Comprehensive Overview of TimeXer Model, Feature Group Embeddings, and Multi-Branch Model Architecture

Aryan Dangi

September 22, 2025

Contents

1	Intr	roduction	5			
2	TimeXer Model					
	2.1	Overview				
	2.2	Key Contributions	•			
	2.3	Methodology	,			
		2.3.1 Endogenous Embedding	,			
		2.3.2 Exogenous Embedding	4			
		2.3.3 Attention Mechanisms	4			
		2.3.4 Forecasting	2			
	2.4	Code Implementation	4			
	2.5	Evaluation of Implementation	4			
	2.6	Revised Implementation	ļ			
	$\frac{2.7}{2.7}$	Explanation of Revised Implementation	,			
	2.8	Impact on Training, Accuracy, and Computational Resources	8			
	2.9	Final Assessment				
	2.0					
3	Feature Group Embeddings					
	3.1	Conceptual Overview	Ć			
	3.2	Mathematical Formulation	Ç			
		3.2.1 Sum of Embeddings	(
		3.2.2 Linear Mapping via Weight Matrix	(
	3.3	Technical Terminology	9			
	3.4	Detailed Explanation	9			
		3.4.1 Tags and Tag Dimensions	9			
		3.4.2 Why Use Embeddings for Tags?	10			
	3.5	Implementation in PyTorch	10			
	3.6	Impact on Model Training and Performance	1			
	3.7	· ·	1:			
4	Multi-Branch Model Architecture					
	4.1	Conceptual Overview	1:			
	4.2	Mathematical Formulation				
		4.2.1 Input Partitioning	1:			

9	Refe	erences	27		
8	Conclusion				
-			26		
	7.4	Best Practices	26		
	7.3	Example Integration with Feature Group Embeddings	24		
	7.2	Best Practices and Troubleshooting	$\frac{23}{24}$		
		7.1.1 Feature Group Embedding Integration with TimeXer	23		
	1.1	7.1.1 Feature Group Embedding Integration with TimeXer	21		
7	Pra 7.1	ctical Implementation Guides Code Snippets with Explanations	21 21		
_					
	6.3	Related Work	20		
	6.2	Time Series Forecasting with Neural Networks			
		6.1.3 Positional Encoding			
		6.1.2 Self-Attention Mechanism	19		
	0.1	6.1.1 Transformer Architecture	19		
J	6.1	Transformer Architecture and Attention Mechanisms	19 19		
6	Fundamental Theories and Related Work				
	5.6	Recommendations	19		
	5.5	Impact on Model Training and Performance	18		
	5.4	Implementation in PyTorch	18		
		5.3.2 Why Use Embeddings for Tags?	18		
		5.3.1 Tags and Tag Dimensions	18		
	5.3	Detailed Explanation	18		
	5.2	Technical Terminology	17		
	5.1	Tag Dimension and Feature Group Embeddings	17		
5	Feat	ture Group Embeddings in Detail	17		
	4.9	r mai Assessment	11		
	4.8 4.9	Integration with TimeXer	17 17		
	4.7	Enhancements for Multi-Branch Architecture	16		
	4.6	Potential Drawbacks and Considerations	16		
	4.5	Advantages of Multi-Branch Model Architecture	16		
	4 =	4.4.3 Step 3: Example Usage	15		
		4.4.2 Step 2: Explanation of the Implementation	15		
		4.4.1 Step 1: Define the Multi-Branch Architecture	14		
	4.4	Implementation Guide	14		
		4.3.3 Computational Resources	13		
		4.3.2 Model Accuracy	13		
		4.3.1 Training Dynamics	13		
	4.3	Impact on Training, Accuracy, and Computational Resources	13		
		4.2.3 Combining Branch Outputs	13		
		4.2.2 Branch Transformation	13		

1 Introduction

Time series forecasting is a critical task across various domains such as finance, weather prediction, and energy management. Leveraging advanced neural network architectures, particularly Transformers, has significantly enhanced forecasting accuracy by capturing complex temporal dependencies. This document delves into the **TimeXer** model, a Transformer-based architecture designed for time series forecasting with exogenous variables, and explores complementary techniques like **Feature Group Embeddings** and the **Multi-Branch Model Architecture**. These methodologies collectively aim to improve model performance, interpretability, and scalability.

2 TimeXer Model

2.1 Overview

TimeXer is a novel Transformer-based architecture tailored for enhancing time series fore-casting by effectively integrating exogenous variables (external factors) alongside endogenous variables (target series). Traditional forecasting models often face challenges in incorporating exogenous information due to differences in data granularity and potential irregularities such as missing values or misalignments. TimeXer addresses these issues through specialized embedding layers and a combination of patch-wise self-attention and variate-wise cross-attention mechanisms. The model also employs learnable global tokens to bridge causal information between exogenous and endogenous variables.

2.2 Key Contributions

- 1. **Integration of Exogenous Variables:** TimeXer uniquely models exogenous variables, unlike existing models that treat all variables uniformly or ignore exogenous inputs.
- 2. **Hierarchical Representations:** Captures both intra-endogenous temporal dependencies and inter-series correlations through hierarchical representations at patch and variate levels.
- 3. Global Tokens: Learnable global tokens facilitate effective communication between endogenous patches and exogenous variables, enhancing the model's ability to incorporate external information.
- 4. **State-of-the-Art Performance:** Demonstrates superior forecasting accuracy and robustness across twelve real-world forecasting benchmarks compared to existing baselines.
- 5. Scalability and Generality: Efficiently handles large-scale datasets while maintaining high performance, showcasing scalability and general applicability.

2.3 Methodology

2.3.1 Endogenous Embedding

The endogenous time series (target series) is divided into non-overlapping patches. Each patch captures a segment of the time series, preserving the temporal sequence within that segment. These patches are projected into temporal tokens using a linear layer. Additionally, a learnable global token is introduced to aggregate information across all patches, facilitating interactions with exogenous variables.

2.3.2 Exogenous Embedding

Exogenous variables (external factors) are embedded at the variate level. This approach allows the model to handle irregularities such as missing values or differing frequencies efficiently. A separate linear layer projects these exogenous variables into the same embedding space as the endogenous patches.

2.3.3 Attention Mechanisms

- Patch-wise Self-Attention: Applied over the sequence of endogenous patches and the global token to capture both intra-patch and inter-patch temporal dependencies.
- Variate-wise Cross-Attention: Facilitates the integration of exogenous variables by modeling dependencies between the global token and the exogenous variable embeddings.

2.3.4 Forecasting

The integrated representation, which combines information from endogenous patches and exogenous variables, is passed through a linear projection layer to generate the forecasted values. The model is optimized using an L2 loss function to minimize the discrepancy between predictions and ground truth.

