

Example 2 Part 1: Alternative Metrics of Time in Accelerated Longitudinal Designs (complete syntax, data, and output available for STATA, R, and SAS electronically)

These data come from Hoffman (2015) chapter 10, which examined prediction of a memory outcome (prose recall) from two metrics of time: years since birth (centered at 84 years) and years in study (centered at the first occasion) in a sample of 557 observations from 207 older adults. This example first estimates empty models for each time-varying variable (age, time, recall), then saturated means for recall by age and time, followed by a series of unconditional and conditional models for change evaluating age convergence (i.e., age cohort effects). I used maximum likelihood estimation to facilitate all model comparisons (and Part 2's translation into SEM).

STATA Syntax for Importing and Preparing Data for Analysis:

```
// Defining global variable for file location to be replaced in code below
// \\Client\ precedes path in Virtual Desktop outside H drive
global filesave "C:\Dropbox\23_PSQF7375_AdvLong\PSQF7375_AdvLong_Example2"

// Import chapter 10a stacked data and create centered predictors for analysis
use "$filesave\STATA_Chapter10a.dta", clear
// Time in study
gen time = tvage-aget0
gen timesq = time*time
// Fixing 1 case rounded to 9
replace occasion=8 if (occasion==9)
// Age (years since birth) variables
gen roundage = round(tvage,1)
// Fixing 2 cases above 95
replace roundage=95 if (roundage==97)
replace roundage=95 if (roundage==100)
gen tvage84 = tvage-84
gen tvage84sq = tvage84*tvage84
gen aget084 = aget0-84
gen aget084sq = aget084*aget084
label variable time "time: Years since Time 0"
label variable timesq "timesq: Squared Years since Time 0"
label variable roundage "roundage: Age Rounded to Nearest Year"
label variable tvage84 "tvage84: Time-Varying Age (0=84 years)"
label variable tvage84sq "tvage84sq: Squared Time-Varying Age (0=84 years)"
label variable aget084 "aget084: Age at Time 0 (0=84 years)"
label variable aget084sq "aget084: Squared Age at Time 0 (0=84 years)"

// Subset sample to complete cases for all predictors
egen nummiss = rowmiss(tvage aget0 recall)
drop if nummiss>0
```

Time is current age – baseline age, which was created in order to represent the purely longitudinal variance of time-varying age.

Also, I am building squared variables to simplify the model syntax below (but these versions won't work with the predicted outcomes code used in the chapter 10 syntax at the book's website).

R Syntax for Importing and Preparing Data for Analysis (after loading packages haven, expss, TeachingDemos, psych, lmerTest, performance, and prediction as shown online):

```
# Define variables for working directory and data name
filesave = "C:\\Dropbox\\23_PSQF7375_AdvLong\\PSQF7375_AdvLong_Example2/"
filename = "SAS_Chapter10a.sas7bdat"
setwd(dir=filesave)

# Import chapter 10a stacked data with labels
Example2 = read_sas(data_file=paste0(filesave,filename))
# Convert to data frame as data frame without labels to use for analysis
Example2 = as.data.frame(Example2)

# Create centered predictors for analysis
# Time in study
Example2$time=Example2$tvage-Example2$ageT0
Example2$timesq=Example2$time*Example2$time
# Fixing 1 case rounded to 9
Example2$occasion[which(Example2$occasion==9)]=8
# Age (years since birth) variables
Example2$roundage=round(Example2$tvage,digits=0)
```

```
# Fixing 2 cases above 95
Example2$roundage[which(Example2$roundage>95)]=95
Example2$tvage84=Example2$tvage-84
Example2$tvage84sq=Example2$tvage84*Example2$tvage84
Example2$ageT084=Example2$ageT0-84
Example2$ageT084sq=Example2$ageT084*Example2$ageT084

# Subset sample to complete cases for all predictors
Example2 = Example2[complete.cases(Example2[, c("tvage", "ageT0", "recall")]),]
```

STATA Syntax and Output for Data Description:

```
display "Descriptive Statistics"
summarize ageT0 tvage time recall
```

Variable	Obs	Mean	Std. Dev.	Min	Max
ageT0	557	82.97113	2.688563	79.41918	97.77808
tvage	557	85.64534	3.55728	79.41918	99.89863
time	557	2.674216	2.603101	0	8.502732
recall	557	10.1939	3.826512	0	16

```
pwcorr ageT0 tvage time recall, sig
```

	ageT0	tvage	time	recall
ageT0	1.0000			
tvage	0.6852	1.0000		
time	-0.0965	0.6589	1.0000	
recall	-0.1230	-0.0630	0.0409	1.0000

R Syntax for Data Description:

```
print("Descriptive Statistics")
describe(x=Example2[, c("ageT0", "tvage", "time", "recall")])
```

	vars	n	mean	sd	median	trimmed	mad	min	max	range	skew	kurtosis	se
ageT0	1	557	82.97	2.69	82.25	82.64	2.24	79.42	97.78	18.36	1.23	1.84	0.11
tvage	2	557	85.65	3.56	85.26	85.45	3.92	79.42	99.90	20.48	0.50	-0.16	0.15
time	3	557	2.67	2.60	2.09	2.37	3.09	0.00	8.50	8.50	0.64	-0.72	0.11
recall	4	557	10.19	3.83	11.00	10.49	2.97	0.00	16.00	16.00	-0.66	-0.32	0.16

```
corr.test(x=Example2[, c("ageT0", "tvage", "time", "recall")])
```

```
Correlation matrix
      ageT0 tvage time recall
ageT0  1.00  0.69 -0.10 -0.12
tvage  0.69  1.00  0.66 -0.06
time   -0.10 0.66  1.00  0.04
recall -0.12 -0.06 0.04  1.00
Sample Size
[1] 557
```

```
Probability values (Entries above the diagonal are adjusted for multiple tests.)
```

```
      ageT0 tvage time recall
ageT0  0.00  0.00 0.07  0.01
tvage  0.00  0.00 0.00  0.27
time   0.02  0.00 0.00  0.34
recall 0.00  0.14 0.34  0.00
```

Because baseline age and time do have some (negative) correlation, they do not provide a complete separation of the between-person and within-person variance in age (i.e., as would have been obtained by centering using person mean age instead of baseline age to create time, analogous to chapter 8). Instead, we will think of **baseline age** as representing **cross-sectional** age variance and **time** as representing **longitudinal** age variance.

