# Nyström method vs Random Fourier Features

#### Blanca Cano Camarero

Universidad Autónoma de Madrid

April 12, 2023





## Overview

- Introduction
- 2 similarities
- 3 Differences
  Random Fourier Features Definition
  The Nyström method
- 4 Computational cost

# Explored articles

- 1. Nyström Method vs Random Fourier Features: A Theoretical and Empirical Comparison (ver Yang et al. (2012)).
- 2. Using the Nyström Method to Speed Up Kernel Machines (ver Williams and Seeger (2000))

**Objective:** Understand the different between Nyström Method and Random Fourier Features.

#### Context

- One limitation of kernel methods is their high computational cost, which is at least quadratic in the number of training examples, due to the calculation of kernel matrix.
- ➤ To avoid computing kernel matrix, one common approach is to approximate a kernel learning prob- lem with a linear prediction problem.

#### Two alternatives and their hypothesis

- Random Fourier features (only for shift-invariant kernels).
- Nyström method.

# Same usage

Nyström See https://scikit-learn.org/stable/modules/generated/sklearn.kernel\_approximation.Nystroem.html#sklearn.kernel\_approximation.Nystroem

 $\pmb{\mathsf{RFF}}\ \mathtt{https://scikit-learn.org/stable/modules/generated/sklearn.kernel\_approximation.RBFSampler.html}$ 

```
class sklearn.kernel_approximation.RBFSampler(*, gamma=1.0, n_components=100, random_state=None)
```

# Same usage sklearn

#### Nyström

```
>>> from sklearn import datasets, svm
>>> from sklearn.kernel_approximation import Nystroem
>>> X, y = datasets.load_digits(n_class=9, return_X_y=True)
>>> data = X / 16.
>>> clf = svm.LinearSVC()
>>> feature_map_nystroem = Nystroem(gamma=.2,
...
random_state=1,
...
n_components=300)
>>> data_transformed = feature_map_nystroem.fit_transform(data)
>>> clf.fit(data_transformed, y)
```

# Same usage sklearn

#### **RFF**

```
>>> from sklearn.kernel_approximation import RBFSampler
>>> from sklearn.linear_model import SGDClassifier
>>> rbf_feature = RBFSampler(gamma=1, random_state=1)
>>> X_features = rbf_feature.fit_transform(X)
>>> clf = SGDClassifier(max_iter=5, tol=1e-3)
>>> clf.fit(X_features, y)
SGDClassifier(max_iter=5)
```

# Working in a unified framework for Approximate Large-Scale Kernel Learning

- We are focus on the RBF kernel.
- Our goal is to efficiently learn a kernel prediction function by solving the following optimization problem:

$$\min_{f \in \mathcal{H}_D} \frac{\lambda}{2} \|f\|_{H_k}^2 + \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N I(f(x_i), y_i). \tag{1}$$

- $ightharpoonup H_k$  is the RKHS endowed by the kernel K.
- ▶  $H_D = \operatorname{span}(K(x_1, \cdot), \dots, K(x_N, \cdot))$ , The high computational cost of kernel learning arises from the fact that we have to search for an optimal classifier f in this space.
- $\triangleright$  I(z, y) is a convex loss function.

#### Random Fourier Features Definition

- ▶ The random Fourier features are constructed by first sampling Fourier components  $u_1, \ldots u_m$  from p(u).
- ightharpoonup Projecting each example to  $u_1, \ldots u_m$ .
- Then passing them through sine and cosine

$$z_f(x) = \left(\sin(u_1^T x), \cos(u_1^T x), \dots, \sin(u_m^T x), \cos(u_m^T x)\right). \tag{2}$$

- ▶ Let define  $H_a^f = \operatorname{span}(s_1, c_1, \dots, s_m, c_m)$  where  $s_i(x) = \sin(u_i^T x)$ .
- ► The linear machine learnt by solving

$$\min_{f \in \mathcal{H}_{g}^{f}} \frac{\lambda}{2} \|f\|_{H_{k}}^{2} + \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} I(f(x_{i}), y_{i}). \tag{3}$$

is 
$$f(x) = w^T z_f(x)$$
.



#### Error bound in RFF

$$O(N^{-1/2} + m^{-1/2}) (4)$$

where N is the number of training examples and m is the number of sampled Fourier components.

# The Nyström method (see Williams and Seeger (2000))

Let K be partitioned into blocks  $K_{m,m}$ ,  $K_{n-m,m} = K_{m,n-m}^T$  and  $K_{n-m,n-m}$ . The approximation is

$$\tilde{K} = K_{n,m} K_{m,m}^{-1} K_{m,n}. \tag{5}$$

See math foundation on blackboard.

# The Nyström method approximates the full kernel matrix K by

- First sampling m examples denoted by  $\hat{x}_i, \dots, \hat{x}_m$ .
- ► Then constructing a low rank matrix by

$$\hat{K}_r = K_b \hat{K}^\dagger K_b^T, \tag{6}$$

where  $K_b = [k(x_i, \hat{x}_i)]$ ,  $\hat{K}^{\dagger}$  is the pseudo inverse of  $\hat{K}$ .

