

Optical trimmer, A theoretical physics approach to photonic lattices

A. STOFFEL¹ AND B. M. RODRÍGUEZ-LARA^{2,*}

¹Instituto Nacional de Astrofísica, Óptica y Electrónica, Calle Luis Enrique Erro No. 1, Sta. Ma. Tonantzintla, Pue. CP 72840, México

²Instituto Nacional de Astrofísica, Óptica y Electrónica, Calle Luis Enrique Erro No. 1, Sta. Ma. Tonantzintla, Pue. CP 72840, México

*Corresponding author: bmlara@inaoep.mx

Compiled January 6, 2016

We study electromagnetic field propagation through a triangular array of identical waveguides using a symmetry based approach to take advantage of the underlying $SU(3)$ symmetry.

© 2016 Optical Society of America

OCIS codes: (050.5298) Photonic crystals; (230.4555) Coupled Resonators; (230.7370) Waveguides; (350.5500) Propagation. *Is there any waveguide devices?*

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1364/ao.XX.XXXXXX>

1. INTRODUCTION

Some random ideas that may help write a coherent introduction, in no particular order

In chemistry, a trimer is composed of three identical building blocks, we can borrow such an idea and think of three coupled identical photonic waveguides as an optical trimer.

Passive planar three-waveguide couplers have proved a reliable platform for fast, robust beam coupling based on adiabatic coupling or Ermakov-Lewis-Riesenfeld invariants [1–6].

The planar platform has been used in three-waveguide nonlinear directional couplers, where the waveguides present an active Kerr nonlinearity, to produce all-optical spatial switching [7–13] and logic gates [14].

Triangular three-waveguide nonlinear directional couplers have been used to design all-optical logic gates [15, 16].

Light propagating through a three-waveguide coupler with can be described by coupled mode theory, c.f. [17] and references therein,

$$-i\partial_z \mathcal{E}_0(z) = \omega_0(z)\mathcal{E}_0(z) + g_{01}(z)\mathcal{E}_1(z) + g_{02}(z)\mathcal{E}_2(z), \quad (1)$$

$$-i\partial_z \mathcal{E}_1(z) = \omega_1(z)\mathcal{E}_1(z) + g_{01}(z)\mathcal{E}_0(z) + g_{12}(z)\mathcal{E}_2(z), \quad (2)$$

$$-i\partial_z \mathcal{E}_2(z) = \omega_2(z)\mathcal{E}_2(z) + g_{02}(z)\mathcal{E}_0(z) + g_{12}(z)\mathcal{E}_1(z), \quad (3)$$

where the complex field amplitude at the j th waveguide is given by $\mathcal{E}_j(z)$, the effective refractive index at the j th waveguide is $\omega_j(z)$, and the effective coupling between the j th and k th waveguides is $g_{jk}(z)$. These complex field equations can be cast in a Schrödinger-like form [17],

$$-i\partial_z |\mathcal{E}(z)\rangle = \hat{H}(z) |\mathcal{E}(z)\rangle, \quad (4)$$

where kets from Dirac notation represent column vectors,

$$|\mathcal{E}(z)\rangle = (\mathcal{E}_0(z), \mathcal{E}_1(z), \mathcal{E}_2(z))^T, \quad (5)$$

and operators represent square matrices, in this particular case, the mode-coupling matrix,

$$\hat{H}(z) = \begin{pmatrix} \omega_0(z) & g_{01}(z) & g_{02}(z) \\ g_{01}(z) & \omega_1(z) & g_{12}(z) \\ g_{02}(z) & g_{12}(z) & \omega_2(z) \end{pmatrix}, \quad (6)$$

points to an underlying $SU(3)$ symmetry. For the sake of simplicity we will require a normalized total intensity, $\sum_j |\mathcal{E}_j|^2 = 1$

2. CLASSICAL MECHANICS AND ENVELOPES

In quantum mechanics, the Hamiltonian for three coupled harmonic oscillators,

$$\hat{H}(t) = \sum_{j=0}^2 \omega_j(t) \hat{a}_j^\dagger \hat{a}_j + \sum_{j \neq k=0}^2 g_{jk}(t) \hat{a}_j^\dagger \hat{a}_k, \quad (7)$$

in the single photon limit,

$$|\psi(t)\rangle = \mathcal{E}_0(t) |1, 0, 0\rangle + \mathcal{E}_1(t) |0, 1, 0\rangle + \mathcal{E}_2(t) |0, 0, 1\rangle, \quad (8)$$

with $\sum_j |\mathcal{E}_j(z)|^2 = 1$, yields a differential equation set equivalent to the mode coupling theory result, Eq.(48) up to substitution of backwards time by propagation distance,

$$i\partial_t \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{E}_0(t) \\ \mathcal{E}_1(t) \\ \mathcal{E}_2(t) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \omega_0(t) & g_{01}(t) & g_{02}(t) \\ g_{01}(t) & \omega_1(t) & g_{12}(t) \\ g_{02}(t) & g_{12}(t) & \omega_2(t) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{E}_0(t) \\ \mathcal{E}_1(t) \\ \mathcal{E}_2(t) \end{pmatrix}. \quad (9)$$

This is just off-topic, we really will focus on this in the next section, right now we want to focus on something else.

