}{T-2}$ and $\mathbf{w}_T = \frac{\sum_{t=3}^T \mathbf{y}_t}{T-2}$ and using the definition of $\mathbf{R}_T^{\mathbf{x}}$ and $\mathbf{R}_T^{\mathbf{y}}$, we get

$$\frac{1}{T-2} \left(\mathbf{R}_T^{\mathbf{x}} + \mathbf{R}_T^{\mathbf{y}} \right) = \max_{\mathbf{x} \in \Delta_m} \langle \mathbf{x}, A \mathbf{w}_T \rangle - \min_{\mathbf{y} \in \Delta_n} \langle \mathbf{z}_T, A \mathbf{y} \rangle.$$
 (B.7)

Furthermore, by the definition of the value of the game, we have

$$\min_{\mathbf{y} \in \Delta_n} \langle \mathbf{z}_T, A\mathbf{y} \rangle \le V \le \max_{\mathbf{x} \in \Delta_m} \langle \mathbf{x}, A\mathbf{w}_T \rangle. \tag{B.8}$$

We also trivially have

$$\min_{\mathbf{y} \in \Delta_n} \langle \mathbf{z}_T, A\mathbf{y} \rangle \le \langle \mathbf{z}_T, A\mathbf{w}_T \rangle \le \max_{\mathbf{x} \in \Delta_m} \langle \mathbf{x}, A\mathbf{w}_T \rangle.$$
(B.9)

Combining (B.7) - (B.9) in (B.6) then establishes (4):

$$|V - \langle \mathbf{z}_T, A\mathbf{w}_T \rangle| \le \frac{\left(20 + \log m + \log n\right)|A|_{\max}}{T - 2}.$$

We now turn to (3).

Let $R_T^z := \max_{\mathbf{z} \in \Delta_m} \sum_{t=3}^T \langle \mathbf{z}_t - \mathbf{z}, -A\mathbf{w}_t \rangle$ and let $\tilde{R}_T^z := \sum_{t=3}^T \langle \mathbf{z}_t - \mathbf{z}_t^*, -A\mathbf{w}_t \rangle$ where $\mathbf{z}_t^* = \arg\min_{\mathbf{z} \in \Delta_m} \langle \mathbf{z}, -A\mathbf{w}_t \rangle$. Evidently we have $R_T^z \leq \tilde{R}_T^z$. Notice that (with \mathbf{w}_t^* similarly defined)

$$\langle \mathbf{z}_{t} - \mathbf{z}_{t}^{*}, -A\mathbf{w}_{t} \rangle = \langle \mathbf{z}_{t}^{*}, A\mathbf{w}_{t} \rangle - \langle \mathbf{z}_{t}, A\mathbf{w}_{t} \rangle$$
$$\leq \langle \mathbf{z}_{t}^{*}, A\mathbf{w}_{t} \rangle - \langle \mathbf{z}_{t}, A\mathbf{w}_{t}^{*} \rangle$$

$$\leq \frac{\left(20 + \log m + \log n\right)|A|_{\max}}{t - 2} \tag{B.10}$$

by (B.6) and (B.7). Using these inequalities, we get

$$\begin{split} \frac{1}{T-2}\mathbf{R}_T^{\mathbf{z}} &\leq \frac{1}{T-2}\tilde{\mathbf{R}}_T^{\mathbf{z}} = \frac{1}{T-2}\sum_{t=3}^T \left\langle \mathbf{z}_t - \mathbf{z}_t^*, -A\mathbf{w}_t \right\rangle \\ &\leq \frac{1}{T-2}\sum_{t=3}^T \frac{\left(20 + \log m + \log n\right)|A|_{\max}}{t-2} \\ &\leq \frac{\left(\log(T-2) + 1\right)\left(20 + \log m + \log n\right)|A|_{\max}}{T-2} \end{split}$$

which finishes the proof.

C. Robust Optimistic Mirror Descent

In this appendix, we prove **Theorem 3**, repeated below for convenience.

