

Proximal Antagonistic Constrained Policy Search for Sample-Efficient Offline Actor-Critic (Supplementary Material)

I. PROOF FOR THEOREM 1

Proof: By Lemma 1, it follows that

$$J(\pi_{k+1}) - J(\mu_{k+1}) = \frac{1}{1-\gamma} \mathbb{E}_{s \sim d^{\pi_{k+1}}} [A^{\mu_{k+1}}(s, \pi_{k+1}(s))]. \quad (24)$$

Considering the definition of the advantage function $A^{\mu_{k+1}}(s, \pi_{k+1}(s)) = Q^{\mu_{k+1}}(s, \pi_{k+1}(s)) - V^{\mu_{k+1}}(s)$, the properties of deterministic policy $V^{\mu_{k+1}}(s) = Q^{\mu_{k+1}}(s, \mu_{k+1}(s))$, and combining with the shorthand $Q^{\mu_{k+1}}$ as Q_{k+1} , Eq. (24) can be reformulated as

$$J(\pi_{k+1}) - J(\mu_{k+1}) = \frac{1}{1-\gamma} \mathbb{E}_{s \sim d^{\pi_{k+1}}} [Q_{k+1}(s, \pi_{k+1}(s)) - Q_{k+1}(s, \mu_{k+1}(s))]. \quad (25)$$

By utilizing the grouping method for Eq. (25), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} J(\pi_{k+1}) - J(\mu_{k+1}) &= \frac{1}{1-\gamma} \mathbb{E}_{s \sim d^{\pi_{k+1}}} \left[\left(\hat{Q}_{k+1}(s, \pi_{k+1}(s)) - \hat{Q}_{k+1}(s, \mu_{k+1}(s)) \right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \left(\left(\hat{Q}_{k+1}(s, \pi_{k+1}(s)) - Q_{k+1}(s, \pi_{k+1}(s)) \right) + \left(Q_{k+1}(s, \mu_{k+1}(s)) - \hat{Q}_{k+1}(s, \mu_{k+1}(s)) \right) \right) \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (26)$$

According to Lemma 2 and the trigonometric theorem, there are

$$\begin{aligned} &\left(\hat{Q}_{k+1}(s, \pi_{k+1}(s)) - Q_{k+1}(s, \pi_{k+1}(s)) \right) + \left(Q_{k+1}(s, \mu_{k+1}(s)) - \hat{Q}_{k+1}(s, \mu_{k+1}(s)) \right) \\ &\leq \left| \hat{Q}_{k+1}(s, \pi_{k+1}(s)) - Q_{k+1}(s, \pi_{k+1}(s)) \right| + \left| \hat{Q}_{k+1}(s, \mu_{k+1}(s)) - Q_{k+1}(s, \mu_{k+1}(s)) \right| \\ &\leq \frac{2\gamma C_{T,\varepsilon} R_{\max}}{(1-\gamma)\sqrt{\mathcal{D}_c}}. \end{aligned} \quad (27)$$

Multiplying Eq. (27) by -1 and substituting it into Eq. (26) yields

$$J(\pi_{k+1}) - J(\mu_{k+1}) \geq \frac{1}{1-\gamma} \mathbb{E}_{s \sim d^{\pi_{k+1}}} \left[\left(\hat{Q}_{k+1}(s, \pi_{k+1}(s)) - \hat{Q}_{k+1}(s, \mu_{k+1}(s)) \right) - \frac{2\gamma C_{T,\varepsilon} R_{\max}}{(1-\gamma)\sqrt{\mathcal{D}_c}} \right]. \quad (28)$$

Inspired by [23], here we define the first-order linear approximation error of the Q-function as

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_{PAC-PS}(s) &:= \left| \bar{Q}_{k+1}(s, \tilde{a}; \bar{a}) - \hat{Q}_{k+1}(s, \tilde{a}) \right| \\ &:= \left| \hat{Q}_{k+1}(s, \bar{a}) + \left[\nabla_{\tilde{a}} \hat{Q}_{k+1}(s, \tilde{a}) \right]_{\tilde{a}=\bar{a}} \times (\tilde{a} - \bar{a}) - \hat{Q}_{k+1}(s, \tilde{a}) \right|, \end{aligned} \quad (29)$$

where δ_{PAC-PS} is zero when $\tilde{a} = \bar{a}$ occurs.