▶ In order to train the linear machine, we derive a vector representation:

$$z_n(x) = \hat{D}^{-\frac{1}{2}} \hat{V}_r^T (K(x, \hat{x}_1), \dots, K(x, \hat{x}_m))^T,$$
 (7)

where  $\hat{D}_r = dia(\hat{\lambda}_1, \dots, \hat{\lambda}_r)$  and  $V_r$  the eigenvectors in columns.

▶  $H_a^n = \operatorname{span}(\hat{\varphi}_1, \dots, \hat{\varphi}_r)$  where  $\hat{\varphi}_i$  are the first r normalized eigenfunctions of the operator  $L_m$ .

(ロ) (部) (注) (注) (注) から(C)

# Error bound in Nyström methods

$$O(m^{-1/2}) + O(m^{-1/2})$$
 (8)

- The approximation error of the Nyström method, measured in spectral norm is  $O(m^{-1/2})$ . (See Drineas and Mahoney (2005))
- The generalization performance caused by the approximation of the Nyström method  $O(m^{-1/2})$ . (see Cortes et al. (2010))

where m is the number of sampled training examples.

#### Difference

- Randomness and data independence.
- Hypothesis: Nyström adapt better to data.
- Experiment see article.
- Theoretical proof see article.

# To deep in

- ▶ Math proofs Yang et al. (2012).
- ► For mathematical bound of Nyström: Drineas and Mahoney (2005) and Cortes et al. (2010).

# Random Fourier Features computational cost

Step	Task	Theory	Cost	Memory
1	Sampling Fourier components $u_1, \ldots u_m$ from $p(u)$ .		O(1)	O(m)
2	Compute $z_f(x) = (\sin(u_1^T x), \cos(u_1^T x), \dots, \sin(u_m^T x), \cos(u_m^T x))$		O(m)	O(2m)

#### Nyström

Step	Task	Theory	Cost	Memory
1	Sampling			O(m)
2	constructing a low rank matrix by $\hat{K}_r = K_b \hat{K}^\dagger K_b^T$ .	SVD and matrix multiplication	$O(n^3)$	$O(m^2)$

#### Ridge regression

$$\begin{array}{c|c} \text{Cost} & \text{Memory} \\ \hline O(m^2(N+m)) & O(mn+n) \end{array}$$



- 1. Nystroem Method vs Random Fourier Features: A Theoretical and Empirical Comparison, Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems 2012
- 2. Random features for kernel approximation: A survey on algorithms, theory, and bevond
- 3. Williams, C.K.I. and Seeger, M. "Using the Nystroem method to speed up kernel machines", Advances in neural information processing systems 2001 T. Yang, Y. Li, M. Mahdavi, R. Jin and Z. Zhou
- 4. Weighted Sums of Random Kitchen Sinks: Replacing minimization with randomization in learning.
- 5 Randomness in neural networks: an overview
- 6. Fast and scalable polynomial kernels via explicit feature maps
- 7. On the error of random Fourier features.
- 8. A survey on large-scale machine learning
- 9. Sharp analysis of low-rank kernel matrix approximations

### References I

Corinna Cortes, Mehryar Mohri, and Ameet Talwalkar. On the impact of kernel approximation on learning accuracy. In Yee Whye Teh and Mike Titterington, editors, *Proceedings of the Thirteenth International Conference on Artificial Intelligence and Statistics*, volume 9 of *Proceedings of Machine Learning Research*, pages 113–120, Chia Laguna Resort, Sardinia, Italy, 13–15 May 2010. PMLR. URL https://proceedings.mlr.press/v9/cortes10a.html.

Petros Drineas and Michael W. Mahoney. On the nystrom method for approximating a gram matrix for improved kernel-based learning. *Journal of Machine Learning Research*, 6(72):2153–2175, 2005. URL http://jmlr.org/papers/v6/drineas05a.html.

#### References II

Christopher Williams and Matthias Seeger. Using the nyström method to speed up kernel machines. In T. Leen, T. Dietterich, and V. Tresp, editors, Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems, volume 13. MIT Press, 2000. URL https://proceedings.neurips.cc/paper\_files/paper/2000/file/19de10adbaa1b2ee13f77f679fa1483a-Paper.pdf.

Tianbao Yang, Yu-feng Li, Mehrdad Mahdavi, Rong Jin, and Zhi-Hua Zhou. Nyström method vs random fourier features: A theoretical and empirical comparison. In F. Pereira, C.J. Burges, L. Bottou, and K.Q. Weinberger, editors, Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems, volume 25. Curran Associates, Inc., 2012. URL https://proceedings.neurips.cc/paper\_files/paper/2012/file/621bf66ddb7c962aa0d22ac97d69b793-Paper.pdf.