This can also be done with the idea of Euler angles for $SU(3)$ in [19]

In the classical limit, the canonical pair provided by the creation and annihilation operators can be replaced by the classical canonical pair of intensity and phase, $\{n_j, \phi_j\}$, $\hat{a}_j \rightarrow \sqrt{n_j}e^{i\phi_j}$. This delivers a classical Hamiltonian,

$$H(t) = \sum_{j=0}^2 \omega_j(t)n_j + \sum_{j \neq k=0}^2 g_{jk} \sqrt{n_j n_k} \cos(\phi_j - \phi_k), \quad (10)$$

the equations of motion for the canonical pairs are

$$\partial_t n_j = \frac{\partial H}{\partial \phi_j} \quad (11)$$

$$\partial_t \phi_j = -\frac{\partial H}{\partial n_j} \quad (12)$$

and these are the coupled mode equations describing the evolution of intensity and phase.

Classical mechanics: if the three normal modes frequencies, e.g. eigenvalues of the mode-coupling matrix in Eq.(9), are commensurate then the propagated complex fields will be periodic [18].

In order to visualize the dynamics of the system we will choose a (pseudo?) Poincaré phase-space given by the square roots of the three waveguide intensities ($|\mathcal{E}_0|, |\mathcal{E}_1|, |\mathcal{E}_2|$). Here normal mode frequencies that are rational multiples of each other, commensurate, will translate into well-defined closed trajectories. For incommensurate normal frequencies the trajectories will be ergodic and fill a region of phase space defined by the energy of the motion [18].

Note that requiring a normalized intensity in the optical system translates into $\sum_j n_j = 1$, this obviates the use of $\partial_t n_2$ and suggest taking the phase at the $j = 2$ as reference as $\partial_t \phi_2 = 0$. This reduces the problem by one degree of freedom to two degrees of freedom.

Numerically, it makes no difference to solve Eq.(9) or use Eq.(11)-Eq.(12). Figure 1 shows the squared root intensities plot for the numerical propagation of random parameter sets and initial conditions. The absolute value of the difference between the numerical propagation from the complex field or the intensity-phase picture was always below 10^{-5} . We are plotting the absolute amplitudes ($|E_0(z)|, |E_1(z)|, |E_2(z)|$), thus the trajectories will be on an octant of the unit sphere.




Fig. 1. (Color online) Absolute amplitude trajectories, ($|E_0(z)|, |E_1(z)|, |E_2(z)|$), for initial random fields impinging random optical trimmers with constant parameters.

Dealing with the problem in the intensity-phase picture does not make it easier to solve but it provides insight. Given a trimmer parameter set, $S(z) = \{g_j(z), g_{jk}(z)\}$, and an initial field configuration, $\mathcal{E}(0) = \{\mathcal{E}_j(0)\}$, it is possible to calculate the energy of the orbit, $H(0) = \epsilon(S(0), \mathcal{E}(0))$. Then, it is possible to find the conditions that define the envelope of such orbit in the absolute value of the field amplitude plots via simultaneous elimination of the phase variables with $H(z) - \epsilon = 0$ and $\partial_t \phi_j = 0$ for $j = 0, 1$.

3. GROUP THEORY AND PROPAGATORS

Actions of elements of $su(3)$ on elements of $SU(3)$ [19]

Coherent states for $SU(3)$ [20]

$SU(3)$ intelligents states on terms of harmonic oscillators [21]

Group theory, as an instrument to explore the underlying structure of mathematical models describing the physical world, brings a layer of abstraction into physics that allows deeper insight. For example, unitary matrices of rank three, just like our mode-coupling matrix in Eq.(6), are commonly characterized by the special unitary group $SU(3)$. This group is a household name in physics that is often related to the work of Gell-Mann [22]. Typically, the fundamental building blocks of this group are given by the well known Gell-Mann matrices, which provide a punctual description of the underlying algebraic structure. Here, however, we will choose a different representation for the group [23],

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{I}_0 &= \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \hat{Y}_0 = \frac{1}{3} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -2 \end{pmatrix}, \\ \hat{I}_+ &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \hat{I}_- = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \\ \hat{U}_+ &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \hat{U}_- = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \\ \hat{V}_+ &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \hat{V}_- = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

due to the fact that we can understand matrices \hat{I}_\pm , \hat{U}_\pm and \hat{V}_\pm as those describing the coupling of the electromagnetic field between waveguides zero and one, one and two, and zero and two, in that order. Thus, our mode-coupling matrix, in terms of the $SU(3)$ group, is given by the following expression,

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{H} &= g_{01}(z) (\hat{I}_+ + \hat{I}_-) + g_{12}(z) (\hat{U}_+ + \hat{U}_-) \\ &\quad + g_{02}(z) (\hat{V}_+ + \hat{V}_-). \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

The standard Wei-Norman technique for $SU(3)$ is revisited in Ref. [24], here we will show that the physical restrictions of the optical trimmer allows for further simplification.