2.4 Code Implementation

Below is the initial implementation of the TimeXer model in PyTorch:

```
import torch
 import torch.nn as nn
  class TimeXer(nn.Module):
      def __init__(self, input_dim, projected_dim, hidden_dim, num_layers,
     output_dim):
          super(TimeXer, self).__init__()
          self.projection = nn.Linear(input_dim, projected_dim)
          self.dropout = nn.Dropout(p=0.1)
          self.transformer_layer = nn.TransformerEncoder(
9
              nn.TransformerEncoderLayer(d_model=projected_dim, nhead=4,
10
     dim_feedforward=hidden_dim, batch_first=True),
              num_layers=num_layers
11
12
          self.fc = nn.Linear(projected_dim, output_dim)
13
14
      def forward(self, x):
          x = self.projection(x)
16
          x = self.dropout(x)
17
            = self.transformer_layer(x)
18
            = self.fc(x)
19
          return x
```

Listing 1: Initial TimeXer Implementation

2.5 Evaluation of Implementation

The initial implementation captures a basic Transformer-based architecture but does not fully align with the TimeXer model's specialized components for handling patch-wise embeddings, global tokens, and exogenous variable integration. Below is an evaluation of the provided implementation:

- Endogenous Embedding: The current implementation uses a single linear projection layer to embed the input, lacking the patch-wise representation and the inclusion of a global token.
- Exogenous Embedding: There is no handling of exogenous variables, which is a core aspect of TimeXer.
- Attention Mechanisms: Utilizes only self-attention over the entire sequence, without the distinct cross-attention mechanism for exogenous variables.
- Forecasting Head: Aligns with the requirement but will be more effective once proper embeddings and attention mechanisms are incorporated.
- Handling Irregularities: No specific mechanisms to handle missing data or misalignments in exogenous variables.

2.6 Revised Implementation

To align the implementation with the TimeXer architecture, the following enhancements are necessary:

- 1. Patch-wise Embedding for Endogenous Variables: Divide the endogenous series into patches and project each patch separately. Introduce a global token.
- 2. **Exogenous Embedding:** Embed exogenous variables at the variate level using a separate linear layer.
- 3. Attention Mechanisms: Implement self-attention for endogenous patches and cross-attention for exogenous variables.
- 4. **Positional Embeddings:** Add positional embeddings to retain temporal ordering.
- 5. **Forecasting Head Adjustments:** Project the integrated global token to generate forecasts.

Here is the revised implementation:

```
import torch
  import torch.nn as nn
  class TimeXer(nn.Module):
      def __init__(self,
                    endogenous_dim,
6
                    exogenous_dim,
                    patch_size,
                    projected_dim,
9
                    hidden_dim,
                    num_heads,
11
12
                    num_layers,
                    output_dim,
13
                    dropout=0.1):
14
           super(TimeXer, self).__init__()
16
           # Patch-wise Embedding for Endogenous Variables
17
           self.patch_size = patch_size
18
           self.num_patches = None # To be set during forward based on input
19
     size
```

```
self.endog_projection = nn.Linear(endogenous_dim * patch_size,
20
     projected_dim)
21
          # Global Token
22
          self.global_token = nn.Parameter(torch.randn(1, 1, projected_dim))
23
24
          # Exogenous Embedding at Variate Level
25
          self.exog_projection = nn.Linear(exogenous_dim, projected_dim)
26
          # Positional Embeddings for Patches
          self.positional_embeddings = nn.Parameter(torch.randn(1, 1000,
29
     projected_dim)) # Assuming max 1000 patches
30
          # Transformer Encoder Layers
31
          encoder_layers = nn.TransformerEncoderLayer(d_model=projected_dim,
32
                                                         nhead=num_heads,
33
                                                         dim_feedforward=
     hidden_dim,
                                                         dropout=dropout,
35
                                                         activation='relu',
36
                                                         batch_first=True)
37
          self.transformer_encoder = nn.TransformerEncoder(encoder_layers,
38
     num_layers=num_layers)
40
          # Cross-Attention Layer for Exogenous Variables
          self.cross_attention = nn.MultiheadAttention(embed_dim=projected_dim
41
42
                                                          num_heads=num_heads,
                                                          dropout=dropout,
43
                                                          batch_first=True)
44
          # Final Forecasting Head
          self.fc = nn.Linear(projected_dim, output_dim)
47
48
          # Dropout Layer
49
          self.dropout = nn.Dropout(p=dropout)
50
51
      def forward(self, endog, exog):
          0.00
53
          endog: Tensor of shape (batch_size, seq_len_endog, endogenous_dim)
          exog: Tensor of shape (batch_size, seq_len_exog, exogenous_dim)
56
          batch_size, seq_len_endog, _ = endog.size()
57
          # Calculate number of patches
          self.num_patches = seq_len_endog // self.patch_size
60
          assert seq_len_endog % self.patch_size == 0, "Endogenous sequence
61
     length must be divisible by patch_size"
62
          # Reshape and Project Endogenous Patches
63
          endog_patches = endog.reshape(batch_size, self.num_patches, self.
64
     patch_size * endog.size(-1)) # (B, P, patch_size * D)
          endog_embeddings = self.endog_projection(endog_patches) # (B, P,
65
     projected_dim)
66
          # Add Global Token
          global_tokens = self.global_token.expand(batch_size, -1, -1) # (B,
68
     1, projected_dim)
          endog_with_global = torch.cat([endog_embeddings, global_tokens], dim
     =1)
          # (B, P+1, projected_dim)
```

```
# Add Positional Embeddings
71
          pos_embed = self.positional_embeddings[:, :self.num_patches+1, :]
72
       (1, P+1, projected_dim)
          endog_with_global = endog_with_global + pos_embed # (B, P+1,
73
     projected_dim)
          endog_with_global = self.dropout(endog_with_global)
74
75
          # Self-Attention over Endogenous Patches and Global Token
76
          endog_transformed = self.transformer_encoder(endog_with_global)
     B, P+1, projected_dim)
78
          # Separate Global Token
79
          global_transformed = endog_transformed[:, -1, :].unsqueeze(1)
80
      1, projected_dim)
81
          # Project Exogenous Variables
          exog_embeddings = self.exog_projection(exog)
                                                         # (B, seq_len_exog,
83
     projected_dim)
          exog_embeddings = self.dropout(exog_embeddings)
84
          # Cross-Attention: Global Token attends to Exogenous Variables
86
          # Query: global_transformed (B, 1, projected_dim)
87
          # Key & Value: exog_embeddings (B, seq_len_exog, projected_dim)
89
          # Note: MultiheadAttention in PyTorch expects (B, S, D)
          cross_attn_output, _ = self.cross_attention(global_transformed,
90
     exog_embeddings, exog_embeddings) # (B, 1, projected_dim)
91
          # Integrate Cross-Attention Output with Global Token
92
          global_integrated = global_transformed + cross_attn_output
93
      projected_dim)
          global_integrated = self.dropout(global_integrated)
          # Forecasting: Project the Integrated Global Token
96
          forecast = self.fc(global_integrated)
                                                  # (B, 1, output_dim)
97
          forecast = forecast.squeeze(1) # (B, output_dim)
          return forecast
100
```

Listing 2: Revised TimeXer Implementation

2.7 Explanation of Revised Implementation

- Patch-wise Embedding for Endogenous Variables: The endogenous time series is divided into non-overlapping patches based on the specified patch_size. Each patch is projected into a higher-dimensional space (projected_dim) using a linear layer.
- Global Token: A learnable global token is introduced and concatenated to the sequence of patch embeddings. This token serves as an aggregator for the entire endogenous series.
- Exogenous Embedding at Variate Level: Exogenous variables are embedded separately using a dedicated linear layer, ensuring they are represented at the variate level and can handle irregularities effectively.
- Positional Embeddings: Added to the concatenated sequence of patches and the global token to retain temporal ordering information.
- Transformer Encoder: Applies self-attention over the combined sequence of patches and the global token to capture intra- and inter-patch temporal dependencies.

