

### ABSTRACT

We analyzed clinical ethics 10 years ago, assessed its advancement in research, education, and ethics committees and consultation; and predicted its future. This article examines the field of clinical ethics, revisiting previous findings, highlighting significant advancements, and discussing remaining obstacles for clinical ethical practice, such as the need to adopt a global approach to ethical issues.

### INTRODUCTION

The pediatric intensive care unit uses chest radiographs to evaluate cardiopulmonary abnormalities, assess acute clinical deterioration, and identify the location of invasive life support devices like central venous catheters and endotracheal tubes. It is common to require immediate interpretation of chest radiographs to determine the appropriate position of invasive devices and diagnose or treat conditions. Pediatric intensivists (PI) at the bedside are typically the first physicians to interpret a radiographic image, and their interpretations often inform other clinicians about the necessary diagnostic and therapeutic interventions. Radiology interpretation is not readily available in hospitals where less than 30% of radiologists are present 24 hours per day, resulting in an unavailability for a radiologist to interpret chest radiographs until after acute interventions. This makes it essential for the PI to provide accurate readings of these images when he or she is unavailable due to lack of immediate availability. There are only a few centers that have tools to detect discrepancies between the radiologist and the treating physician, and whether these discretionary differences may result in inappropriate changes in therapy. No research has yet examined the accuracy of board-certified PI's interpretation of chest radiographs. The aim of this research was to establish the agreement on chest radiograph interpretation between PI and pediatric radiologists (PR) and to determine if discrepancies caused adverse patient outcomes.

### CONCLUSION

Vascular dysfunction in the vascular system, known as tissue ischaemia, can result in neurological dysfunction, with varying clinical manifestations. Scarred vessels and acutely inflamed ones are considered to be the primary causes of ischemia. Patients with primary isolated vasculitis of the CNS typically display poor signs or neurological defects, but they may develop devastating neurological abnormalities as a result of vessel involvement. Mononeuritis multiplex, polyneuropathy, stroke, encephalopathies, and cranial neuropathies are also common. Identifying whether the CNS is a primary or secondary form of isolated vasculitis is crucial, and radiology is the most suitable method to differentiate. In earlier times, angiography and cerebral parenchyma biopsy were the only means of confirming invasive CSF-induced disease. Despite this, some researchers have suggested using magnetic resonance as the most sensitive ancillary procedure to detect CNS damage, as angio-graphic features found in vasculitis are non-specific. This is the first case of isolated vaginitis of the CSF that is secondary to GBS, and it is important to emphasize certain points in this report. GBS and isolated vasculitis were caused by autoimmune antibodies, while secondary secondary conditions such as isolated angiitis of the left arm and sylvian left artery. As soon as the patient recovered from the syndrome, these conditions became less

severe. The persistence of cytomegalovirus and antibodies directed against CYMEG in the spinal fluid, despite immune-modulating therapy, is responsible for both diseases. As a result, antibiotics with high IgM concentrations were used to treat the infection while recovery was underway.