Now, let us revisit the power of using underlying symmetries to evaluate propagation in arrays of coupled waveguides [25].

Any given normalized field vector that solves the Schrödinger-like mode coupling equation, Eq.(4),

$$|\mathcal{E}(z)\rangle = \hat{U}(z)|\mathcal{E}(0)\rangle, \quad (15)$$

can be written in terms of a $su(3)$ Lie algebra, in other words, the propagator is given by the following expression,

$$\hat{U}(z) = \prod_{j=1}^8 e^{i\theta_j(z)\hat{X}_j}. \quad (16)$$

where the algebra elements, $e^{i\theta_j(z)\hat{X}_j}$, are just the exponential map of the group generators, Eq.(13), the functions $\theta_j(z)$ are complex functions ruled by the dynamics provided by the mode-coupling matrix, and the impinging field amplitudes are collected in the normalized initial field vector $|\mathcal{E}(0)\rangle$.

Note, there is no apriori ordering of $su(3)$ elements to write the propagator. However, the values of the prefixed $\theta_j(z)$ functions do depend on the chosen order. We will choose a particular ordering,

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{U}(z) = & e^{i\iota_+(z)\hat{I}_+} e^{i\mu_+(z)\hat{U}_+} e^{iv_+(z)\hat{V}_+} e^{i\iota_0(z)\hat{I}_0} \\ & \times e^{iy_0(z)\hat{Y}} e^{iv_-(z)\hat{V}_-} e^{i\mu_-(z)\hat{U}_-} e^{i\iota_-(z)\hat{I}_-}, \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

that keeps us in line with the idea of understanding propagation through waveguide lattices as generalized Gilmore-Perelomov coherent states [25].

Substituting the propagated field vector, Eq.(15) considering as propagator Eq.(17), into the mode coupling equation, Eq.(4), is a cumbersome but straightforward operation that yields a set of eight coupled differential equations,

$$\iota'_+ = g_{01}(\iota_+^2 + 1) + g_{12}\iota_+\nu_+ - ig_{03}\nu_+, \quad (18)$$

$$\iota'_0 = i[(-2g_{01} + ig_{02}\mu_+)\iota_+ - g_{02}\nu_+ + g_{12}\mu_+], \quad (19)$$

$$\iota'_- = e^{i\iota_0}(g_1 - ig_{02}\mu_+), \quad (20)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mu'_+ = & (-g_{01} + ig_{02}\mu_+)\iota_+\mu_+ + g_{12}(\mu_+^2 + 1) \\ & + (ig_{01} + g_{02}\mu_+)\nu_+, \end{aligned} \quad (21)$$

$$\nu'_+ = g_{01}\iota_+\nu_+ + g_{02}(\nu_+^2 + 1) - ig_{12}\iota_+, \quad (22)$$

$$y'_0 = -i\frac{3}{2}[g_{02}\nu_+ + \mu_+(g_{12} + ig_{02}\iota_+)], \quad (23)$$

$$\nu'_- = e^{\frac{1}{2}i(2y_0 + \iota_0)}g_{02} + ie^{i\iota_0}\mu_-(ig_{02}\mu_+ - g_{01}), \quad (24)$$

$$\mu'_- = e^{iy_0 - \frac{1}{2}i\iota_0}(g_{12} + ig_{02}\iota_+), \quad (25)$$

where, for the sake of space, we have used $f \equiv f(z)$ and $f' \equiv \partial_z f(z)$ for all propagation dependent auxiliary functions and couplings.

