## Technical and legal aspects of security



Week assignment - Decision log

Emmanuel Baudvin
Lucien Cassagnes
Lucas Laville
Antoine Puissant

 $\underline{\text{Teachers}}$ : Carla and Arnim

2015 - 2016

## Résumé

Ceci est le résumé

Antoine Puissant	Technical and legal aspects of security	2015-2016
Table des n	natières	
1 Decision log		3
References		5

## 1 Decision log

Date		Action	Signed off
06/01/2015	at	Operation case file opened and team briefing	EBDN
16:00		arranged for 16:00 room 157	LCSS
			LLVE
			APST
06/01/2015	at	Asking Norman Sherriff an authorization of	EBDN
18:00		investigation to the CEO, Legal Director and	LCSS
		his signed authority	LLVE
			APST
07/01/2015	$\operatorname{at}$	Asking for material to analyze more stuff to	EBDN
09:00		Jenny King:	LCSS
		— All emails of M. John Little, Mrs.	LLVE
		Marian Maid, M. Fred Tuck (from IT	APST
		department)	
		— Search and seizure of M. John Little and	
		M. Fred Tuck	
		— The HR policy and signed copies of M.	
		John Little, Mrs. Marian Maid, M. Fred	
		Tuck	
		Asking permission to talk to M. John Little,	
		Mrs. Marian Maid, Fred Tuck	
08/01/2015	at	Asking some information to the investigation	LCSS
14:30		response team (how did the evidences IDs where	APST
		created and cases when there were 2 post-it).	

08/01/2015 at	To the investigation team:	EBDN
15:15	We wanted to know the content of the two CD's	LCSS
	named "MM PIX" (MC0/002 and MC0/006).	LLVE
	Those content weren't accessible.	APST
	We also wanted to know if the evidences	
	MC0/004, MC0/005, MC0/006, MC0/007,	
	MC0/008 and AEI/005 belonged to Fred Tuck.	
	To Norman Sherriff:	
	When we investigated the first data searching	
	for the behavior of M. John Little, we saw the	
	mention of two projects:	
	— Project Nomad	
	- Project Snow King	
	Those two projects where used as threats from	
	Mrs. Marian Maid to M. John Little: « Also	
	remember, I know about Project "Snow King"	
	and "Nomad". You ought to have remembered	
	that I live with you and know more that you	
	may think before embarrassing me to the entire	
	,	
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
	,	
	`	
	, ,	
	_ *	
	The state of the s	
	_	
	office. » (Mrs. Marian Maid to M. John Little).  « What do you think you're doing, threatening me? »(M. John Little to Mrs. Marian Maid).  We then found some CD's with the name « NOMAD » wrote on it (AEI001 and MC0/007).  Then, we looked on M. John Little, M. Fred Tuck and Mrs. Marian Maid email box about those projects.  We found that those two projects are being run by M. John Little and M. Fred Tuck. It appears that those projects are about embezzlement.  When M. Norman Sherriff heard this, he told us the investigation was changing. We are now in an investigation of a criminal case and not a civil investigation.  This is the matter of embezzlement. At this moment, we have three suspects:  — M. John Little  — M. Fred Tuck  — Mrs. Marian Maid  To continue our investigation, we have the authorization to read the mails of those three persons about the projects « Nomad » and « Snow King ».	

Table 1 – Decision  $\log$ 

## Références

- [1] AUTHOR. REF TITLE. ORG. 2015. URL: http://www.url.com/.
- [2] WIKIPEDIA. European Convention on Human Rights. Wikipedia. 2015. URL: https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/European\_Convention\_on\_Human\_Rights#Article\_8\_-\_privacy.