- Cross-Attention Mechanism: Integrates exogenous information into the global token via a cross-attention layer, allowing the model to leverage external factors in forecasting.
- Forecasting Head: The integrated global token is passed through a linear layer to generate the final forecasted values.

2.8 Impact on Training, Accuracy, and Computational Resources

• Training Dynamics:

- Parallel Processing: Enhanced model complexity with multiple attention mechanisms requires efficient parallelization, often leveraging GPU acceleration.
- Gradient Flow: The inclusion of multiple layers and attention mechanisms necessitates careful initialization and optimization to ensure stable gradient flow.
- Overfitting Risk: The increased number of parameters may lead to overfitting, especially with limited data. Regularization techniques such as dropout and weight decay are crucial.

• Model Accuracy:

- Enhanced Representation Learning: By capturing both patch-wise and variatewise dependencies, the model can learn more nuanced representations, leading to improved forecasting accuracy.
- Inter-Group Interactions: The cross-attention mechanism allows the model to dynamically integrate exogenous information, enhancing its ability to make informed predictions based on external factors.
- Robustness to Missing Data: Proper handling of exogenous embeddings ensures the model remains resilient to missing or irregular exogenous data.

• Computational Resources:

- Increased Parameter Count: The addition of multiple embedding layers and attention mechanisms significantly increases the number of model parameters, leading to higher memory consumption.
- Longer Training Times: More complex architectures require longer training durations, especially on large datasets.
- Scalability Considerations: Efficient implementation and leveraging parallel computing resources are essential to manage computational demands.

2.9 Final Assessment

The revised TimeXer implementation incorporates essential architectural components such as patch-wise embeddings, global tokens, and cross-attention mechanisms for exogenous variables. These enhancements align the implementation with the TimeXer model's design, enabling it to effectively leverage both endogenous and exogenous information for superior time series forecasting performance.

3 Feature Group Embeddings

3.1 Conceptual Overview

Feature Group Embeddings involve assigning continuous embedding vectors to categorical tags associated with features. This technique transforms binary or categorical tag information into a low-dimensional continuous space, facilitating effective representation learning in neural networks. By embedding feature tags, the model can capture semantic relationships and similarities between different feature groups, enhancing its predictive capabilities.

3.2 Mathematical Formulation

3.2.1 Sum of Embeddings

TagEmbed
$$(f_i) = \sum_{j=1}^{K} t_{ij} E_j$$

Where:

- $E_j \in \mathbb{R}^{d_e}$ is the embedding vector for the j-th tag.
- t_{ij} is a binary indicator (1 if tag j is active for feature f_i , else 0).
- K is the total number of unique tags.
- d_e is the embedding dimension (e.g., 8 or 16).

3.2.2 Linear Mapping via Weight Matrix

$$TagEmbed(f_i) = W_{tags}T_i^T$$

Where:

- $W_{\text{tags}} \in \mathbb{R}^{d_e \times K}$ is a learnable weight matrix.
- T_i is the tag indicator vector for feature f_i .

3.3 Technical Terminology

- E_j : Embedding Vector or Feature Group Embedding Vector
- T_i: Tag Indicator Vector or Feature Tag Representation

3.4 Detailed Explanation

3.4.1 Tags and Tag Dimensions

- Tags: Categorical labels assigned to features to denote their characteristics, categories, or groups. For example, tags might represent the type of sensor (temperature, humidity, pressure), location (indoor, outdoor), or unit of measurement (Celsius, Fahrenheit).
- Tag Dimensions (K): The number of unique tags or categories assigned to features.

3.4.2 Why Use Embeddings for Tags?

- Challenge with Categorical Data: Machine learning models, especially neural networks, perform best with numerical input. Categorical data are non-numeric and cannot be directly fed into these models.
- Solution with Embeddings: Embeddings transform categorical tags into dense, continuous vectors of lower dimensionality, capturing semantic relationships between tags.
- Advantages:
 - **Dimensionality Reduction:** Converts high-dimensional categorical data into manageable lower dimensions (e.g., $8 \text{ tags} \rightarrow 16$ -dimensional embedding space).
 - Capturing Relationships: Similar tags can have similar embeddings, enabling the model to generalize better.

3.5 Implementation in PyTorch

Below is an example implementation of Feature Group Embeddings in PyTorch, demonstrating both the sum of embeddings and linear mapping approaches.

```
1 import torch
  import torch.nn as nn
  class FeatureGroupEmbedding(nn.Module):
      def __init__(self, num_tags, embedding_dim, method='sum'):
5
6
          Args:
              num_tags (int): Total number of unique tags (K).
               embedding_dim (int): Dimension of the embedding vectors (d_e).
9
              method (str): 'sum' or 'linear' for embedding method.
10
11
          super(FeatureGroupEmbedding, self).__init__()
          self.method = method
13
          if method == 'sum':
14
               # Initialize an embedding matrix for each tag
               self.tag_embeddings = nn.Embedding(num_tags, embedding_dim)
16
          elif method == 'linear':
17
               # Initialize a weight matrix for linear mapping
               self.W_tags = nn.Parameter(torch.randn(embedding_dim, num_tags))
19
          else:
20
               raise ValueError("Method must be 'sum' or 'linear'")
21
22
      def forward(self, tag_vectors):
23
24
          Args:
2.5
              tag_vectors (torch.Tensor): Binary tensor of shape (batch_size,
     K).
27
          Returns:
28
              torch. Tensor: Embedded feature tensor of shape (batch_size, d_e)
30
          if self.method == 'sum':
31
              # Get embeddings for each tag
32
               # tag_vectors: (batch_size, K)
33
              # Find indices where tag is active
34
               active_tags = tag_vectors.nonzero(as_tuple=False)
35
     num_active_tags, 2)
```

```
if active_tags.size(0) == 0:
                   # If no tags are active, return zeros
37
                  return torch.zeros(tag_vectors.size(0), self.tag_embeddings.
38
     embedding_dim, device=tag_vectors.device)
              # Get embeddings for active tags
39
              embeddings = self.tag_embeddings(active_tags[:,1])
40
     num_active_tags, d_e)
              # Initialize embedded_features
41
              embedded_features = torch.zeros(tag_vectors.size(0), self.
     tag_embeddings.embedding_dim, device=tag_vectors.device)
              # Sum embeddings for each feature
43
              embedded_features.index_add_(0, active_tags[:,0], embeddings)
44
              return embedded_features
          elif self.method == 'linear':
46
              # Linear mapping via W_tags
              # tag_vectors: (batch_size, K)
              # W_tags: (d_e, K)
49
              embedded_features = torch.matmul(tag_vectors.float(), self.
50
     W_tags.t()) # (batch_size, d_e)
              return embedded_features
51
53 # Example usage:
54 \text{ batch\_size} = 4
num_tags = 8
  embedding_dim = 16
 # Random binary tag vectors
59 tag_vectors = torch.randint(0, 2, (batch_size, num_tags))
61 # Sum method
62 sum_embedding = FeatureGroupEmbedding(num_tags, embedding_dim, method='sum')
63 embedded_features_sum = sum_embedding(tag_vectors)
  print("Sum Embedding:", embedded_features_sum.shape)
                                                         # Expected: (4, 16)
66 # Linear method
67 linear_embedding = FeatureGroupEmbedding(num_tags, embedding_dim, method='
     linear')
68 embedded_features_linear = linear_embedding(tag_vectors)
 print("Linear Embedding:", embedded_features_linear.shape) # Expected: (4,
     16)
```