Recalling Eqs. (8), (11), and (12), there are

$$\bar{Q}_{k+1}(s, \pi_{k+1}(s)) := \lambda \bar{Q}_{k+1}(s, \pi_{k+1}(s); \mu_{k+1}(s)) + (1-\lambda) \bar{Q}_{k+1}(s, \pi_{k+1}(s); a) \quad (30)$$

and

$$\bar{Q}_{k+1}(s, \mu_{k+1}(s)) := \lambda \bar{Q}_{k+1}(s, \mu_{k+1}(s); \mu_{k+1}(s)) + (1-\lambda) \bar{Q}_{k+1}(s, \mu_{k+1}(s); a). \quad (31)$$

Using the grouping method for $\bar{Q}_{k+1}(s, \mu_{k+1}(s))$, there is

$$\begin{aligned} &\bar{Q}_{k+1}(s, \pi_{k+1}(s)) - \hat{Q}_{k+1}(s, \pi_{k+1}(s)) \\ &= \lambda \left(\bar{Q}_{k+1}(s, \pi_{k+1}(s); \mu_{k+1}(s)) - \hat{Q}_{k+1}(s, \mu_{k+1}(s)) \right) + (1-\lambda) \left(\bar{Q}_{k+1}(s, \pi_{k+1}(s); a) - \hat{Q}_{k+1}(s, \mu_{k+1}(s)) \right). \end{aligned} \quad (32)$$

According to Eq. (30), it can be further obtained that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left| \bar{Q}_{k+1}(s, \pi_{k+1}(s)) - \hat{Q}_{k+1}(s, \pi_{k+1}(s)) \right| \\
&= \left| \lambda \left(\hat{Q}_{k+1}(s, \mu_{k+1}(s)) + \left[\nabla_{\pi_{k+1}(s)} \hat{Q}_{k+1}(s, \pi_{k+1}(s)) \right]_{\pi_{k+1}(s)=\mu_{k+1}(s)} \times (\pi(s) - \mu_{k+1}(s)) - \hat{Q}_{k+1}(s, \pi_{k+1}(s)) \right) \right. \\
&\quad \left. + (1 - \lambda) \left(\hat{Q}_{k+1}(s, a) + \left[\nabla_{\pi_{k+1}(s)} \hat{Q}_{k+1}(s, \pi_{k+1}(s)) \right]_{\pi_{k+1}(s)=a} \times (\pi(s) - a) - \hat{Q}_{k+1}(s, \pi_{k+1}(s)) \right) \right| \\
&= \lambda \delta_{PAC-PS}(s) + (1 - \lambda) \delta_{PAC-PS}(s) \\
&= \delta_{PAC-PS}(s).
\end{aligned} \tag{33}$$

Similar to the derivation of Eqs. (32)-(33), it further follows from Eq. (31) that

$$\left| \bar{Q}_{k+1}(s, \mu_{k+1}(s)) - \hat{Q}_{k+1}(s, \mu_{k+1}(s)) \right| = (1 - \lambda) \delta_{PAC-PS}(s). \tag{34}$$

Using Eqs. (33) and (34), it can be easily obtained that

$$\left(\hat{Q}_{k+1}(s, \pi_{k+1}(s)) - \hat{Q}_{k+1}(s, \mu_{k+1}(s)) \right) \geq \left(\bar{Q}_{k+1}(s, \pi_{k+1}(s)) - \bar{Q}_{k+1}(s, \mu_{k+1}(s)) \right) - (2 - \lambda) \delta_{PAC-PS}(s). \tag{35}$$