STATA and R Syntax and Partial Output for Empty Means, Random Intercept Model for Age:

```
display "Empty Means, Random Intercept Model for Age"
mixed tvage , || personid: , mle nolog
```

Random-effects Parameters	Estimate	Std. Err.	[95% Conf. Interval]	
personid: Identity				
var(_cons)	5.091145	.8861275	3.619608	7.160929
var(Residual)	7.77283	.5869496	6.703513	9.012721

LR test vs. linear model: chibar2(01) = 72.93 Prob >= chibar2 = 0.0000

```
estat icc // Intraclass correlation
```

Level	ICC	Std. Err.	[95% Conf. Interval]	
personid	.3957676	.0500664	.3029102	.4968037

```
print("Empty Means, Random Intercept Model for Age")
Age = lmer(data=Example2, REML=FALSE, formula=tvage~1+(1|PersonID))
summary(Age); icc(Age); print("Does the random intercept improve model fit?")
ranova(Age, reduce.term=TRUE) # LRT for removing random intercept
```

```
Random effects:
Groups   Name      Variance Std.Dev.
PersonID (Intercept) 5.0911  2.2564
Residual              7.7728  2.7880
```

```
# Intraclass Correlation Coefficient
Adjusted ICC: 0.396
Unadjusted ICC: 0.396
```

$$ICC = \frac{5.0911}{5.0911 + 7.7728} = .396$$

So 40% of the variance in age is actually cross-sectional—due to age mean differences! This means that age can have both cross-sectional (~BP) and longitudinal (~WP) effects simultaneously.

```
npair logLik AIC LRT Df Pr(>Chisq)
<none> 3 -1460.21 2926.43
(1 | PersonID) 2 -1496.68 2997.36 72.9318 1 < 2.22e-16
```

STATA and R Syntax and Partial Output for Empty Means, Random Intercept Model for Time:

```
display "Empty Means, Random Intercept Model for Time"
mixed time , || personid: , mle nolog
```

Random-effects Parameters	Estimate	Std. Err.	[95% Conf. Interval]	
personid: Identity				
var(_cons)	3.74e-20	1.03e-19	1.70e-22	8.23e-18
var(Residual)	6.763969	.4053182	6.014436	7.606909

LR test vs. linear model: chibar2(01) = 0.00 Prob >= chibar2 = 1.0000

```
estat icc // Intraclass correlation
```

Level	ICC	Std. Err.	[95% Conf. Interval]	
personid	5.53e-21	0	5.53e-21	5.53e-21

```
print("Empty Means, Random Intercept Model for Time")
Time = lmer(data=Example2, REML=FALSE, formula=time~1+(1|PersonID))
summary(Time); icc(Time); print("Does the random intercept improve model fit?")
ranova(Time, reduce.term=TRUE) # LRT for removing random intercept
```

```
Random effects:
Groups   Name      Variance Std.Dev.
PersonID (Intercept) 0.000  0.0000
Residual              6.764  2.6008
```

```
> icc(Time)
[1] NA → LRT chi-square ~ 0
```

$$ICC = \frac{0}{0 + 6.764} = 0$$

All of the variance in time is within persons—this means time can only have a longitudinal (~WP) effect.

Syntax and Partial Output for Empty Means, Random Intercept Model for Recall:**Level-1:** $recall_{ti} = \beta_{0i} + e_{ti}$ **Level-2:** $\beta_{0i} = \gamma_{00} + U_{0i}$ **STATA:**

```
display "Model 0: Empty Means, Random Intercept Model for Recall Outcome"
mixed recall , || personid: , mle nolog

Log likelihood = -1428.6775
```

recall	Coef.	Std. Err.	z	P> z	[95% Conf. Interval]
_cons	9.734908	.2505783	38.85	0.000	9.243784 10.22603

```
-----+-----
```

Random-effects Parameters	Estimate	Std. Err.	[95% Conf. Interval]
personid: Identity			
var(_cons)	10.45792	1.309559	8.181943 13.36701
var(Residual)	5.164586	.3930054	4.448999 5.99527

```
-----+-----
```

LR test vs. linear model: chibar2(01) = **217.28** Prob >= chibar2 = 0.0000

```
display "-2LL = " e(l1)*-2 // Print -2LL for model
-2LL = 2857.355
```

```
estat icc // Intraclass correlation
```

Level	ICC	Std. Err.	[95% Conf. Interval]
personid	.6694138	.0347124	.598231 .7336009

R:

```
print("Model 0: Empty Means, Random Intercept Model for Recall Outcome")
Empty = lmer(data=Example2, REML=FALSE, formula=recall~1+(1|PersonID))
l1likAIC(Empty); summary(Empty); icc(Empty); print("Does the random intercept improve model fit?")
ranova(Empty, reduce.term=TRUE) # LRT for removing random intercept
```

```
$AICtab
      AIC      BIC    logLik deviance df.resid
2863.3550 2876.3227 -1428.6775  2857.3550   554.0000 deviance = -2LL (for homework)
```

```
Random effects:
```

```
Groups   Name             Variance Std.Dev.
PersonID (Intercept) 10.4579  3.2339  Level-2 variance of U0i
Residual              5.1646  2.2726  Level-1 variance of eti
Number of obs: 557, groups: PersonID, 207
```

```
Fixed effects:
```

```
              Estimate Std. Error      df t value Pr(>|t|)
(Intercept)   9.73491    0.25058 197.01209   38.85 < 2.2e-16
```

```
# Intraclass Correlation Coefficient
```

```
Adjusted ICC: 0.669
Unadjusted ICC: 0.669
```

```
      npar  logLik    AIC    LRT Df Pr(>Chisq)
<none>      3 -1428.68 2863.36
(1 | PersonID)  2 -1537.32 3078.63 217.278  1 < 2.22e-16
```

$$ICC = \frac{10.4579}{10.4579 + 5.1646} = .669$$



So 67% of the variance in recall is initially due to person mean differences.