Listing 3: Feature Group Embedding Implementation

3.6 Impact on Model Training and Performance

• Training Dynamics:

- Specialized Representations: Independent embeddings allow the model to learn feature group-specific representations, enhancing the model's ability to capture distinct patterns.
- Gradient Flow: Proper embedding initialization and regularization are crucial to ensure stable gradient flow during training.

• Model Accuracy:

 Enhanced Expressiveness: Embedding feature tags in a continuous space allows the model to capture complex relationships and similarities between feature groups, potentially improving forecasting accuracy. Semantic Relationships: Similar tags can have similar embeddings, enabling the model to generalize better across similar feature groups.

• Computational Resources:

- Parameter Overhead: Introducing embedding layers increases the number of model parameters, leading to higher memory consumption.
- Efficiency Gains: Dimensionality reduction from high-dimensional categorical data to low-dimensional embeddings can lead to computational efficiency, especially when dealing with large numbers of tags.

3.7 Recommendations

- Embedding Dimension (d_e) : Choose a dimension that balances expressiveness and computational efficiency. Common choices range from 8 to 64.
- Regularization: Apply techniques like dropout or weight decay to prevent overfitting, especially when using the linear mapping method.
- Initialization: Use appropriate initialization strategies (e.g., Xavier initialization) for embedding vectors and weight matrices to facilitate effective training.
- Handling Multiple Tags Ensure that the implementation correctly aggregates embeddings when multiple tags are active for a single feature.

4 Multi-Branch Model Architecture

4.1 Conceptual Overview

The Multi-Branch Model Architecture is an advanced neural network design strategy that partitions input features into separate branches based on their tag groups. Each branch processes a subset of features through specialized components (e.g., MLPs or Transformer encoders). The outputs from all branches are then combined to form a unified representation, which is used for forecasting or other predictive tasks. This architecture is particularly beneficial when dealing with heterogeneous feature groups that possess distinct characteristics or originate from different sources.

4.2 Mathematical Formulation

4.2.1 Input Partitioning

$$X = [X^{(1)}, X^{(2)}, \dots, X^{(G)}]$$

Where:

- G is the number of feature groups.
- $X^{(g)}$ represents the subset of features belonging to the g-th group.

4.2.2 Branch Transformation

$$H(g) = f_{\theta(g)}(X^{(g)})$$

Where:

- $f_{\theta(g)}$ is a learnable function (e.g., MLP or Transformer block) specific to group g.
- H(g) is the transformed output of the g-th branch.

4.2.3 Combining Branch Outputs

$$H = \text{Combine}(H(1), H(2), \dots, H(G))$$

Common combination methods include concatenation or attention-based mechanisms.

4.3 Impact on Training, Accuracy, and Computational Resources

4.3.1 Training Dynamics

- Parallel Processing: Each branch can be trained simultaneously, leveraging parallel computing resources (e.g., multi-GPU setups) to expedite training.
- Gradient Flow: Independent branches may lead to more stable gradient flows, reducing issues like vanishing or exploding gradients.
- Overfitting Risk: Specialized branches may overfit to their respective feature groups if not properly regularized. Techniques like dropout, weight decay, or data augmentation within each branch can mitigate this risk.

4.3.2 Model Accuracy

- Enhanced Representation Learning: By allowing each branch to focus on specific feature groups, the model can learn more nuanced and accurate representations, leading to improved forecasting performance.
- Inter-Group Interactions: Proper combination of branch outputs (especially via attention mechanisms) enables the model to capture interactions between different feature groups, further enhancing accuracy.
- Reduced Noise Interference: Isolating feature groups prevents irrelevant or noisy features from adversely affecting the processing of other groups.

4.3.3 Computational Resources

- Increased Parameter Count: Multiple branches inherently increase the number of model parameters, which can lead to higher memory consumption and longer training times.
- Parallelization Benefits: Modern hardware accelerators (like GPUs) can handle parallel computations efficiently, mitigating some of the increased computational demands.
- Scalability: The architecture scales well with the number of feature groups, allowing for modular expansion as more groups are introduced.
- Trade-offs: While accuracy may improve, the cost in terms of computational resources must be justified by the performance gains, especially in resource-constrained environments.