According to $\pi_{k+1} = \arg \max_{\mu_{k+1}} \bar{Q}_{k+1}$, Eq. (35) can be converted into

$$\left(\hat{Q}_{k+1}(s, \pi_{k+1}(s)) - \hat{Q}_{k+1}(s, \mu_{k+1}(s)) \right) \geq (\lambda - 2) \delta_{PAC-PS}(s). \tag{36}$$

Substitute Eq. (36) into Eq. (28) and ultimately obtain the following inequality w.h.p. than $1 - \varepsilon$:

$$J(\pi_{k+1}) - J(\mu_{k+1}) \geq \frac{1}{1 - \gamma} \mathbb{E}_{s \sim d^{\pi_{k+1}}} \left[(\lambda - 2) \delta_{PAC-PS}(s) - \frac{2\gamma C_{T,\varepsilon} R_{\max}}{(1 - \gamma) \sqrt{\mathcal{D}_c}} \right] := \zeta. \tag{37}$$

Thus, Theorem 1 is proved. \blacksquare

II. PROOF FOR THEOREM 2

Proof: In the context of HBP $\mu(s)$, according to the grouping method and the trigonometric inequality theorem, it can be obtained that

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} |J(\pi^*) - J(\pi_{k+1})| \leq \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} |J(\pi^*) - J(\mu_{k+1})| + \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} |J(\pi_{k+1}) - J(\mu_{k+1})|. \tag{38}$$

First, considering $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} |J(\pi^*) - J(\mu_{k+1})|$ and recalling the definitions of $J(\pi^*)$ and $J(\mu_{k+1})$ in Section II-A, we can get

$$\begin{aligned}
\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} |J(\pi^*) - J(\mu_{k+1})| &= \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \left| \frac{1}{1 - \gamma} \mathbb{E}_{s \sim d^{\pi^*}(s)} [r(s)] - \frac{1}{1 - \gamma} \mathbb{E}_{s \sim d^{\mu_{k+1}}(s)} [r(s)] \right| \\
&\leq \frac{1}{1 - \gamma} \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}_s \left[\left| d^{\pi^*}(s) - d^{\mu_{k+1}}(s) \right| |r(s)| \right] \\
&\leq \frac{R_{\max}}{1 - \gamma} \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}_s \left| d^{\pi^*}(s) - d^{\mu_{k+1}}(s) \right|.
\end{aligned} \tag{39}$$

According to Lemma 3, $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}_s |d^{\pi^*}(s) - d^{\mu_{k+1}}(s)| \leq C_d K_T \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \left[\max_{s \in S} \|\pi^*(s) - \mu_{k+1}(s)\| \right]$ holds, and substituting it into Eq. (39) yields

$$\begin{aligned}
\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} |J(\pi^*) - J(\mu_{k+1})| &\leq \frac{R_{\max} C_d K_T}{1 - \gamma} \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \left[\max_{s \in S} \|\pi^*(s) - \mu_{k+1}(s)\| \right] \\
&\leq \frac{R_{\max} C_d K_T}{1 - \gamma} \tilde{\epsilon}^*.
\end{aligned} \tag{40}$$

where $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \left[\max_{s \in S} \|\pi^*(s) - \mu_{k+1}(s)\| \right] \leq \tilde{\epsilon}^*$.

Then, considering $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} |J(\pi_{k+1}) - J(\mu_{k+1})|$ and recalling the definitions of $J(\pi_{k+1})$ and $J(\mu_{k+1})$ in Section II-A, similar to Eq. 39, we can get

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} |J(\pi_{k+1}) - J(\mu_{k+1})| \leq \frac{R_{\max}}{1 - \gamma} \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}_s |d^{\pi_{k+1}}(s) - d^{\mu_{k+1}}(s)|, \tag{41}$$

According to Lemma 3, $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}_s |d^{\pi_{k+1}}(s) - d^{\mu_{k+1}}(s)| \leq C_d K_T \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \left[\max_{s \in S} \|\pi_{k+1}(s) - \mu_{k+1}(s)\| \right]$ holds, and substituting it into Eq. (41) yields