Next, we will see what the mean trajectory for recall looks like over age and time...

STATA Syntax and Plots for Saturated Means for Recall by Age and Time

```
display "Saturated Means by Rounded Age, Random Intercept Model"
mixed recall i.roundage, || personid: , mle nolog
margins i.roundage // get saturated means per age and plot them
marginsplot, xdimension(roundage) name(by_age, replace)
graph export "$filesave\STATA plots\STATA Recall by Age.png", replace

display "Saturated Means by Rounded Time, Random Intercept Model"
mixed recall i.occasion, || personid: , mle nolog
margins i.occasion // get saturated means per occasion and plot them
marginsplot, xdimension(occasion) name(by_time, replace)
graph export "$filesave\STATA plots\STATA Recall by Time.png", replace
```



R Syntax for Saturated Means for Recall by Age and Time (see syntax online for plots)

```
print("Saturated Means by Rounded Age, Random Intercept Model")
SatAge = lmer(data=Example2, REML=FALSE, formula=recall~0+as.factor(roundage)+(1|PersonID))
summary(SatAge)

print("Saturated Means by Rounded Occasion, Random Intercept Model")
SatTim = lmer(data=Example2, REML=FALSE, formula=recall~0+as.factor(occasion)+(1|PersonID))
summary(SatTim)
```

Model 1a. Syntax and Partial Output for Fixed Quadratic Age, Random Intercept for Recall:

Level-1 **Age:** $recall_{ti} = \beta_{0i} + \beta_{1i}(age_{ti} - 84) + \beta_{2i}(age_{ti} - 84)^2 + e_{ti}$

Level-2: $\beta_{0i} = \gamma_{00} + U_{0i}, \beta_{1i} = \gamma_{10}, \beta_{2i} = \gamma_{20}$

Model 1a STATA:

```
display "Model 1a Age: Fixed Quadratic, Random Intercept Model"
mixed recall c.tvage84 c.tvage84sq, || personid: , mle nolog
display "-2LL = " e(11)*-2 // Print -2LL for model
estat ic, n(207) // Information criteria at N=# persons
estimates store FitRIAge // Save for LRT
predict PredAge, xb // Save fixed-pred outcomes for total-R2
corr recall PredAge // Get total r to make R2
display r(rho)^2 // Print total R2 relative to empty model
```

Model 1a R:

```
print("Model 1a Age: Fixed Quadratic, Random Intercept Model")
RIAge = lmer(data=Example2, REML=FALSE, formula=recall~1+tvage84+tvage84sq+(1|PersonID))
l1kAIC(RIAge); summary(RIAge)
```

```

$AICtab
      AIC      BIC    logLik   deviance  df.resid
2860.6264 2882.2393 -1425.3132  2850.6264  552.0000  deviance = -2LL

Random effects:
 Groups   Name      Variance Std.Dev.
PersonID (Intercept) 10.4804  3.2373  Level-2 variance of U0i
Residual              5.0716  2.2520  Level-1 variance of eti
Number of obs: 557, groups: PersonID, 207

Fixed effects:
              Estimate Std. Error      df t value Pr(>|t|)
(Intercept)  9.8196505   0.2634293 230.9528321 37.2762 < 2e-16 gamma00
tvage84    -0.1189889   0.0516498 465.3551305 -2.3038 0.02168 gamma10
tvage84sq  0.0047917   0.0075791 474.9664062  0.6322 0.52755 gamma20

```

Interpret these fixed effects:

Intercept γ_{00} =

Slope for age_{ti} γ_{10} =

Slope for age_{ti}^2 γ_{20} =

```

print("Total R2 for fixed age slopes")
Example2$PredRIAges = predict(RIAges, re.form=NA)
rRIAges = cor.test(Example2$PredRIAges, Example2$recall, method="pearson")
rRIAges$estimate^2
      cor
0.0046803019

```

Model 1b. Syntax and Partial Output for Fixed Quadratic Time, Random Intercept for Recall:

Level-1 Time: $recall_{ti} = \beta_{0i} + \beta_{1i}(age_{ti} - ageT0_i) + \beta_{2i}(age_{ti} - ageT0_i)^2 + e_{ti}$

Level-2: $\beta_{0i} = \gamma_{00} + U_{0i}$, $\beta_{1i} = \gamma_{10}$, $\beta_{2i} = \gamma_{20}$

Where $age_{ti} - ageT0_i = time_{ti}$ (as years-in-study rather than years-since-birth)

Model 1b STATA:

```

display "Model 1b Time: Fixed Quadratic, Random Intercept Model"
mixed recall c.time c.timesq, || personid: , mle nolog
display "-2LL = " e(11)*-2 // Print -2LL for model
estat ic, n(207) // Information criteria at N=# persons
estimates store FitRITim // Save for LRT
predict PredTim, xb // Save fixed-pred outcomes for total-R2
corr recall PredTim // Get total r to make R2
display r(rho)^2 // Print total R2 relative to empty model

```

Model 1b R:

```

print("Model 1b Time: Fixed Quadratic, Random Intercept Model")
RITim = lmer(data=Example2, REML=FALSE, formula=recall~1+time+timesq+(1|PersonID))
llikAIC(RITim); summary(RITim)

$AICtab
      AIC      BIC    logLik   deviance  df.resid
2856.0088 2877.6216 -1423.0044  2846.0088  552.0000  deviance = -2LL

```

```

Random effects:
 Groups   Name      Variance Std.Dev.
PersonID (Intercept) 10.622  3.2591  Level-2 variance of U0i
Residual              4.983  2.2323  Level-1 variance of eti

```

Fixed effects:

	Estimate	Std. Error	df	t value	Pr(> t)	
(Intercept)	9.660987	0.274986	282.359753	35.1326	< 2.2e-16	gamma00
time	0.261331	0.119243	377.995056	2.1916	0.029019	gamma10
timesq	-0.046907	0.015826	366.791758	-2.9640	0.003235	gamma20

Interpret these fixed effects:

Intercept γ_{00} =

Slope for $time_{ti}$ γ_{10} =

Slope for $time_{ti}^2$ γ_{20} =

```
print("Total R2 for fixed time slopes")
Example2$PredRITim = predict(RITim, re.form=NA)
rRITim = cor.test(Example2$PredRITim, Example2$recall, method="pearson")

rRITim$estimate^2
cor
0.0027296325
```

Pseudo-R² Table—level-1 time explains more level-1 residual variance than level-1 age:

Model	Random Intercept Variance	Residual Variance	100*% Random Intercept Reduced	100*% Residual Variance Reduced
Model 0: Empty Means, Random Intercept	10.4578	5.1646		
Model 1a Age: Fixed Quadratic, Random Intercept Model	10.4803	5.0716		
R2 change from level-1 fixed effects			-0.22	1.80
Model 0: Empty Means, Random Intercept	10.4578	5.1646		
Model 1b Time: Fixed Quadratic, Random Intercept Model	10.6213	4.9831		
R2 change from level-1 fixed effects			-1.56	3.51

From here, I am adding terms in a deliberately different order than I typically recommend so that I can make some pedagogical points. First, I add effects of **baseline age** ($ageT0_i - 84$) to each fixed quadratic, random intercept model to see how its fixed slopes differ in interpretation when using *age* versus *time* in the level-1 model. Then I add **random slopes** for each level-1 predictor to see how their level-2 random effect variances differ between models. Finally, I show syntax and partial output for novel models (relative to what was covered in chapter 10) that try to repair the mis-specification introduced into the random slope age-as-time model.

Model 2a. Syntax and Partial Output for Fixed Quadratic Age, Random Intercept for Recall, adding Age at Baseline to Add Contextual Birth Cohort Effects (that Test Age Convergence):

Level-1 Age: $recall_{ti} = \beta_{0i} + \beta_{1i}(age_{ti} - 84) + \beta_{2i}(age_{ti} - 84)^2 + e_{ti}$

Level-2: $\beta_{0i} = \gamma_{00} + \gamma_{01}(ageT0_i - 84) + \gamma_{02}(ageT0_i - 84)^2 + U_{0i}$

$\beta_{1i} = \gamma_{10} + \gamma_{11}(ageT0_i - 84), \beta_{2i} = \gamma_{20}$

Model 2a STATA:

```
display "Model 2a Age: Fixed Quadratic, Random Intercept Model"
display "Controlling for Birth Cohort as Contextual Effects"
mixed recall c.tvage84 c.tvage84sq c.aget084 c.aget084sq c.tvage84#c.aget084, ///
|| personid: , mle nolog
display "-2LL = " e(11)*-2 // Print -2LL for model
estat ic, n(207) // Information criteria at N=# persons
```



```
// Total Linear Birth Cohort on Intercept
lincom c.aget084*1 + c.tvage84*1
// Total Quadratic Birth Cohort on Intercept
lincom c.aget084sq*1 + c.tvage84#c.aget084*1 + c.tvage84sq*1
// Total Linear Birth Cohort on Linear Slope
lincom c.tvage84#c.aget084*1 + c.tvage84sq*2
estimates store FitRICohAge // Save for LRT
lrtest FitRICohAge FitRIAge // LRT for birth cohort contextual fixed slopes
predict PredCohAge, xb // Save fixed-pred outcomes for total-R2
corr recall PredCohAge // Get total r to make R2
display r(rho)^2 // Print total R2 relative to empty model
```

Model 2a R:

```
print("Model 2a Age: Fixed Quadratic, Random Intercept Model")
print("Controlling for Birth Cohort as Contextual Effects")
RICohAge = lmer(data=Example2, REML=FALSE, formula=recall~1+tvage84+tvage84sq
+ageT084+ageT084sq+tvage84:ageT084+(1|PersonID))
llikaIC(RICohAge); summary(RICohAge)
```

```
$AICtab
      AIC      BIC    logLik  deviance  df.resid
2851.3846 2885.9651 -1417.6923  2835.3846    549.0000
```

Random effects:

Groups	Name	Variance	Std.Dev.
PersonID	(Intercept)	10.2325	3.1988 → reduced by another 2.37%
Residual		4.9275	2.2198 → reduced by another 1.12%

Fixed effects:

	Estimate	Std. Error	df	t value	Pr(> t)	
(Intercept)	9.388079	0.341412	263.136000	27.4978	< 2.2e-16	gamma00
tvage84	0.287618	0.119256	380.694774	2.4118	0.0163477	gamma10
tvage84sq	-0.043547	0.015815	366.603154	-2.7535	0.0061904	gamma20
ageT084	-0.575545	0.157213	556.003996	-3.6609	0.0002753	gamma01
ageT084sq	-0.075633	0.028853	549.018161	-2.6213	0.0090012	gamma02
tvage84:ageT084	0.126026	0.034676	377.633390	3.6344	0.0003172	gamma11

Interpret these fixed effects:

Intercept γ_{00} =

Slope for age_{ti} γ_{10} =

Slope for age_{ti}^2 γ_{20} =

Slope for $ageT0_i$ γ_{01} =

Slope for $ageT0_i^2$ γ_{02} =

Slope for $age_{ti} * ageT0_i^2$ γ_{11} =

Above, the slopes of baseline age represent **contextual** birth cohort effects (or age non-convergence). The **total** birth cohort effects of baseline age are then linear combinations as shown below (see chapter 10 for the math).

```
print("Total Linear Birth Cohort on Intercept"); contest1D(RICohAge, L=c(0,1,0,1,0,0))
lincom c.aget084*1 + c.tvage84*1 // STATA Code
      Estimate Std. Error      df    t value    Pr(>|t|)
-0.28792735 0.10003879 250.76393 -2.8781571 0.0043449637 == gamma10 + gamma01

print("Total Quadratic Birth Cohort on Intercept"); contest1D(RICohAge, L=c(0,0,1,0,1,1))
lincom c.aget084sq*1 + c.tvage84#c.aget084*1 + c.tvage84sq*1 // STATA Code
      Estimate Std. Error      df    t value    Pr(>|t|)
0.0068458763 0.018496716 235.73005 0.37011307 0.71163056 == gamma20 + gamma02 + gamma11
```



```

print("Total Linear Birth Cohort on Linear Slope"); contest1D(RICohAge, L=c(0,0,2,0,0,1))
lincom c.tvage84#c.aget084*1 + c.tvage84sq*2 // STATA Code
      Estimate   Std. Error      df    t value      Pr(>|t|)
      0.038932654 0.017779819 400.38829 2.1897104 0.029121678 == 2*gamma20 + gamma11

print("LRT for birth cohort contextual fixed slopes"); anova(RICohAge,RIAge)
      npar      AIC      BIC    logLik deviance   Chisq Df Pr(>Chisq)
RIAge      5 2860.63 2882.24 -1425.31  2850.63
RICohAge    8 2851.39 2885.97 -1417.69  2835.39 15.2418  3  0.0016212

print("Total R2 for all fixed slopes and change in total R2 for birth cohort effects")
Example2$PredRICohAge = predict(RICohAge, re.form=NA)
rRICohAge = cor.test(Example2$PredRICohAge, Example2$recall, method="pearson")

rRICohAge$estimate^2
      cor
0.025145884
rRICohAge$estimate^2-rRIAge$estimate^2
      cor
0.020465582

```

Model 2b. Syntax and Partial Output for Fixed Quadratic Time, Random Intercept for Recall, adding **Age at Baseline** to Introduce Total Cross-Sectional Birth Cohort Effects:

Level-1 **Time**: $recall_{ti} = \beta_{0i} + \beta_{1i}(age_{ti} - ageT0_i) + \beta_{2i}(age_{ti} - ageT0_i)^2 + e_{ti}$

Level-2: $\beta_{0i} = \gamma_{00} + \gamma_{01}(ageT0_i - 84) + \gamma_{02}(ageT0_i - 84)^2 + U_{0i}$

$\beta_{1i} = \gamma_{10} + \gamma_{11}(ageT0_i - 84), \beta_{2i} = \gamma_{20}$

Where $age_{ti} - ageT0_i = time_{ti}$ (as years-in-study rather than years-since-birth)

Although it may not appear so, this is an equivalent model to the previous Model 2a using age as the level-2 predictor (centered at age 84) instead.... Even though the difference is in the level-1 predictor, it's going to be the level-2 slopes and cross-level interactions slopes for baseline age that change their values and interpretation!

Model 2b STATA:

```

display "Model 2b Time: Fixed Quadratic, Random Intercept Model"
display "Controlling for Birth Cohort as Total Effects"
mixed recall time c.timesq c.aget084 c.aget084sq c.time#c.aget084, ///
      || personid: , mle nolog
display "-2LL = " e(11)*-2 // Print -2LL for model
estat ic, n(207) // Information criteria at N=# persons
// Contextual Linear Birth Cohort on Intercept
lincom c.aget084*1 + time*-1
// Contextual Quadratic Birth Cohort on Intercept
lincom c.aget084sq*1 + c.time#c.aget084*-1 + c.timesq*1
// Contextual Linear Birth Cohort on Linear Slope
lincom c.time#c.aget084*1 + c.timesq*-2
estimates store FitRICohTim // Save for LRT
lrtest FitRICohTim FitRITim // LRT for birth cohort total fixed slopes
predict PredCohTim, xb // Save fixed-pred outcomes for total R2
corr recall PredCohTim // Get total r to make R2
display r(rho)^2 // Print total R2 relative to empty model

```

Model 2b R:

```

print("Model 2b Time: Fixed Quadratic, Random Intercept Model")
print("Controlling for Birth Cohort as Total Effects")
RICohTim = lmer(data=Example2, REML=FALSE, formula=recall~1+time+timesq
      +ageT084+ageT084sq+time:ageT084+(1|PersonID))
llikaIC(RICohTim); summary(RICohTim);

```

```

$AICtab
      AIC      BIC    logLik   deviance  df.resid
2851.3846 2885.9651 -1417.6923 2835.3846   549.0000

Random effects:
  Groups   Name      Variance Std.Dev.
PersonID (Intercept) 10.2325   3.1988 → reduced by another 3.66%
Residual              4.9275   2.2198 → reduced by another 1.12%

```

```

Fixed effects:
              Estimate Std. Error      df t value Pr(>|t|)
(Intercept)   9.3880788   0.3414122 263.1359999 27.4978 < 2.2e-16 gamma00
time          0.2876175   0.1192557 380.6947769  2.4118 0.016348 gamma10
timesq       -0.0435466   0.0158152 366.6031559 -2.7535 0.006190 gamma20
ageT084      -0.2879274   0.1000388 250.7639296 -2.8782 0.004345 gamma01
ageT084sq     0.0068459   0.0184967 235.7300477  0.3701 0.711631 gamma02
time:ageT084  0.0389327   0.0177798 400.3882883  2.1897 0.029122 gamma11

```

Interpret these fixed effects:

Intercept γ_{00} =

Slope for $time_{ti}$ γ_{10} =

Slope for $time_{ti}^2$ γ_{20} =

Slope for $ageT0_i$ γ_{01} =

Slope for $ageT0_i^2$ γ_{02} =

Slope for $time_{ti} * ageT0_i^2$ γ_{11} =

Above, the slopes of baseline age represent **total** birth cohort age effects. The **contextual** birth cohort effects (that test age convergence) are then linear combinations as shown below (see chapter 10 for the math).

```

print("Contextual Linear Birth Cohort on Intercept"); contest1D(RICohTim, L=c(0,-1, 0,1,0, 0))
lincom c.ageT084*1 + time*-1 // STATA Code
      Estimate Std. Error      df    t value      Pr(>|t|)
-0.57554488 0.15721289 556.004 -3.6609268 0.00027528003 == gamma01 - gamma10

print("Contextual Quadratic Birth Cohort on Intercept"); contest1D(RICohTim, L=c(0, 0, 1,0,1,-1))
lincom c.ageT084sq*1 + c.time#c.ageT084*-1 + c.timesq*1 // STATA Code
      Estimate Std. Error      df    t value      Pr(>|t|)
-0.075633385 0.028852904 549.01816 -2.6213439 0.0090012011 == gamma20 + gamma02 - gamma11

print("Contextual Linear Birth Cohort on Linear Slope"); contest1D(RICohTim, L=c(0, 0,-2,0,0, 1))
lincom c.time#c.ageT084*1 + c.timesq*-2 // STATA Code
      Estimate Std. Error      df    t value      Pr(>|t|)
0.12602587 0.034675651 377.63339 3.6344197 0.00031718011 == gamma11 - 2*gamma20

print("LRT for birth cohort total fixed slopes"); anova(RICohTim,RITim)
      npar      AIC      BIC    logLik deviance   Chisq Df Pr(>Chisq)
RITim      5 2856.01 2877.62 -1423.00 2846.01
RICohTim    8 2851.39 2885.97 -1417.69 2835.39 10.6242  3 0.013942

print("Total R2 for all fixed slopes and change in total R2 for age effects")
Example2$PredRICohTim = predict(RICohTim, re.form=NA)
rRICohTim = cor.test(Example2$PredRICohTim, Example2$recall, method="pearson")
rRICohTim$estimate^2
      cor
0.025145884
rRICohTim$estimate^2-rRITim$estimate^2
      cor
0.022416251

```

Comparing the two solutions directly—btw, -2LL and variance components are all the same:

Fixed effects:

	Estimate	Std. Error	df	t value	Pr(> t)	
(Intercept)	9.388079	0.341412	263.136000	27.4978	< 2.2e-16	gamma00
tvage84	0.287618	0.119256	380.694774	2.4118	0.0163477	gamma10
tvage84sq	-0.043547	0.015815	366.603154	-2.7535	0.0061904	gamma20
ageT084	-0.575545	0.157213	556.003996	-3.6609	0.0002753	gamma01
ageT084sq	-0.075633	0.028853	549.018161	-2.6213	0.0090012	gamma02
tvage84:ageT084	0.126026	0.034676	377.633390	3.6344	0.0003172	gamma11

Fixed effects:

	Estimate	Std. Error	df	t value	Pr(> t)	
(Intercept)	9.3880788	0.3414122	263.1359999	27.4978	< 2.2e-16	gamma00
time	0.2876175	0.1192557	380.6947769	2.4118	0.016348	gamma10
timesq	-0.0435466	0.0158152	366.6031559	-2.7535	0.006190	gamma20
ageT084	-0.2879274	0.1000388	250.7639296	-2.8782	0.004345	gamma01
ageT084sq	0.0068459	0.0184967	235.7300477	0.3701	0.711631	gamma02
time:ageT084	0.0389327	0.0177798	400.3882883	2.1897	0.029122	gamma11

Model 3a. Syntax and Partial Output to add Random Linear Age to Model 2a:

Level-1 Age: $Recall_{ti} = \beta_{0i} + \beta_{1i}(age_{ti} - 84) + \beta_{2i}(age_{ti} - 84)^2 + e_{ti}$

Level-2: $\beta_{0i} = \gamma_{00} + \gamma_{01}(ageT0_i - 84) + \gamma_{02}(ageT0_i - 84)^2 + U_{0i}$

$\beta_{1i} = \gamma_{10} + \gamma_{11}(ageT0_i - 84) + U_{1i}$, $\beta_{2i} = \gamma_{20}$

Model 3a STATA:

```
display "Model 3a Age: Add Random Linear TVage to Model 2a"
mixed recall c.tvage84 c.tvage84sq c.aget084 c.aget084sq c.tvage84#c.aget084, ///
    || personid: tvage84, mle nolog covariance(unstructured)
estat recovariance, relevel(personid) correlation // GCORR matrix
display "-2LL = " e(11)*-2 // Print -2LL for model
estat ic, n(207) // Information criteria at N=# persons
estimates store FitRLCohAge // Save for LRT
lrtest FitRLCohAge FitRICohAge // LRT for random linear TVage slope
```

Model 3a R:

```
print("Model 3a Age: Add Random Linear TVage to Model 2a")
RLCohAge = lmer(data=Example2, REML=FALSE, formula=recall~1+tvage84+tvage84sq
    +ageT084+ageT084sq+tvage84:ageT084+(1+tvage84|PersonID))
l1kAIC(RLCohAge); summary(RLCohAge)
```

```
$AICtab
      AIC      BIC    logLik   deviance  df.resid
2843.8455 2887.0711 -1411.9227  2823.8455    547.0000
```

Random effects:

```
Groups   Name      Variance Std.Dev. Corr
PersonID (Intercept) 11.154725 3.33987
          tvage84     0.090726 0.30121 -0.340 → new random age slope variance
Residual          4.110764 2.02750
```

Fixed effects:

	Estimate	Std. Error	df	t value	Pr(> t)
(Intercept)	9.414130	0.350985	256.483500	26.8220	< 2.2e-16
tvage84	0.295904	0.113458	353.980589	2.6081	0.0094915
tvage84sq	-0.045388	0.015083	333.443196	-3.0092	0.0028184
ageT084	-0.579338	0.154367	522.386360	-3.7530	0.0001943
ageT084sq	-0.077490	0.030650	162.364800	-2.5282	0.0124203
tvage84:ageT084	0.125601	0.034497	356.744786	3.6409	0.0003119

```
print("LRT for random linear TVage slope"); anova(RLCohAge, RICohAge)
      npar      AIC      BIC    logLik deviance  Chisq Df Pr(>Chisq)
RICohAge      8 2851.39 2885.97 -1417.69  2835.39
RLCohAge     10 2843.84 2887.07 -1411.92  2823.84 11.5391  2  0.0031211
```