4.4 Implementation Guide

4.4.1 Step 1: Define the Multi-Branch Architecture

Below is a PyTorch implementation of the Multi-Branch Model Architecture, demonstrating both Transformer-based and MLP-based branches.

```
1 import torch
2 import torch.nn as nn
  class MultiBranchTimeXer(nn.Module):
      def __init__(self,
                    feature_groups,
                                          # List of feature group sizes, e.g., [
6
     num_features_g1, num_features_g2, ...]
                                         # Dimension after projection
                    projected_dim,
                                         # Hidden dimension for MLP/Transformer
8
                    hidden_dim,
                    num_layers,
                                         # Number of layers for each branch
9
                                         # Forecasting output dimension
                    output_dim,
                    use_transformer=True,
                                            # Flag to use Transformer instead of
11
      MLP
                    num_heads=4,
                                         # Number of attention heads if using
12
     Transformer
                    dropout = 0.1):
13
          super(MultiBranchTimeXer, self).__init__()
14
15
          self.branches = nn.ModuleList()
          for g, num_features in enumerate(feature_groups):
17
               if use_transformer:
18
                   branch = nn.TransformerEncoder(
19
                       nn.TransformerEncoderLayer(d_model=projected_dim,
20
                                                    nhead=num_heads,
21
22
                                                    dim_feedforward=hidden_dim,
                                                    dropout=dropout,
23
                                                    activation='relu',
24
                                                    batch_first=True),
                       num_layers=num_layers
26
27
               else:
                   # Simple MLP for the branch
29
                   branch = nn.Sequential(
30
                       nn.Linear(num_features, hidden_dim),
31
                       nn.ReLU(),
33
                       nn.Linear(hidden_dim, projected_dim),
                       nn.Dropout(dropout)
34
                   )
35
               self.branches.append(branch)
37
          # Combination layer (Concatenation or Attention)
38
          # Here, using concatenation followed by a linear layer
39
          self.combine = nn.Linear(projected_dim * len(feature_groups),
40
     projected_dim)
          self.dropout = nn.Dropout(dropout)
41
42
43
          # Forecasting head
          self.fc = nn.Linear(projected_dim, output_dim)
44
45
      def forward(self, x):
47
          Args:
48
              x: Tensor of shape (batch_size, seq_len, total_num_features)
49
                  where total_num_features = sum(feature_groups)
```

```
Returns:
              forecast: Tensor of shape (batch_size, output_dim)
53
          branch_outputs = []
54
          start_idx = 0
          for branch in self.branches:
56
              num_features = branch.input_dim if hasattr(branch, 'input_dim')
57
     else x.size(-1)
               end_idx = start_idx + branch.input_dim if hasattr(branch, '
     input_dim') else start_idx + x.size(-1)
              # Extract features for the current branch
59
              x_g = x[:, :, start_idx:end_idx] # (B, T, F_g)
60
              start_idx = end_idx
61
62
              # Process through the branch
63
              h_g = branch(x_g) \# (B, T, D) or appropriate shape
              # For Transformer, take the output at the last time step
              if isinstance(branch, nn.TransformerEncoder):
66
                  h_g = h_g[:, -1, :] # (B, D)
67
              else:
68
                  h_g = h_g.mean(dim=1) # Aggregate over time for MLP
              branch_outputs.append(h_g)
70
71
          # Combine branch outputs
          combined = torch.cat(branch_outputs, dim=-1) # (B, D * G)
73
          combined = self.combine(combined)
                                                          # (B, D)
74
          combined = self.dropout(combined)
75
76
          # Forecasting
          forecast = self.fc(combined)
                                                          # (B, output_dim)
78
          return forecast
79
```

Listing 4: Multi-Branch Model Architecture Implementation

4.4.2 Step 2: Explanation of the Implementation

- 1. Feature Groups (feature_groups): A list specifying the number of features in each group, e.g., [10, 5, 8] indicates three groups with 10, 5, and 8 features respectively.
- 2. Branches (self.branches): A ModuleList containing separate Transformer encoders or MLPs for each feature group.
- 3. Combination Layer (self.combine): After processing each branch, their outputs are concatenated and passed through a linear layer to fuse the information into a unified representation.
- 4. Forecasting Head (self.fc): A linear layer that maps the combined representation to the desired forecast output.

4.4.3 Step 3: Example Usage

```
projected_dim=64,
8
      hidden_dim=128,
      num_layers=2,
9
                             # Forecasting next 24 time steps
      output_dim=24,
      use_transformer=True,
11
      num_heads=4,
12
      dropout=0.1
13
14 )
15
 # Sample input: batch_size=32, seq_len=100, total_num_features=23
 x = torch.randn(32, 100, sum(feature_groups))
19 # Forward pass
20 forecast = model(x) # Output shape: (32, 24)
```

Listing 5: Example Usage of Multi-Branch Model

4.5 Advantages of Multi-Branch Model Architecture

- **Specialization:** Each branch can focus on learning representations optimal for its specific feature group, leading to better feature extraction and representation learning.
- Modularity and Scalability: Easily add or remove branches as the number of feature groups changes, facilitating scalability and flexibility in model design.
- Improved Performance: By isolating feature groups, the model can reduce noise interference, leading to more accurate and robust forecasts.
- Enhanced Interpretability: Understanding the contribution of each branch can provide insights into which feature groups are most influential in the forecasting task.

4.6 Potential Drawbacks and Considerations

- Increased Complexity: More branches mean a higher number of parameters, which can complicate the model architecture and increase the risk of overfitting.
- **Higher Computational Costs:** Multiple branches require more memory and computational power, potentially leading to longer training and inference times.
- Balancing Branch Contributions: Ensuring that all branches contribute meaningfully without one dominating the others can be challenging. Proper initialization, regularization, and careful tuning are essential.
- Data Requirements: Specialized branches may require more data to train effectively, especially for feature groups with fewer samples or less variability.

4.7 Enhancements for Multi-Branch Architecture

To maximize the benefits and mitigate the drawbacks of the Multi-Branch Model Architecture, consider the following enhancements:

- Shared Components: Introduce shared layers or attention mechanisms across branches to capture inter-group interactions while maintaining specialization.
- Dynamic Branch Allocation: Implement mechanisms to dynamically allocate computational resources to different branches based on their importance or complexity.

- Regularization Techniques: Apply dropout, weight decay, or other regularization methods within each branch to prevent overfitting.
- Attention-Based Combination: Instead of simple concatenation, use attention mechanisms to weight the importance of each branch's output dynamically, enhancing the model's ability to focus on more relevant feature groups.
- Hierarchical Processing: Implement hierarchical branches where some branches process aggregated information from lower-level branches, capturing multi-scale dependencies.

4.8 Integration with TimeXer

When integrating the Multi-Branch Model Architecture with the **TimeXer** model, ensure that:

- Endogenous and Exogenous Features are Properly Grouped: Separate endogenous (target) features and exogenous (external) variables into distinct groups or categories based on their tags.
- Embeddings are Consistently Applied: Utilize feature group embeddings for each branch to maintain consistency in how different feature groups are represented.
- Attention Mechanisms are Appropriately Aligned: If TimeXer uses specific attention strategies (like cross-attention between endogenous and exogenous variables), ensure that these are correctly integrated within the multi-branch framework.
- Unified Forecasting Head: After processing through multiple branches, the combined representation should effectively capture both intra-group and inter-group dependencies before being projected to the forecasting output.

4.9 Final Assessment

The Multi-Branch Model Architecture offers a structured and specialized approach to handling heterogeneous feature groups in time series forecasting. By partitioning features based on their tags and processing each group through dedicated branches, models can achieve enhanced accuracy, improved representation learning, and greater interpretability. However, this comes with increased architectural complexity and computational demands, necessitating careful implementation and optimization.

5 Feature Group Embeddings in Detail

5.1 Tag Dimension and Feature Group Embeddings

In the context of machine learning models, especially those dealing with complex datasets, features can be categorized or grouped based on certain attributes or "tags." **Feature Group Embeddings** is a technique used to convert these categorical tags into continuous numerical representations that models can effectively process.

5.2 Technical Terminology

- E_j : Embedding Vector or Feature Group Embedding Vector
- T_i: Tag Indicator Vector or Feature Tag Representation

5.3 Detailed Explanation

5.3.1 Tags and Tag Dimensions

- Tags: Categorical labels assigned to features to denote their characteristics, categories, or groups. For example, in a dataset containing various sensor readings, tags might represent the type of sensor (temperature, humidity, pressure), the location of the sensor (indoor, outdoor), or the unit of measurement (Celsius, Fahrenheit).
- Tag Dimensions (K): The number of unique tags or categories assigned to features. For instance, if there are three types of sensors, two locations, and three units of measurement, the total number of tags K is 3 + 2 + 3 = 8.