Non-linear differential equations are known to be hard to solve and finding a solution often requires intuition and knowledge of the system being analyzed. Before delving into details, we would like to point out a key feature of the present model, $\hat{H}^T(z) = \hat{H}(z)$, that is, the mode-coupling matrix is symmetric, and, as a direct consequence, the propagator shares the same property,

$$\hat{U}^T(z) = \hat{U}(z). \quad (26)$$

This feature allows us to conclude that the propagator functions are symmetric,

$$\iota_+(z) = \iota_-(z) \quad (27)$$

$$\mu_+(z) = \mu_-(z) \quad (28)$$

$$\nu_+(z) = \nu_-(z). \quad (29)$$

Furthermore, we observe that two equations, namely Eq.(18) and Eq.(22) only include terms of $\iota_+(z)$ and $\nu_+(z)$ and their derivatives. Therefore, they are decoupled from the rest. Nonetheless, these two equations prove intractable and we will pursue a different route to finding a solution. For reasons that will become apparent in a moment, we introduce a set of five auxiliary functions,

$$\Gamma(z) = e^{-\frac{3}{2}iy_0(z)} \quad (30)$$

$$\Delta(z) = ie^{-\frac{3}{2}iy_0(z)}\mu_{\pm}(z) \quad (31)$$

$$\Theta(z) = e^{-\frac{2}{3}iy_0(z)}(-\iota_{\pm}(z)\mu_{\pm}(z) + iv_{\pm}(z)) \quad (32)$$

$$\Pi(z) = e^{-\frac{2}{3}iy_0(z)}(e^{iy_0(z) - \frac{1}{2}i\iota_0(z)} - \mu_{\pm}(z)^2) \quad (33)$$

$$\Sigma(z) = e^{-\frac{2}{3}iy_0(z)}(i\iota_{\pm}(z)\Pi(z) - \mu_{\pm}(z)\nu_{\pm}(z)) \quad (34)$$

These equations can be further decoupled,

$$\begin{pmatrix} \Theta'(z) \\ \Delta'(z) \\ \Gamma'(z) \end{pmatrix} = iH \begin{pmatrix} \Theta(z) \\ \Delta(z) \\ \Gamma(z) \end{pmatrix} \quad (35)$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} \Sigma'(z) \\ \Pi'(z) \\ \Delta'(z) \end{pmatrix} = iH \begin{pmatrix} \Sigma(z) \\ \Pi(z) \\ \Delta(z) \end{pmatrix} \quad (36)$$

via the identity,

$$g_{01}(z)\Theta(z) + g_{12}(z)\Gamma(z) = g_{02}(z)\Sigma(z) + g_{12}(z)\Pi(z), \quad (37)$$

which is a direct consequence of $\mu_+(z) = \mu_-(z)$. These two sets of differential equations can be readily solved with an additional set of initial values,

$$\Theta(z) = 0, \quad \Delta(z) = 0, \quad \Sigma(z) = 0, \quad (38)$$

$$\Gamma(z) = 1, \quad \Pi(z) = 1. \quad (39)$$

The two coupled differential sets, Eq. (35) and Eq.(36), in conjunction the initial values, Eq. (38) and Eq.(39), unequivocally determine the five auxiliary functions that return,

$$\mu_{\pm}(z) = \frac{-i\Delta(z)}{\Gamma(z)} \quad (40)$$

$$\iota_{\pm}(z) = \frac{i(-\Gamma(z)\Sigma(z) + \Delta(z)\Theta(z))}{\Delta(z)^2 - \Gamma(z)\Pi(z)} \quad (41)$$

$$\nu_{\pm}(z) = \frac{-i(\Delta(z)\Sigma(z) - \Pi(z)\Theta(z))}{\Delta(z)^2 - \Gamma(z)\Pi(z)} \quad (42)$$

$$y_0(z) = \frac{3}{2}i \log(\Gamma(z)) \quad (43)$$

$$\iota_0(z) = 2i \log\left(\frac{\Gamma(z)\Pi(z) - \Delta(z)^2}{\sqrt{\Gamma(z)}}\right) \quad (44)$$

Note that the phase functions $y_0(z)$ and $\iota_0(z)$ are of logarithmical nature and the rest are quotients of the products of the solution basis.

A. Trimer with constant couplings

While we have provided a formal solution to propagation through the optical trimer, considering a specific solution may help build further intuition. Thus, in this section, we will discuss the special case where all the couplings are independent of the propagation distance. For the sake of simplicity, we will

introduce the dimensionless propagation parameter $\zeta = g_{01}z$, such that the mode-coupling differential equation becomes

$$-i\partial_{\zeta}|\mathcal{E}(\zeta)\rangle = \hat{H}|\mathcal{E}(\zeta)\rangle, \quad (45)$$

with the mode-coupling matrix,

$$\hat{H} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & \alpha \\ 1 & 0 & \beta \\ \alpha & \beta & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (46)$$

given in terms of the dimensionless parameters,

$$\alpha = \frac{g_{02}}{g_{01}}, \quad \beta = \frac{g_{12}}{g_{01}}. \quad (47)$$