Model 3b. Syntax and Partial Output to add Random Linear Time to Model 2b:

Level-1 Time: $recall_{ti} = \beta_{0i} + \beta_{1i}(age_{ti} - ageT0_i) + \beta_{2i}(age_{ti} - ageT0_i)^2 + e_{ti}$

Level-2: $\beta_{0i} = \gamma_{00} + \gamma_{01}(ageT0_i - 84) + \gamma_{01}(ageT0_i - 84)^2 + U_{0i}$

$\beta_{1i} = \gamma_{10} + \gamma_{11}(ageT0_i - 84) + U_{1i}$, $\beta_{2i} = \gamma_{20}$

Model 3b STATA:

```
display "Model 3b Time: Add Random Linear Time to Model 2b"
mixed recall c.time c.timesq c.aget084 c.aget084sq c.tvage84#c.aget084, ///
    || personid: time, mle nolog covariance(unstructured)
estat recovariance, releval(personid) correlation // GCORR matrix
display "-2LL = " e(11)*-2 // Print -2LL for model
estat ic, n(207) // Information criteria at N=# persons
estimates store FitRLCohTim // Save for LRT
lrtest FitRLCohTim FitRICohTim // LRT for random linear time slope
```

Model 3b R:

```
print("Model 3b Time: Add Random Linear Time to Model 2b")
RLCohTim = lmer(data=Example2, REML=FALSE, formula=recall~1+time+timesq
    +ageT084+ageT084sq+time:ageT084+(1+time|PersonID))
l1ikaAIC(RLCohTim); summary(RLCohTim)

$AICtab
      AIC      BIC    logLik  deviance  df.resid
 2838.5453 2881.7709 -1409.2726  2818.5453    547.0000
Random effects:
Groups   Name      Variance Std.Dev. Corr
PersonID (Intercept) 12.4835  3.53320
        time         0.1272  0.35665 -0.473 → new random time slope variance
Residual              3.9405  1.98508

Fixed effects:
              Estimate Std. Error      df t value Pr(>|t|)
(Intercept)  9.3402104   0.3515637 230.2831758 26.5676 < 2.2e-16
time         0.3132277   0.1123665 366.1427566  2.7876  0.005588
timesq      -0.0455538   0.0149692 340.1904601 -3.0432  0.002523
ageT084      -0.2972341   0.1050941 205.1743603 -2.8283  0.005144
ageT084sq     0.0091296   0.0183207 229.8606122  0.4983  0.618735
time:ageT084  0.0442743   0.0207981 126.2247447  2.1288  0.035217

print("LRT for random linear TVage slope"); anova(RLCohTim, RICohTim)

      npar      AIC      BIC    logLik deviance  Chisq Df Pr(>Chisq)
RICohTim    8 2851.39 2885.97 -1417.69  2835.39
RLCohTim   10 2838.55 2881.77 -1409.27  2818.55 16.8393  2 0.00022049
```

Comparing variance components across random level-1 slope models:

Model	Random Intercept Variance	Random L1 Slope Variance	Residual Variance	Ratio of Intercept Variance	Ratio of L1 Slope Variance	Ratio of Residual Variance
Model 3a Age: Add Random Linear TVage to Model 2a	11.1546	0.0907	4.1108			
Model 3b Time: Add Random Linear Time to Model 2b	12.4837	0.1272	3.9406			
Ratio of Variance Components				0.89	0.71	1.04

The models are no longer equivalent because the age-as-time model assumes the same pattern of variance heterogeneity occurs across longitudinal age (as time) and cross-sectional age (as baseline age). This is a testable assumption in theory, but no software I tried (SAS, STATA, or R) would cleanly estimate the model needed to do so below! That's because it requires a random level-2 "slope" of baseline age (a level-2 predictor)!

Model 4a. Syntax and Partial Output to add Random Linear Baseline Age to Model 3a:

Level-1 Age: $Recall_{ti} = \beta_{0i} + \beta_{1i}(age_{ti} - 84) + \beta_{2i}(age_{ti} - 84)^2 + e_{ti}$

Level-2: $\beta_{0i} = \gamma_{00} + \gamma_{01}(ageT0_i - 84) + \gamma_{01}(ageT0_i - 84)^2 + U_{0i} + ?(ageT0_i)$

$\beta_{1i} = \gamma_{10} + \gamma_{11}(ageT0_i - 84) + U_{1i}$, $\beta_{2i} = \gamma_{20}$

Btw, this punctuation mark
? is called an interrobang

Model 4a STATA (showed convergence problems):

```
display "Model 4a Age: Add Random Linear AgeCoh to Model 3a -- extra iterations"
mixed recall c.tvage84 c.tvage84sq c.aget084 c.aget084sq c.tvage84#c.aget084, ///
    || personid: tvage84 aget084, mle nolog emiterate(100) ///
    covariance(unstructured)
//estimates store FitRL2CohAge // Save for LRT
//lrtest FitRL2CohAge FitRLCohAge // LRT for random linear baseline age slope
```

	recall	Coef.	Std. Err.	z	P> z	[95% Conf. Interval]	
tvage84		.3135771	.1123666	2.79	0.005	.0933427	.5338115
tvage84sq		-.0455586	.0149703	-3.04	0.002	-.0748999	-.0162172
aget084		-.6110121	.1582063	-3.86	0.000	-.9210908	-.3009334
aget084sq		-.0807496	.0299605	-2.70	0.007	-.139471	-.0220282
c.tvage84#c.aget084		.1358292	.0348349	3.90	0.000	.0675541	.2041042
_cons		9.336705	.3540701	26.37	0.000	8.64274	10.03067

Random-effects Parameters	Estimate	Std. Err.	[95% Conf. Interval]
personid: Unstructured	all estimates now closer to time level-1 model!		
var(tvage84)	.1269809	.	
var(aget084)	.144648	.	
var(_cons)	12.53306	.	
cov(tvage84, aget084)	-.1355204	.	
cov(tvage84, _cons)	-.6000273	.	
cov(aget084, _cons)	.6519523	.	
var(Residual)	3.941131	.	