5.3.2 Why Use Embeddings for Tags?

• Challenge with Categorical Data: Machine learning models, especially neural networks, perform best with numerical input. However, categorical data (like tags) are non-numeric and can't be directly fed into these models.

• Solution with Embeddings:

- Embeddings transform categorical tags into dense, continuous vectors of lower dimensionality.
- These embeddings capture semantic relationships between tags, allowing the model to learn similarities and differences effectively.

• Advantages:

- **Dimensionality Reduction:** Converts high-dimensional categorical data into manageable lower dimensions (e.g., $8 \text{ tags} \rightarrow 16$ -dimensional embedding space).
- Capturing Relationships: Similar tags can have similar embeddings, enabling the model to generalize better.

5.4 Implementation in PyTorch

Refer to Section 3.5 for the PyTorch implementation of Feature Group Embeddings.

5.5 Impact on Model Training and Performance

- Enhanced Representation Learning: By converting categorical tags into continuous embeddings, the model can better capture complex relationships and similarities between different feature groups.
- Improved Generalization: Dense embeddings facilitate the model's ability to generalize from training data to unseen data by learning semantic relationships.
- Increased Expressiveness: The continuous embedding space allows for more expressive feature representations compared to sparse one-hot encodings.

5.6 Recommendations

- Embedding Dimension (d_e): Choose an embedding dimension that is sufficiently large to capture the complexity of tag relationships but small enough to prevent overfitting and excessive computational overhead.
- Regularization: Apply dropout or weight decay to embedding layers to prevent overfitting, especially when dealing with a large number of tags.
- Initialization: Use appropriate initialization methods (e.g., Xavier initialization) to ensure embeddings start with meaningful values that facilitate effective training.
- Handling Multiple Tags: Ensure that the implementation correctly handles cases where multiple tags are active for a single feature, especially when using the sum of embeddings approach.

6 Fundamental Theories and Related Work

Understanding the underlying theories and related work is crucial for effectively implementing and enhancing models like TimeXer. This section covers the foundational concepts of Transformer architectures, attention mechanisms, and their application in time series forecasting.

6.1 Transformer Architecture and Attention Mechanisms

6.1.1 Transformer Architecture

The Transformer architecture, introduced by Vaswani et al. in *Attention is All You Need* [?], revolutionized natural language processing by leveraging self-attention mechanisms to handle sequential data. Unlike recurrent neural networks (RNNs), Transformers process input sequences in parallel, significantly reducing training times and enabling the capture of long-range dependencies.

6.1.2 Self-Attention Mechanism

Self-attention allows the model to weigh the importance of different elements within a sequence when encoding information. Given an input sequence, self-attention computes a weighted sum of the input representations, where the weights are determined dynamically based on the similarity between elements.

Attention
$$(Q, K, V) = \operatorname{softmax}\left(\frac{QK^T}{\sqrt{d_k}}\right)V$$

Where:

ullet Q: Query matrix

• K: Key matrix

• V: Value matrix

• d_k : Dimension of the key vectors (used for scaling)

6.1.3 Positional Encoding

Since Transformers lack inherent sequential information, positional encodings are added to input embeddings to provide the model with information about the position of each element in the sequence.

$$PE_{(pos,2i)} = \sin\left(\frac{pos}{10000^{2i/d_{\text{model}}}}\right)$$

$$PE_{(pos,2i+1)} = \cos\left(\frac{pos}{10000^{2i/d_{\text{model}}}}\right)$$

Where:

• pos: Position in the sequence

• *i*: Dimension index

• d_{model} : Total dimension of the model

6.2 Time Series Forecasting with Neural Networks

Neural networks, particularly deep learning models, have become increasingly popular for time series forecasting due to their ability to capture complex, non-linear relationships in data. Key models include:

- Recurrent Neural Networks (RNNs): Designed to handle sequential data by maintaining hidden states that capture information from previous time steps.
- Long Short-Term Memory (LSTM) Networks: A type of RNN that mitigates the vanishing gradient problem, enabling the capture of long-term dependencies.
- Convolutional Neural Networks (CNNs): Applied to time series by treating them as one-dimensional signals, capturing local temporal patterns.
- Transformer-based Models: Leveraging self-attention mechanisms to capture global temporal dependencies without the need for sequential processing.

6.3 Related Work

- PatchTST: Patch-based Transformer for Long-term Time Series Forecasting [?]: Introduces a patch-based method in Transformers for time series forecasting, similar to the patch-wise embedding in TimeXer.
- TimeXer: Empowering Transformers for Time Series Forecasting with Exogenous Variables [?]: The primary paper detailing the TimeXer model, its architecture, and experimental validations.
- Deep Learning for Categorical Data: An Empirical Study [?]: Explores various embedding techniques for categorical features, providing empirical insights into their effectiveness.
- Learning Feature Group Embeddings for Improved Model Interpretability [?]: Introduces methods for learning embeddings that capture group-level feature interactions, enhancing model interpretability.

7 Practical Implementation Guides

Implementing advanced architectures like TimeXer and integrating techniques such as Feature Group Embeddings and Multi-Branch Model Architecture requires careful consideration of various factors. This section provides practical guidance on implementation, including code snippets, best practices, and troubleshooting tips.

7.1 Code Snippets with Explanations

7.1.1 Feature Group Embedding Integration with TimeXer

To effectively incorporate feature group embeddings into the TimeXer model, follow these steps:

- 1. **Define Feature Group Embeddings:** Utilize the FeatureGroupEmbedding class to transform binary tag vectors into continuous embeddings.
- 2. Combine Embeddings with Feature Data: Integrate the embedded tags with the original feature representations, either by concatenation or addition.
- 3. Modify the TimeXer Model to Accept Embeddings: Adjust the model's input layers to accommodate the combined embeddings.

```
1 import torch
 import torch.nn as nn
  class TimeXerWithTags(nn.Module):
      def __init__(self,
                    endogenous_dim,
6
                    exogenous_dim,
                    patch_size,
9
                    projected_dim,
                    hidden_dim,
10
                    num_heads,
11
                    num_layers,
12
                    output_dim,
13
                    num_tags,
14
                    tag_embedding_dim,
                    embedding_method='sum',
                    dropout = 0.1):
17
          super(TimeXerWithTags, self).__init__()
18
19
          # Feature Group Embedding
20
          self.tag_embedding = FeatureGroupEmbedding(num_tags=num_tags,
21
                                                        embedding_dim=
22
     tag_embedding_dim,
                                                        method=embedding_method)
24
          # TimeXer components
25
          self.patch_size = patch_size
26
          self.num_patches = None # To be set during forward based on input
     size
          self.endog_projection = nn.Linear(endogenous_dim * patch_size +
     tag_embedding_dim, projected_dim)
          # Global Token
30
          self.global_token = nn.Parameter(torch.randn(1, 1, projected_dim))
31
32
33
          # Exogenous Embedding at Variate Level
```

```
self.exog_projection = nn.Linear(exogenous_dim, projected_dim)
          # Positional Embeddings for Patches
36
          self.positional_embeddings = nn.Parameter(torch.randn(1, 1000,
37
     projected_dim)) # Assuming max 1000 patches
          # Transformer Encoder Layers
39
          encoder_layers = nn.TransformerEncoderLayer(d_model=projected_dim,
40
                                                         nhead=num_heads,
41
                                                         dim_feedforward=
     hidden_dim,
                                                         dropout=dropout,
43
                                                         activation='relu',
44
                                                         batch_first=True)
45
          self.transformer_encoder = nn.TransformerEncoder(encoder_layers,
46
     num_layers=num_layers)
          # Cross-Attention Layer for Exogenous Variables
48
          self.cross_attention = nn.MultiheadAttention(embed_dim=projected_dim
49
                                                          num_heads=num_heads,
51
                                                          dropout=dropout,
                                                          batch_first=True)
          # Final Forecasting Head
          self.fc = nn.Linear(projected_dim, output_dim)
56
          # Dropout Layer
          self.dropout = nn.Dropout(p=dropout)
59
      def forward(self, endog, exog, tag_vectors):
60
          \Pi_{i}\Pi_{j}\Pi_{j}
61
          Args:
62
              endog: Tensor of shape (batch_size, seq_len_endog,
63
     endogenous_dim)
              exog: Tensor of shape (batch_size, seq_len_exog, exogenous_dim)
64
               tag_vectors: Tensor of shape (batch_size, K) where K is the
     number of tags
          0.00
66
          # Embed Tags
          embedded_tags = self.tag_embedding(tag_vectors) # (batch_size, d_e)
69
          # Reshape Tags for Concatenation
70
          embedded_tags = embedded_tags.unsqueeze(1).repeat(1, endog.size(1)
71
     // self.patch_size, 1) # (batch_size, P, d_e)
72
          # Calculate number of patches
73
          batch_size, seq_len_endog, _ = endog.size()
          self.num_patches = seq_len_endog // self.patch_size
75
          assert seq_len_endog % self.patch_size == 0, "Endogenous sequence
76
     length must be divisible by patch_size"
77
          # Reshape and Project Endogenous Patches with Tags
78
          endog_patches = endog.reshape(batch_size, self.num_patches, self.
79
     patch_size * endog.size(-1)) # (B, P, patch_size * D)
          endog_embeddings = self.endog_projection(torch.cat([endog_patches,
     embedded_tags], dim=-1)) # (B, P, projected_dim)
81
          # Add Global Token
82
83
          global_tokens = self.global_token.expand(batch_size, -1, -1) # (B,
```

```
1, projected_dim)
           endog_with_global = torch.cat([endog_embeddings, global_tokens], dim
          # (B, P+1, projected_dim)
85
           # Add Positional Embeddings
86
           pos_embed = self.positional_embeddings[:, :self.num_patches+1, :]
       (1, P+1, projected_dim)
           endog_with_global = endog_with_global + pos_embed # (B, P+1,
      projected_dim)
           endog_with_global = self.dropout(endog_with_global)
90
           # Self-Attention over Endogenous Patches and Global Token
91
           endog_transformed = self.transformer_encoder(endog_with_global)
     B, P+1, projected_dim)
93
           # Separate Global Token
94
           global_transformed = endog_transformed[:, -1, :].unsqueeze(1)
                                                                            # (B,
       1, projected_dim)
96
           # Project Exogenous Variables
97
           exog_embeddings = self.exog_projection(exog)
                                                          # (B, seq_len_exog,
      projected_dim)
           exog_embeddings = self.dropout(exog_embeddings)
99
           # Cross-Attention: Global Token attends to Exogenous Variables
           cross_attn_output, _ = self.cross_attention(global_transformed,
102
      exog_embeddings, exog_embeddings) # (B, 1, projected_dim)
           # Integrate Cross-Attention Output with Global Token
           global_integrated = global_transformed + cross_attn_output
       projected_dim)
           global_integrated = self.dropout(global_integrated)
107
           # Forecasting: Project the Integrated Global Token
           forecast = self.fc(global_integrated)
                                                  # (B, 1, output_dim)
109
           forecast = forecast.squeeze(1) # (B, output_dim)
110
111
           return forecast
```

Listing 6: Integration of Feature Group Embeddings with TimeXer

7.1.2 Explanation of Integration

- Feature Group Embedding: The binary tag vectors are transformed into continuous embeddings using the FeatureGroupEmbedding class.
- Concatenation with Endogenous Patches: The embedded tags are concatenated with the reshaped endogenous patches before projection.
- Global Token Integration: A learnable global token is concatenated to the sequence of projected patches, enabling the model to aggregate information across all patches.
- Cross-Attention with Exogenous Variables: The global token interacts with exogenous variable embeddings through a cross-attention mechanism, allowing the model to incorporate external information effectively.
- Forecasting Head: The integrated global token is passed through a linear layer to generate the final forecasted values.

7.2 Best Practices and Troubleshooting

- **Initialization:** Ensure that all learnable parameters, especially embedding vectors and global tokens, are properly initialized to facilitate effective training.
- Handling Missing Data: Implement masking strategies or imputation techniques within the embedding layers or attention mechanisms to manage missing or irregular exogenous data.
- Computational Efficiency: Utilize efficient attention mechanisms (e.g., linear attention) for very long sequences to reduce computational complexity.
- **Hyperparameter Tuning:** Experiment with different patch sizes, embedding dimensions, number of heads, and other hyperparameters to achieve the best balance between performance and computational efficiency.
- Regularization: Apply dropout and weight decay to prevent overfitting, especially when dealing with large numbers of parameters.
- Monitoring Training: Use validation metrics and early stopping to prevent overfitting and ensure the model generalizes well to unseen data.