Under this constant mode-coupling matrix, we could use the results presented in the past section to build a particular solution, but it is well known that a set of linear first order differential equations is equivalent to a single linear differential equation of higher order. It seems worthwhile deriving such an higher order differential equation for $\Delta(\zeta)$, which is the auxiliary function that connects the two sets of differential equations, Eq.(35) and Eq.(36). After some algebra, it is possible to write,

$$\Delta'''(\zeta) + i(1 + \alpha^2 + \beta^2)\Delta'(\zeta) - 2\alpha\beta\Delta(\zeta) = 0, \quad (48)$$

with initial values,

$$\Delta(0) = 0, \quad (49)$$

$$\Delta'(0) = i\beta, \quad (50)$$

$$\Delta''(0) = -\alpha. \quad (51)$$

It is easy to see that $\Delta(\zeta)$ has the following solution,

$$\Delta(\zeta) = \delta_1 e^{i\gamma_1\zeta} + \delta_2 e^{i\gamma_2\zeta} + \delta_3 e^{i\gamma_3\zeta}, \quad (52)$$

where constant parameters γ_j are the eigenvalues of the mode-coupling matrix determined by the characteristic polynomial, a reduced cubic,

$$\gamma_j^3 - (1 + \alpha^2 + \beta^2)\gamma_j - 2\alpha\beta = 0. \quad (53)$$

It is straightforward to notice that there are three different real eigenvalues for real, positive, non-zero coupling parameters, $\alpha, \beta > 0$. These proper values can be written in a closed but non-compact form, so we will not write them explicitly. Furthermore, the coefficients are given by

$$\delta_1 = \frac{\alpha - \beta(\gamma_2 + \gamma_3)}{(\gamma_1 - \gamma_2)(\gamma_1 - \gamma_3)}, \quad (54)$$

$$\delta_2 = \frac{\alpha - \beta(\gamma_1 + \gamma_3)}{(\gamma_2 - \gamma_1)(\gamma_2 - \gamma_3)}, \quad (55)$$

$$\delta_3 = \frac{\alpha - \beta(\gamma_1 + \gamma_2)}{(\gamma_3 - \gamma_1)(\gamma_3 - \gamma_2)}. \quad (56)$$

The remaining auxiliary functions are straightforward to calculate,

$$\Theta(\zeta) = \frac{\beta(1 + \beta^2)\Delta(\zeta) - i\alpha\Delta'(\zeta) + \beta\Delta''(\zeta)}{\alpha(1 - \beta^2)} \quad (57)$$

$$\Gamma(\zeta) = \frac{-(1 + \beta^2)\Delta(\zeta) + i\alpha\beta\Delta'(\zeta) - \Delta''(\zeta)}{\alpha(1 - \beta^2)} \quad (58)$$

$$\Sigma(\zeta) = \frac{\beta(\alpha^2 + \beta^2)\Delta(\zeta) - i\alpha\Delta'(\zeta) + \beta\Delta''(\zeta)}{\alpha^2 - \beta^2} \quad (59)$$

$$\Pi(\zeta) = \frac{-\alpha(\alpha^2 + \beta^2)\Delta(\zeta) + i\beta\Delta'(\zeta) - \alpha\Delta''(\zeta)}{\alpha^2 - \beta^2}. \quad (60)$$

Thus, the propagator functions, $\iota_{\pm}(z)$, $\mu_{\pm}(z)$, $\nu_{\pm}(z)$, $\iota_0(z)$ and $y_0(z)$, will effectively contain terms involving the three eigenvalues as well as sums and differences thereof.

4. APPLICATIONS

Let us present some practical examples of optical trimers that may have a feasible experimental realization.

A. Identical couplings and the discrete Fourier transform

The mode-coupling matrix for three-identical waveguides distributed in an equilateral triangle configuration, $\alpha = \beta = 1$,

$$\hat{H} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (61)$$

is related to the cyclic group in dimension three,

$$\hat{H} = \hat{Z}_3 + \hat{Z}_3^2, \quad (62)$$

where the generator of the cyclic group,

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{Z}_3 &= \hat{I}_+ + \hat{U}_+ + \hat{V}_-, \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned} \quad (63)$$

It is well known that the cyclic group is diagonalized by the discrete Fourier transform, $\hat{\Lambda} = \hat{F}_n \hat{Z}_n \hat{F}_n^\dagger$, where the discrete Fourier transform of rank n is given by the operator \hat{F}_n , in the case of $n = 3$,

$$\hat{F}_3 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & e^{\frac{2i\pi}{3}} & e^{-\frac{2i\pi}{3}} \\ 1 & e^{-\frac{2i\pi}{3}} & e^{\frac{2i\pi}{3}} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (64)$$

and $\hat{\Lambda}$ is a diagonal rank n matrix containing the roots of unity, $\hat{\Lambda}_{mn} = \delta_{m,n} e^{i\frac{2\pi}{n}i}$ with $m, n = 0, 1, 2$. In this particular case, it is possible to compose a propagator,