Theorem 2 $(O(\sqrt{T})$ -Adversarial Regret). Suppose that $\|\nabla f_t\|_* \leq G$ for all t. Then playing T rounds of **Algorithm 3** with $\eta_t = \frac{1}{G\sqrt{t}}$ against an arbitrary sequence of convex functions has the following guarantee on the regret:

$$\max_{\mathbf{x} \in \Delta_m} \sum_{t=1}^{T} \langle \mathbf{x}_t - \mathbf{x}, \nabla f_t(\mathbf{x}_t) \rangle \le G\sqrt{T} \left(18 + 2D^2 \right) + GD \left(3\sqrt{2} + 4D \right)$$
$$= O\left(\sqrt{T}\right).$$

Proof. Define $\mathbf{R}_T^{\mathbf{x}} \coloneqq \sum_{t=1}^T \langle \mathbf{x}_t - \mathbf{x}^*, \nabla f_t(\mathbf{x}_t) \rangle$ where $\mathbf{x}^* \coloneqq \arg\min_{\mathbf{x} \in \Delta_m} \langle \mathbf{x}, \sum_{t=1}^T \nabla f_t(\mathbf{x}_t) \rangle$. Let $\tilde{\nabla}_t = 2\nabla f_t(\mathbf{x}_t) - \nabla f_{t-1}(\mathbf{x}_{t-1})$, and let $\eta_t = \frac{1}{\alpha\sqrt{t}}$ for some $\alpha > 0$ to be chosen later. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{R}_{T}^{\mathbf{x}} &= \sum_{t=1}^{T} \langle \mathbf{x}_{t} - \mathbf{x}^{*}, \nabla f_{t}(\mathbf{x}_{t}) \rangle \\ &\leq \sqrt{2}DG + \sum_{t=2}^{T} \langle \mathbf{x}_{t} - \mathbf{x}^{*}, \nabla f_{t}(\mathbf{x}_{t}) - \tilde{\nabla}_{t-1} \rangle + \sum_{t=2}^{T} \langle \mathbf{x}_{t} - \mathbf{x}^{*}, \tilde{\nabla}_{t-1} \rangle \\ &\leq \sqrt{2}DG + \sum_{t=2}^{T} \langle \mathbf{x}_{t} - \mathbf{x}^{*}, \nabla f_{t}(\mathbf{x}_{t}) - \nabla f_{t-1}(\mathbf{x}_{t-1}) \rangle - \sum_{t=2}^{T} \langle \mathbf{x}_{t} - \mathbf{x}^{*}, \nabla f_{t-1}(\mathbf{x}_{t-1}) - \nabla f_{t-2}(\mathbf{x}_{t-2}) \rangle + \sum_{t=2}^{T} \langle \mathbf{x}_{t} - \mathbf{x}^{*}, \tilde{\nabla}_{t-1} \rangle \\ &\leq 3\sqrt{2}DG + \sum_{t=2}^{T-1} \langle \mathbf{x}_{t} - \mathbf{x}_{t+1}, \nabla f_{t}(\mathbf{x}_{t}) - \nabla f_{t-1}(\mathbf{x}_{t-1}) \rangle + \sum_{t=2}^{T} \frac{1}{\eta_{t}} \Big(D(\mathbf{x}^{*}, \tilde{\mathbf{x}}_{t-1}) - D(\mathbf{x}^{*}, \mathbf{x}_{t}) - D(\mathbf{x}_{t}, \tilde{\mathbf{x}}_{t-1}) \Big) \\ &\leq 3\sqrt{2}DG + \sum_{t=2}^{T-1} \Big(\frac{\sqrt{t}G}{9} \|\mathbf{x}_{t} - \mathbf{x}_{t+1}\|^{2} + \frac{9G}{\sqrt{t}} \Big) \\ &+ \alpha \sum_{t=1}^{T} \sqrt{t} \Big(D(\mathbf{x}^{*}, \tilde{\mathbf{x}}_{t-1}) - D(\mathbf{x}^{*}, \mathbf{x}_{t}) - D(\mathbf{x}_{t}, \tilde{\mathbf{x}}_{t-1}) \Big). \end{aligned}$$