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} |J(\pi_{k+1}) - J(\mu_{k+1})| &\leq \frac{R_{\max} C_d K_T}{1 - \gamma} \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \left[\max_{s \in S} \|\pi_{k+1}(s) - \mu_{k+1}(s)\| \right] \\ &= \frac{R_{\max} C_d K_T}{1 - \gamma} \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \left[\max_{s \in S} \|\pi_{k+1}(s) - a + a - \mu_{k+1}(s)\| \right] \\ &\leq \frac{R_{\max} C_d K_T}{1 - \gamma} \left(\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \left[\max_{s \in S} \|\pi_{k+1}(s) - a\| \right] + \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \left[\max_{s \in S} \|a - \mu_{k+1}(s)\| \right] \right) \\ &\leq \frac{R_{\max} C_d K_T}{1 - \gamma} (\tilde{\epsilon}^\pi + \tilde{\epsilon}^\mu), \end{aligned} \quad (42)$$

where $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \left[\max_{s \in S} \|\pi_{k+1}(s) - a\| \right] \leq \tilde{\epsilon}^\pi$ and $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \left[\max_{s \in S} \|a - \mu_{k+1}(s)\| \right] \leq \tilde{\epsilon}^\mu$. Finally, substituting Eqs. (40) and (42) into Eq. (38) yields

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} |J(\pi^*) - J(\pi_{k+1})| \leq \frac{R_{\max} C_d K_T}{1 - \gamma} (\tilde{\epsilon}^* + \tilde{\epsilon}^\pi + \tilde{\epsilon}^\mu). \quad (43)$$

However, in the context of BP $\pi_\beta(s)$, similar results can be obtained

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} |J(\pi^*) - J(\pi_{k+1})| \leq \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} |J(\pi^*) - J(\pi_\beta)| + \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} |J(\pi_{k+1}) - J(\pi_\beta)|. \quad (44)$$

For $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} |J(\pi^*) - J(\pi_\beta)|$, a similar derivation of Eq. (39) - Eq. (40) leads to

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} |J(\pi^*) - J(\pi_\beta)| \leq \frac{R_{\max} C_d K_T}{1 - \gamma} \epsilon^*, \quad (45)$$

where $\max_{s \in S} \|\pi^*(s) - \pi_\beta(s)\| \leq \epsilon^*$. Since the HBP $\mu(s)$ with RL signal is closer to the optimal policy compared with BP $\pi_\beta(s)$, $\mu(s)$ can form a smaller error measure, i.e., $\tilde{\epsilon}^* \leq \epsilon^*$ holds.

For $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} |J(\pi_{k+1}) - J(\pi_\beta)|$, a similar derivation of Eq. (41) - Eq. (42) leads to

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} |J(\pi_{k+1}) - J(\pi_\beta)| &\leq \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} |J(\pi_{k+1}) - J(\mu_{k+1})| + \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} |J(\mu_{k+1}) - J(\pi_\beta)| \\ &\leq \frac{R_{\max} C_d K_T}{1 - \gamma} (\tilde{\epsilon}^\pi + 2\tilde{\epsilon}^\mu). \end{aligned} \quad (46)$$

Substituting Eqs. (45) and (46) into Eq. (44) yields

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} |J(\pi^*) - J(\pi_{k+1})| \leq \frac{R_{\max} C_d K_T}{1 - \gamma} (\epsilon^* + \tilde{\epsilon}^\pi + 2\tilde{\epsilon}^\mu). \quad (47)$$

By comparing Eq. (43) and Eq. (47), it can be seen that the performance bounds of PAC-PS using HBP $\mu(s)$ can further refine to $\tilde{\epsilon}^* + \tilde{\epsilon}^\pi + \tilde{\epsilon}^\mu \leq \epsilon^* + \tilde{\epsilon}^\pi + 2\tilde{\epsilon}^\mu$. Thus, Theorem 2 is proved. \blacksquare