$$\begin{aligned} U(\zeta) &= \hat{F}_3^\dagger e^{i\hat{\Lambda}_3\zeta} e^{i\hat{\Lambda}_3^2\zeta} \hat{F}_3, \\ &= \frac{1}{3} \begin{pmatrix} 2 + e^{3i\zeta} & -1 + e^{3i\zeta} & -1 + e^{3i\zeta} \\ -1 + e^{3i\zeta} & 2 + e^{3i\zeta} & -1 + e^{3i\zeta} \\ -1 + e^{3i\zeta} & -1 + e^{3i\zeta} & 2 + e^{3i\zeta} \end{pmatrix} e^{-i\zeta} \end{aligned} \quad (65)$$

where we have used the fact that the elements of the cyclic group of rank 3 commute between them, $[\hat{Z}_3, \hat{Z}_3^2] = 0$ because $\hat{Z}_3^3 = \mathbb{1}_3$.

Figure 2 shows the trajectories described by the absolute value of the field amplitudes, $|\mathcal{E}_j(z)|$, as they propagate. All of trajectories will lie over the surface of an octant of the sphere due to unitary propagation. Figure 2(a) shows the response to impulses, $\mathcal{E}_j = \delta_{j,k}$ with $j = 0, 1, 2$ and a fixed $k = 0, 1, 2$. Figure 2(b) shows the trajectories given by initial field superpositions of the more general form: $\mathcal{E}_j = \alpha_j e^{i\phi_j}$ with $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\sum_j |\alpha_j|^2 = 1$. From the propagator, it is possible to see that only two commensurate frequencies are involved in the propagation of initial fields, thus, the trajectories will be closed and well defined.




Fig. 2. (Color online) Absolute amplitude trajectories, $(|E_0(z)|, |E_1(z)|, |E_2(z)|)$, for initial fields, $(|E_0(0)|, |E_1(0)|, |E_2(0)|)$, impinging (a) only the zeroth (black), $(1, 0, 0)$, first (blue), $(0, 1, 0)$, and second (red), $(0, 0, 1)$, waveguides and (b) initial fields impinging two waveguides at a time with and without a relative phase, $(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}, 0)$ (black), $(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}, \frac{i}{\sqrt{2}}, 0)$ (blue), and $(\frac{2}{\sqrt{5}}, 0, \frac{i}{\sqrt{5}})$ (red).

B. Two identical couplings and the golden ratio

In the case of two equal coupling parameters the unitless Hamiltonian becomes

$$H = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & \alpha \\ 1 & 0 & \alpha \\ \alpha & \alpha & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad (66)$$

Note that the Hamiltonian is \hat{Z}_2 -invariant, i.e. it is invariant under exchanging the first and the second waveguide. This symmetry also is reflected by the eigenvalues which are $\{-1, \bar{\varphi}, \varphi\}$ with

$$\bar{\varphi} = \frac{1}{2} \left(1 - \sqrt{8\alpha^2 + 1} \right), \quad (67)$$

$$\varphi = \frac{1}{2} \left(1 + \sqrt{8\alpha^2 + 1} \right). \quad (68)$$

It is simple to see that $\bar{\varphi} + \varphi = 1$ and the second and third eigenvalue do transform into each other upon applying the complex conjugate. Note that the latter eigenvalue assumes the golden ratio for $\alpha = \sqrt{1/2}$. Due to the \hat{Z}_2 -symmetry the propagator can be calculated directly from the Hamiltonian and can be written as:

$$U(\zeta) = \frac{1}{\varphi - \bar{\varphi}} \begin{pmatrix} f(\zeta) & g(\zeta) & h(\zeta) \\ g(\zeta) & f(\zeta) & h(\zeta) \\ h(\zeta) & h(\zeta) & i(\zeta) \end{pmatrix} \quad (69)$$

with

$$f(\zeta) = \frac{\varphi}{2} e^{i\zeta\varphi} - \frac{\bar{\varphi}}{2} e^{i\zeta\bar{\varphi}} + \frac{1}{2} (\varphi - \bar{\varphi}) e^{-i\zeta}, \quad (70)$$

$$g(\zeta) = \frac{\varphi}{2} e^{i\zeta\varphi} - \frac{\bar{\varphi}}{2} e^{i\zeta\bar{\varphi}} - \frac{1}{2} (\varphi - \bar{\varphi}) e^{-i\zeta}, \quad (71)$$

$$h(\zeta) = \alpha \left(e^{i\zeta\varphi} - e^{i\zeta\bar{\varphi}} \right), \quad (72)$$

$$i(\zeta) = \varphi e^{i\zeta\bar{\varphi}} - \bar{\varphi} e^{i\zeta\varphi} \quad (73)$$

In operator form the propagator yields

$$U(\zeta) = \frac{g(\zeta)}{\varphi - \bar{\varphi}} (I_+ + I_-) + \frac{h(\zeta)}{\varphi - \bar{\varphi}} (U_+ + U_- + V_+ + V_-) + \frac{2}{3} \frac{f(\zeta) - i(\zeta)}{\varphi - \bar{\varphi}} (U_0 + V_0) + \frac{1}{3} \frac{i(\zeta) + 2f(\zeta)}{\varphi - \bar{\varphi}} \mathbb{1} \quad (74)$$

Obviously the three eigenvalues are stationary point of the system. However, the particular symmetry of the system might allow for further interesting states.