Using the joint convexity of $D(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})$ in \mathbf{x} and \mathbf{y} and the strong convexity of the entropic mirror map, we get:

$$-D(\mathbf{x}_{t}, \tilde{\mathbf{x}}_{t-1}) \leq -\frac{1}{2} \|\tilde{\mathbf{x}}_{t} - \mathbf{x}_{t+1}\|^{2}$$

$$\leq -\frac{1}{4} \left\| \frac{t-1}{t} (\mathbf{x}_{t} - \mathbf{x}_{t+1}) \right\|^{2} + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{t} \right)^{2} \|\mathbf{x}_{c} - \mathbf{x}_{t+1}\|^{2}$$

$$\leq -\frac{(t-1)^2}{4t^2} \|\mathbf{x}_t - \mathbf{x}_{t+1}\|^2 + \frac{D^2}{t^2},$$

and

$$D(\mathbf{x}^*, \tilde{\mathbf{x}}_t) \leq \frac{t-1}{t} D(\mathbf{x}^*, \mathbf{x}_t) + \frac{1}{t} D(\mathbf{x}^*, \mathbf{x}_c).$$

Meanwhile, straightforward calculations show that

$$\sum_{t=2}^{T} \frac{D(\mathbf{x}^*, \mathbf{x}_c)}{\sqrt{t}} \le 2D^2 \sqrt{T},$$

and

$$\sum_{t=2}^{T} \left(\sqrt{t} \cdot \frac{t-1}{t} D(\mathbf{x}^*, \mathbf{x}_{t-1}) - \sqrt{t} D(\mathbf{x}^*, \mathbf{x}_t) \right) \leq \sum_{t=2}^{T} \left(\sqrt{t-1} D(\mathbf{x}^*, \mathbf{x}_{t-1}) - \sqrt{t} D(\mathbf{x}^*, \mathbf{x}_t) \right)$$
$$\leq D(\mathbf{x}^*, \mathbf{x}_1) \leq D^2.$$

We can hence continue as

$$R_{T}^{\mathbf{x}} \leq 3\sqrt{2}DG + \sum_{t=2}^{T-1} \left(\frac{\sqrt{t}}{9}G\|\mathbf{x}_{t} - \mathbf{x}_{t+1}\|^{2} + \frac{9G}{\sqrt{t}}\right) + 2\alpha D^{2}\sqrt{T}$$
$$+ \alpha D^{2} - \frac{\alpha}{4} \sum_{t=2}^{T} \sqrt{t} \cdot \left(\frac{t-1}{t}\right)^{2} \|\mathbf{x}_{t-1} - \mathbf{x}_{t}\|^{2} + \alpha D^{2} \sum_{t=2}^{T} \frac{\sqrt{t}}{t^{2}}.$$
 (C.1)

Elementary calculations further show

$$\sum_{t=2}^{T-1} \frac{9G}{\sqrt{t}} \le 18G\sqrt{T},$$

$$\sum_{t=2}^{T} \frac{1}{t\sqrt{t}} \le 3.$$

Finally, since $(\frac{t-1}{t})^2 \ge \frac{4}{9}$ for $t \ge 3$, we can further bound (C.1) as

$$R_t^{\mathbf{x}} \leq 3\sqrt{2}DG + 18G\sqrt{T} + 2\alpha D^2\sqrt{T} + 4\alpha D^2 + \left(\frac{G}{9}\sum_{t=2}^{T-1}\sqrt{t}\|\mathbf{x}_t - \mathbf{x}_{t+1}\|^2 - \frac{\alpha}{4} \cdot \frac{4}{9}\sum_{t=2}^{T-1}\sqrt{t+1}\|\mathbf{x}_t - \mathbf{x}_{t+1}\|^2\right).$$

The proof is finished by choosing $\alpha = G$.

References

Chiang, Chao-Kai, Yang, Tianbao, Lee, Chia-Jung, Mahdavi, Mehrdad, Lu, Chi-Jen, Jin, Rong, and Zhu, Shenghuo. Online optimization with gradual variations. In *Conference on Learning Theory*, pp. 6–1, 2012.

Rakhlin, Alexander and Sridharan, Karthik. Online learning with predictable sequences. In *Conference on Learning Theory*, pp. 993–1019, 2013.