LR test vs. linear model: chi2(6) = 238.31 Prob > chi2 = 0.0000

```
estat recovariance, relevel(personid) correlation // GCORR matrix
Random-effects correlation matrix for level personid
```

	tvage84	aget084	_cons
tvage84	1		
aget084	-.9999523	1	
_cons	-.4756344	.4842071	1

```
display "-2LL = " e(l1)*-2 // Print -2LL for model
-2LL = 2818.506
```

```
estat ic, n(207) // Information criteria at N=# persons
```

Model	Obs	ll(null)	ll(model)	df	AIC	BIC
.	207	.	-1409.253	6	2830.506	2850.502

Model 4a R (won't converge):

```
print("Model 4a Age: Add Random Linear AgeCoh to Model 3a -- won't run")
RL2CohAge = lmer(data=Example2, REML=FALSE, formula=recall~1+tvage84+tvage84sq
    +ageT084+ageT084sq+tvage84:ageT084+(1+tvage84+ageT084|PersonID))
llikaIC(RL2CohAge); summary(RL2CohAge)
```

Model 4b. Syntax and Partial Output to add Random Linear Baseline Age to Model 3b:

Level-1 Time: $recall_{ti} = \beta_{0i} + \beta_{1i}(age_{ti} - ageT0_i) + \beta_{2i}(age_{ti} - ageT0_i)^2 + e_{ti}$

Level-2: $\beta_{0i} = \gamma_{00} + \gamma_{01}(ageT0_i - 84) + \gamma_{02}(ageT0_i - 84)^2 + U_{0i} + ?(ageT0_i)$

$\beta_{1i} = \gamma_{10} + \gamma_{11}(ageT0_i - 84) + U_{1i}$, $\beta_{2i} = \gamma_{20}$

Btw, this punctuation mark
? is called an interrobang

Model 4b STATA (did converge):

```
display "Model 4b Time: Add Random Linear AgeCoh to Model 3b -- extra iterations"
mixed recall c.time c.timesq c.aget084 c.aget084sq c.tvage84#c.aget084, ///
    || personid: time aget084, mle nolog emiterate(100) covariance(unstructured)
```

	recall	Coef.	Std. Err.	z	P> z	[95% Conf. Interval]	
	time	.3135834	.1123693	2.79	0.005	.0933437	.5338231
	timesq	-.0455585	.0149707	-3.04	0.002	-.0749006	-.0162165
	aget084	-.2974395	.1053803	-2.82	0.005	-.503981	-.090898
	aget084sq	-.0351879	.0268437	-1.31	0.190	-.0878005	.0174248
c.tvage84#c.aget084		.0447104	.020784	2.15	0.031	.0039745	.0854463
	_cons	9.33669	.3540657	26.37	0.000	8.642734	10.03065

Random-effects Parameters		Estimate	Std. Err.	[95% Conf. Interval]	
personid: Unstructured					
	var(time)	.1269922	.043199	.0651964	.2473607
	var(aget084)	.0005876	.0075139	7.65e-15	4.51e+07 → new term!
	var(_cons)	12.53216	1.637893	9.700139	16.19101
	cov(time, aget084)	-.0085308	.0550702	-.1164663	.0994048
	cov(time, _cons)	-.6000931	.2283879	-1.047725	-.1524611
	cov(aget084, _cons)	.0520171	.290053	-.5164764	.6205106
	var(Residual)	3.941339	.3597755	3.295671	4.713501

LR test vs. linear model: chi2(6) = 238.31 Prob > chi2 = 0.0000

```
display "-2LL = " e(l1)*-2      // Print -2LL for model
-2LL = 2818.506
```

```
estat recovariance, relevel(personid) correlation      // GCORR matrix
Random-effects correlation matrix for level personid
```

	time	aget084	_cons
time	1		
aget084	-.9875708	1	
_cons	-.4756824	.6061784	1

```
estat ic, n(207)      // Information criteria at N=# persons
```

Model	Obs	ll(null)	ll(model)	df	AIC	BIC
.	207	.	-1409.253	13	2844.506	2887.831

```
estimates store FitRL2CohTim      // Save for LRT
lrtest FitRL2CohTim FitRLCohTim      // LRT for random linear baseline age slope
Likelihood-ratio test      LR chi2(3) =      0.04
(Assumption: FitRLCohTim nested in FitRL2CohTim)      Prob > chi2 =      0.9980
```

Model 4b R (won't converge):

```
print("Model 4b Time: Add Random Linear Time to Model 3b -- won't run")
RL2CohTim = lmer(data=Example2, REML=FALSE, formula=recall~1+time+timesq
    +ageT084+ageT084sq+time:ageT084+(1+time+ageT084|PersonID))
l1ikaIC(RL2CohTim); summary(RL2CohTim)
```

So it appears there is basically 0 variance for the level-2 random “slope” for baseline age, which is why the level-2 random slope variance for level-1 age was too small—it was being dragged down (downwardly biased) by the incorrect assumption of equal heterogeneity of variance across level-2 baseline years-since-birth (as cross-sectional age) and level-1 years-in-study (time as longitudinal age). Stay tuned for more info on how and why this happens, but the bottom line is that one needs to remove level-2 cross-sectional variance in time before estimating a random slope in order to avoid likely model mis-specification!

Chapter 10 contains an example results section using these models, as well as predicted trajectories by age and time, as shown below. The vertical lines show where the intercept is for each model; the dotted continuous of the lines convey impossible extrapolations predicted by the model!

Take-home point: Use within-person time as your level-1 predictor instead of time-varying age (or any accelerated time metric that has both cross-sectional and longitudinal variance)!!!!