Looking at the propagator functions (70) to (73) reveals that two of the equations, namely $h(\zeta)$ and $i(\zeta)$ depend on only two of the three eigenfrequencies, φ and $\bar{\varphi}$. Equation (69) further reveals that the evolution of the third component only depends on those two equations $h(\zeta)$ and $i(\zeta)$. Now one can hope that if we chose the initial eigenstate accordingly one of the two eigenfrequencies in the evolution of the third component will cancel out. That is, if the evolution only depends on one frequency, it will only change in phase and the amplitude will remain immutable. Hence, let's look for such a state where no energy transfer into or out of the third component occurs. Without loss of generality we can assume that the state-vector at a given time bears following form:

$$\mathcal{E}(\zeta = 0) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \mathcal{E}_2(0) \\ \mathcal{E}_3(0) \end{pmatrix} \quad (75)$$

Which implies that the third component evolves as:

$$(\varphi - \bar{\varphi}) \mathcal{E}_3(\zeta) = h(\zeta) \mathcal{E}_2(0) + i(\zeta) \mathcal{E}_3(0) \quad (76)$$

As argued above the third waveguide will not change in amplitude if one of the two eigenfrequencies cancel out. This is fulfilled if either of the two following conditions is met:

$$\mathcal{E}_2(0) = \mathcal{E}_3(0) \frac{\varphi}{\alpha} \quad \text{or} \quad \mathcal{E}_2(0) = \mathcal{E}_3(0) \frac{\bar{\varphi}}{\alpha} \quad (77)$$

Consequently we obtain two solutions for a state with stationary amplitude in the third waveguide. Without loss of generality we can normalise the initial state such that the amplitude of the third component is one, i.e. $\mathcal{E}_3(0) = 1$. Then the time evolution of the system is given by:

$$\mathcal{E}_\varphi(\zeta) = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{g(\zeta)}{\varphi - \bar{\varphi}} \frac{\varphi}{\alpha} + \frac{h(\zeta)}{\varphi - \bar{\varphi}} \\ \frac{f(\zeta)}{\varphi - \bar{\varphi}} \frac{\varphi}{\alpha} + \frac{h(\zeta)}{\varphi - \bar{\varphi}} \\ e^{i\zeta\varphi} \end{pmatrix} \quad (78)$$

and

$$\mathcal{E}_{\bar{\varphi}}(\zeta) = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{g(\zeta)}{\varphi - \bar{\varphi}} \frac{\bar{\varphi}}{\alpha} + \frac{h(\zeta)}{\varphi - \bar{\varphi}} \\ \frac{f(\zeta)}{\varphi - \bar{\varphi}} \frac{\bar{\varphi}}{\alpha} + \frac{h(\zeta)}{\varphi - \bar{\varphi}} \\ e^{i\zeta\bar{\varphi}} \end{pmatrix} \quad (79)$$

5. CONCLUSION

We have shown that it is possible to solve the light evolution equations in a coupled three-core waveguide, in terms of the Lie group generators of $\text{su}(3)$. We focused our attention on a reduced class of structures where the coupling constants are constant in position..... As an example we consider the case of equal coupling constants. we found that the dynamics of such a

system is governed by a linear third order differential equation and the corresponding five auxiliary functions can be expressed in terms of its solution. We also established a connection between a waveguide cluster with equal couplings and the well known Fourier transform, possibly opening a path to realise quantum Fourier transformations. Furthermore we studied an isosceles waveguide triangle. We showed that two equal couplings corresponds to a Z_2 symmetry of the Hamiltonian. We also showed that the symmetry allows for two interesting states characterised by absence of energy transfer into the third core.