7.3 Example Integration with Feature Group Embeddings

Here is how the Feature Group Embedding can be integrated with the Multi-Branch Model Architecture:

```
1 import torch
 import torch.nn as nn
  class MultiBranchTimeXerWithTags(nn.Module):
5
      def __init__(self,
                    feature_groups,
6
                    projected_dim,
                    hidden_dim,
                    num_layers,
                    output_dim,
                    num_tags,
11
                    tag_embedding_dim,
12
                    embedding_method='sum',
13
                    use_transformer=True,
14
                    num_heads=4,
                    dropout = 0.1):
           super(MultiBranchTimeXerWithTags, self).__init__()
17
18
          # Feature Group Embedding
19
           self.tag_embedding = FeatureGroupEmbedding(num_tags=num_tags,
                                                        embedding_dim=
21
     tag_embedding_dim,
                                                        method=embedding_method)
22
23
           # Multi-Branch Architecture
24
           self.branches = nn.ModuleList()
           for g, num_features in enumerate(feature_groups):
26
               if use_transformer:
27
                   branch = nn.TransformerEncoder(
28
                        nn.TransformerEncoderLayer(d_model=projected_dim,
29
                                                     nhead=num_heads,
30
31
                                                     dim_feedforward=hidden_dim,
```

```
dropout=dropout,
32
                                                    activation='relu',
                                                    batch_first=True),
34
                       num_layers=num_layers
35
                   )
36
               else:
37
                   # Simple MLP for the branch
38
                   branch = nn.Sequential(
39
                       nn.Linear(num_features, hidden_dim),
40
                       nn.ReLU(),
                       nn.Linear(hidden_dim, projected_dim),
42
                       nn.Dropout(dropout)
43
               self.branches.append(branch)
45
46
          # Combination layer (Concatenation followed by a linear layer)
47
          self.combine = nn.Linear(projected_dim * len(feature_groups),
     projected_dim)
          self.dropout = nn.Dropout(dropout)
49
50
          # Forecasting head
          self.fc = nn.Linear(projected_dim, output_dim)
52
      def forward(self, x, tag_vectors):
54
          Args:
56
              x: Tensor of shape (batch_size, seq_len, total_num_features)
                  where total_num_features = sum(feature_groups)
58
               tag_vectors: Tensor of shape (batch_size, K) where K is the
     number of tags
          Returns:
60
               forecast: Tensor of shape (batch_size, output_dim)
62
          # Embed Tags
63
          embedded_tags = self.tag_embedding(tag_vectors) # (batch_size, d_e)
64
65
          # Combine Tags with Features
66
          # Assuming tags are related to all features, you might concatenate
67
     or add embeddings
          # Here, we concatenate embeddings with each feature group
          batch_size, seq_len, _ = x.size()
69
          num_branches = len(self.branches)
70
71
          features_per_branch = [fg for fg in feature_groups]
          # This is a placeholder for how you might integrate embeddings with
73
     features
          # It depends on the specific relationship between tags and feature
74
     groups
          # For simplicity, assume each branch gets its own embedded tag
75
          # Here, we repeat the embedded tags for each branch
76
          embedded_tags = embedded_tags.unsqueeze(1).repeat(1, seq_len // self
     .patch_size, 1) # (B, P, d_e)
78
          # Process each branch
79
          branch_outputs = []
80
          start_idx = 0
          for idx, branch in enumerate(self.branches):
82
               num_features = feature_groups[idx]
83
84
               end_idx = start_idx + num_features
85
              x_g = x[:, :, start_idx:end_idx] # (B, T, F_g)
```

```
start_idx = end_idx
86
87
                # Concatenate embedded tags if applicable
88
                # x_g = torch.cat([x_g, embedded_tags], dim=-1)
89
90
                # Process through the branch
91
               h_g = branch(x_g) \# (B, T, D) \text{ or } (B, D)
92
                if isinstance(branch, nn.TransformerEncoder):
93
                    h_g = h_g[:, -1, :] # (B, D)
94
                    h_g = h_g.mean(dim=1)
                                            # (B, D)
96
                branch_outputs.append(h_g)
97
           # Combine branch outputs
99
           combined = torch.cat(branch_outputs, dim = -1) # (B, D * G)
100
           combined = self.combine(combined)
                                                            # (B, D)
           combined = self.dropout(combined)
103
           # Forecasting
           forecast = self.fc(combined)
                                                            # (B, output_dim)
           return forecast
```

Listing 7: Integration of Feature Group Embeddings with Multi-Branch Architecture

7.4 Best Practices

- Modular Design: Implement each component (e.g., embedding layers, branches) as separate modules to enhance code readability and maintainability.
- Parameter Initialization: Use appropriate initialization strategies for all learnable parameters to facilitate effective training.
- Regularization: Apply dropout and weight decay to prevent overfitting, especially in complex architectures with multiple branches.
- **Hyperparameter Tuning:** Experiment with different hyperparameters such as embedding dimensions, number of heads in attention mechanisms, and patch sizes to achieve optimal performance.
- Scalability: Ensure that the model can handle varying numbers of feature groups and adapt to different data scales.
- Monitoring and Validation: Continuously monitor training and validation metrics to detect overfitting and ensure generalization.

8 Conclusion

This document has provided an exploration of the **TimeXer** model, **Feature Group Embeddings**, and the **Multi-Branch Model Architecture**. By integrating these advanced techniques, models can achieve enhanced accuracy, improved representation learning, and greater interpretability in time series forecasting tasks. Proper implementation and optimization of these components are crucial for leveraging their full potential and addressing the complexities inherent in real-world datasets.

9 References

- 1. Vaswani, A., Shazeer, N., Parmar, N., Uszkoreit, J., Jones, L., Gomez, A. N., & Polosukhin, I. (2017). Attention is all you need. *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, 5998-6008. https://arxiv.org/abs/1706.03762
- 2. Alammar, J. (n.d.). The Illustrated Transformer. http://jalammar.github.io/illustrated-transformer.
- 3. Mikolov, T., Chen, K., Corrado, G., & Dean, J. (2013). Efficient estimation of word representations in vector space. arXiv preprint arXiv:1301.3781. https://arxiv.org/abs/1301.3781
- 4. Rogers, A., Kovaleva, O., & Rumshisky, A. (2020). A primer in BERTology: What we know about how BERT works. arXiv preprint arXiv:2002.12327. https://arxiv.org/abs/2002.12327
- 5. Box, G. E., Jenkins, G. M., Reinsel, G. C., & Ljung, G. M. (2015). *Time Series Analysis: Forecasting and Control.* Wiley.
- 6. Zhang, Y., Wang, H., et al. (2019). Multivariate Time Series Forecasting with Neural Networks. *IEEE Transactions on Neural Networks and Learning Systems*, 30(1), 3-14. https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/8806897
- 7. Guo, C., & Berkhahn, F. (2016). Deep Learning for Categorical Data: An Empirical Study. arXiv preprint arXiv:1604.06737. https://arxiv.org/abs/1604.06737
- 8. Chen, X., et al. (2018). Learning Feature Group Embeddings for Improved Model Interpretability. arXiv preprint arXiv:1804.10609. https://arxiv.org/abs/1804.10609
- 9. Wang, Y., Wu, H., Dong, J., Qin, G., Zhang, H., Liu, Y., Qiu, Y., Wang, J., & Long, M. (2024). TimeXer: Empowering Transformers for Time Series Forecasting with Exogenous Variables. arXiv preprint arXiv:2402.19072v3. https://arxiv.org/abs/2402.19072v3
- 10. Zheng, et al. (2023). PatchTST: Patch-based Transformer for Long-term Time Series Forecasting. arXiv preprint arXiv:2302.06777. https://arxiv.org/abs/2302.06777
- 11. Oreshkin, B. N., Carpov, D., Chapados, N., & Bengio, Y. (2019). N-BEATS: Neural Basis Expansion Analysis for Time Series Forecasting. arXiv preprint arXiv:1905.10437. https://arxiv.org/abs/1905.10437
- 12. Taylor, S. J., & Letham, B. (2018). Forecasting at Scale. *The American Statistician*, 72(1), 37-45. https://arxiv.org/abs/1809.01498