REFERENCES

1. V. M. Schneider and H. T. Hattori, "Wavelength insensitive asymmetric triple mode evolution couplers," *Opt. Commun.* **187**, 129 – 133 (2001).
2. E. Narevicius, R. Narevich, Y. Berlatzky, I. Shtrichman, G. Rosenblum, and I. Vorobeichik, "Adiabatic mode multiplexer for evanescent coupling-insensitive optical switching," *Opt. Lett.* **30**, 3362 – 3364 (2005).
3. S.-Y. Tseng and Y.-W. Jhang, "Fast and robust beam coupling in a three waveguide directional coupler," *IEEE Photonics Technology Letters* **25**, 2478–2481 (2013).
4. E. Paspalakis, "Adiabatic three-waveguide directional coupler," *Opt. Commun.* **258**, 30 – 34 (2006).
5. A. Salandrino, K. Makris, D. N. Christodoulides, Y. Lahini, Y. Silberberg, and R. Morandotti, "Analysis of a three-core adiabatic directional coupler," *Opt. Commun.* **282**, 4524 – 4526 (2009).
6. B. M. Rodríguez-Lara, H. M. Moya-Cessa, and D. N. Christodoulides, "Propagation and perfect transmission in three-waveguide axially varying couplers," *Phys. Rev. A* **89**, 013802 (2014).
7. N. Finlayson and G. I. Stegeman, "Spatial switching, instabilities, and chaos in a three-waveguide nonlinear directional coupler," *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **56**, 2276 – 2278 (1990).
8. G. I. Stegeman and E. M. Wright, "All-optical waveguide switching," *Opt. Quant. Electron.* **22**, 95 – 122 (1990).
9. D. Artigas, J. Olivas, F. Dios, and F. Canal, "Supermode analysis of the three-waveguide nonlinear directional coupler: the critical power," *Opt. Commun.* **131**, 53 – 60 (1996).
10. Y. Chen, "Fiber and integrated optics," *Fiber and Integrated Optics* **16**, 287–301 (1997).
11. G. J. Liu, B. M. Lang, Q. Li, and G. L. Jin, "Three-core nonlinear directional coupler with variable coupling coefficient," *Opt. Eng.* **42**, 2930 – 2935 (2003).
12. K. R. Khan, T. X. Wu, D. N. Christodoulides, and G. I. Stegeman, "Soliton switching and multi-frequency generation in a nonlinear photonic crystal fiber coupler," *Opt. Express* **16**, 9417 – 9428 (2008).
13. Q. Tao, F. Luo, L. Cao, J. Hu, D. Cai, Z. Wan, J. Zhang, and Z. Yu, "Thermal stability analysis of nonlinear optical switch based on asymmetric three-core nonlinear directional coupler with variable gaussian coupling coefficient," *Opt. Eng.* **50**, 071104 (2011).
14. J. W. M. Menezes, W. B. de Fraga, A. C. Ferreira, K. D. A. Saboia, A. F. G. F. Filho, G. F. Guimaraes, J. R. R. Sousa, H. H. B. Rocha, and A. S. B. Sombra, "Logic gates based in two- and three-modes nonlinear optical fiber couplers," *Opt. Quant. Electron.* **39**, 1191 – 1206 (2007).
15. J. W. M. Menezes, W. B. de Fraga, G. F. Guimaraes, A. C. Ferreira, H. H. B. Rocha, M. G. da Silva, and A. S. B. Sombra, "Optical switches and all-fiber logical devices based on triangular and planar three-core nonlinear optical fiber couplers," *Opt. Commun.* **276**, 107 – 115 (2007).
16. J. A. G. Coelho, M. B. Costa, A. C. Ferreira, M. G. da Silva, M. L. Lyra, and A. S. B. Sombra, "Realization of all-optical logic gates in a triangular triple-core photonic crystal fiber," *J. Lightwave Technol.* **31**, 731 – 739 (2013).
17. B. M. Rodríguez-Lara, F. Soto-Eguibar, and D. N. Christodoulides, "Quantum optics as a tool for photonic lattice design," *Phys. Scr.* **90**, 068014 (2015).
18. H. Goldstein, *Classical mechanics* (Addison-Wesley, U.S.A., 1980).
19. T. J. Nelson, "A set of harmonic functions for the group $su(3)$ as specialized matrix elements of a general finite transformation," *J. Math. Phys.* **8**, 857 – 863 (1967).
20. S. Gnutzmann and M. Kuš, "Coherent states and the classical limit on irreducible $su(3)$ representations," *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **31**, 9871 – 9896 (1998).
21. D. J. Rowe, B. C. Sanders, and H. de Guise, "Representations of the weyl group and wigner functions for $su(3)$," *J. Math. Phys.* **40**, 3604 – 3615 (1999).
22. M. Gell-Mann, "The eightfold way: A theory of strong interaction symmetry," *Tech. Rep. CTSL20*, California Institute of Technology (1961).
23. R. Ticciati, *Quantum field theory for mathematicians* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, U. K., 1999).
24. G. Dattoli, P. D. Lazzaro, and A. Torre, " $Su(1,1)$, $su(2)$ and $su(3)$ coherence-preserving hamiltonians and time-ordering techniques," *Phys. Rev. A* **35**, 1582 – 1589 (1987).
25. L. V. Vergara and B. M. Rodríguez-Lara, "Gilmore-perelomov symmetry based approach to photonic lattices," *Opt. Express* **23**, 22836–22846 (2015).